Annales Mathematicae Silesianae **31** (2017), 141–153 DOI: 10.1515/amsil-2016-0017

LIE DERIVATIONS ON TRIVIAL EXTENSION ALGEBRAS

Amir Hosein Mokhtari, Fahimeh Moafian, Hamid Reza Ebrahimi Vishki

Abstract. In this paper we provide some conditions under which a Lie derivation on a trivial extension algebra is proper, that is, it can be expressed as a sum of a derivation and a center valued map vanishing at commutators. We then apply our results for triangular algebras. Some illuminating examples are also included.

1. Introduction

Let \mathfrak{A} be a unital algebra (over a commutative unital ring \mathbf{R}) and \mathfrak{X} be an \mathfrak{A} -bimodule. A linear mapping \mathcal{D} from \mathfrak{A} into \mathfrak{X} is said to be a *derivation* if

$$\mathcal{D}(ab) = \mathcal{D}(a)b + a\mathcal{D}(b), \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

A linear mapping $\mathcal{L} \colon \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{X}$ is called a *Lie derivation* if

$$\mathcal{L}[a,b] = [\mathcal{L}(a),b] + [a,\mathcal{L}(b)], \quad a,b \in \mathfrak{A},$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ stands for the Lie bracket. Trivially every derivation is a Lie derivation. If $\mathcal{D}: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$ is a derivation and $\ell: \mathfrak{A} \to Z(\mathfrak{A})(:=$ the center of $\mathfrak{A})$ is a linear map, then $\mathcal{D} + \ell$ is a Lie derivation if and only if $\ell([a, b]) = 0$, for all

Received: 24.04.2016. Accepted: 16.09.2016. Published online: 18.12.2016.

⁽²⁰¹⁰⁾ Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W25, 15A78, 47B47.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$ derivation, Lie derivation, trivial extension algebra, triangular algebra.

 $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$. Lie derivations of this form are called *proper Lie derivations*. A problem that we are dealing with is studying those conditions on an algebra such that every Lie derivation on it is proper. We say that an algebra \mathfrak{A} has Lie derivation property if every Lie derivation on \mathfrak{A} is proper.

Martindale [10] was the first one who showed that every Lie derivation on certain primitive ring is proper. Cheung [3] initiated the study of various mappings on triangular algebras; in particular, he investigated the properness of Lie derivations on triangular algebras (see also [4, 9, 12]). Cheung's results [4] have recently extended by Du and Wang [5] for a generalized matrix algebras. Wang [14] studied Lie *n*-derivations on a unital algebra with a nontrivial idempotent. Lie triple derivations on a unital algebra with a nontrivial idempotent have recently investigated by Benkovič [2].

In this paper we study Lie derivations on a trivial extension algebra. Let \mathfrak{X} be an \mathfrak{A} -bimodule, then the direct product $\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{X}$ together with the pairwise addition, scalar product and the algebra multiplication defined by

$$(a, x)(b, y) = (ab, ay + xb), \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{A}, x, y \in \mathfrak{X},$$

is a unital algebra which is called a trivial extension of \mathfrak{A} by \mathfrak{X} and will be denoted by $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$. For example, every triangular algebra $\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{B})$ is a trivial extension algebra. Indeed, it can be identified with the trivial extension algebra $(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}) \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$; (see Sec. 3).

Trivial extension algebras are known as a rich source of (counter-)examples in various situations in functional analysis. Some aspects of (Banach) algebras of this type have been investigated in [1] and [15]. Derivations into various duals of a trivial extension (Banach) algebra studied in [15]. Jordan (higher) derivations on a trivial extension algebra are discussed in [11] (see also [6], [8] and [7]).

The main aim of this paper is providing some conditions under which a trivial extension algebra has the Lie derivation property. We are mainly dealing with those $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$ for which \mathfrak{A} enjoys a nontrivial idempotent p satisfying

$$(\star) \qquad \qquad pxq = x,$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, where q = 1 - p. A triangular algebra is the main example of a trivial extension algebra satisfying (*).

In Section 2, we characterize the properness of a Lie derivation on $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$ (Theorem 2.2), from which we derive Theorem 2.3, providing some sufficient conditions ensuring the Lie derivation property for $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$. In Section 3, we apply our results for a triangular algebra, recovering some results of [4].

2. Proper Lie derivations on $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$

We commence with the following elementary lemma describing the structures of derivations and Lie derivations on a trivial extension algebra $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$.

LEMMA 2.1. Let \mathfrak{A} be a unital algebra and \mathfrak{X} be an \mathfrak{A} -bimodule. Then every linear map $\mathcal{L} \colon \mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$ has the presentation

(2.1)
$$\mathcal{L}(a,x) = (\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}(a) + T(x), \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(a) + S(x)), \quad a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X},$$

for some linear mappings $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} \colon \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}} \colon \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{X}, T \colon \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{A} \text{ and } S \colon \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}.$ Moreover,

- *L* is a Lie derivation if and only if
 - (a) $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ are Lie derivations;
 - (b) T([a, x]) = [a, T(x)] and [T(x), y] = [T(y), x];
 - (c) $S([a, x]) = [\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}(a), x] + [a, S(x)],$
 - for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$.
- \mathcal{L} is a derivation if and only if
 - (i) $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ are derivations;
 - (ii) T(ax) = aT(x), T(xa) = T(x)a and xT(y) + T(x)y = 0;
 - (iii) $S(ax) = aS(x) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}(a)x$ and $S(xa) = S(x)a + x\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}(a)$,
 - for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$.

It can be simply verified that the center $Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X})$ of $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$ is

$$Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}) = \{(a, x); a \in Z(\mathfrak{A}), [b, x] = 0 = [a, y] \text{ for all } b \in \mathfrak{A}, y \in \mathfrak{X}\}$$
$$= \pi_{\mathfrak{A}}(Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X})) \times \pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X})),$$

where $\pi_{\mathfrak{A}} \colon \mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{A}$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}} \colon \mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$ are the natural projections given by $\pi_{\mathfrak{A}}(a, x) = a$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(a, x) = x$, respectively.

It should be noticed that, if $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$ satisfies (\star) , then the equality [p, x] = 0implies x = 0, for any $x \in \mathfrak{X}$. This leads to $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}(Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X})) = \{0\}$, and so

(2.2)
$$Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}) = \{(a,0); a \in Z(\mathfrak{A}), [a,x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{X}\}$$
$$= \pi_{\mathfrak{A}}(Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X})) \times \{0\}.$$

Further, the property (\star) also implies the following simplifications on the module operations which will be frequently used in the sequel

$$(2.3) \quad qx = 0 = xp, \ px = x = xq, \ papx = ax, \ xqaq = xa, \quad a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

The following characterization theorem which is a generalization of [4, Theorem 6] studies the properness of a Lie derivation on $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$. Before proceeding, we recall that an \mathfrak{A} -bimodule \mathfrak{X} is called 2-torsion free if 2x = 0 implies x = 0, for any $x \in \mathfrak{X}$.

THEOREM 2.2. Suppose that the trivial extension algebra $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$ satisfies (\star) and that both \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{X} are 2-torsion free. Then a Lie derivation \mathcal{L} on $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$ of the form

$$\mathcal{L}(a,x) = (\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}(a) + T(x), \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(a) + S(x)), \quad a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X},$$

is proper if and only if there exists a linear map $\ell_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A} \to Z(\mathfrak{A})$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} \ell_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a derivation on \mathfrak{A} .
- (ii) $[\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), x] = 0 = [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(qaq), x]$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$.

PROOF. By Lemma 2.1 every Lie derivation on $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$ can be expressed in the from

$$\mathcal{L}(a, x) = (\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}(a) + T(x), \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(a) + S(x)),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} \colon \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}, \, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}} \colon \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{X}$ are Lie derivations and $T \colon \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{A}, \, S \colon \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$ are linear mappings satisfying

$$T([a, x]) = [a, T(x)], \quad [T(x), y] = [T(y), x],$$

and
$$S([a, x]) = [\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}(a), x] + [a, S(x)],$$

for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$.

To prove "if" part, we set

$$\mathcal{D}(a,x) = \left((\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \ell_{\mathfrak{A}})(a) + T(x), \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(a) + S(x) \right)$$

and $\ell(a,x) = (\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(a), 0), \quad a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$

Then clearly $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{D} + \ell$. That ℓ is linear and $\ell(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}) \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X})$ follows trivially from $\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{A})$ and (2.2). It remains to show that \mathcal{D} is a derivation on $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$. To do this we use Lemma 2.1. It should be mentioned that in the rest of proof we frequently making use the equalities in (2.3). First we have,

$$S(ax) = S([pap, x])$$
$$= [\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), x] + [pap, S(x)]$$

$$= [(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \ell_{\mathfrak{A}})(pap), x] + [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), x] + aS(x)$$

$$= [((\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \ell_{\mathfrak{A}})(p)ap + p(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \ell_{\mathfrak{A}})(a)p + pa(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \ell_{\mathfrak{A}})(p)), x]$$

$$+ [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), x] + aS(x)$$

$$(2.4) \qquad = (\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \ell_{\mathfrak{A}})(a)x + [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), x] + aS(x),$$

for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$. Now the condition $[\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), x] = 0$ implies that $S(xa) = (\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \ell_{\mathfrak{A}})(a)x + aS(x)$. With a similar procedure as above, from $[\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(qaq), x] = 0$ we get $S(xa) = x(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \ell_{\mathfrak{A}})(a) + S(x)a$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$.

From the equality

$$T(x) = T([p, x]) = [p, T(x)] = pT(x) - T(x)p, \quad x \in \mathfrak{X}$$

we arrive at yT(x) = 0 = T(x)y and so yT(x) + T(y)x = 0 for all $y, x \in \mathfrak{X}$. It also follows that pT(x)p = 0, qT(x)q = 0 and qT(x)p = 0 for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$; note that \mathfrak{A} is 2-torsion free.

The equality

$$0 = T([qap, x]) = [qap, T(x)] = qapT(x) - T(x)qap, \quad a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X},$$

gives qapT(x) = T(x)qap for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$. The latter relation together with the equality

$$T(ax) = T[pa, x] = paT(x) - T(x)pa, \quad a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X},$$

lead us to T(ax) = pT(ax)q = paT(x)q = aT(x) for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$. By a similar argument we get T(xa) = T(x)a for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$.

Next, we set $\phi(a) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(paq)$, then ϕ is a derivation. Indeed, for each $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$\begin{split} \phi(ab) &= \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(pabq) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}([pa, pbq]) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}([paq, bq]) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(pa)pbq - pbq\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(pa) + pa\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(pbq) - \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(pbq)pa \\ &+ \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(paq)bq - bq\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(paq) + paq\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(bq) - \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(bq)paq \\ &= a\phi(b) + \phi(a)b. \end{split}$$

As \mathfrak{X} is 2-torsion free, the identity

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(qap) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}([qap, p]) = [\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(qap), p] + [qap, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(p)] = -\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(qap),$$

implies that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(qap) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.

As $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}([pap, qaq]) = 0$, for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, we get,

(2.5)
$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(pap)qaq = -pap\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(qaq).$$

Substituting a with qaq + p (resp. pap + q) in (2.5), leads to $p\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(qaq)q = -\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(p)a$ (resp. $p\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(pap)q = a\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(p)$), for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. We use the latter relations to prove that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is the sum of an inner derivation (implemented by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(p)$) and ϕ , and so it is a derivation. Indeed, for each $a \in \mathfrak{A}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(a) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(pap) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(qaq) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(paq)$$
$$= p\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(pap)q + p\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(qaq)q + \phi(a)$$
$$= a\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(p) - \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(p)a + \phi(a).$$

Now Lemma 2.1 confirms that \mathcal{D} is a derivation on $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$, and so \mathcal{L} is proper, as claimed.

For the converse, suppose that \mathcal{L} is proper, that is, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{D} + \ell$, where \mathcal{D} is a derivation and ℓ is a center valued linear map on $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$. Then, from (2.2), we get $\ell(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}) \subseteq \pi_{\mathfrak{A}}(Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X})) \times \{0\}$, and this implies that ℓ has the presentation $\ell(a, x) = (\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(a), 0)$ with $[\ell_A(a), x] = 0$, for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$, for some linear map $\ell_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A} \to Z(\mathfrak{A})$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{L} - \ell = \mathcal{D}$ is a derivation on $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$ and so, by Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \ell_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a derivation on \mathfrak{A} , as required. \Box

Applying Theorem 2.2, we come to the next main result providing some sufficient conditions ensuring the Lie derivation property for $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$. Note that we use the projection maps $\pi_{p\mathfrak{A}p} \colon \mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{A}$ and $\pi_{q\mathfrak{A}q} \colon \mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{A}$ defined by $\pi_{p\mathfrak{A}p}(a, x) = pap$ and $\pi_{q\mathfrak{A}q}(a, x) = qaq$, respectively. Before proceeding, we also introduce an auxiliary subalgebra $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ associated to \mathfrak{A} . For an algebra \mathfrak{A} , we denote by $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ the smallest subalgebra of \mathfrak{A} contains all commutators and idempotents. We are especially dealing with those algebras satisfying $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{A}} = \mathfrak{A}$. Some known examples of algebras satisfying $\mathcal{W}_{\mathfrak{A}} = \mathfrak{A}$ are: the full matrix algebra $\mathfrak{A} = M_n(A), n \ge 2$, where A is a unital algebra, and also every simple unital algebra \mathfrak{A} with a nontrivial idempotent.

THEOREM 2.3. Suppose that the trivial extension algebra $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$ satisfies (\star) and that both \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{X} are 2-torsion free. Then $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$ has Lie derivation property if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (I) A has Lie derivation property.
- (II) One of the following three conditions hold:
 - (i) $\mathcal{W}_{p\mathfrak{A}p} = p\mathfrak{A}p \text{ and } \mathcal{W}_{q\mathfrak{A}q} = q\mathfrak{A}q.$

(ii) $Z(p\mathfrak{A}p) = \pi_{p\mathfrak{A}p}(Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}))$ and $p\mathfrak{A}q$ is faithful as a right $q\mathfrak{A}q$ -module. (iii) $Z(q\mathfrak{A}q) = \pi_{q\mathfrak{A}q}(Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}))$ and $p\mathfrak{A}q$ is faithful as a left $p\mathfrak{A}p$ -module.

PROOF. Let \mathcal{L} be a Lie derivation on $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$ with the presentation as given in Lemma 2.1. Since $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a Lie derivation and (by (I)) \mathfrak{A} has Lie derivation property, there exists a linear map $\ell_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A} \to Z(\mathfrak{A})$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \ell_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a derivation on \mathfrak{A} (and so $\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}$ vanishes on commutators of \mathfrak{A}). It is enough to show that, under either conditions of (II), $\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}$ satisfies Theorem 2.2(ii); that is, $[\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), x] = 0 = [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(qaq), x]$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$.

To prove the conclusion, we consider the subset $\mathfrak{A}' = \{pap : [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), x] = 0$, for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}\}$ of $p\mathfrak{A}p$. We are going to show that \mathfrak{A}' is a subalgebra of $p\mathfrak{A}p$ including all idempotents and commutators of $p\mathfrak{A}p$. First, we shall prove that \mathfrak{A}' is a subalgebra. That \mathfrak{A}' is an **R**-submodule of \mathfrak{A} follows from the linearity of $\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}$. The following identity confirms that \mathfrak{A}' is closed under multiplication

(2.6)
$$[\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(papbp), x] = [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), bx] + [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pbp), ax], \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

To prove (2.6), note that from the identity (2.4) we have

(2.7)
$$S(ax) = (\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \ell_{\mathfrak{A}})(a)x + [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), x] + aS(x), \quad a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

Applying (2.7) for ab we have,

(2.8)
$$S(abx) = (\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \ell_{\mathfrak{A}})(ab)x + [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pabp), x] + abS(x).$$

On the other hand, since $a[\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pbp), x] = [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pbp), ax]$, we have,

$$S(abx) = (\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \ell_{\mathfrak{A}})(a)bx + [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), bx] + aS(bx)$$
$$= (\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \ell_{\mathfrak{A}})(a)bx + [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), bx] + a(\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \ell_{\mathfrak{A}})(b)x$$
$$+ [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pbp), ax] + abS(x).$$

Using the fact that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}} - \ell_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a derivation, then a comparison of the latter equation and (2.8) leads to

$$[\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pabp), x] = [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), bx] + [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pbp), ax]$$

for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$, which trivially implies (2.6).

Next, we claim that \mathfrak{A}' contains all idempotents of $p\mathfrak{A}p$. First note that, if one puts a = b in (2.6), then

(2.9)
$$[\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}((pap)^2), x] = [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), 2ax], \quad a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

This follows that

(2.10)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \ell_{\mathfrak{A}}((pap)^3), x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \ell_{\mathfrak{A}}((pap)^2(pap)), x \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), 3a^2x \end{bmatrix}, \quad a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$$

Suppose that $pap \in p\mathfrak{A}p$ is an idempotent, that is, $(pap)^2 = pap$. By (2.9) and (2.10), we arrive at

$$[\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), x] = [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(3(pap)^2 - 2(pap)^3), x]$$

= 3[\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), 2ax] - 2[\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), 3a^2x]
= [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), (6(pap) - 6(pap)^2)x] = 0;

and this says that the idempotent pap lies in \mathfrak{A}' .

Further, that \mathfrak{A}' contains all commutatorts follows trivially from the fact that $\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}$ vanishes on commutators. We thus have proved that \mathfrak{A}' is a subalgebra of $p\mathfrak{A}p$ contains all idempotents and commutators. Now the assumption $\mathcal{W}_{p\mathfrak{A}p} = p\mathfrak{A}p$ in (i) gives $\mathfrak{A}' = p\mathfrak{A}p$, that is, $[\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), x] = 0$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$. A similar argument shows that, if $\mathcal{W}_{q\mathfrak{A}q} = q\mathfrak{A}q$, then $[\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(qaq), x] = 0$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$.

Now suppose that $Z(p\mathfrak{A}p) = \pi_{p\mathfrak{A}p}(Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}))$ and $p\mathfrak{A}q$ is faithful as a right $q\mathfrak{A}q$ -module. In this case, to prove $[\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(pap), x] = 0 = [\ell_{\mathfrak{A}}(qaq), x]$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$, we actually shall show that $[Z(\mathfrak{A}), \mathfrak{X}] = 0$. To this end, as the algebra \mathfrak{A} enjoys the Peirce decomposition $\mathfrak{A} = p\mathfrak{A}p + p\mathfrak{A}q + q\mathfrak{A}p + q\mathfrak{A}q$, a direct verification reveals that

$$Z(\mathfrak{A}) = \{ a \in \mathfrak{A}; \ pap \in Z(p\mathfrak{A}p), qaq \in Z(q\mathfrak{A}q), \\ papm = mqaq, npap = qaqn \text{ for all } m \in p\mathfrak{A}q, n \in q\mathfrak{A}p \}.$$

Combining the latter equality to that in (2.2) we arrive at

$$Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}) = \{(a, 0); a \in \mathfrak{A}, pap \in Z(p\mathfrak{A}p), qaq \in Z(q\mathfrak{A}q), papm = mqaq,$$
$$npap = qaqn, [a, x] = 0 \text{ for all } m \in p\mathfrak{A}q, n \in q\mathfrak{A}p, x \in \mathfrak{X}\}.$$

Let $a \in Z(\mathfrak{A})$. Since $pap \in Z(p\mathfrak{A}p)$ and $Z(p\mathfrak{A}p) = \pi_{p\mathfrak{A}p}(Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}))$, there exists an element $(a', 0) \in Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X})$ such that $pap = \pi_{p\mathfrak{A}p}(a', 0) = pa'p$. It follows that mqaq = papm = pa'pm = mqa'q for each $m \in p\mathfrak{A}q$. Since $p\mathfrak{A}q$ is a faithful right $q\mathfrak{A}q$ -module, we get qaq = qa'q, and so a = pap + qaq = pa'p + qa'q = a'. In particular, $(a, 0) \in Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X})$ and so [a, x] = 0 for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$, as claimed. Similarly, if $Z(q\mathfrak{A}q) = \pi_{q\mathfrak{A}q}(Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}))$ and $p\mathfrak{A}q$ is faithful as a left $p\mathfrak{A}p$ module, then the equality $[Z(\mathfrak{A}), \mathfrak{X}] = 0$ holds, which completes the proof. \Box

As the following example demonstrates, the Lie derivation property of \mathfrak{A} in Theorem 2.3 is essential.

EXAMPLE 2.4. Let \mathfrak{A} be a unital algebra with a nontrivial idempotent p, which does not have Lie derivation property. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ be a non-proper Lie derivation on \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{X} be an \mathfrak{A} -bimodule such that pxq = x and $[\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}(a), x] = 0$, for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$. Then a direct verification shows that $\mathcal{L}(a, x) = (\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{A}}(a), 0)$, is actually a non-proper Lie derivation on $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$.

To see a concrete example of a pair \mathfrak{A} , \mathfrak{X} satisfying the aforementioned conditions, let \mathfrak{A} be the triangular matrix algebra as given in [4, Example 8] and let $\mathfrak{X} = \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the module operations: $x \cdot (a_{ij}) = xa_{11}$, $(a_{ij}) \cdot x = a_{44}x$, $((a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{A} \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R})$.

The above example and Theorem 2.3 confirm that, the Lie derivation property of \mathfrak{A} plays a key role for the Lie derivation property of $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$. In this respect, Lie derivation property of a unital algebra containing a nontrivial idempotent has already studied by Benkovič [2, Theorem 5.3] (see also the case n = 2 of a result given by Wang [14, Theorem 2.1]). About the Lie derivation property of a unital algebra with a nontrivial idempotent, we quote the following result from the first and third authors [13], which extended the aforementioned results.

PROPOSITION 2.5 ([13, Corollary 4.3]). Let \mathfrak{A} be a 2-torsion free unital algebra with a nontrivial idempotent p and q = 1-p. Then \mathfrak{A} has Lie derivation property if the following three conditions hold:

- (I) $Z(q\mathfrak{A}q) = Z(\mathfrak{A})q$ and $p\mathfrak{A}q$ is a faithful left $p\mathfrak{A}p$ -module; or $\mathcal{W}_{p\mathfrak{A}p} = p\mathfrak{A}p$ and $p\mathfrak{A}q$ is a faithful left $p\mathfrak{A}p$ -module; or $p\mathfrak{A}p$ has Lie derivation property and $\mathcal{W}_{p\mathfrak{A}p} = p\mathfrak{A}p$.
- (II) $Z(p\mathfrak{A}p) = Z(\mathfrak{A})p$ and $q\mathfrak{A}p$ is a faithful right $q\mathfrak{A}q$ -module; or $W_{q\mathfrak{A}q} = q\mathfrak{A}q$ and $q\mathfrak{A}p$ is a faithful right $q\mathfrak{A}q$ -module; or $q\mathfrak{A}q$ has Lie derivation property and $W_{q\mathfrak{A}q} = q\mathfrak{A}q$.
- (III) One of the following assertions holds:
 - (i) Either $p\mathfrak{A}p$ or $q\mathfrak{A}q$ does not contain nonzero central ideals.
 - (ii) $p\mathfrak{A}p$ and $q\mathfrak{A}q$ are domain.
 - (iii) Either $p\mathfrak{A}q$ or $q\mathfrak{A}p$ is strongly faithful.

It should also be remarked that if $p\mathfrak{A}q\mathfrak{A}p = 0$ and $q\mathfrak{A}p\mathfrak{A}q = 0$, then the condition (III) in the above proposition is superfluous and can be dropped from the hypotheses, (see also [2, Remark 5.4]). One may apply Proposition 2.5 to show that, the algebra $\mathfrak{A} = B(X)$, of all bounded operators on a

Banach space X with dimension greater than 2, as well as, the full matrix algebra $\mathfrak{A} = M_n(A), n \ge 2$, where A is a 2-torsion free unital algebra, have the Lie derivation property.

Illustrating Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.5, in the following we give an example of a trivial extension algebra, which is not a triangular algebra, having Lie derivation property.

EXAMPLE 2.6. We consider the next subalgebra \mathfrak{A} of $M_4(\mathbb{R})$ with a non-trivial idempotent p as follows;

One can directly check that $p\mathfrak{A}p \cong \mathbb{R}$ and $q\mathfrak{A}q \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ (where the algebras \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^3 are equipped with their natural pointwise multiplications). In particular, $p\mathfrak{A}p$, $q\mathfrak{A}q$ have Lie derivation property, $\mathcal{W}_{p\mathfrak{A}p} = p\mathfrak{A}p$, $\mathcal{W}_{q\mathfrak{A}q} = q\mathfrak{A}q$ and $p\mathfrak{A}p$ does not contain nonzero central ideals. Thus, by virtue of Proposition 2.5, \mathfrak{A} has Lie derivation property.

Further, $\mathfrak{X} = \mathbb{R}$ is an \mathfrak{A} -bimodule furnished with the module operations as

$$(a_{ij}) \cdot x = a_{33}x, \quad x \cdot (a_{ij}) = xa_{22}, \quad (a_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then clearly the trivial extension algebra $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the condition (\star) ; that is, pxq = x for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. So Theorem 2.3 guarantees that $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathbb{R}$ has Lie derivation property. It is worthwhile mentioning that $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathbb{R}$ is not a triangular algebra. This can be directly verified that, there is no nontrivial idempotent $P \in \mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathbb{R}$ such that $P(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathbb{R})Q \neq 0$ and $Q(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathbb{R})P = 0$, where Q = 1 - P(see [3]).

3. Application to triangular algebras

We recall that a triangular algebra $\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{B})$ is an algebra of the form

$$\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{B}) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{array} \right) \mid a \in \mathcal{A}, \ x \in \mathfrak{X}, \ b \in \mathcal{B} \right\},\$$

whose algebra operations are just like 2×2 -matrix operations; where \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are unital algebras and \mathfrak{X} is an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule; that is, a left \mathcal{A} -module

and a right \mathcal{B} -module. One can easily check that $\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{B})$ is isomorphic to the trivial extension algebra $(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}) \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$, where the algebra $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ has its usual pairwise operations and \mathfrak{X} as an $(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B})$ -bimodule is equipped with the module operations

$$(a \oplus b)x = ax$$
 and $x(a \oplus b) = xb$, $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$.

Furthermore, the triangular algebra $\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{B}) \cong (\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}) \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$ satisfies the condition (*). Indeed, $p = (1_{\mathcal{A}}, 0)$ is a nontrivial idempotent, $q = (0, 1_{\mathcal{B}})$ and a direct verification shows that pxq = x, for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$. Further, in this case for $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ we have,

$$p\mathfrak{A}p \cong \mathcal{A}, \quad p\mathfrak{A}q = 0, \quad q\mathfrak{A}p = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad q\mathfrak{A}q \cong \mathcal{B}.$$

It should be mentioned that in this case, for a Lie derivation \mathcal{L} on $(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}) \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$ with the presentation

$$\mathcal{L}(a \oplus b, x) = (\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}}(a \oplus b) + T(x), \ \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}(a \oplus b) + S(x),) \quad (a \oplus b) \in \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}, x \in \mathfrak{X},$$

as given in Lemma 2.1, we conclude that T = 0. Indeed, by Lemma 2.1(b), $T([a \oplus b, x]) = [a \oplus b, T(x)]$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$. Using the latter relation for a = 1, b = 0 implies that T(x) = 0 for all $x \in \mathfrak{X}$.

A quick look at the proof of Theorem 2.2 reveals that, in this special case, as T = 0 and $q\mathfrak{A}p = 0$, we do not need the 2-torsion freeness of \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{X} in Theorems 2.2 and 2.3.

A direct verification also reveals that, the direct sum $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ has Lie derivation property if and only if both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} have Lie derivation property.

Now, by the above observations, as an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3, we directly arrive at the following result of Cheung (see [4, Theorem 11]).

COROLLARY 3.1. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be unital algebras and let \mathfrak{X} be an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ bimodule. Then the triangular algebra $\mathcal{T} = \text{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{B})$ has Lie derivation property if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (I) \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} have Lie derivation property.
- (II) $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}.$

It should be remarked here that, in [4, Theorem 11], Cheung combined his hypotheses with some "faithfulness" conditions and the equalities $Z(\mathcal{A}) = \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(Z(\mathcal{T}))$ and/or $Z(\mathcal{B}) = \pi_B(Z(\mathcal{T}))$. Combining the conditions " \mathfrak{X} is faithful as a left \mathcal{A} -module" and " \mathfrak{X} is faithful as a right \mathcal{B} -module" with those in the above corollary provides some more sufficient conditions ensuring the Lie derivation property for the triangular algebra $\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{B})$. His results can be satisfactorily extended to a trivial extension algebra $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$ by employing the hypothesis " \mathfrak{X} is loyal" instead of " \mathfrak{X} is faithful".

We recall that, in the case where a unital algebra \mathfrak{A} has a nontrivial idempotent p, an \mathfrak{A} -bimodule \mathfrak{X} is said to be left loyal if $a\mathfrak{X} = 0$ implies that pap = 0, right loyal if $\mathfrak{X}a = 0$ implies that qaq = 0, and it is called loyal if it is both left and right loyal.

Note that for a triangular algebra $(\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}) \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$, the loyalty of \mathfrak{X} is nothing but the faithfulness of \mathfrak{X} as an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -module in the sense of Cheung [4]. Combining "the loyalty of \mathfrak{X} " with the current hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 and the equalities $Z(p\mathfrak{A}p) = \pi_{p\mathfrak{A}p}(Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X})), Z(q\mathfrak{A}q) = \pi_{q\mathfrak{A}q}(Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X})),$ provide some more sufficient conditions seeking the Lie derivation property for a trivial extension algebra $\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}$. In the case where \mathfrak{X} is a loyal \mathfrak{A} -module, the existence of an isomorphism between $\pi_{p\mathfrak{A}p}(Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}))$ and $\pi_{q\mathfrak{A}q}(Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}))$ is the key tool. Indeed, using the same argument as in [4, Proposition 3] (see also [2, Proposition 2.1]), it can be shown that, there exists a unique algebra isomorphism $\tau \colon \pi_{p\mathfrak{A}p}(Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X})) \to \pi_{q\mathfrak{A}q}(Z(\mathfrak{A} \ltimes \mathfrak{X}))$ satisfying $papx = x\tau(pap)$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in \mathfrak{X}$.

References

- Bade W.G., Dales H.G., Lykova Z.A., Algebraic and strong splittings of extensions of Banach algebras, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (1999), no. 656.
- Benkovič D., Lie triple derivations of unital algebras with idempotents, Linear Multilinear Algebra 65 (2015), 141–165.
- [3] Cheung W.-S., Mappings on triangular algebras, PhD Dissertation, University of Victoria, 2000.
- [4] Cheung W.-S., Lie derivations of triangular algebras, Linear Multilinear Algebra 51 (2003), 299–310.
- [5] Du Y., Wang Y., Lie derivations of generalized matrix algebras, Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012), 2719–2726.
- [6] Ebrahimi Vishki H.R., Mirzavaziri M., Moafian F., Jordan higher derivations on trivial extension algebras, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 31 (2016), 247–259.
- [7] Erfanian Attar A., Ebrahimi Vishki H.R., Jordan derivations on trivial extension algebras, J. Adv. Res. Pure Math. 6 (2014), 24–32.
- [8] Ghahramani H., Jordan derivations on trivial extensions, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 39 (2013), 635–645.
- [9] Ji P., Qi W., Charactrizations of Lie derivations of triangular algebras, Linear Algebra Appl. 435 (2011), 1137–1146.
- [10] Martindale III W.S., Lie derivations of primitive rings, Michigan Math. J. 11 (1964), 183–187.
- [11] Moafian F., Higher derivations on trivial extension algebras and triangular algebras, PhD Thesis, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, 2015.
- [12] Moafian F., Ebrahimi Vishki H.R., Lie higher derivations on triangular algebras revisited, Filomat 30 (2016), no. 12, 3187–3194.

- [13] Mokhtari A.H., Ebrahimi Vishki H.R., More on Lie derivations of generalized matrix algebras, Preprint 2015, arXiv: 1505.02344v1.
- [14] Wang Y., Lie n-derivations of unital algebras with idempotents, Linear Algebra Appl. 458 (2014), 512–525.
- [15] Zhang Y., Weak amenability of module extensions of Banach algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002), 4131–4151.

Amir Hosein Mokhtari Fahimeh Moafian Department of Pure Mathematics Ferdowsi University of Mashhad P.O. Box 1159 Mashhad 91775 Iran e-mail: fahimeh.moafian@yahoo.com e-mail: amirmkh2002@yahoo.com Hamid Reza Ebrahimi Vishki Department of Pure Mathematics and Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS) Ferdowsi University of Mashhad P.O. Box 1159 Mashhad 91775 Iran e-mail: vishki@um.ac.ir