VERSITA 10.2478/v10310-012-0019-0

Volume 23, Number 1, pp.115-120, 2012

# Estimation of heavy metals in medicinal plants and their infusions

Diana DIACONU<sup>a</sup>, Rodica DIACONU<sup>\*b</sup> and Tinca NAVROTESCU<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Gr.T.Popa" of Iași, 16 Universității Street, 700115, România

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Regional Center of Public Health Iaşi, 14 V. Babes Street, 700465, România

c. Department of Microbiology, "Apollonia" University, 2 Stefan cel Mare Street, Iași, România

Abstract. Medicinal plants and their extracts deserve special attention because of the important influence they have to human health. There are easily contaminated with metals during growth, development and processing. The aim of this study was to achieve the quantitative determination of metallic elements (Cu, Cr, Cd, Fe, Mn, Zn, Pb) in some medicinal plants including *Mentha piperita* L., *Matricaria camomilla* L., *Hypericum perforatum* L., *Achillea millefolium* L., *Thymus serpillum*, *Capsella-bursa-pastoris* L., *Urtica dioica* L., *Primula veris* L., *Plantago major* L., *Taraxacum officinalis* L., and their infusions, using flame absorption spectrometry. The highest concentration of metal in medicinal plants was that of iron  $333.22 \pm 35.18$  mg Kg<sup>-1</sup> and zinc  $244.90 \pm 32.13$  mg Kg<sup>-1</sup>. Metal content in the powdered medicinal plants was in descending order: Fe > Zn > Mn > Cu > Cr; Pb and Cd were present in minor amounts. Analysis of plant infusions showed transfer of heavy metals during extraction procedure. Monitoring the content of mineral elements in medicinal plants and their boiling water extracts is of high importance because some heavy metals in large quantities in the body may have a toxic effect.

Keywords: medicinal plants, infusions, heavy metals.

Ovidius University Annals of Chemistry

## 1. Introduction

The use of medicinal plants (herbs) has spread not only in the developing countries but also in the industrialized one, as a complementary way to treat and to prevent illness [1-3]. These herbs contain considerable amounts of mineral constituents [4, 5]. Some metals as Ca, Mg an Zn have reported to be essential for human health, where as other as Pb, Cd, and Al has been identified as toxic [6-8]. There is only little knowledge about the potential influence of metals on pharmacological effects of natural drugs obtained from these plants. Metal binding to pharmacologically active substances, or indirectly by influencing bioavailability pharmacokinetics, are possible of metal influences.

The geological background of heavy metals in medicinal plants is low but, with the activity of human, soil, water, air and plants are polluted by metals, in some cases, and even effect human health through the food chain [9, 10].

This study has the following objectives:

- to determine the level of heavy metals elements such as Cu, Cr, Cd, Fe, Mn, Zn and Pb in ten medicinal plants that increase in spontaneous flora of Romania;
- to estimate the concentration of each of these elements after infusion of these plant materials with boiling water;
- to emphasize possible correlations between the levels of heavy metals in dry plant material and their infusions;
- to calculate extraction coefficients to assess extraction efficiency of metals into tea infusions.

### 2. Experimental

## 2.1. Plant material.

Medicinal plant samples were collected in spring-summer period (2009-2010 years) from areas unaffected by pollution, species that grown in East region of Romania (Moldova) and are traditionally used in alternative medicine. Plant specimens were authenticated by botanists.

The following medicinal plants were sampled:

- 1. Mentha piperita L (MP) Peppermint herb
- 2. *Matricaria camomilla* L (MC) Chamomile flowers
- 3. *Hypericum perforatum* L (HP) St. John-s wort herb
- 4. *Achillea millefolium* L (AM) Common Yarrow herb
- 5. Thymus serpillum (TS) Wild thyme herb
- 6. *Capsella bursa pastoris* (CP) Shepherd's purse herb
- 7. Urtica dioica L (UD) Stinging nettle -leaves
- 8. Primula veris L (PO) Cowslip flowers
- 9. Plantago major L (PL) Common plantain leaves
- 10. *Taraxacum officinalis* L (P) Common dandelion flowers.

## 2.2. Sample preparation

116

**Tea plant sample preparation.** The plant samples were thoroughly washed with de-ionized water to remove dust and other particles, then dried at room temperature and grounded to fine powder. These dry raw materials were used for analytical procedure.

**Tea infusion preparation.** For this study we used the common practice for serving tea - direct boiling of the medicinal herb in boiling water: 100 mL of distilled water was boiled in a beaker on a hot plate, and exactly 2 g of plant power were added in the boiling water and allowed to boil for 5 minute. The cooled for 5 minute and filtered to obtain the infusion. Three such infusions were prepared from each medicinal plants.

**Digestion of medicinal plants.** Powder of medicinal herbs was digested through wet digestion method: 1 g sample of each plant was weighed in a beaker, 10 mL of concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub> was added and allowed to stand overnight. Solution was heated in a water bath until the production of red nitrous oxide fumes had not been ceased. 4 mL of 70% HClO<sub>4</sub> was added and mixture was heated again to evaporate into small volume and filtrated through Whatman filter paper. The solution made up to volume a 50 mL with distilled water. Digestion of reagent blank was performed in parallel with tea samples and infusions.

**Digestion of tea samples** 20 mL of tea infusion was transferred in the flask and heat to reduce volume. 5 mL of  $5:1 \text{ HNO}_3$ / HClO<sub>4</sub> was added to residue and digested for two hours at 300°C on a Kjeldahl digestion apparatus. The digestion made up

to 50 mL with distiller water. The reagents were p.a. purity for the preparation of the solutions and distilled water was used in all cases.

## 2.3. Method of analysis

Seven trace elements Cu, Cr, Cd, Fe, Mn, Pb and Zn were determined in the herbs and their infusions consumed for medicinal purposes.

Element concentrations in the medicinal herbs and their infusions were determined by flame-atomic absorption spectrophotometry (FAAS) with airacetylene. Stock standard solution containing 1000 mg/L in 2% HNO<sub>3</sub> of metals Cu, Cr, Cd, Fe, Mn, Zn, Pb were used for preparation of calibration standards and in spiking experiments. The correlation coefficients of calibration curve for analytes were higher 0.98 which assured a linearity of response over the range of concentrations used. 2.4. Recovery tests

A recovery test of analytical procedure was performed by spiking dry plant material (0.5 g) with metal standards (the individual 1000 mg/L standard, Merck, Germany) according to the original concentrations of the spiking samples, to get to concentration in range of 10-500 mg/kg dry plant. These samples were analyzed by FAAS to get to the recovery of the method. Acceptable recovery were obtained (85-110%) for all of the studied heavy metals.

## 2.5. Statistical analysis of data

To check whether there are a significant differences in metal contents between medicinal plants was used a one way ANOVA tests.

#### 3. Results and discussions

#### 3.1. Evaluation of analytical method

The efficiency of the method was assessed by spiking both tea herbs and tea infusion samples with known amounts of metals. Recovery was calculated using equation bellow:

## % Recovery =

metal content of spiked sample-metal content of non spiked sample x100% the exp ected metal content

The recovery values for the plant samples are given in **Table 1**.

These results show the validity of this method for dray plant material (recovery in range between 82.45 - 102.3%).

For infusions, our results revealed that the studied elements can be accurately determined by the proposed method.

 Table 1. Recovery of heavy metals from plant

 material (Matricaria camomilla)

Metal	Recovery*
Fe	$102.31 \pm 13.33$
Zn	$96.23 \pm 3.68$
Mn	$92.11 \pm 4.75$
Cu	$93.00 \pm 3.85$
Cr	$97.04 \pm 4.68$
Pb	85.22 ± 10.33
Cd	$85.45 \pm 8.75$

\* mean recovery  $\pm$  SD of percentage recoveries of triplicate analytes

## 3.2. Metal contents of tea herb samples

The average values of Cu, Cr, Cd, Fe, Mn, Zn and Pb in selected medicinal plants are presented in **Table 2**.

As is evident from this table, maximum concentration of Fe was found in *Mentha piperita* L 333.22  $\pm$  35.18 mg/kg followed by *Plantago major* L 292.41  $\pm$  10.16 mg/kg and *Matricaria camomilla* L 291.80  $\pm$  5.73 mg/kg.

Manganese concentrations is high in *Plantago* major L 166.0  $\pm$  5.33 mg/kg, *Matricaria camomilla* L 158.0  $\pm$  14.33 mg/kg, *Mentha piperita* L 148.41  $\pm$ 7.24 mg/kg, *Hypericum perforatum* 123.22  $\pm$  4.53 mg/kg and lower in other medicinal plants.

High concentrations of Zn was found in *Matricaria camonilla* L 104.8  $\pm$  15.24 mg/kg, *Achillea millefolium* 126.61  $\pm$  12.73 mg/kg, *Thymus serpillum* 244.90  $\pm$  32.13 mg/kg, *Taraxacum officialis* L 126.76  $\pm$  18.29 mg/kg.

Although cooper is an essential element for normal plant growth and development can be toxic at excessive levels (higher than 100 ppm dry weight).

Our analytical data revealed high concentrations of Cu in *Plantago major* L 90.96  $\pm$  7.89 mg/kg, *Mentha piperita* L 56.90  $\pm$  8.68 mg/kg and *Matricaria camomilla* L 37.60  $\pm$  6.58 mg/kg since in other herbs we found lower levels: 6.75  $\pm$  0.49 mg/kg (*Hypericum perforatum*) and 24.6  $\pm$  6.46 mg/kg (*Achillea millefolium*).

Except two medicinal plants - *Mentha piperita* L and *Matricaria camomilla* L, potentially toxic metals (Pb and Cd) were bellow the limits of detection (0.03 mg/kg for Cd and 0.28 mg/kg for Pb).

Plant Metal	MC	MP	HP	AM	TS	СР	UD	РО	PL	Р
Fe	291.8±	333.22±	35.36±	90.04±	51.60±	34.20±	40.62±	92.49±	292.41±	37.69±
	5.73	35.18	3.27	8.53	6.23	5.78	3.84	12.85	10.16	2.34
Zn	104.8±	74.61±	78.80±	126.61±	244.90±	129.26±	63.84±	74.80±	80.98±	126.76±
	15.24	9.68	9.32	12.73	32.13	12.38	14.45	5.33	5.99	18.29
Mn	158.0±	148.41±	123.22±	45.40±	43.40±	33.55±	21.26±	19.64±	166.0±	15.34±
	14.33	7.24	4.52	18.83	5.29	4.02	2.10	3.08	5.33	1.88
Cu	37.6±	56.80±	6.75±	24.60±	12.90±	8.22±	9.96±	7.99±	90.96±	10.70±
	6.58	8.68	0.49	6.46	1.52	0.30	1.43	0.29	7.89	2.44
Cr	12.55±	6.80±	14.72±	6.84±	29.84±	3.30±	2.19±	28.00±	8.58±	5.21±
	0.57	0.96	1.09	0.36	6.28	0.52	0.93	4.19	5.22	0.42
Pb	17.30±	8.04±	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	5.23	0.96								
Cd	1.98±	1.56±	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
	1.09	0.28								

 Table 2. Average values of heavy metals in investigated medicinal plants (mg/kg dry weight)

 – mean and standard error of a mean –

nd - not detected

#### 3.3. Levels of heavy metals in tea infusion samples

118

Tea infusions were also analyzed and the percentage of each element leached into the water (liquor) was evaluated. The values of concentrations of heavy metals in tea infusions are shown in **Table 3**.

The level of abundant essential heavy metals in tea infusion was: iron ranging from 16.88  $\pm 0.87$  mg/L (*Urtica dioica* L) and  $80.52 \pm 5.09$  mg/L (*Mentha piperita* L), followed by manganese 11.24  $\pm 0.92$  mg/L (*Capsela bursa-pastoris*) and 55.66  $\pm 5.38$  mg/L (*Hypericum perforatum*). No significant difference between the concentrations of zinc into tea infusions was found. Maximum level of zinc concentration was in *Taraxacum officinalis* L tea, 49.31 $\pm$  2.24 mg/L.

Mean concentrations of cooper and chromium ranged between  $2.11 \pm 0.11 \text{ mg/L}$  (Achillea millefolium) and  $16.99 \pm 2.10 \text{ mg/L}$  (Plantago major L) and  $1.75 \pm 0.13 \text{ mg/L}$  (Capsela bursa-pastoris) –  $11.08 \pm 3.07 \text{ mg/L}$  (Primula veris L) respectively.

3.4. Efficiency of extraction from tea herbs to tea infusions

The amount of mineral in tea infusion depends on extraction efficiencies and total concentration of metals in tea powder. Extraction coefficient is defined by the equation:  $\frac{EC=100x}{CM(extract)} CM- metal concentration$ 

**Table 4** shows the percentage of metals extracted from the tea herbs to tea infusion and these values were found to vary widely. The extraction was highest for Fe (81.95%) and lowest for Cu (7.31%). The other metals were also extracted to significant degree: Cr - 83.56% (*Urtica dioica* L), Mn - 70.46% (*Urtica dioica* L).

**Figure 1** shows the difference in heavy metals contents for teas of MC, MP, UD, HP, PL and their infusions.

It is evident that heavy metals content of medicinal herbs and their infusions shows variability. Distribution of the elements in the infusion is not high and is not detected especially for Pb and Cd.

For p>0.05 the difference in the samples of each particular metal was not significant.

The difference in the level of Fe (F=4985.55; df=8; p<0.05), Mn (F=349.893; df=8; p<0.05), Cu (F=99.491; df=8; p<0.05) and Zn (F=108.308; df=8; p<0.05) in *Mentha piperita* L and *Capsela bursa-pastoris* was found to be significant.

In *Thymus serpillum* and *Primula veris* L the level of Fe (F=16.007; df=8; p<0.05), Mn (F=75.34; df=8; p<0.05), Zn (F=106.234; df=8; p<0.05) and Cu (F=50.351; df=8; p<0.05) was significant differences.

 Table 3. The level of heavy metals in tea infusions of studied medicinal plants (mg/L)

 – mean and standard error of a mean –

Plant Metal	МС	MP	HP	AM	TS	СР	UD	РО	PL	Р
Fe	57.96±	80.52±	10.23±	36.19±	22.08±	30.48±	16.88±	64.60±	43.71±	31.11±
	8.53	5.09	1.28	2.74	1.21	1.51	0.87	3.74	5.59	1.33
Zn	28.76±	19.14±	13.94±	12.78±	29.84±	35.07±	30.41±	31.92±	30.63±	49.31±
	3.43	2.32	0.96	1.68	2.08	4.89	5.05	4.39	2.73	2.24
Mn	26.11±	25.67±	55.66±	20.28±	20.54±	11.24±	14.98±	13.03±	29.58±	14.31±
	2.75	0.98	5.38	1.60	1.54	0.92	0.49	1.15	1.76	0.34
Cu	3.63±	4.15±	3.33±	2.11±	2.11±	6.13±	6.84±	6.66±	16.99±	2.68±
	6.86	0.25	0.64	0.11	0.22	1.23	0.44	0.59	2.10	0.31
Cr	3.27±	2.67±	1.84±	5.20±	10.44±	1.75±	1.83±	11.08±	5.57±	3.76±
	1.95	0.84	0.82	1.16	0.80	0.13	0.15	3.07	0.65	0.68

Metal	Medicinal plants										
	HP	MP	MC	UD	Р	AM	TS	PL			
Zn	17.69	25.65	27.44	47.63	38.90	10.09	12.18	37.82			
Cu	43.33	7.31	9.65	67.67	25.05	8.58	16.36	18.68			
Mn	45.17	17.30	16.53	70.46	53.29	44.67	47.33	17.82			
Fe	28.93	24.16	19.86	41.36	81.95	42.79	42.79	14.94			
Cr	12.50	39.26	26.86	83.56	72.17	34.94	34.99	64.92			

Table 4. Extraction efficiency of heavy metals from medicinal plants (%)



**Fig. 1.** Average values of Fe, Mn and Zn in some plants and their infusions

In tea infusions prepared in laboratory conditions there are significant differences in metal contents as those found in the combinations of studied medicinal plants, excepting Zn, Cu and Cr for some combinations of plants: *Urtica dioica* L and *Primula veris* L (Zn: F=0.255; df=8; p>0.05; Cu: F=0.031; df=8; p>0.05); *Thymus serpillum* and *Primula veris* L (Zn: F=0.917; df=8; p>0.05; Cr: F=0.0204; df=8; p>0.05). In infusions obtained from *Mentha piperita* L and *Matricaria camomilla* L the levels of Mn: F=0.114; df=8; p>0.05; Cu: F=1.706; df=8; p>0.05 and Cr: F=0.891; df=8; p>0.05 not differ significantly (**Table 5**).

Essential (Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu, Cr) and toxic (Pb, Cd) heavy metals were quantified in ten medicinal herbs and their infusions used in Romania.

The levels of Fe, Mn and Zn in all plant samples were higher than other elements (Cu, Pb, Cd). In two herbal samples – *Mentha piperita* L and *Matricaria camomilla* L the highest concentration of Fe (>200 mg/kg), Mn (>100 mg/kg) and Zn (>100 mg/kg) was found.

Some researchers have detected higher levels of Pb and Cd in medicinal plants in areas affected pollution: mint – Pb max 46.15 mg/kg, Cd max 1.20 mg/kg, camomilla – Pb max 25.23 mg/kg, Cd max 1.95 mg/kg [11]. In these medicinal plants, we found the lowest level of Pb and Cd (**Table 2**).

Recent studies shown that St. John's wort can accumulate higher contents of Cd than other plants grown under the dame conditions [12]. In the present study we have not noticed the same thing.

Our analytical results were close to the ones of other Romanian researchers, especially regarding the presence of Fe and Mn into medicinal plants: *Matricaria camomilla* L and *Urtica dioica* L [13].

The content of microelements into phytotherapeutic extracts - infusion of the studied plant materials has been investigated. Most elements in these herbal tea powders were released into infusion at different percentages depending to types of plants.

Metal	MP vs MC	MP vs AM	TS vs CP	UD vs PO	PL vs P	TS vs PO	MP vs CP
Fe	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000
Mn	0.746	0.000	0.000	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000
Zn	0.001	0.050	0.050	0.621	0.000	0.360	0.046
Cu	0.230	0.000	0.000	0.814	0.000	0.000	0.006
Cr	0.374	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.664	0.019

Table 5. Statistics differences in tea infusions obtained from different combinations of tea herbs

Water extraction from medicinal plants allows passing only of a part of quantity present in plants, the percent of extraction are between 7.31% to 83.56% and registered variations. In tea infusion, Fe was the most predominant (14.94-81.95%) followed by Mn (17.32-70.46%), Cr (12.50-83.56%) and Cu (7.31-67.67%).

The amount of minerals in tea infusions depends on extraction efficiencies. We classified elements in three groups:

- highly extractable elements (>55%): e.g. Mn, Cr, Fe;

- moderately extractable elements (30-55%): e.g. Zn, Cu;

- poorly extractable elements (<30%)> e.g. Cd, Pb.We observe that, there is no perfect correlation between the levels of metals in different herbs and their infusions. A positive correlation was especially to Fe (r=0.9858) and Cr (r=0.8869) for *Matricaria camomilla* L.

#### 4. Conclusions

The metallic elements Cu, Cr, Cd, Fe, Mn, Pb, Zn contained in ten medicinal plants showed a wide variability. Iron is the metal present in large amount followed by Mn, Zn and Cu. The very low concentrations of Pb and Cd only in two medicinal plants we found.

Analysis of plant infusions showed transfer of heavy metals during extraction procedure, extraction coefficients reached values up to 70-80% depending on the treated plant species. The highest content of Fe transported to the infusion came from *Taraxacum officinalis* (81.95%), *Thymus serpillum* (42.79%) and *Urtica dioica* L (41.36%).

The studied metals could be arranged in decreasing order according to their contents in tea herbs as following:

Fe > Zn > Mn > Cu > Cr

In general, metal contents of medicinal plants were found to be higher than those of tea infusion.

Summarizing the obtaining results shows that toxic metals (Pb and Cd) were insoluble in all infusions, and thus do not pose any risks in terms of toxic metals in diet. The strongest positive correlations between the concentrations of two metals, in *Matricaria camomilla* L and its infusion for Fe and Cr, was registered.

The accurate determinations of metallic elements in medicinal plants and their infusions were very important in assessing any potential implications for human health.

## 5. References

\*E-mail address: rodiaconu@yahoo.com

- M.T. Soomro and E. Zahir, Pak J Biol Sci. 11:285-289 (2008).
- [2] T. Karak and R.M. Bhagat, Food Res Intern, **43**, 2234-2252 (2010).
- [3] G. Karimi, M.K. Hasanzadeh and A. Nili Pharmacologyonline **3**, 164-178 (2008).
- [4] F.M. Shen and H.C. Wen, Bull Environ Contam Toxicol. **80**, 300-304 (2008).
- [5] S.A. Nasim and B. Dhir, Rev Environ Contam Toxicol, **203**, 139-149 (2010).
- [6] H. Sarmo, S. Deka and R.R. Saikia, Rev Environ Contam Toxicol, **214**, 63-66 (2011).
- [7] M.R. Gomez, S. Cerntti and R.A. Olsina. J Pharm Biomed Anal, **3**, 42-46 (2004).
- [8] I. Hussain, F. Khan, I. Khan and L. Khan, J Chem Soc of Pak, 28(4), 347-351 (2006).
- [9] S.K. Dwivedi and S. Dey, Arch Environ Health, 57 (3), 229-231 (2002).
- [10] S. Haider, V. Naithani and J. Barthwal, Bull Environ Contam Toxicol, 72 (1), 119-127 (2004).
- [11] I. Baranowska, K. Srogi, A. Wlochowicz and K. Szczepanik, pol J of Environ Studies, 11 (5), 467-471 (2002).
- [12] E. Caldas and L. Machado, Food and Chem Toxicol, 42 (4), 599-603, (2004).
- [13] S. Dobrinaş, A.D. Soceanu, G. Stanciu and S. Bratu, Ovidius Univ Annals of Chem, 22 (1), 37-40 (2011).

Submitted: March 6<sup>th</sup> 2012 Accepted in revised form: April 30<sup>th</sup> 2012