# VERSITA 10.2478/v10310-012-0027-0 Ovidius University Annals of Chemistry Volume 23, Number 2, pp.163-166, 2012

# Quality control of distilled beverages

## Alina SOCEANU, Simona DOBRINAS\*, Viorica POPESCU and Florian MOISE

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Ovidius University of Constanta, 124 Mamaia Blvd, 900527 Constanta, Romania

# Abstract

The aim of this research is to characterize some distilled drinks commercially available on the market (brandy, gin, vodka, whiskey) and some distilled beverages provided by private manufacturers (palinca made in copper, aluminum and stainless steel distillation apparatus). For this purpose the alcoholic concentration, the dry extract, the total acidity, the ash content and copper level were determined. The alcoholic concentration was measured using the picnometer method and the copper content was determined by UV-VIS molecular absorption spectrometric method. This study shows that the distilled beverages commercially available on the market can be discriminated from palinca, a homemade brandy using the differences between the obtained results.

Keywords: brandy, gin, vodka, whiskey, palinca, copper, UV-VIS

#### **1. Introduction**

Commercial distilled spirits constitute a complex mixture of some hundreds of flavor compounds in an ethanol–water matrix. Each compound has a flavor contribution that is determined by both its concentration and its unique sensory threshold value. This means that levels of the abundant compounds cannot be easily linked to perceived flavor, as the odor impact of low threshold trace compounds can often be more dominant [1].

Natural distilled alcoholic beverages could be obtained by alcoholic fermentation of fruit pulps or fermentation of wine's residues.

Several analytical methods for characterization of distilled drinks, such as liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry followed by NMR spectroscopy [2], gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry [3] and capillary electrophoresis [4] have been published. Also, UV-VIS spectrometric methods were used for characterization of distilled drinks [5], while fluorescence spectroscopy offers a promising approach for the characterization of distillates [6].

The aim of this study was to characterize some commercially distilled drinks available on the market (brandy, gin, vodka, whiskey) and some distilled beverages provided by private manufacturers (palinca made in copper, aluminum and stainless steel distillation apparatus). For this purpose the alcoholic concentration, the dry extract, the total acidity, the ash and copper content were determined.

# 2. Experimental

## 2.1. Samples

The studied samples were some commercially distilled drinks available on the market (brandy, gin, vodka, whiskey) and some distilled beverages provided by private manufacturers (palinca made in copper, aluminum and stainless steel distillation apparatus). Palinca is a homemade brandy.

#### 2.2. Sample analysis

The alcoholic concentration was measured using the picnometer method. For the calculation of alcoholic concentration the relative density was determined and correlated with the alcoholic concentration values at 20°C expressed in % vol from tables.

The total dry extract or dry matter means all substances which, under given physical conditions, do not volatilize. Knowledge of dry extract of alcoholic beverages is important in commercial terms and in terms of counterfeiting when suspected counterfeit product with water, alcohol or sugar. The extract of the samples was obtained by evaporation of 5 mL sample to dryness in a sand bath. After evaporation capsule is inserted into the desiccator for 30 minutes to cool and then the residue is weighed on analytical balance.

The total acidity was determined by titration with NaOH in presence of phenolphtaleine after the removing of the  $CO_2$ .

Determination of ash can be useful in detecting counterfeiting with sugar. Samples evaporated to dryness, were calcinated at 600°C for three hours. After that the samples were cooled 30 minutes in the desiccator and then weighed on analytical balance.

To determinate the copper concentration in studied samples, the standard addition method was used. Two solutions A and B have been prepared: solution A containing only unknown sample and solution B unknown sample and a measured volume of standard solution of Cu (II).

## Solution A:

- in a 50mL volumetric flask were added 5 mL of studied sample, 2.5 mL ammonia and were diluted to volume with distilled water;

- the absorbance was read at 600 nm on DR 2000 spectrometer reporting to a reference solution (distilled water).

#### Solution B:

- in another flask were added 5mL sample solution, 2mL standard Cu (II) reagent and then were added to solution A in the presented order;

- the absorbance was read at 600 nm on DR 2000 spectrometer reporting to a reference solution (distilled water).

## 3. Results and discussions

The alcoholic concentration, the dry extract, the total acidity, the ash and copper content for some commercially distilled drinks available on the market (brandy, gin, vodka, whiskey) and some distilled beverages provided by private manufacturers (palinca made in copper, aluminum and stainless steel distillation apparatus) were determined.

The results are presented in Tables 1-4.

Palinca made in copper boiler has the higher alcoholic concentration (51.73% vol.) than other analyzed distilled drinks (table 1).

Some values of alcoholic concentration for distilled drinks do not ranged in the limits imposed by the Law of vineyard and wine [7] for the Romanian distilled wine (minimum 36% vol.) and by SR 13442 [8].

 Table 1. The values of alcoholic concentration in analyzed samples

Distilled drinks	Alcoholic concentration (% vol.)
Palinca stainless steel	24.58
distillation apparatus	
Palinca aluminum	30.02
distillation apparatus	
Palinca copper	51.73
distillation apparatus	
Palinca from market	38.65
Whiskey	26.99
Brandy	31.96
Vodka	27.99
Gin	40.60

Also, the Brandy do not respect the French normative which stipulate that the cognac has a minimum alcoholic concentration of 40% vol. when it's sold on the market [9].

For whiskey, the information provided by the manufacturer does not match with the experimental value, the difference being about 13 percent.

The highest value for extract was recorded (see table 2) for gin sample, indicating a possible forgery. Brandy (natural drink obtained from wine by distillation and aging) has a fairly large amount of extract (1.14 g/L). The extract of this drink coming from wood barrels in which aging was performed

and hence it varies in direct proportion to the period of aging. The higher extract value for market palinca is due to the addition of sugar, which is further specified on the label.

<b>Table 2</b> . The values of extract in analyzed sa	mples
---	-------

Distilled drinks	Extract (g /L)
Palinca stainless steel	0.42
distillation apparatus	
Palinca aluminum	0.24
distillation apparatus	
Palinca copper	0.66
distillation apparatus	
Palinca from market	1.12
Whiskey	0.58
Brandy	1.14
Vodka	0.80
Gin	2.80

From table 3 there was observed that the lowest value of total acidity was registered for market palinca (0.03mg/mL).

Whiskey and brandy could not be analyzed because of their color that interferes with the development of pink color.

 Table 3. The values of total acidity in analyzed samples

	Total acidity		
Distilled drinks	(mg acetic acid /100 ml		
	ethylic alcohol anhydrous)		
Palinca stainless steel	0.175		
distillation apparatus			
Palinca aluminum	0.306		
distillation apparatus			
Palinca copper	0.042		
distillation apparatus			
Palinca from market	0.030		
Vodka	0.007		
Gin	0.020		

During the first 3 years of ageing process the total acidity of distilled drinks increases, it decreases during the next six months and then increases again, as reported in literature [10].

The mineral substances contained in alcoholic beverages are assessed by weighing the residue distilled from calcination of samples at high temperatures. Determination of ash is useful in detecting counterfeit sugar.

The values for ash and copper content of studied samples are presented in table 4

Table 4.	The	values	of	ash	and	copper	content	in
analyzed	samp	les						

	Ash	Copper
<b>Distilled drinks</b>	content	Content
	[%]	[mg/L]
Palinca stainless steel	0.0207	0.017
distillation apparatus		
Palinca aluminum	0.0480	0.017
distillation apparatus		
Palinca copper	0.0520	0.098
distillation apparatus		
Palinca from market	0.0421	0.026
Whiskey	0.0565	0.087
Brandy	0.0479	0.050
Vodka	0.0845	0.008
Gin	0.0477	0.017

One of the sources that can explain the copper presence in distilled liquors is the distillation apparatus. The samples were treated with sulfur dioxide, which is responsible for increasing copper concentration, leading to the deteriorating of the quality of distillate. The high value for palinca sample obtained in copper boiler is representative due to specific plant. In gin case, the value may reflect a treatment with sulfur dioxide. Copper concentrations reported for samples 1 and 2 (0.017 mg/L) lead to the conclusion that distillation process took place in stainless steel and not copper distillation apparatus, as expected. Research confirmed the conclusion of renowned manufacturers of distillates, obtained by long practice and careful observation: the essential role of copper in obtaining fine distillates, of very good quality.

# 4. Conclusions

Not all alcohol concentrations analyzed corresponds to the label's information.

For whiskey, the information provided by the manufacturer regarding alcoholic concentration does not match with the experimental value, the difference being about 13 percent.

The lowest value of total acidity was registered for market palinca (0.03mg/mL).

The high value for palinca sample obtained in copper distillation apparatus is representative due to the specificity of the plant.

## 5. References

\* E-mail address: sdobrinas@univ-ovidius.ro

[1]. K. N. Namara, R. Leardi and A. Sabuneti, Analytical Chimica Acta **542**, 260 (2005)

[2] J.L. Puech, C. Mertz, V. Michon, C. Le Guerneve, T. Doco and C. Herve du Penhoat, J. Agric. Food Chem. **47**, 2060 (1999)

[3] J. Ledauphin, J.F. Saint-Clair, O. Lablanquie, H. Guichard, N. Founier, E. Guichard and D. Barillier, J. Agric. Food Chem **52**, 5124 (2004)

[4] N. Oztechin and F.B. Erim, Turk J Chem **25**, 145 (2001)

[5] M. Schwarz, M.C. Rodríguez, C. Martínez, V. Bosquet, D. Guillén and C. G.Barroso, Food Chemistry, in press

[6] J. Tóthová, L. Žiak and J. Sádecká, Acta Chimica Slovaca **1(1)**, 265 (2008)

[7] \*\*\* Vineyard and wine law into the common market organization in wine no. 244 (in Romanian) (2002)

[8]\*\*\* Standards Association of Romania (ASRO), SR 13442:1999. Spirits. Definitions. (*in Romanian*)

[9] <u>http://www.bnic.fr/cognac/\_fr/2\_cognac</u> BNIC Bureau National Interprofessionnel du Cognac, Cognac fr – Tout sur le Cognac (2006)

[10] A. Popa, Production and aging of distilled from wine Publishing Romanian Writing (*in Romanian*), Craiova (1985)

> Submitted: October 20<sup>th</sup> 2012 Accepted in revised form: November 1<sup>st</sup> 2012