



A Fixed Point in Partial S_b -Metric Spaces

Nizar Souayah

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce an interesting extension of the partial b -metric spaces called partial S_b -metric spaces, and we show the existence of fixed point for a self mapping defined on such spaces.

1 Introduction

There exist many generalizations of the concept of metric spaces in the literature. Several papers have been published on the fixed point theory in S -metric spaces [7], [8], [9], [13], and [14]. Also, fixed point results in b -metric spaces were also studied by many authors [1], [2], [3], [4], [5] and [15].

In this work, we consider a new concept of S -metric spaces called partial S_b -metric spaces, which is an extension of the S -metric spaces, by allowing the self distance to be different from zero. We extend the results obtained by Shukla [15] in partial b -metric spaces, and we prove theorems for some contractive type mapping.

First we would like to point out three errors in the proof of Theorem 1 (on page 5) in [15]. The equation $b(Fz, Fx_l) = \lambda^{n_0} b(z, x_l)$ must be an inequality. Also, the inequality $b(Fz, x_l) \leq s[b(Fz, Fx_l) + b(Fx_l, x_l)] - b(x_l, x_l)$, should instead be written as $b(Fz, x_l) \leq s[b(Fz, Fx_l) + b(Fx_l, x_l)] - b(Fx_l, Fx_l)$. The author used a wrong argument to show that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence by mentioning that since $x_n \in B[x_l, \frac{\epsilon}{2}]$ and $x_m \in B[x_l, \frac{\epsilon}{2}]$, then $b(x_n, x_m) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + b(x_l, x_l)$ for all $n, m > l$. We suggest using the contraction principle after

Key Words: functional analysis, partial S_b -metric space, fixed point.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54H25, 47H10.
Received: 09.02.2016
Accepted: 16.02.2016

showing that $Fz \in B[x_l, \frac{\epsilon}{2}]$.

Let us recall the definitions of the b -metric spaces and the partial b -metric spaces.

Definition 1.1. [2] Let X be a nonempty set. A b -metric on X is a function $d : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ if there exists a real number $s \geq 1$ such that the following conditions hold for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
- (iii) $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$.

The pair (X, d) is called a b -metric space.

Definition 1.2. [15] A partial b -metric on a nonempty set X is a function $b : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (i) $x = y$ if and only if $b(x, x) = b(x, y) = b(y, y)$
- (ii) $b(x, x) \leq b(x, y)$
- (iii) $b(x, y) = b(y, x)$
- (iv) there exists a real number $s \geq 1$ such that $b(x, y) \leq s[b(x, z) + b(z, y)] - b(z, z)$.

The partial b -metric space is a pair (X, b) such that X is a nonempty set and b is a partial b -metric on X .

Definition 1.3. A partial S_b -metric on a empty set X is a function $S_b : X^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for all $x, y, z, t \in X$:

- (i) $x = y = z$ if and only if $S_b(x, x, x) = S_b(y, y, y) = S_b(z, z, z) = S_b(x, y, z)$
- (ii) $S_b(x, x, x) \leq S_b(x, y, z)$
- (iii) $S_b(x, x, y) = S_b(y, y, x)$
- (iv) there exists $s \geq 1$ such that

$$S_b(x, y, z) \leq s [S_b(x, x, t) + S_b(y, y, t) + S_b(z, z, t)] - S_b(t, t, t).$$

(X, S_b) is then called a partial S_b -metric space.

Definition 1.4. Let (X, S_b) be a partial S_b -metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then:

1. $\{x_n\}$ is called convergent if and only if there exists $z \in X$ such that $S_b(x_n, x_n, z) \rightarrow S_b(z, z, z)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
2. $\{x_n\}$ is said to be Cauchy sequence in (X, S_b) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m)$ exists and finite.
3. (X, S_b) is a complete partial S_b -metric space if for every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ there exists $x \in X$ such that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, x) = S_b(x, x, x).$$

Now, we give an example of a partial S_b -metric space that is not a partial S -metric space.

Example 1.5. Let $X = \mathbb{R}_+$, and $p > 1$ be a constant and $S_b : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by $S_b(x, y, z) = [\max\{x, y\}]^p + |\max\{x, y\} - z|^p$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then (X, S_b) is a partial S_b -metric space with coefficient $s = 2p > 1$, but it is not a partial S -metric space. Indeed, for $x = 5, y = 2, z = 1, t = 4$ we have $S_b(x, y, z) = 5^p + 4^p$ and $S_b(x, x, t) + S_b(y, y, t) + S_b(z, z, t) - S_b(t, t, t) = 5^p + 1 + 3^p + 1 + 1 + 3^p - 4^p = 5^p + 2 \times 3^p + 3 - 4^p$, hence $S_b(x, y, z) > S_b(x, x, t) + S_b(y, y, t) + S_b(z, z, t) - S_b(t, t, t)$ for all $p > 1$; therefore, S_b is not a partial S -metric on X .

2 Main result

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, S_b) be a complete partial S_b -metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following condition:

$$S_b(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq \lambda S_b(x, y, z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X, \quad \lambda \in [0, 1). \quad (2.1)$$

Then, T has a unique fixed point $u \in X$ and $S_b(u, u, u) = 0$.

Proof. Let's start by proving the uniqueness of the fixed point. Let $u, v \in X$ be two distinct fixed point of T , that is, $Tu = u$ and $Tv = v$. We have

$$S_b(u, u, v) = S_b(Tu, Tu, Tv) \leq \lambda S_b(u, u, v) < S_b(u, u, v).$$

So, we must have $S_b(u, u, v) = 0 \implies u = v$. Therefore, if T has a fixed point, then it is unique.

Let prove that $S_b(u, u, u) = 0$.

Suppose that $S_b(u, u, u) > 0$. From equation (2.1),

$$S_b(u, u, u) = S_b(Tu, Tu, Tu) \leq \lambda S_b(u, u, u) < S_b(u, u, u),$$

which leads to a contradiction, then $S_b(u, u, u) = 0$.

For the existence of fixed point, since $\lambda \in [0, 1)$, we can choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for given $0 < \epsilon < 1$, we have

$$\lambda^{n_0} < \frac{\epsilon}{8s}. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $T^{n_0} \equiv F$ and $Fx_0^k = x_k \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $x_0 \in X$ is arbitrary. Then, $\forall x, y \in X$ we have

$$S_b(Fx, Fy, Fz) = S_b(T^{n_0}x, T^{n_0}y, T^{n_0}z) \leq \lambda^{n_0} S_b(x, y, z).$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(x_{k+1}, x_{k+1}, x_k) &= S_b(Fx_k, Fx_k, Fx_{k-1}) \leq \lambda^{n_0} S_b(x_k, x_k, x_{k-1}) \\ &\leq \lambda^{n_0} k S_b(x_1, x_1, x_0) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can choose $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S_b(x_{l+1}, x_{l+1}, x_l) < \frac{\epsilon}{8s} \cdot (*)$

Let's define the ball

$$B_b(x_l, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) := \{y \in X / S_b(x_l, x_l, y) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + S_b(x_l, x_l, x_l)\} \quad (2.3)$$

Now, we shall show that F maps $B_b(x_l, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ into itself.

We have $B_b(x_l, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \neq \emptyset$ since $x_l \in B_b(x_l, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$. Let $x_z \in B_b(x_l, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(Fx_z, Fx_z, Fx_l) &\leq \lambda^{n_0} S_b(x_z, x_z, x_l) \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{8s} S_b(x_z, x_z, x_l) \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{8s} [\frac{\epsilon}{2} + S_b(x_l, x_l, x_l)] \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{8s} [1 + S_b(x_l, x_l, x_l)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Using the definition of the partial S_b -metric space, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_b(Fx_z, Fx_l, Fx_l) &\leq s[S_b(Fx_z, Fx_z, Fx_l) + S_b(Fx_l, Fx_l, Fx_l) + S_b(Fx_l, Fx_l, Fx_l)] \\
 &\quad - S_b(Fx_l, Fx_l, Fx_l) \\
 &\leq s\left[\frac{\epsilon}{8s}(1 + S_b(x_l, x_l, x_l)) + 2S_b(x_l, x_l, Fx_l)\right] \\
 &\leq s\left[\frac{\epsilon}{8s}(1 + S_b(x_l, x_l, x_l)) + 2S_b(x_l, x_l, x_{l+1})\right] \\
 &\leq s\left[\frac{\epsilon}{8s}(1 + S_b(x_l, x_l, x_l)) + 2\frac{\epsilon}{8s}\right] \\
 &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{8} + \frac{\epsilon}{8}S_b(x_l, x_l, x_l) + \frac{\epsilon}{4} \\
 &\leq \frac{3\epsilon}{8} + \frac{\epsilon}{8}S_b(x_l, x_l, x_l) \\
 &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + S_b(x_l, x_l, x_l).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, $Fx_z \in B_b(x_l, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$. Thus F maps $B_b(x_l, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ to itself. We note that $x_l \in B_b(x_l, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$, therefore $Fx_l \in B_b(x_l, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$. By repeating this process, we obtain $F^n x_l \in B_b(x_l, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, that is $x_m \in B_b(x_l, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \forall m \geq l$. Therefore, we obtain for all $m > n \geq l$; let $n = l + i \implies i = n - l$

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) &= S_b(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{m-1}) \\
 &\leq \lambda S_b(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_{m-1}) \\
 &\leq \lambda^2 S_b(x_{n-2}, x_{n-2}, x_{m-2}) \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\leq \lambda^i S_b(x_l, x_l, x_{m-l}) \\
 &< S_b(x_l, x_l, x_{m-l}) \\
 &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + S_b(x_l, x_l, x_l).
 \end{aligned}$$

But, $S_b(x_l, x_l, x_l) < S_b(x_l, x_l, x_{l+1}) < \frac{\epsilon}{8s}$.

Hence,

$$S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{8s} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

Thus, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Since X is a complete partial S_b -metric sapce, there exists $u \in X$ such that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) = S_b(u, u, u) = 0.$$

Let's prove that u is a fixed point of T . For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_b(u, u, Tu) &\leq s[S_b(u, u, x_{n+1}) + S_b(u, u, x_{n+1}) + S_b(Tu, Tu, x_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad - S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq s[2S_b(u, u, x_{n+1}) + S_b(Tu, Tu, Tx_n)] \\
 &\leq s[2S_b(u, u, x_{n+1}) + S_b(Tu, Tu, Tx_n)] \\
 &\leq s[2S_b(u, u, x_{n+1}) + \lambda S_b(u, u, x_n)] \\
 &\leq (2sS_b(u, u, x_{n+1}) + s\lambda S_b(u, u, x_n)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $S_b(u, u, Tu) = 0$, that is $Tu = u$. Hence, u is a unique fixed point of T .
 \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let (X, S_b) be a complete partial S_b -metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following condition:*

$$S_b(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq \lambda[S_b(x, x, Tx) + S_b(y, y, Ty) + S_b(z, z, Tz)] \quad \forall x, y, z \in X. \quad (2.5)$$

where $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{3})$, $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{3s}$. Then, T has a unique fixed point $u \in X$ and $S_b(u, u, u) = 0$.

Proof. We first prove the uniqueness of the fixed point of T if it has. We must show that, if $u \in X$ is a fixed point of T , that is $Tu = u$ then $S_b(u, u, u) = 0$.

From (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_b(u, u, u) = S_b(Tu, Tu, Tu) &\leq \lambda[S_b(u, u, Tu) + S_b(u, u, Tu) + S_b(u, u, Tu)] \\
 &= 3\lambda S_b(u, u, Tu) \text{ since } \lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{3}), \text{ we have} \\
 &< S_b(u, u, u),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that we must have $S_b(u, u, u) = 0$

Suppose $u, v \in X$ be two fixed point, that is $Tu = u$ and $Tv = v$. Then we have $S_b(u, u, u) = S_b(v, v, v) = 0$.

Equation (2.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_b(u, u, v) &= S_b(Tu, Tu, Tv) \\
 &\leq \lambda[S_b(u, u, Tu) + S_b(u, u, Tu) + S_b(v, v, Tv)] \\
 &= 2\lambda S_b(u, u, u) + \lambda S_b(v, v, v) \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $u = v$. Thereby, the uniqueness of the fixed point if it exists.

For the existence of the fixed point, let $x_0 \in X$ arbitrary, set $x_n = T^n x_0$ and $S_{b_n} = S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})$. We can assume $S_{b_n} > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ otherwise x_n is a fixed point of T for at least one $n \geq 0$. For all n , we obtain from (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} S_{b_n} &= S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) = S_b(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \lambda[2S_b(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + S_b(x_n, x_n, Tx_n)] \\ &= \lambda[2S_b(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n) + S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})] \\ &= \lambda[2S_{b_{n-1}} + S_{b_n}]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(1 - \lambda)S_{b_n} \leq 2\lambda S_{b_{n-1}}$. Thus

$$S_{b_n} \leq \frac{2\lambda}{1 - \lambda} S_{b_{n-1}}, \quad \lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{3}). \quad (2.6)$$

Let $\beta = \frac{2\lambda}{1 - \lambda} < 1$. By repeating this process we obtain

$$S_{b_n} \leq \beta^n b_0.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{b_n} = 0$. Let prove that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. It follows from (2.5) that for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) &= S_b(T^n x_0, T^n x_0, T^m x_0) \\ &= S_b(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{m-1}) \\ &\leq \lambda[2S_b(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + S_b(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}, Tx_{m-1})] \\ &= \lambda[2S_b(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n) + S_b(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}, x_m)] \\ &= \lambda[2S_{b_{n-1}} + S_{b_{m-1}}]. \end{aligned}$$

So, for every $\epsilon > 0$, as $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{b_n} = 0$, we can find $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S_{b_{n-1}} < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ and $S_{b_{m-1}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for all $n, m > n_0$. Then, we obtain $2S_{b_{n-1}} + S_{b_{m-1}} \leq 2\frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$.

As $\lambda < 1$ it follows that $S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon \forall n, m > n_0$.

Thus, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) = 0$.

By completeness of X , there exists $u \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, u) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, u) = S_b(u, u, u) = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Now, we shall prove that $Tu = u$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(u, u, Tu) &\leq s[2S_b(u, u, x_{n+1}) + S_b(Tu, Tu, x_{n+1})] - S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq s[2S_b(u, u, x_{n+1}) + S_b(Tu, Tu, Tx_n)] \\ &\leq s[2S_b(u, u, x_{n+1}) + \lambda[2S_b(u, u, Tu) + S_b(x_n, x_n, Tx_n)]]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(1 - 2s\lambda)S_b(u, u, Tu) \leq 2sS_b(u, u, x_{n+1}) + s\lambda S_b(x_n, x_n, Tx_n)$ giving

$$S_b(u, u, Tu) \leq \frac{2s}{1 - 2s\lambda} S_b(u, u, x_{n+1}) + \frac{s\lambda}{1 - 2s\lambda} S_b(x_n, x_n, Tx_n).$$

Since $S_b(x_n, x_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow S_b(u, u, Tu)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(u, u, Tu) &\leq \frac{2s}{1 - 2s\lambda} S_b(u, u, x_{n+1}) + \frac{s\lambda}{1 - 2s\lambda} S_b(u, u, Tu) \\ (1 - \frac{s\lambda}{1 - 2s\lambda}) S_b(u, u, Tu) &\leq \frac{2s}{1 - 2s\lambda} S_b(u, u, x_{n+1}) \\ S_b(u, u, Tu) &\leq \frac{2s}{1 - 3s\lambda} S_b(u, u, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

As $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{3s}$ and from (2.7), we obtain $S_b(u, u, Tu) = 0$ and then $Tu = u$. \square

Theorem 2.3. Let (X, S_b) be a complete partial S_b -metric space with coefficient $s > 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following condition:

$$S_b(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq \lambda \max[S_b(x, y, z), S_b(x, x, Tx), S_b(y, y, Ty), S_b(z, z, Tz)] \quad \forall x, y, z \in X. \quad (2.8)$$

where $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2s})$. Then, T has a unique fixed point $u \in X$ and $S_b(u, u, u) = 0$.

Proof. Let us prove that if a fixed point of T exists, then it is unique. Let $u, v \in X$ be two fixed points of T , $u \neq v$, that is $Tu = u$ and $Tv = v$. It follows from (2.8):

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(u, u, v) &= S_b(Tu, Tu, Tv) \leq \lambda \max[S_b(u, u, v), S_b(u, u, Tu), S_b(u, u, Tu), S_b(v, v, Tv)] \\ &= \lambda \max[S_b(u, u, v), S_b(u, u, u), S_b(v, v, v)] \\ &= \lambda S_b(u, u, v) \\ &< S_b(u, u, v) \text{ since } \lambda < 1. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain $S_b(u, u, v) < S_b(u, u, v)$ which gives $S_b(u, u, v) = 0$, then $u = v$. Therefore, if a fixed point of T exists, then it is unique.

Let $x_0 \in X$ and define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n \forall n \geq 0$. For any n , we obtain from (2.8)

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) &= S_b(Tx_n, Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq \lambda \max[S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}), S_b(x_n, x_n, Tx_n), S_b(x_n, x_n, Tx_n), S_b(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})] \\ &= \lambda \max[S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}), S_b(x_n, x_n, Tx_n), S_b(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $S_b(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) = S_b(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n)$ and by symmetry we have $S_b(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n) = S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1})$, thus

$$S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \lambda \max[S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}), S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})].$$

If $\max[S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}), S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})] = S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) &\leq \lambda S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &= \lambda S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ &< S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) \text{ absurd.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\max[S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}), S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})] = S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1})$ and

$$S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \lambda S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}), \quad (2.9)$$

that is

$$S_b(Tx_n, Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) \leq \lambda S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}). \quad (2.10)$$

By repeating this process, we obtain

$$S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \lambda^n S_b(x_1, x_1, x_0). \quad (2.11)$$

For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m > n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) &\leq s[S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S_b(x_m, x_m, x_{n+1})] \\
&\quad - S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \\
&\leq 2sS_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + sS_b(x_m, x_m, x_{n+1}) \\
&\leq 2sS_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + s \left[s \left(S_b(x_m, x_m, x_{n+2}) + S_b(x_m, x_m, x_{n+2}) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \right) - S_b(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}) \right] \\
&\leq 2sS_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + s \left[s(2S_b(x_m, x_m, x_{n+2}) + S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) \right] \\
&\leq 2sS_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2 S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + 2s^2 S_b(x_m, x_m, x_{n+2}) \\
&\leq 2sS_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2 S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + 2s^2 [s(2S_b(x_m, x_m, x_{n+3}) + \\
&\quad + S_b(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}))] \\
&\leq 2sS_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2 S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + 2s^3 S_b(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) \\
&\quad + 2^2 s^3 S_b(x_m, x_m, x_{n+3}) \\
&\leq 2sS_b(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2 S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + \\
&\quad + 2^{m-n-2} s^{m-n} S_b(x_m, x_m, x_{m-1}). \\
&= 2sS_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) + s^2 S_b(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) + \dots + \\
&\quad + 2^{m-n-2} s^{m-n} S_b(x_m, x_m, x_{m-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using (2.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) &\leq 2s\lambda^n S_b(x_1, x_1, x_0) + s^2\lambda^{n+1} S_b(x_1, x_1, x_0) + 2s^3\lambda^{n+2} S_b(x_1, x_1, x_0) + \dots + \\
&\quad + 2^{m-n-2} s^{m-n} \lambda^{m-1} S_b(x_1, x_1, x_0) \\
&\leq s\lambda^n [2 + s\lambda + 2s^2\lambda^2 + 2s^3\lambda^3 + \dots + 2^{m-n-2} s^{m-n-1} \lambda^{m-n-1}] S_b(x_1, x_1, x_0) \\
&\leq 2s\lambda^n \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} s\lambda + s^2\lambda^2 + s^3\lambda^3 + \dots + 2^{m-n-3} s^{m-n-1} \lambda^{m-n-1} \right] S_b(x_1, x_1, x_0) \\
&< 2s\lambda^n [1 + 2s\lambda + (2s\lambda)^2 + (2s\lambda)^3 + \dots + (2s\lambda)^{m-n-1}] S_b(x_1, x_1, x_0) \\
&\leq 2s\lambda^n \frac{1 - (2s\lambda)^{m-n}}{1 - 2s\lambda} S_b(x_1, x_1, x_0) \\
&< 2s\lambda^n \frac{1}{1 - 2s\lambda} S_b(x_1, x_1, x_0) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) = 0$.

Thus, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is a complete partial metric

space, then there exists $u \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) = S_b(u, u, u) = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Let's prove that u is a fixed point of T . $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(u, u, Tu) &\leq s[2S_b(u, u, x_{n+1}) + S_b(Tu, Tu, x_{n+1})] - S_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq s[2S_b(u, u, x_{n+1}) + S_b(Tu, Tu, Tx_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.10), we obtain $S_b(Tu, Tu, Tx_n) \leq \lambda S_b(u, u, x_n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(u, u, Tu) &\leq 2sS_b(u, u, x_{n+1}) + s\lambda S_b(u, u, x_n) \\ &= 2sS_b(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, u) + s\lambda S_b(x_n, x_n, u). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.12) in the above inequality, we obtain $S_b(u, u, Tu) = 0$, then $Tu = u$. Therefore, u is a fixed point of T and it is unique. \square

Acknowledgement. This project was supported by King Saud University, Deanship of Scientific Research, Community College Research Unit.

References

- [1] T. Abellawad, K. Abodayeh, N. Mlaiki, *On fixed point generalizations to partial b-metric spaces*, Journal of Computational Analysis & Applications **19** (2015), 883-891.
- [2] I.A. Bakhtin, *The contraction principle in quasimetric spaces*, Func. An., Ulianowsk, Gos. Ped. Ins. **30** (1989), 26-37.
- [3] M. Bota, A. Molnar, C. Varga, *On Ekeland's variational principle in b-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory **12** (2011), 21-28.
- [4] S. Czerwik, *Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis **1** (1993), 5-11.
- [5] M. Kir, H. Kiziltunc, *On Some Well Known Fixed Point Theorems in b-Metric Spaces*, Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory **1** (2013), 13-16.
- [6] C. Li, R.P. Agarwal, C.-L. Tang, *Infinitely many periodic solutions for ordinary p-Laplacian systems*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. **4** (2015), 251-261.
- [7] N. Mlaiki, *α - ψ -Contractive Mapping on S-Metric Space*, Mathematical Sciences Letters **4** (2015), 9-12.

- [8] N. Mlaiki, *Common fixed points in complex S -metric space*, Advances in Fixed Point Theory **4** (2014), 509–524.
- [9] N. Mlaiki, *A contraction principle in partial S -metric space*, Universal Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences **5** (2014), 109–119.
- [10] R. Precup, *Nash-type equilibria and periodic solutions to nonvariational systems*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. **3** (2014), no. 4, 197–207.
- [11] D. Repovš, *A two-parameter control for contractive-like multivalued mappings*, Topology Appl. **159** (2012), no. 7, 1899–1905.
- [12] D. Repovš, P.V. Semenov, *Continuous Selections of Multivalued Mappings*, Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 455, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998.
- [13] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, A. Aliouche, *A generalization of fixed point theorems in S -metric spaces*, Mat. Vesnik. **64** (2012), 258–266.
- [14] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, *A Common unique random fixed point theorems in S -metric spaces*, Journal of Prime Research in Mathematics **7** (2011), 25–34.
- [15] S. Shukla, *Partial b -Metric Spaces and Fixed Point Theorems*, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics **11** (2014), 703–711.

Nizar Souayah
Department of Natural Sciences, Community College of Riyadh,
King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
E-mail: nizar.souayah@yahoo.fr