DOI: 10.2478/awutm-2018-0005

DE DE GRUYTER
OPEN

Analele Universității de Vest, Timișoara Seria Matematică – Informatică LVI, 1, (2018), 64–72

## Related G-metrics and Fixed Points

Yaé Ulrich O. Gaba<sup>12</sup>

**Abstract.** For a single valued mapping T in a G-complete G-metric space (X,d), we show that if  $T^n$ , for some n>1, is a contraction, then T itself is a contraction under another related G-metric d'. We establish moreover that if T is uniformly continuous, then d' is G-complete.

AMS Subject Classification (2000). Primary 47H05; Secondary 47H09, 47H10.

**Keywords.** (related) *G*-metrics, fixed point, contraction.

# 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

After disproving most of the claims about the topology of D-metrics (see [12]), Mustafa and Sim introduced a more appropriate notion of generalized metrics, called G-metrics. In his PhD thesis (see [10]), Mustafa provided many examples of G-metric spaces and developed some of their properties. For instance, he proved that G-metric spaces are provided with a  $T_2$  topology which makes them a convenient framework for topological notions like convergent sequences, Cauchy sequences, continuous mappings, completeness, etc. We also know from Mustafa [12, Proposition 5] that every G-metric space is topologically equivalent to a metric space but G-metric spaces and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Institut de Mathématiques et de Sciences Physiques (IMSP), Porto-Novo, Bénin

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>African Center for Advanced Studies (ACAS), Yaounde, Cameroon gabayae2@gmail.com

metric spaces are "isometrically" distinct. As the theory around G-metrics unfolds, the natural direction was to look at fixed points for mappings defined on these type of spaces. The Banach contractive mapping principle is the most celebrated result in fixed point theory and therefore represented the default starting point for fixed point theory in G-metrics. Hence we read the following result in [10] as:

### **Theorem 1.1.** ([10])

Let (X,G) be a G-complete G-metric space and let  $T:X\to X$  be a mapping such that there exists  $\lambda\in[0,1)$  satisfying

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \le \lambda \ G(x, y, z),$$
 (1.1)

whenever  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then T has a unique fixed point. In fact, T is a Picard operator.

We give the following corollary, extension to the G-metric case, of a result by Bryant[2], and which seems to appear nowhere in the literature.

## Corollary 1.2. (Compare[2])

Let (X,G) be a G-complete G-metric space and let  $T:X\to X$  be a mapping such that there exists  $\lambda\in[0,1)$  satisfying

$$G(T^n x, T^n y, T^n z) < \lambda G(x, y, z),$$

for some n > 1, whenever  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then T has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.1,  $T^n$  has a unique fixed point, say  $x \in X$  with  $T^n(x) = x$ . Since

$$T^{n+1}x = T(T^n x) = Tx = T^n(Tx),$$

it follows that T(x) is a fixed point of  $T^n$ , and thus, by the uniqueness of x, we have Tx = x, that is, T has a fixed point. Since, the fixed point of T is necessarily a fixed point of  $T^n$ , so it is unique.

A mapping T, satisfying condition (1.1) is called a contraction with contraction constant  $\lambda$ . The result of Corollary 1.2, for which the proof is quite trivial, establishes that if a power of a map T is a contraction, then T has a unique fixed point. Moreover observe, in the formulation, that the mapping T is not even assumed to be continuous<sup>3</sup>. The aim of this paper is to show

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ In some applications, it is often the case that the mapping T is Lipschitzian, and therefore does not need to be a contraction, whereas some power of  $T^{n}$  is a contraction mapping.

that, if a mapping T defined on a G-metric space (X, G) is not a contraction but admits a power, say n, for which  $T^n$  is a contraction, therefore there exists a related G-metric G' such that T is a contraction on (X, G').

The elementary facts about G-metric spaces can be found in [12]. We give here a shortened form of these prerequisites.

**Definition 1.3.** (Compare [12, Definition 3]) Let X be a nonempty set, and let the function  $G: X \times X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  satisfy the following properties:

- (G1) G(x, y, z) = 0 if x = y = z whenever  $x, y, z \in X$ ;
- (G2) G(x, x, y) > 0 whenever  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ ;
- (G3)  $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$  whenever  $x, y, z \in X$  with  $z \neq y$ ;
- (G4)  $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$ , (symmetry in all three variables);

(G5)

$$G(x, y, z) \le [G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)]$$

for any points  $x, y, z, a \in X$ .

Then (X,G) is called a G-metric space.

**Definition 1.4.** (Compare [13, Definition 1.4])

Let (X,G) be a G-metric space and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of points of X. We say  $\{x_n\}$  is G-convergent to  $x \in X$  if  $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} G(x,x_n,x_m) = 0$ , that is for any each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G(x,x_n,x_m) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n,m \geq N$ . We call x the limit of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  and we write  $x_n \to x$  or  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ .

**Proposition 1.5.** (Compare [12, Proposition 6]) Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. Define on X the metric  $d_G$  by  $d_G(x, y) = G(x, y, y) + G(x, x, y)$  whenever  $x, y \in X$ . Then for a sequence  $(x_n) \subseteq X$ , the following are equivalent

- (i)  $(x_n)$  is G-convergent to  $x \in X$ .
- (ii)  $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} G(x,x_n,x_m) = 0.$
- (iii)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_G(x_n,x) = 0.$
- (iv)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} G(x,x_n,x_n)=0$ .
- (v)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} G(x_n, x, x) = 0$ .

**Definition 1.6.** (Compare [13, Definition 1.4]) Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is called a G-Cauchy sequence if for any each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n, m, l \geq N$ , that is  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \to 0$  as  $n, m, l \to \infty$ .

**Proposition 1.7.** (Compare [12, Proposition 9]) In a G-metric space (X, G), the following are equivalent

- (i) The sequence  $(x_n) \subseteq X$  is G-Cauchy.
- (ii) For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$  for all  $m, n \geq N$ .

**Definition 1.8.** (Compare [12, Definition 9]) A G-metric space (X, G) is G-complete if every G-Cauchy sequence of elements of (X, G) is G-convergent in (X, G).

**Definition 1.9.** Two G-metrics  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  on a set X are said to be equivalent if there exist  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  such that

$$\alpha d_1(x,y,z) \leq d_2(x,y,z) \leq \beta d_1(x,y,z)$$
, for all  $x,y,z \in X$ .

**Definition 1.10.** Given G-metric spaces  $(X, d_1)$  and  $(Y, d_2)$ , a function  $T: X \to Y$  is called uniformly continuous if for every real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $x, y, z \in X$  with  $d_1(x, y, z) < \delta$ , we have that  $d_2(Tx, Ty, Tz) < \varepsilon$ .

Finally, we point out that many results about the fixed point theory in G-metric spaces can be read in [1-9, 11-15].

#### 2 The results

**Theorem 2.1.** Let d be a G-metric on a space X and  $T:(X,d) \to (X,d)$  a self mapping such that:

$$d(T^n x, T^n y, T^n z) \le K d(x, y, z),$$

for some n > 1 and 0 < K < 1, whenever  $x, y, z \in X$ . If  $\lambda$  is a nonnegative real such that

$$K^{\frac{1}{n}} < \frac{1}{\lambda} < 1,$$

then the application  $d': X^3 \to [0, \infty)$  defined by:

$$d'(x,y,z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda^i d(T^i x, T^i y, T^i z), \text{ whenever } x, y, z \in X,$$

satisfies:

- i) d' is a G-metric on the space X;
- ii)  $T:(X,d')\to (X,d')$  a self mapping such that:

$$d'(Tx, Ty, Tz) \le \frac{1}{\lambda} d'(x, y, z).^4$$

*Proof.* We first prove that d' is a G-metric:

(G1) Indeed for  $x, y, z \in X$ , if x = y = z, then

$$d'(x, y, z) = 0.$$

(G2) For all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ , it is clear that

(G3) For all  $x, y, z \in X$  with  $z \neq y$ , since

$$0 < \lambda^i d(T^i x, T^i x, T^i y) < \lambda^i d(T^i x, T^i y, T^i z)$$
, then

$$0 < d'(x, x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda^{i} d(T^{i}x, T^{i}x, T^{i}y)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda^{i} d(T^{i}x, T^{i}y, T^{i}z)$$

$$= d'(x, y, z).$$

(G4) Trivially  $d'(x, y, z) = d'(x, z, y) = d'(y, z, x) = \dots$ , (symmetry in all three variables).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>i.e. T is a contraction with constant  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  with respect to d'.

(G5) For all  $x, y, z, a \in X$ , since

$$\lambda^{i}d(T^{i}x, T^{i}y, T^{i}z) \leq \lambda^{i}[d(T^{i}x, T^{i}a, T^{i}a) + d(T^{i}a, T^{i}y, T^{i}z)],$$

we get

$$\begin{split} d'(x,y,z) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda^i d(T^i x, T^i y, T^i z) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda^i [d(T^i x, T^i a, T^i a) + d(T^i a, T^i y, T^i z)] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda^i d(T^i x, T^i a, T^i a) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda^i d(T^i a, T^i y, T^i z) \\ &= d'(x, a, a) + d'(a, y, z). \end{split}$$

Hence, d' is a G-metric on X.

We now prove that  $T:(X,d')\to (X,d')$  is a contraction with constant  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ . It is readily seen, by a simple computation, that

$$d'(Tx, Ty, Tz) = \frac{1}{\lambda} [d'(x, y, z) - d(x, y, z)] + \lambda^{n-1} d(T^n x, T^n y, T^n z).$$

Since  $T^n:(X,d)\to (X,d)$  is a contraction with constant K, it follows that

$$d'(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} [d'(x, y, z) - d(x, y, z)] + K\lambda^{n-1} d(x, y, z)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} d'(x, y, z) + \left(K - \frac{1}{\lambda^n}\right) \lambda^{n-1} d(x, y, z)$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} d'(x, y, z),$$

because of the choice  $K^{\frac{1}{n}} < \frac{1}{\lambda}$ . This completes the proof.

Remark 2.2. The map d' can be thought of as an approximation of order n-1 of a certain G-metric h, equivalent to d'. Indeed, under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, it is readily seen that

$$d'(x,y,z) \le \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i d(T^i x, T^i y, T^i z)$$
  

$$\le d'(x,y,z) + \lambda^n K d'(x,y,z) + \lambda^{2n} K^2 d'(x,y,z) + \cdots$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{1 - \lambda^n K} d'(x,y,z).$$

The sum  $h(x,y,z):=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\lambda^id(T^ix,T^iy,T^iz)$  therefore defines a G-metric h, equivalent to d', as long as the series happen to converge for some  $\lambda>1$ . Moreover, whenever h is finite, the map  $T:(X,h)\to (X,h)$  is a contraction with contraction constant  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ .

Next, we establish that whenever the mapping  $T:(X,d)\to (X,d)$  is uniformly continuous and the G-metric d is G-complete, then the G-metric d' is also G-complete.

**Theorem 2.3.** We repeat the assumptions of Theorem 2.1. If T is uniformly continuous and the G-metric d is G-complete, then so is the G-metric d'.

*Proof.* Since  $d(x, y, z) \leq d'(x, y, z)$  for any  $x, y, z \in X$ , any G-Cauchy sequence in (X, d') is also a G-Cauchy sequence in (X, d). It is therefore enough to prove that, under uniform continuity of T in (X, d), any G-convergent sequence in (X, d) is also G-convergent in (X, d').

So let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in the G-metric space (X,d) such that  $\{x_n\}$  G-converges to some  $\xi \in (X,d)$ . Set  $M = \max\{\lambda^i, i = 1, \dots, n-1\}$  and observe that

$$M > \lambda > 1$$
.

Since all the powers of T are also uniformly continuous in (X, d), we can write that, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , and  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ 

$$d(x, y, z) < \eta \Longrightarrow d(T^i x, T^i y, T^i z) < \frac{\varepsilon}{Mn}.$$

Since  $\{x_n\}$  G-converges to some  $\xi \in (X, d)$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$p \ge n_0 \Longrightarrow d(\xi, x_p, x_p) < \eta.$$

Then

$$p > n_0 \Longrightarrow d(T^i \xi, T^i x_p, T^i x_p) < \frac{\varepsilon}{Mn} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

i.e.

$$d'(\xi, x_p, x_p) < \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{M} + \frac{\lambda}{M} + \dots + \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{M} \right] < \varepsilon.$$

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  G-converges to  $\xi$  with respect to the G-metric d'.

We conclude this paper by an example, illustrating the importance of the requirement for T to be uniformly continuous.

**Example 2.4.** Let X = [0,3] be endowed with the G-metric d, defined as

$$d(x, y, z) = \max\{|x - y|, |y - z|, |z - x|\} \text{ for all } x, y, z \in [0, 3].$$

Define  $T: [0,3] \to [0,3]$  by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 2\\ 2, & \text{if } 2 < x \le 3. \end{cases}$$

The mapping T is discontinuous at x=2 but  $T^2x=1$  for all  $x,y,z\in[0,3]$ , i.e.  $T^2$  is a contraction and the unique fixed point is x=1. Moreover, any real  $K\in(0,1)$  can be used as contraction constant for  $T^2$ . We can then apply Theorem 2.1 with any  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda>1$ . From Theorem 2.1, the G-metric d' is given by

$$d'(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} d(x, y, z), & \text{if } x, y, z \le 2 \text{ or } x, y, z > 2, \\ d(x, y, z) + \lambda, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The G-metric d' is not G-complete. Indeed the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  given by  $x_n = 2 + \frac{1}{n}$  is G-Cauchy in (X, d') but does not G-converge; as the only candidate for a limit is 2 but

$$d'(x_n, x_n, 2) = \frac{1}{n} + \lambda > \lambda$$
 for all  $n$ .

The above example shows that, unless one assumes at least pointwise continuity, the conclusion of Theorem 2.3 can fail.

#### References

[1] M. Abbas and T. Nazir and W. Shatanawi and Z. Mustafa, Fixed and related fixed point theorems for three maps in G-metric spaces, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, **41** (2), (2012)

[2] **V. Bryant**, A remark on a fixed-point theorem for iterated mappings, *The American Mathematical Monthly*, **75** (4), (1968), 399–400

- [3] Y. U. Gaba, Fixed point theorems in G-metric spaces, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, (2017)
- [4] Y. U. Gaba, λ-sequences and fixed point theorems in G-metric type spaces, Journal of the Nigerian Mathematical Society, 35 (2), (2017), 303–311
- [5] Y. U. Gaba, New Contractive Conditions for Maps in G-metric Type Spaces, Advances in Analysis, 1 (2), (2016)
- [6] Y. U. Gaba, Common fixed points in G-metric type spaces via λ-sequences, Journal of Mathematics, Volume 2017, Article ID 6018054, 7 pages
- [7] M. Jleli and B. Samet, Remarks on G-metric spaces and fixed point theorems, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2012 (1), (2012), 210
- [8] M. Jovanović and Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenović, Common fixed point results in metric-type spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2010 (1), (2010), 978121
- [9] S. K. Mohanta, Some fixed point theorems in G-metric spaces, Analele Universitatii" Ovidius" Constanta-Seria Matematica, 20 (1), (2012), 285–306
- [10] **Z. Mustafa**, A New Structure for Generalized Metric Spaces: With Applications to Fixed Point Theory, University of Newcastle, 2005
- [11] Z. Mustafa and H. Aydi and E. Karapınar, Generalized Meir-Keeler type contractions on G-metric spaces, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 219 (21), (2013), 10441–10447
- [12] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, Journal of Nonlinear and convex Analysis, 7 (2), (2006), 289–297
- [13] **Z. Mustafa and T. Van An and N. Van Dung and L. T. Quan**, Two fixed point theorems for maps on incomplete G-metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, **7**, (2013), 2271–2281
- [14] S. R Patil and J. N. Salunke, Expansion mapping theorems in G-cone metric spaces, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, 6 (44), (2012), 2147–2158
- [15] V. Sihag and R. K. Vats and C. Vetro, A fixed point theorem in G-metric spaces via α-series, Quaestiones Mathematicae, 37 (3), (2014), 429–434

Received: 22.07.2017 Accepted: 12.11.2017 Revised: 3.11.2017