



# TOPOLOGICAL TRANSVERSALITY PRINCIPLES AND GENERAL COINCIDENCE THEORY

Donal O'Regan

## Abstract

This paper presents general topological coincidence principles for multivalued maps defined on subsets of completely regular topological spaces.

## 1. Introduction.

The notion of an essential map was introduced by Granas [2] and extended in a variety of setting; see [1, 5, 6, 7] and the references therein. In this paper we present a general continuation theory for coincidences. Our theory relies on a Urysohn type lemma and on the notion of  $d$ - $\Phi$ -essential and  $d$ - $L$ - $\Phi$ -essential maps. In particular we present a general topological transversality type theorem which extends results in the literature; see [1, 3, 4, 6, 7] and the references therein.

## 2. $d$ - $\Phi$ -essential maps.

Let  $E$  be a completely regular topological space and  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ .

We will consider classes **A** and **B** of maps.

---

Key Words: Continuation methods, essential maps, coincidence principles.  
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47H10; Secondary 54H25.  
Received: February, 2016.  
Revised: May, 2016.  
Accepted: May, 2016.

**Definition 2.1.** We say  $F \in A(\bar{U}, E)$  (respectively  $F \in B(\bar{U}, E)$ ) if  $F : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^E$  and  $F \in \mathbf{A}(\bar{U}, E)$  (respectively  $F \in \mathbf{B}(\bar{U}, E)$ ); here  $2^E$  denotes the family of nonempty subsets of  $E$ .

In this section we fix a  $\Phi \in B(\bar{U}, E)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** We say  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  if  $F \in A(\bar{U}, E)$  with  $F(x) \cap \Phi(x) = \emptyset$  for  $x \in \partial U$ ; here  $\partial U$  denotes the boundary of  $U$  in  $E$ .

For any map  $F \in A(\bar{U}, E)$  let  $F^* = I \times F : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^{\bar{U} \times E}$ , with  $I : \bar{U} \rightarrow \bar{U}$  given by  $I(x) = x$ , and let

$$(2.1) \quad d : \left\{ (F^*)^{-1}(B) \right\} \cup \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow \Omega$$

be any map with values in the nonempty set  $\Omega$ ; here  $B = \{(x, \Phi(x)) : x \in \bar{U}\}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $E$  be a completely regular (respectively normal) topological space, and  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ . Let  $F, G \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ . We say  $F \cong G$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  if there exists a map  $H : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^E$  with  $H(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) \in A(\bar{U}, E)$  for any continuous function  $\eta : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$ ,  $H_t(x) \cap \Phi(x) = \emptyset$  for any  $x \in \partial U$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $H_1 = F$ ,  $H_0 = G$  and  $\{x \in \bar{U} : (x, \Phi(x)) \cap H^*(x, t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}$  is compact (respectively closed); here  $H^*(x, t) = (x, H(x, t))$  and  $H_t(x) = H(x, t)$ .

The following conditions will be assumed:

$$(2.2) \quad \cong \text{ is an equivalence relation in } A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E),$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} \text{if } F, G \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E) \text{ with } F|_{\partial U} = G|_{\partial U} \text{ and } F \cong G \\ \text{in } A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E) \text{ then } d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right). \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  with  $F^* = I \times F$ . We say  $F^* : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^{\bar{U} \times E}$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -essential if  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset)$ . We say  $F^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential if  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$ .

*Remark 2.1.* If  $F^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -essential then

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset \neq (F^*)^{-1}(B) &= \{x \in \bar{U} : F^*(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, F(x)) \cap (x, \Phi(x)) \neq \emptyset\}, \end{aligned}$$

and this together with  $F(x) \cap \Phi(x) = \emptyset$  for  $x \in \partial U$  implies that there exists  $x \in U$  with  $(x, \Phi(x)) \cap F^*(x) \neq \emptyset$  (i.e.  $\Phi(x) \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$ ).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $E$  be a completely regular (respectively normal) topological space,  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ ,  $B = \{(x, \Phi(x)) : x \in \bar{U}\}$ ,  $d$  a map defined in (2.1) and assume (2.2) and (2.3) hold. Suppose  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  and assume the following condition holds:*

$$(2.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if there exists a map } G \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E) \text{ with } G \cong F \text{ in} \\ A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E) \text{ and } d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset) \text{ with } G^* = I \times G, \\ \text{and if } H \text{ is the map defined in Definition 2.3 and} \\ \mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is any continuous map with } \mu(\partial U) = 0, \text{ then} \\ \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, \Phi(x)) \cap (x, H(x, t\mu(x))) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\} \\ \text{is closed.} \end{array} \right.$$

Then the following are equivalent:

- (i).  $F^* = I \times F : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^{\bar{U} \times E}$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential;
- (ii). there exists a map  $G \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  with  $G^* = I \times G$  and  $G \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  such that  $d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$ .

PROOF: (i) implies (ii) is immediate. Next we prove (ii) implies (i). Suppose there exists a map  $G \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  with  $G^* = I \times G$  and  $G \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  such that  $d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$ . Let  $H : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^E$  with  $H(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) \in A(\bar{U}, E)$  for any continuous function  $\eta : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$ ,  $H_t(x) \cap \Phi(x) = \emptyset$  for any  $x \in \partial U$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $H_1 = G$ ,  $H_0 = F$  and  $\{x \in \bar{U} : (x, \Phi(x)) \cap H^*(x, t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}$  is compact (respectively closed); here  $H^*(x, t) = (x, H(x, t))$  and  $H_t(x) = H(x, t)$ . Consider

$$D = \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, \Phi(x)) \cap H^*(x, t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

If  $D = \emptyset$  then in particular  $\emptyset = (x, \Phi(x)) \cap H^*(x, 0) = (x, \Phi(x)) \cap F^*(x)$  for  $x \in \bar{U}$  i.e.  $(F^*)^{-1}(B) = \emptyset$  so  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$  i.e.  $F^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential. Next suppose  $D \neq \emptyset$ . Note  $D$  is compact (respectively closed). Also  $D \cap \partial U = \emptyset$  since  $H_t(x) \cap \Phi(x) = \emptyset$  for any  $x \in \partial U$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Thus there exists a continuous map  $\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\mu(\partial U) = 0$  and  $\mu(D) = 1$ . Define a map  $R_\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^E$  by  $R_\mu(x) = H(x, \mu(x)) = H_{\mu(x)}(x)$  and let  $R_\mu^* = I \times R_\mu$ . Note  $R_\mu \in A(\bar{U}, E)$ ,  $R_\mu|_{\partial U} = H_0|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$  since  $\mu(\partial U) = 0$ , and  $R_\mu \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ .

Also note since  $\mu(D) = 1$  that

$$\begin{aligned} (R_\mu^*)^{-1}(B) &= \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, \Phi(x)) \cap (x, H(x, \mu(x))) \neq \emptyset\} \\ &= \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, \Phi(x)) \cap (x, H(x, 1)) \neq \emptyset\} = (G^*)^{-1}(B) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(2.5) \quad d\left((R_\mu^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset).$$

We claim

$$(2.6) \quad R_\mu \cong F \text{ in } A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E).$$

If (2.6) is true then (2.3) and (2.5) guarantee that

$$d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((R_\mu^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset),$$

so  $F^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential.

It remains to show (2.6). Let  $Q : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^E$  be given by  $Q(x, t) = H(x, t\mu(x))$ . Note  $Q(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) \in A(\bar{U}, E)$  for any continuous function  $\eta : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$  and (see (2.4) and Definition 2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in \bar{U} : \emptyset \neq (x, \Phi(x)) \cap (x, Q(x, t)) \\ = (x, \Phi(x)) \cap (x, H(x, t\mu(x))) \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\} \end{aligned}$$

is compact (respectively closed). Note  $Q_0 = F$  and  $Q_1 = R_\mu$ . Finally if there exists a  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $x \in \partial U$  with  $\Phi(x) \cap Q_t(x) \neq \emptyset$  then  $\Phi(x) \cap H_{t\mu(x)}(x) \neq \emptyset$ , so  $x \in D$  and so  $\mu(x) = 1$  i.e.  $\Phi(x) \cap H_t(x) \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Thus (2.6) holds.  $\square$

Now Theorem 2.1 immediately yields the following continuation theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $E$  be a completely regular (respectively normal) topological space,  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ ,  $B = \{(x, \Phi(x)) : x \in \bar{U}\}$ ,  $d$  a map defined in (2.1) and assume (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) hold. Suppose  $J$  and  $\Psi$  are two maps in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  with  $J^* = I \times J$  and  $\Psi^* = I \times \Psi$  and with  $J \cong \Psi$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ . Then  $J^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential if and only if  $\Psi^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential.*

PROOF: Assume  $J^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential. Then (see Theorem 2.1) there exists a map  $Q \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  with  $Q^* = I \times Q$  and  $Q \cong J$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  such that  $d\left((Q^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$ . Note (since  $\cong$  is an equivalence relation in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ ) also that  $Q \cong \Psi$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ . Then Theorem 2.1 (with  $F = \Psi$  and  $G = Q$ ) guarantees that  $\Psi^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential. Similarly if  $\Psi^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential then  $J^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential.  $\square$

We now show how the ideas in this section can be applied to other natural situations. Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space (so automatically a completely regular space),  $Y$  a topological vector space, and  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ . Also let  $L : \text{dom } L \subseteq E \rightarrow Y$  be a linear single valued map;

here  $\text{dom } L$  is a vector subspace of  $E$ . Finally  $T : E \rightarrow Y$  will be a linear single valued map with  $L + T : \text{dom } L \rightarrow Y$  a bijection; for convenience we say  $T \in H_L(E, Y)$ .

**Definition 2.5.** We say  $F \in A(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  (respectively  $F \in B(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ ) if  $F : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^Y$  and  $(L+T)^{-1}(F+T) \in A(\bar{U}, E)$  (respectively  $(L+T)^{-1}(F+T) \in B(\bar{U}, E)$ ).

We now fix a  $\Phi \in B(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ .

**Definition 2.6.** We say  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  if  $F \in A(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $(L + T)^{-1}(F + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x) = \emptyset$  for  $x \in \partial U$ .

For any map  $F \in A(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  let  $F^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1}(F + T) : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^{\bar{U} \times E}$ , with  $I : \bar{U} \rightarrow \bar{U}$  given by  $I(x) = x$ , and let

$$(2.7) \quad d : \left\{ (F^*)^{-1}(B) \right\} \cup \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow \Omega$$

be any map with values in the nonempty set  $\Omega$ ; here

$$B = \left\{ (x, (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x)) : x \in \bar{U} \right\}.$$

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $F, G \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ . Now  $F \cong G$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  if there exists a map  $H : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^Y$  with  $(L + T)^{-1}(H(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) + T(\cdot)) \in A(\bar{U}, E)$  for any continuous function  $\eta : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$ ,  $(L+T)^{-1}(H_t+T)(x) \cap (L+T)^{-1}(\Phi+T)(x) = \emptyset$  for any  $x \in \partial U$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $H_1 = F$ ,  $H_0 = G$  and

$$\left\{ x \in \bar{U} : (x, (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x)) \cap H^*(x, t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1] \right\}$$

is compact; here  $H_t(x) = H(x, t)$  and  $H^*(x, \lambda) = (x, (L+T)^{-1}(H+T)(x, \lambda))$ .

The following conditions will be assumed:

$$(2.8) \quad \cong \text{ is an equivalence relation in } A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T),$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{cases} \text{if } F, G \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T) \text{ with } F|_{\partial U} = G|_{\partial U} \text{ and } F \cong G \\ \text{in } A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T) \text{ then } d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right). \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $F^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1}(F + T)$ . We say  $F^* : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^{\bar{U} \times E}$  is  $d$ - $L$ - $\Phi$ -essential if  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset)$ . We say  $F^*$  is  $d$ - $L$ - $\Phi$ -inessential if  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space,  $Y$  a topological vector space,  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ ,  $B = \{(x, (L+T)^{-1}(\Phi+T)(x)) : x \in \bar{U}\}$ ,  $L : \text{dom } L \subseteq E \rightarrow Y$  a linear single valued map,  $T \in H_L(E, Y)$ ,  $d$  a map defined in (2.7) and assume (2.8) and (2.9) hold. Suppose  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  and assume the following condition holds:*

$$(2.10) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if there exists a map } G \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T) \text{ with } G \cong F \\ \text{in } A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T) \text{ and } d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset) \text{ with} \\ G^* = I \times (L+T)^{-1}(G+T) \text{ and if } H \text{ is the map} \\ \text{defined in Definition 2.7 and } \mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is any} \\ \text{continuous map with } \mu(\partial U) = 0, \text{ then} \\ \{x \in \bar{U} : \emptyset \neq (x, (L+T)^{-1}(\Phi+T)(x)) \\ \cap (x, (L+T)^{-1}(H_{t_{\mu(x)}}+T)(x)) \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\} \\ \text{is closed.} \end{array} \right.$$

Then the following are equivalent:

- (i).  $F^* = I \times (L+T)^{-1}(F+T) : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^{\bar{U} \times E}$  is  $d$ - $L$ - $\Phi$ -inessential;
- (ii). there exists a map  $G \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $G^* = I \times (L+T)^{-1}(G+T)$  and  $G \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  such that  $d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$ .

PROOF: (i) implies (ii) is immediate. Next we prove (ii) implies (i). Suppose there exists a map  $G \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $G^* = I \times (L+T)^{-1}(G+T)$  and  $G \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  such that  $d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$ . Let  $H : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a map with  $(L+T)^{-1}(H(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) + T(\cdot)) \in A(\bar{U}, E)$  for any continuous function  $\eta : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$ ,  $(L+T)^{-1}(H_t + T)(x) \cap (L+T)^{-1}(\Phi+T)(x) = \emptyset$  for any  $x \in \partial U$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $H_1 = G$ ,  $H_0 = F$  (here  $H_t(x) = H(x, t)$ ) and

$$\{x \in \bar{U} : (x, (L+T)^{-1}(\Phi+T)(x)) \cap H^*(x, t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}$$

is compact; here  $H^*(x, \lambda) = (x, (L+T)^{-1}(H+T)(x, \lambda))$ .

Let

$$D = \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, (L+T)^{-1}(\Phi+T)(x)) \cap H^*(x, t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

If  $D = \emptyset$  then in particular ( $H_0 = F$ ) note  $\emptyset = (x, (L+T)^{-1}(\Phi+T)(x)) \cap (x, (L+T)^{-1}(F+T)(x))$ , so  $F^*$  in  $d$ - $L$ - $\Phi$ -inessential. Next suppose  $D \neq \emptyset$ . Note  $D$  is compact and  $D \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ , so there exists a continuous map  $\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\mu(\partial U) = 0$  and  $\mu(D) = 1$ . Define a map  $R_\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^Y$  by  $R_\mu(x) = H(x, \mu(x)) = H_{\mu(x)}(x)$  and let  $R_\mu^* = I \times (L+T)^{-1}(R_\mu + T)$ . Notice  $R_\mu \in A(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ ,  $R_\mu|_{\partial U} = H_0|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$  since  $\mu(\partial U) =$

0, and  $R_\mu \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ . Also since  $\mu(D) = 1$  it is easy to see that  $(R_\mu^*)^{-1}(B) = (G^*)^{-1}(B)$ , so  $d\left((R_\mu^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$ . Also note  $R_\mu \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  (to see this let  $Q : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^Y$  be given by  $Q(x, t) = H(x, t\mu(x))$ ), so  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((R_\mu^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$ , and so  $F^*$  is  $d$ - $L$ - $\Phi$ -inessential.  $\square$

We have immediately the following result.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space,  $Y$  a topological vector space,  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ ,  $B = \{(x, (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x)) : x \in \bar{U}\}$ ,  $L : \text{dom } L \subseteq E \rightarrow Y$  a linear single valued map,  $T \in H_L(E, Y)$ ,  $d$  a map defined in (2.7) and assume (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) hold. Suppose  $J$  and  $\Psi$  are two maps in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $J^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1}(J + T)$  and  $\Psi^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1}(\Psi + T)$  and with  $J \cong \Psi$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ . Then  $J^*$  is  $d$ - $L$ - $\Phi$ -inessential if and only if  $\Psi^*$  is  $d$ - $L$ - $\Phi$ -inessential.*

*Remark 2.2.* If  $E$  is a normal topological vector space then the assumption that

$$\{x \in \bar{U} : (x, (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x)) \cap H^*(x, t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}$$

is compact, can be replaced by

$$\{x \in \bar{U} : (x, (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x)) \cap H^*(x, t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}$$

is closed, in Definition 2.7.

Next we discuss the situation when (2.3) is not assumed. To obtain an analogue of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 we change the definition of  $d$ - $\Phi$ -essential in Definition 2.4.

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  with  $F^* = I \times F$ . We say  $F^* : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^{\bar{U} \times E}$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -essential if for every map  $J \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  with  $J^* = I \times J$  and  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$  and  $J \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  we have that  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((J^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset)$ . Otherwise  $F^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential. It is immediate that this means either  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$  or there exists a map  $J \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  with  $J^* = I \times J$  and  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$  and  $J \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  such that  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left((J^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $E$  be a completely regular (respectively normal) topological space,  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ ,  $B = \{(x, \Phi(x)) : x \in \bar{U}\}$ ,  $d$  a map defined*

in (2.1) and assume (2.2) holds. Suppose  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  and assume the following condition holds:

$$(2.11) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if there exists a map } G \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E) \text{ with } G \cong F \text{ in} \\ A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E) \text{ and } d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \\ \text{with } G^* = I \times G, F^* = I \times F, \text{ and if } H \text{ is the map} \\ \text{defined in Definition 2.3 and } \mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is any} \\ \text{continuous map with } \mu(\partial U) = 0, \text{ then} \\ \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, \Phi(x)) \cap (x, H(x, t\mu(x))) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\} \\ \text{is closed.} \end{array} \right.$$

Then the following are equivalent:

- (i).  $F^* = I \times F : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^{\bar{U} \times E}$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential;
- (ii).  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$  or there exists a map  $G \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  with  $G^* = I \times G$  and  $G \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  such that  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$ .

PROOF: (i) implies (ii) is immediate. Next we prove (ii) implies (i). If  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$  then trivially (i) is true. Next suppose there exists a map  $G \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  with  $G^* = I \times G$  and  $G \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  such that  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$ . Let  $H : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^E$  with  $H(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) \in A(\bar{U}, E)$  for any continuous function  $\eta : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$ ,  $H_t(x) \cap \Phi(x) = \emptyset$  for any  $x \in \partial U$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $H_1 = G$ ,  $H_0 = F$  and

$$\{x \in \bar{U} : (x, \Phi(x)) \cap H^*(x, t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}$$

is compact (respectively closed); here  $H^*(x, t) = (x, H(x, t))$  and  $H_t(x) = H(x, t)$ . Consider

$$D = \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, \Phi(x)) \cap H^*(x, t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

If  $D = \emptyset$  then as in Theorem 2.1 we obtain immediately that  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$  i.e.  $F^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential. Next suppose  $D \neq \emptyset$ . Note  $D$  is compact (respectively closed). Also  $D \cap \partial U = \emptyset$  and there exists a continuous map  $\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\mu(\partial U) = 0$  and  $\mu(D) = 1$ . Define a map  $R_\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^E$  by  $R_\mu(x) = H(x, \mu(x)) = H_{\mu(x)}(x)$  and let  $R_\mu^* = I \times R_\mu$ . Note  $R_\mu \in A(\bar{U}, E)$ ,  $R_\mu|_{\partial U} = H_0|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$  since  $\mu(\partial U) = 0$ , and  $R_\mu \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ . Also since  $\mu(D) = 1$  we have (see Theorem 2.1)  $(R_\mu^*)^{-1}(B) = (G^*)^{-1}(B)$ , so  $d\left((R_\mu^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$ . Thus  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq$



$d\left(\left(R_\mu^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right)$ . Also note (as in Theorem 2.1)  $R_\mu \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  (to see this let  $Q : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^E$  be given by  $Q(x, t) = H(x, t\mu(x))$ ). Consequently  $F^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential (take  $J = R_\mu$  in Definition 2.9).  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $E$  be a completely regular (respectively normal) topological space,  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ ,  $B = \{(x, \Phi(x)) : x \in \bar{U}\}$ ,  $d$  a map defined in (2.1) and assume (2.2) and (2.11) hold. Suppose  $R$  and  $\Psi$  are two maps in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  with  $R^* = I \times R$  and  $\Psi^* = I \times \Psi$  and with  $R \cong \Psi$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ . Then  $R^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential if and only if  $\Psi^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential.*

PROOF: Assume  $R^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential.

Then (see Theorem 2.5) either  $d\left(\left(R^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$  or there exists a map  $Q \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  with  $Q^* = I \times Q$  and  $Q \cong R$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  such that  $d\left(\left(R^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left(\left(Q^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right)$ .

Suppose first that  $d\left(\left(R^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$ . There are two cases to consider, either  $d\left(\left(\Psi^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset)$  or  $d\left(\left(\Psi^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$ .

Case (1). Suppose  $d\left(\left(\Psi^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset)$ .

Then  $d\left(\left(R^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left(\left(\Psi^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right)$  and we know  $R \cong \Psi$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ . Now Theorem 2.5 (with  $F = \Psi$  and  $G = R$ ) guarantees that  $\Psi^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential.

Case (2). Suppose  $d\left(\left(\Psi^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$ .

Then by definition  $\Psi^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential.

Next suppose there exists a map  $Q \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  with  $Q^* = I \times Q$  and  $Q \cong R$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$  such that  $d\left(\left(R^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left(\left(Q^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right)$ . Note (since  $\cong$  is an equivalence relation in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ ) also that  $Q \cong \Psi$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ . There are two cases to consider, either  $d\left(\left(Q^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left(\left(\Psi^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right)$  or  $d\left(\left(Q^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left(\left(\Psi^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right)$ .

Case (1). Suppose  $d\left(\left(Q^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left(\left(\Psi^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right)$ .

Then Theorem 2.5 (with  $F = \Psi$  and  $G = Q$ ) guarantees that  $\Psi^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential.

Case (2). Suppose  $d\left(\left(Q^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left(\left(\Psi^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right)$ .

Then  $d\left(\left(R^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left(\left(\Psi^*\right)^{-1}(B)\right)$  and we know  $R \cong \Psi$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, E)$ . Now Theorem 2.5 (with  $F = \Psi$  and  $G = R$ ) guarantees that  $\Psi^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -

inessential.

Thus in all cases  $\Psi^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential.

Similarly if  $\Psi^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential then  $R^*$  is  $d$ - $\Phi$ -inessential.  $\square$

Next we discuss the situation when (2.9) is not assumed. To obtain an analogue of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 we change the definition of  $d$ - $L$ - $\Phi$ -essential in Definition 2.8.

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $F^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1} (F + T)$ . We say  $F^* : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^{\bar{U} \times E}$  is  $d$ - $L$ - $\Phi$ -essential if for every map  $J \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $J^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1} (J + T)$  and  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$  and  $J \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  we have that  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((J^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d(\emptyset)$ . Otherwise  $F^*$  is  $d$ - $L$ - $\Phi$ -inessential. It is immediate that this means either  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$  or there exists a map  $J \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $J^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1} (J + T)$  and  $J|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$  and  $J \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  such that  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left((J^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space,  $Y$  a topological vector space,  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ ,  $B = \{(x, (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x)) : x \in \bar{U}\}$ ,  $L : \text{dom } L \subseteq E \rightarrow Y$  a linear single valued map,  $T \in H_L(E, Y)$ ,  $d$  a map defined in (2.7) and assume (2.8) holds. Suppose  $F \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  and assume the following condition holds:

$$(2.12) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if there exists a map } G \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T) \text{ with } G \cong F \text{ in} \\ A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T) \text{ and } d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \text{ with} \\ G^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1} (G + T), F^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1} (F + T), \\ \text{and if } H \text{ is the map defined in Definition 2.7 and} \\ \mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is any continuous map with } \mu(\partial U) = 0, \text{ then} \\ \{x \in \bar{U} : \emptyset \neq (x, (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x)) \\ \cap (x, (L + T)^{-1}(H_{t\mu(x)} + T)(x)) \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\} \\ \text{is closed.} \end{array} \right.$$

Then the following are equivalent:

- (i).  $F^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1} (F + T) : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^{\bar{U} \times E}$  is  $d$ - $L$ - $\Phi$ -inessential;
- (ii).  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$  or there exists a map  $G \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $G^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1} (G + T)$  and  $G \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  such that  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$ .

PROOF: (i) implies (ii) is immediate. Next we prove (ii) implies (i). If

$d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$  then trivially (i) is true. Next suppose there exists a map  $G \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $G^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1}(G + T)$  and  $G \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  such that  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$ . Let  $H : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^Y$  be a map with  $(L + T)^{-1}(H(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) + T(\cdot)) \in A(\bar{U}, E)$  for any continuous function  $\eta : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\eta(\partial U) = 0$ ,  $(L + T)^{-1}(H_t + T)(x) \cap (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x) = \emptyset$  for any  $x \in \partial U$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $H_1 = G$ ,  $H_0 = F$  (here  $H_t(x) = H(x, t)$ ) and

$$\{x \in \bar{U} : (x, (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x)) \cap H^*(x, t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}$$

is compact; here  $H^*(x, \lambda) = (x, (L + T)^{-1}(H + T)(x, \lambda))$ .

Let

$$D = \{x \in \bar{U} : (x, (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x)) \cap H^*(x, t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

If  $D = \emptyset$  then as in Theorem 2.3 we have  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d(\emptyset)$  so  $F^*$  in  $d$ - $L$ - $\Phi$ -inessential. Next suppose  $D \neq \emptyset$ . Note  $D$  is compact and  $D \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ , so there exists a continuous map  $\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\mu(\partial U) = 0$  and  $\mu(D) = 1$ . Define a map  $R_\mu : \bar{U} \rightarrow 2^Y$  by  $R_\mu(x) = H(x, \mu(x)) = H_{\mu(x)}(x)$  and let  $R_\mu^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1}(R_\mu + T)$ . Notice  $R_\mu \in A(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ ,  $R_\mu|_{\partial U} = H_0|_{\partial U} = F|_{\partial U}$  since  $\mu(\partial U) = 0$ , and  $R_\mu \in A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ . Also since  $\mu(D) = 1$  we have  $(R_\mu^*)^{-1}(B) = (G^*)^{-1}(B)$ , so  $d\left((R_\mu^*)^{-1}(B)\right) = d\left((G^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$ . Thus  $d\left((F^*)^{-1}(B)\right) \neq d\left((R_\mu^*)^{-1}(B)\right)$ . Also note  $R_\mu \cong F$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  (to see this let  $Q : \bar{U} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^Y$  be given by  $Q(x, t) = H(x, t\mu(x))$ ). Consequently  $F^*$  is  $d$ - $L$ - $\Phi$ -inessential.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space,  $Y$  a topological vector space,  $U$  an open subset of  $E$ ,  $B = \{(x, (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x)) : x \in \bar{U}\}$ ,  $L : \text{dom } L \subseteq E \rightarrow Y$  a linear single valued map,  $T \in H_L(E, Y)$ ,  $d$  a map defined in (2.7) and assume (2.8), and (2.12) hold. Suppose  $R$  and  $\Psi$  are two maps in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$  with  $R^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1}(R + T)$  and  $\Psi^* = I \times (L + T)^{-1}(\Psi + T)$  and with  $R \cong \Psi$  in  $A_{\partial U}(\bar{U}, Y; L, T)$ . Then  $R^*$  is  $d$ - $L$ - $\Phi$ -inessential if and only if  $\Psi^*$  is  $d$ - $L$ - $\Phi$ -inessential.*

*Remark 2.3.* If  $E$  is a normal topological vector space then the assumption that

$$\{x \in \bar{U} : (x, (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x)) \cap H^*(x, t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}$$

is compact, can be replaced by

$$\{x \in \bar{U} : (x, (L + T)^{-1}(\Phi + T)(x)) \cap H^*(x, t) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}$$

is closed, in Definition 2.7.

## References

- [1]. L. Gorniewicz, Topological fixed point theory of multivalued mappings, *Kluwer Acad. Publishers*, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [2]. A. Granas and J. Dugundji, Fixed Point Theory, *Springer-Verlag*, New York, 2003.
- [3]. D. O'Regan, A unified theory for homotopy principles for multimaps, *Applicable Analysis*, **92**(2013), 1944–1958.
- [4]. D. O'Regan, Continuation principles based on essential maps and topological degree, *Applicable Analysis*, **94**(2015), 1032–1041.
- [5]. D. O'Regan, Generalized coincidence theory for set-valued maps, *Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications*, **10**(2017), 855–864.
- [6]. D.O'Regan and R. Precup, Theorems of Leray-Schauder Type and Applications, *Taylor and Francis Publishers*, London, 2002.
- [7]. R. Precup, On the topological transversality principle, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **20**(1993), 1–9.

Donal O'Regan,  
 School of Mathematics, Statistics and Applied Mathematics  
 National University of Ireland, Galway  
 Ireland.  
 Email: donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie