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#### Abstract

In the framework of the restricted three-body problem when both primaries are triaxial rigid bodies, for different cases of Euler's angles, the locations of the triangular points, and the stability conditions of motion in the proximity of these points are constructed. The numerical solution is obtained by using a fourth order Runge-Kutta-Gill integrator with some graphical investigations.
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## 1 Introduction

Restricted three-body problem (RTBP) plays very important role in celestial mechanics and space science. [12, 15] and [23] are very good books in celestial mechanics which explains importance of RTBP in space dynamics. Classical RTBP is explained in detailed in [15]. [2, 3, 6, 8] have studied RTBP with different perturbations like solar radiation pressure, oblateness, air drug etc. With both primaries as point masses. [7] have studied numerical integration with Lie series in the case of RTBP.

It is well known that the classical planar restricted three body problem (CRTBP) possesses five liberation or stationary points. These points are known as Lagrangian points. Out of these five points three points are collinear which are unstable where as two points are triangular which are stable in nature. [1,4,5,11] studied

[^0]existence and stability of these Lagrangian points for the perturbed RTBP. Recently, [16-19] studied different family of periodic orbits and its stability using Poincaré surface section for perturbed RTBP. In recent times many perturbing forces i.e., oblateness and radiation forces of the primaries, Coriolis and centrifugal forces, variation of masses of the primaries and of the infinitesimal mass etc., have been included in the study of the restricted three body problem.

For the case, where the bigger primary is an oblate spheroid whose equatorial plane coincides with the plane of motion, [22] have studied the stability of the liberation points. A similar problem has been studied by [13]. [10] have studied existence and stability of the equilibrium points of the triaxial rigid body which is moving around another triaxial rigid body. [9] have studied the non-linear stability of triangular point $L_{4}$ in the RTBP when the bigger primary is a triaxial rigid body with its equatorial plane coincident with the plane of motion.
[14] have studied the problem when the smaller primary is a triaxial rigid body. Also [20,21] have studied the problem when both the primaries are triaxial rigid bodies in the case of stationary rotational motion $\left(\theta_{i}=\psi_{i}=\phi_{i}=0\right)$. In this paper we consider the restricted three body problem when both the primaries are triaxial rigid bodies in two cases of stationary rotational motion: $\left(\theta_{i}=\phi_{i}=\frac{\pi}{2}, \psi_{i}=0\right)$ and $\left(\theta_{i}=0, \psi_{i}+\phi_{i}=\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. Also for Euler's angles $\left(\theta_{i}=\frac{\pi}{2}, \phi_{i}=\psi_{i}=0\right)$, and $\left(\theta_{i}=0, \psi_{i}+\phi_{i}=0\right)$ we can do similar kind of analysis from Case-I and Case-II respectively.

## 2 Equations of motion

We shall adopt the notation and terminology of [23]. As a consequence, the distance between the primaries does not change and is taken equal to one; the sum of masses of the primaries is also taken one. The unit of time is chosen so as to make the gravitational constant unity. Besides this the principle axes of the primaries are oriented to the synodic axes by Euler's angels $\left(\theta_{i}, \psi_{i}, \phi_{i}(i=1,2)\right)$. Since the axes are supposed to rotate with the same angular velocity as that of the rigid bodies and the bodies are moving around their center of mass without rotation, the Euler's angles remain constant throughout the motion. Using dimensionless variables, the equations of motion of the infinitesimal mass $m_{3}$ in a synodic coordinate system $(x, y)$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{x}-2 n \dot{y}=\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} \\
& \ddot{y}+2 n \dot{x}=\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial y} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega= \frac{n^{2}}{2}\left[(1-\mu) r_{1}^{2}+\mu r_{2}^{2}\right]+\frac{(1-\mu)}{r_{1}} \\
&+\frac{\mu}{r_{2}}+\frac{(1-\mu)}{2 m_{1} r_{1}^{3}}\left[I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}-3 I\right]  \tag{2}\\
&+\frac{\mu}{2 m_{2} r_{2}^{3}}\left[I_{1}^{\prime}+I_{2}^{\prime}+I_{3}^{\prime}-3 I^{\prime}\right] \\
& r_{1}^{2}=(x-\mu)^{2}+y^{2} \\
& r_{2}^{2}=(x+1-\mu)^{2}+y^{2} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\mu$ is the ratio of mass of the smaller primary to the total mass of primaries and $0 \leq \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}$, i.e., $\mu=$ $\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ with $m_{1} \geq m_{2}$ being the masses of the primaries. $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$ are the principal moments of inertia of
the triaxial rigid body of mass $m_{1}$ at its center of mass, with $a, b, c$ as its axes. $I$ is the moment of inertia about a line joining the center of the rigid body of mass $m_{1}$ and the infinitesimal body of mass $m_{3}$ and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=I_{1} l_{1}^{\prime 2}+I_{2} m_{1}^{\prime 2}+I_{3} n_{1}^{\prime 2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{1}^{\prime}, m_{1}^{\prime}$ and $n_{1}^{\prime}$ are the directional cosines of the line respect to its principal axes. $I_{1}^{\prime}, I_{2}^{\prime}, I_{3}^{\prime}$ are the principal moments of inertia of the triaxial rigid body of mass $m_{2}$ at its center of mass, with $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}$ as its axes. $I^{\prime}$ is the moment of inertia about a line joining the center of the rigid body of mass $m_{2}$ and the infinitesimal body of mass $m_{3}$ and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{\prime}=I_{1}^{\prime} l_{2}^{\prime 2}+I_{2}^{\prime} m_{2}^{\prime 2}+I_{3}^{\prime} n_{2}^{\prime 2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{2}^{\prime}, m_{2}^{\prime}$ and $n_{2}^{\prime}$ are the directional cosines of the line respect to its principal axes. We denote the unit vectors along the principle axes at $p_{1}\left(\operatorname{orp}_{2}\right)$ by $i, j, k$ and the unit vectors parallel to the synodic axes by $I, J, K$ with the help of Euler's angles $\left(\theta_{i}, \psi_{i}, \phi_{i}\right),(i=1,2)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& I=a_{1 i} \hat{i}+b_{1 i} \hat{j}+c_{1 i} \hat{k}, \\
& J=a_{2 i} i \hat{i}+b_{2 i} \hat{j}+c_{2 i} \hat{k},  \tag{6}\\
& K=a_{3 i} \hat{i}+b_{3 i} \hat{j}+c_{3 i} \hat{k}
\end{align*}
$$

( $i=1,2$ ), where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1 i}=-\sin \phi_{i} \sin \psi_{i}+\cos \theta_{i} \cos \phi_{i} \cos \psi_{i}, \\
& a_{2 i}=\cos \phi_{i} \sin \psi_{i}+\cos \theta_{i} \sin \phi_{i} \cos \psi_{i}, \\
& a_{3 i}=-\sin \theta_{i} \cos \psi_{i}, \\
& b_{1 i}=-\sin \phi_{i} \cos \psi_{i}-\cos \theta_{i} \cos \phi_{i} \sin \psi_{i}, \\
& b_{2 i}=\cos \phi_{i} \cos \psi_{i}-\cos \theta_{i} \sin \phi_{i} \sin \psi_{i},  \tag{7}\\
& b_{3 i}=\sin \theta_{i} \sin \psi_{i}, \\
& c_{1 i}=\sin \theta_{i} \cos \phi_{i}, \\
& c_{2 i}=\sin \theta_{i} \sin \phi_{i}, \\
& c_{3 i}=\cos \theta_{i},
\end{align*}
$$

( $i=1,2$ ).
The axes $O(x y z)$ have been defined by [23]. Now, $\Omega$ in equation (2) can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
I=a_{1 i} \hat{i}+b_{1 i} \hat{j}+c_{1 i} \hat{k}, \\
J=a_{2 i} \hat{i}+b_{2 i} \hat{j}+c_{2 i} \hat{k},  \tag{8}\\
K=a_{3 i} \hat{i}+b_{3 i} \hat{j}+c_{3 i} \hat{k}, \\
\Omega=\frac{n^{2}}{2}\left[(1-\mu) r_{1}^{2}+\mu r_{2}^{2}\right]+\frac{(1-\mu)}{r_{1}}+\frac{\mu}{r_{2}} \\
+\frac{(1-\mu)}{2 r_{1}^{3}}\left[2\left(A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}\right)-3 \frac{1}{r_{1}^{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(A_{2}+A_{3}\right)\left(a_{11}(x-\mu)+a_{21} y\right)^{2} \\
+\left(A_{1}+A_{3}\right)\left(b_{11}(x-\mu)+b_{21} y\right)^{2} \\
+\left(A_{2}+A_{1}\right)\left(c_{11}(x-\mu)+c_{21} y\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right\}\right]  \tag{9}\\
+\frac{\mu}{2 r_{2}^{3}}\left[2\left(A_{1}^{\prime}+A_{2}^{\prime}+A_{3}^{\prime}\right)-3 \frac{1}{r_{2}^{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(A_{2}^{\prime}+A_{3}^{\prime}\right)\left(a_{12}(x+1-\mu)+a_{22} y\right)^{2} \\
+\left(A_{1}^{\prime}+A_{3}^{\prime}\right)\left(b_{12}(x+1-\mu)+b_{22} y\right)^{2} \\
+\left(A_{2}^{\prime}+A_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(c_{12}(x+1-\mu)+c_{22} y\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right\}\right],
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=\frac{a^{2}}{5 R^{2}}, \quad A_{2}=\frac{b^{2}}{5 R^{2}}, \quad A_{3}=\frac{c^{2}}{5 R^{2}}  \tag{10}\\
& A_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{a^{\prime 2}}{5 R^{2}}, \quad A_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{b^{\prime 2}}{5 R^{2}}, \quad A_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{c^{\prime 2}}{5 R^{2}} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and $R$ is the distance between the primaries. The mean motion, $n$ is given by,

$$
\begin{align*}
n^{2}= & 1+\frac{3}{2}\left[2\left(A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}\right)-3 a_{11}^{2}\left(A_{2}+A_{3}\right)-3 b_{11}^{2}\left(A_{1}+A_{3}\right)-3 c_{11}^{2}\left(A_{2}+A_{1}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{3}{2}\left[2\left(A_{1}^{\prime}+A_{2}^{\prime}+A_{3}^{\prime}\right)-3 a_{12}^{2}\left(A_{2}^{\prime}+A_{3}^{\prime}\right)-3 b_{12}^{2}\left(A_{1}^{\prime}+A_{3}^{\prime}\right)-3 c_{12}^{2}\left(A_{2}^{\prime}+A_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3 Locations of triangular points

Equation (1) permit an integral analogous to Jacobi integral

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}-2 \Omega+C=0 \\
& f(x, y, \dot{x}, \dot{y})=\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}-2 \Omega+C=0 \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

The liberation points are the singularities of the manifold Therefore, these points are the solutions of the equations $\Omega_{x}=0, \Omega_{y}=0$. We have $\Omega_{x}$ and $\Omega_{y}$ are established by [11, 12].
3.1 Case I: Euler's angles are: $\theta_{i}=\phi_{i}=\frac{\pi}{2}, \psi_{i}=0$

In the case of $\left(\theta_{i}=\phi_{i}=\frac{\pi}{2}, \psi_{i}=0\right)$, with the help of (11) the components of the unit vectors in the directions of synodic coordinates are $a_{3 i}=-1, b_{1 i}=-1, c_{2 i}=1$ and the other components are equal to zero.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{x}= & n^{2} x-\frac{(1-\mu)(x-\mu)}{r_{1}^{3}}-\frac{\mu(x+1-\mu)}{r_{2}^{3}} \\
& -\frac{3(1-\mu)(x-\mu)}{2 r_{1}^{5}}\left[\left(2 A_{2}+4 A_{3}-A_{1}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{1}^{2}}\left(A_{3}(x-\mu)^{2}+A_{2} y^{2}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{3(\mu)(x+1-\mu)}{2 r_{2}^{5}}\left[\left(2 A_{2}^{\prime}+4 A_{3}^{\prime}-A_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{2}^{2}}\left(A_{3}^{\prime}(x+1-\mu)^{2}+A_{2}^{\prime} y^{2}\right)\right]=0,  \tag{14}\\
\Omega_{y}= & n^{2} y-\frac{(1-\mu) y}{r_{1}^{3}}-\frac{\mu y}{r_{2}^{3}} \\
& -\frac{3(1-\mu) y}{2 r_{1}^{5}}\left[\left(4 A_{2}+2 A_{3}-A_{1}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{1}^{2}}\left(A_{3}(x-\mu)^{2}+A_{2} y^{2}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{3(\mu) y}{2 r_{2}^{5}}\left[\left(4 A_{2}^{\prime}+2 A_{3}^{\prime}-A_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{2}^{2}}\left(A_{3}^{\prime}(x+1-\mu)^{2}+A_{2}^{\prime} y^{2}\right)\right]=0
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}=1+\frac{3}{2}\left[\left(2 A_{2}-A_{1}-A_{3}\right)\right]+\frac{3}{2}\left[\left(2 A_{2}^{\prime}-A_{1}^{\prime}-A_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider equation (14), Let the triaxial rigid body of mass $m_{1}$, be nearly a sphere of radius $R_{0}$, then

$$
a \simeq R_{0}+\sigma_{1}, \quad b \simeq R_{0}+\sigma_{2}, \quad c \simeq R_{0}+\sigma_{3}
$$

where $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3} \ll 1$. Therefore, using equation (10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1} \sigma_{1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\lambda_{1}=\frac{R_{0}^{2}}{5 R^{2}}, \quad \mu_{1}=\frac{2 R_{0}}{5 R^{2}}
$$

Similarly, $A_{2}=\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1} \sigma_{2}, \quad A_{3}=\lambda_{1}+\mu_{1} \sigma_{3}$ Again, let the triaxial rigid body of mass $m_{2}$, be nearly a sphere of radius $R_{0}^{\prime}$, using equation (11)

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{\prime}=\lambda_{1}^{\prime}+\mu_{1}^{\prime} \sigma_{1} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{R_{0}^{\prime 2}}{5 R^{2}}, \quad \mu_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{2 R_{0}^{\prime}}{5 R^{2}}
$$

Similarly $A_{2}^{\prime}=\lambda_{1}^{\prime}+\mu_{1}^{\prime} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}, \quad A_{3}^{\prime}=\lambda_{1}^{\prime}+\mu_{1}^{\prime} \sigma_{3}^{\prime}$ where $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}, \sigma_{3}^{\prime} \ll 1$. Therefore, equation (14) becomes,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{x} & =n^{2} x-\frac{(1-\mu)(x-\mu)}{r_{1}^{3}}-\frac{\mu(x+1-\mu)}{r_{2}^{3}} \\
& -\frac{3(1-\mu) \mu_{1}(x-\mu)}{2 r_{1}^{5}}\left[\left(2 \sigma_{2}+4 \sigma_{3}-\sigma_{1}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{1}^{2}}\left(\sigma_{3}(x-\mu)^{2}+\sigma_{2} y^{2}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{3(\mu) \mu_{1}^{\prime}(x+1-\mu)}{2 r_{2}^{5}}\left[\left(2 \sigma_{2}^{\prime}+4 \sigma_{3}^{\prime}-\sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{2}^{2}}\left(\sigma_{3}^{\prime}(x+1-\mu)^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{\prime} y^{2}\right)\right]=0  \tag{18}\\
\Omega_{y} & =n^{2} y-\frac{(1-\mu) y}{r_{1}^{3}}-\frac{\mu y}{r_{2}^{3}} \\
& -\frac{3(1-\mu) \mu_{1} y}{2 r_{1}^{5}}\left[\left(4 \sigma_{2}+2 \sigma_{3}-\sigma_{1}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{1}^{2}}\left(\sigma_{3}(x-\mu)^{2}+\sigma_{2} y^{2}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{3\left(\mu \mu_{1}^{\prime}\right) y}{2 r_{2}^{5}}\left[\left(4 \sigma_{2}^{\prime}+2 \sigma_{3}^{\prime}-\sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{2}^{2}}\left(\sigma_{3}^{\prime}(x+1-\mu)^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{\prime} y^{2}\right)\right]=0
\end{align*}
$$

The mean motion $n$, given in equation (12), becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}=1+\frac{3}{2} \mu_{1}\left[\left(2 \sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right)\right]+\frac{3}{2} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left[\left(2 \sigma_{2}^{\prime}-\sigma_{1}^{\prime}-\sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The triangular points are the solutions of the equation (18) when $(y \neq 0)$. Now we suppose that the solution for equation (18) when $\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}(i=1,2,3)$ are not equal to zero as

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{1}=1+\alpha \\
& r_{2}=1+\beta \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta \ll 1$. Putting the values of $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ from equation (20) in equation (3), we get,

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=\mu-\frac{1}{2}+\beta-\alpha \\
& y= \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left[1+\frac{2}{3}(\beta+\alpha)\right] \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting the values of $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ from equation (20) and $x, y$ from equation (18), rejecting higher order terms, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha & =-\frac{1}{8}\left[11 \mu_{1}\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}\right)+4 \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(2 \sigma_{2}^{\prime}-\sigma_{1}^{\prime}-\sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{4 \mu \mu_{1}^{\prime}}{(1-\mu)}\left(\sigma_{3}^{\prime}-\sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right]  \tag{22}\\
\beta & =-\frac{1}{8}\left[4 \mu_{1}\left(2 \sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right)+11 \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}-\sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{4(1-\mu) \mu_{1}}{\mu}\left(\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{2}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

3.2 Case II:Euler's angles are: $\theta_{i}=0, \psi_{i}+\phi_{i}=\frac{\pi}{2}$

In the case of $\left(\theta_{i}=0, \psi_{i}+\phi_{i}=\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$, the components of directions cosines are $a_{2 i}=1, b_{1 i}=-1, c_{3 i}=1$ while the other components are equal to zero.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{x} & =n^{2} x-\frac{(1-\mu)(x-\mu)}{r_{1}^{3}} \\
& -\frac{\mu(x+1-\mu)}{r_{2}^{3}}-\frac{3(1-\mu)(x-\mu)}{2 r_{1}^{5}}\left[\left(2 A_{2}+4 A_{1}-A_{3}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{1}^{2}}\left(A_{1}(x-\mu)^{2}+A_{2} y^{2}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{3(\mu)(x+1-\mu)}{2 r_{2}^{5}}\left[\left(2 A_{2}^{\prime}+4 A_{1}^{\prime}-A_{3}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{2}^{2}}\left(A_{1}^{\prime}(x+1-\mu)^{2}+A_{2}^{\prime} y^{2}\right)\right]=0, \\
\Omega_{y} & =n^{2} y-\frac{(1-\mu) y}{r_{1}^{3}}  \tag{23}\\
& -\frac{\mu y}{r_{2}^{3}}-\frac{3(1-\mu) y}{2 r_{1}^{5}}\left[\left(4 A_{2}+2 A_{1}-A_{3}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{1}^{2}}\left(A_{1}(x-\mu)^{2}+A_{2} y^{2}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{3(\mu) y}{2 r_{2}^{5}}\left[\left(4 A_{2}^{\prime}+2 A_{1}^{\prime}-A_{3}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{2}^{2}}\left(A_{1}^{\prime}(x+1-\mu)^{2}+A_{2}^{\prime} y^{2}\right)\right]=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}=1+\frac{3}{2}\left[\left(2 A_{2}-A_{1}-A_{3}\right)\right]+\frac{3}{2}\left[\left(2 A_{2}^{\prime}-A_{1}^{\prime}-A_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, equation (23) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{x} & =n^{2} x-\frac{(1-\mu)(x-\mu)}{r_{1}^{3}} \\
& -\frac{\mu(x+1-\mu)}{r_{2}^{3}}-\frac{3(1-\mu) \mu_{1}(x-\mu)}{2 r_{1}^{5}}\left[\left(2 \sigma_{2}+4 \sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{1}^{2}}\left(\sigma_{1}(x-\mu)^{2}+\sigma_{2} y^{2}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{3(\mu) \mu_{1}^{\prime}(x+1-\mu)}{2 r_{2}^{5}}\left[\left(2 \sigma_{2}^{\prime}+4 \sigma_{1}^{\prime}-\sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{2}^{2}}\left(\sigma_{1}^{\prime}(x+1-\mu)^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{\prime} y^{2}\right)\right]=0, \\
\Omega_{y} & =n^{2} y-\frac{(1-\mu) y}{r_{1}^{3}}-\frac{\mu y}{r_{2}^{3}}  \tag{25}\\
& -\frac{3(1-\mu) \mu_{1} y}{2 r_{1}^{5}}\left[\left(4 \sigma_{2}+2 \sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{1}^{2}}\left(\sigma_{1}(x-\mu)^{2}+\sigma_{2} y^{2}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{3\left(\mu \mu_{1}^{\prime}\right) y}{2 r_{2}^{5}}\left[\left(4 \sigma_{2}^{\prime}+2 \sigma_{1}^{\prime}-\sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{2}^{2}}\left(\sigma_{1}^{\prime}(x+1-\mu)^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{\prime} y^{2}\right)\right]=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The mean motion $n$, given in equation (12), becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}=1+\frac{3}{2} \mu_{1}\left[\left(2 \sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right)\right]+\frac{3}{2} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left[\left(2 \sigma_{2}^{\prime}-\sigma_{1}^{\prime}-\sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also the triangular points are the solutions of the equation (25) when $y \neq 0$. Putting the values of $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ from equation (20) and $x, y$ from equation (25), rejecting higher order terms, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha=-\frac{1}{8}\left[11 \mu_{1}\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)+4 \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(2 \sigma_{2}^{\prime}-\sigma_{1}^{\prime}-\sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{4 \mu \mu_{1}^{\prime}}{(1-\mu)}\left(\sigma_{1}^{\prime}-\sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
& \beta=-\frac{1}{8}\left[4 \mu_{1}\left(2 \sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{1}\right)+11 \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}-\sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{4(1-\mu) \mu_{1}}{\mu}\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right)\right] \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4 Small oscillation around equilibrium solutions

The particular solutions may be obtained with any desired degree of numerical accuracy. The next question is the examination of orbits in the vicinity of these particular solutions. In general, let $X_{o}, Y_{o}$ be the coordinates correspond to any one of the particular solutions. They satisfy equations (1).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{X}_{0}-2 n \dot{Y}_{0}=\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial X}\right)_{0} \\
& \ddot{Y}_{0}+2 n \dot{X}=\left(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial Y}\right)_{0} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

In which the subscript $o$ indicates that the particular derivatives of $\Omega$ must be evaluated for $X=X_{o}, Y=Y_{o}$. If now $X=X_{0}+\xi, Y=Y_{0}+\eta$ are substituted into the equations, there results,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{\xi}-2 n \dot{\eta}=\Omega_{x x}^{0} \xi+\Omega_{x y}^{0} \eta \\
& \ddot{\eta}+2 n \dot{\xi}=\Omega_{y x}^{0} \xi+\Omega_{y y}^{0} \eta \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

The super script of second partial derivatives of $\Omega$ refers to their values at $X=X_{0}$ and $Y=Y_{0}$. Specific numerical values may be obtained for any of the particular solutions. Let a solution of equations (29) be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi=A \exp (\lambda t) \\
& \eta=B \exp (\lambda t) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (30) into (29) gives for the equations that must be satisfied by the coefficients $A$ and $B$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{4}+\left(4 n^{2}-\Omega_{x x}^{0}-\Omega_{y y}^{0}\right) \lambda^{2}+\Omega_{x x}^{0} \Omega_{y y}^{0}-\left(\Omega_{x y}^{0}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The character of the solution of the differential equations depends on the character of the solution for $\lambda^{2}$ from this quadratic equation. The solution is stable only if the quadratic has two unequal negative roots for $\lambda^{2}$. Now, we consider two cases of stationary rotational motion of the primaries.

### 4.1 The stability conditions of motion around triangular points

### 4.1.1 The stability conditions of case-I

From equation (30) we can easily obtain the stability conditions of $L_{4}$ and $L_{5}$. The triangular points of $L_{4}$ and $L_{5}$ are stable if the following conditions be satisfied ( $\lambda_{i}$ is pure imaginary)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{x x}^{0}+\Omega_{y y}^{0}<4 n^{2} \\
& \Omega_{x x}^{0} \Omega_{y y}^{0}>\left(\Omega_{x y}^{0}\right)^{2}  \tag{32}\\
& \left(4 n^{2}-\Omega_{x x}^{0}-\Omega_{y y}^{0}\right)^{2}>4\left(\Omega_{x x}^{0} \Omega_{y y}^{0}-\left(\Omega_{x y}^{0}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega_{x x}^{0}, \Omega_{y y}^{0}$ and $\Omega_{x y}^{0}$ are defined at $L_{4}$ and $L_{5}$ when the primaries are triaxial rigid bodies as,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{x x}^{0}= & n^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(1-\mu)(1-9 \alpha+12 \beta)-\frac{1}{4}(\mu)(1-9 \beta+12 \alpha) \\
& +\frac{3}{32}(1-\mu) \mu_{1}\left(41 \sigma_{3}-37 \sigma_{2}-4 \sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{3}{32}(\mu) \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(41 \sigma_{3}^{\prime}-37 \sigma_{2}^{\prime}-4 \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right), \\
\Omega_{y y}^{0} & =n^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(1-\mu)(5-21 \alpha+12 \beta)+\frac{1}{4}(\mu)(5-21 \beta+12 \alpha) \\
& +\frac{3}{32}(1-\mu) \mu_{1}\left(3 \sigma_{3}+41 \sigma_{2}-44 \sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{3}{32}(\mu) \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(3 \sigma_{3}^{\prime}+41 \sigma_{2}^{\prime}-44 \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right), \\
\Omega_{x y}^{0}= & -(1-\mu)\left[(1-3 \alpha) \mp \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}(7 \alpha+4 \beta-3)\right]-\mu\left[(1-3 \beta) \mp \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}(3-4 \alpha-7 \beta)\right] \\
& -\frac{3}{2}(1-\mu) \mu_{1}\left(1 \pm \frac{5 \sqrt{3}}{4}\right)\left(2 \sigma_{2}+4 \sigma_{3}-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{15}{8}\left(1-\mu_{1}\right) \mu\left[\left(1 \pm \frac{7 \sqrt{3}}{4}\right)\left(3 \sigma_{2}+\sigma_{3}\right) \mp 2 \sqrt{3} \sigma_{2}\right] \\
& -\frac{3}{2}(\mu) \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(1 \mp \frac{5 \sqrt{3}}{4}\right)\left(2 \sigma_{2}^{\prime}+4 \sigma_{3}^{\prime}-\sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{15}{8}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}\right) \mu\left[\left(1 \mp \frac{7 \sqrt{3}}{4}\right)\left(3 \sigma_{2}^{\prime}+\sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right) \pm 2 \sqrt{3} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

where the upper sign denotes to $L_{4}$ while the lower sign denotes to $L_{5}$. By analyzing the inequality (32), the stability conditions are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{x x}^{0} \Omega_{y y}^{0}>\Omega_{x y}^{02} \\
& \left(4 n^{2}-\Omega_{x x}^{0}-\Omega_{y y}^{0}\right)^{2}>4\left(\Omega_{x x}^{0} \Omega_{y y}^{0}-\Omega_{x y}^{02}\right) . \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

while the condition of unstable of these points is

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 n^{2}<\Omega_{x x}^{0}+\Omega_{y y}^{0} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.1.2 The stability conditions of case-II

In this case the values of $\Omega_{x x}^{0}, \Omega_{y y}^{0}$ and $\Omega_{x y}^{0}$ at the triangular points of $L_{4}$ and $L_{5}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{x x}^{0}= & n^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(1-\mu)(1-9 \alpha+12 \beta)-\frac{1}{4}(\mu)(1-9 \beta+12 \alpha) \\
& +\frac{3}{32}(1-\mu) \mu_{1}\left(41 \sigma_{1}-37 \sigma_{2}-4 \sigma_{3}\right)+\frac{3}{32}(\mu) \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(41 \sigma_{1}^{\prime}-37 \sigma_{2}^{\prime}-4 \sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right) \\
\Omega_{y y}^{0}= & n^{2}+\frac{1}{4}(1-\mu)(5-21 \alpha+12 \beta)+\frac{1}{4}(\mu)(5-21 \beta+12 \alpha) \\
& +\frac{3}{32}(1-\mu) \mu_{1}\left(3 \sigma_{1}+41 \sigma_{2}-44 \sigma_{3}\right)+\frac{3}{32}(\mu) \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(3 \sigma_{1}^{\prime}+41 \sigma_{2}^{\prime}-44 \sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right) . \\
\Omega_{x y}^{0}= & -(1-\mu)\left[(1-3 \alpha) \mp \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}(7 \alpha+4 \beta-3)\right]-\mu\left[(1-3 \beta) \mp \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}(3-4 \alpha-7 \beta)\right]  \tag{36}\\
& -\frac{3}{2}(1-\mu) \mu_{1}\left(1 \pm \frac{5 \sqrt{3}}{4}\right)\left(2 \sigma_{2}+4 \sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right)+\frac{15}{8}\left(1-\mu_{1}\right) \mu\left[\left(1 \pm \frac{7 \sqrt{3}}{4}\right)\left(3 \sigma_{2}+\sigma_{1}\right) \mp 2 \sqrt{3} \sigma_{2}\right] \\
& -\frac{3}{2}(\mu) \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(1 \mp \frac{5 \sqrt{3}}{4}\right)\left(2 \sigma_{2}^{\prime}+4 \sigma_{1}^{\prime}-\sigma_{3}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{15}{8}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}\right) \mu\left[\left(1 \mp \frac{7 \sqrt{3}}{4}\right)\left(3 \sigma_{2}^{\prime}+\sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right) \pm 2 \sqrt{3} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

again the upper sign denotes to $L_{4}$ while the lower sign denotes to $L_{5}$, and the conditions of stability and instability are also given by the stated conditions in (34) and (35).

## 5 Numerical solutions

To obtain numerical solution of the given system for equations of motion of infinitesimal mass, we will use Runge-Kutta-Gill method with constant interval. This method is correct of order 4. Before applying method, we are shifting location of both primaries towards positive $x$ - axis. So, new location of bigger primary is at $(x$, $y)=(-\mu, 0)$ and that of smaller primary is at $(1-\mu, 0)$.

Since the standard Runge-Kutta-Gill fourth order method for first order differential equation $\dot{y}=f(x, y)$ is as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{1}{6}\left[k_{1}+(2-\sqrt{2}) k_{2}+(2+\sqrt{2}) k_{3}+k_{4}\right] . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{1}=h f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right), \\
& k_{2}=h f\left(x_{n}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{n}+\frac{k_{1}}{2}\right) \\
& k_{3}=h f\left[x_{n}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{n}+\frac{k_{1}}{2}(-1+\sqrt{2})+\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}\right) k_{2}\right]  \tag{38}\\
& k_{4}=h f\left[x_{n}+h, y_{n}-\frac{k_{2}}{2} \sqrt{2}+\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}\right) k_{3}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $h$ is the step size. The system of equations (1)is second order ordinary differential equations. To convert equations (1) in to system of first order differential equations, consider, $y_{1}=x, y_{2}=\dot{x}, y_{3}=y$ and $y_{4}=\dot{y}$. Thus, equations (1) can be written as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{y_{1}}= & y_{2} \\
\dot{y_{2}}= & 2 n y_{4}+n^{2} y_{1}-\frac{(1-\mu)\left(y_{1}+\mu\right)}{r_{1}^{3}}-\mu\left(y_{1}+\mu-1\right)\left[\frac{1}{r_{2}^{3}}\right] \\
& -\frac{1.5(1-\mu)\left(y_{1}+\mu\right)}{r_{1}^{5}}\left(\left(2 A_{2}+4 A_{3}-A_{1}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{1}^{2}}\left(A_{3}\left(\left(y_{1}+\mu\right)^{2}\right)+A_{2} y_{3}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{1.5 \mu\left(y_{1}+\mu-1\right)}{r_{2}^{5}}\left(\left(2 A_{2}{ }^{\prime}+4 A_{3}{ }^{\prime}-A_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)-\frac{5}{r_{2}^{2}}\left(A_{3}{ }^{\prime}\left(y_{1}-1+\mu\right)^{2}+A_{2}{ }^{\prime} y_{3}^{2}\right)\right), \\
\dot{y_{3}}= & y_{4} \\
\dot{y_{4}}= & -2 n y_{2}+n^{2} y_{3}-\frac{(1-\mu) y_{3}}{r_{1}^{3}}-\mu y_{3}\left[\frac{1}{r_{2}^{3}}\right] \\
& -\frac{1.5(1-\mu) y_{3}}{r_{1}^{5}}\left(\left(4 A_{2}+2 A_{3}-A_{1}\right)-\left(\frac{5}{r_{1}^{2}}\right)\left(A_{3}\left(\left(y_{1}+\mu\right)^{2}\right)+A_{2} y_{3}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{1.5 \mu y_{3}}{r_{2}^{4}}\left(\left(4 A_{2}{ }^{\prime}+2 A_{3}{ }^{\prime}-A_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)-\left(\frac{5}{r_{2}^{2}}\left(A_{3}{ }^{\prime}\left(y_{1}-1+\mu\right)^{2}+A_{2}{ }^{\prime} y_{3}^{2}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We use Runge-Kutta-Gill fourth order method to integrate the system of first order differential equations. The algorithm for this method is as follows:

1. The system of equations are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{y_{1}}=f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right), \\
& \dot{y_{2}}=f_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right), \\
& \dot{y_{3}}=f_{3}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right), \\
& \dot{y_{4}}=f_{4}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Let $h$ be the step size.
3. Evaluate the following quantity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=h f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right), \\
& l_{1}=h f_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right), \\
& m_{1}=h f_{3}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right), \\
& n_{1}=h f_{4}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Now, update $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ and $y_{4}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}=y_{1}+0.5 k_{1}, \\
& y_{2}=y_{2}+0.5 l_{1}, \\
& y_{3}=y_{3}+0.5 m_{1}, \\
& y_{4}=y_{4}+0.5 n_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Evaluate the following quantity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{2}=h f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right), \\
& l_{2}=h f_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right), \\
& m_{2}=h f_{3}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right), \\
& n_{2}=h f_{4}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

6. Now, update $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ and $y_{4}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}=y_{1}+0.5 k_{1}(-1+\sqrt{2})+k_{2}(1-0.5 \sqrt{2}), \\
& y_{2}=y_{2}+0.5 l_{1}(-1+\sqrt{2})+l_{2}(1-0.5 \sqrt{2}), \\
& y_{3}=y_{3}+0.5 m_{1}(-1+\sqrt{2})+m_{2}(1-0.5 \sqrt{2}), \\
& y_{4}=y_{4}+0.5 n_{1}(-1+\sqrt{2})+n_{2}(1-0.5 \sqrt{2}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

7. Evaluate the following quantity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{3}=h f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right), \\
& l_{3}=h f_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right), \\
& m_{3}=h f_{3}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right), \\
& n_{3}=h f_{4}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

8. Now, update $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ and $y_{4}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}=y_{1}-\left[\frac{k_{2}}{\sqrt{2}}+\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) k_{3}\right], \\
& y_{2}=y_{2}-\left[\frac{l_{2}}{\sqrt{2}}+\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) l_{3}\right], \\
& y_{3}=y_{3}-\left[\frac{m_{2}}{\sqrt{2}}+\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) m_{3}\right], \\
& y_{4}=y_{4}-\left[\frac{n_{2}}{\sqrt{2}}+\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) n_{3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 1 Periodic orbit located at $x=0.69, T=165$ for $C=1.92$, and $\mu=0.01$
9. Evaluate the following quantity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{4}=h f_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right) \\
& l_{4}=h f_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right) \\
& m_{4}=h f_{3}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right) \\
& n_{4}=h f_{4}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

10. Also, $y_{i, 1}=x, y_{i, 2}=\dot{x}, y_{i, 3}=y$ and $y_{i, 4}=\dot{y}$
11. Then, obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i+1,1}=y_{i, 1}+\frac{1}{6}\left[k_{1}+(2-\sqrt{2}) k_{2}+(2+\sqrt{2}) k_{3}+k_{4}\right] . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

12. Obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i+1,2}=y_{i, 2}+\frac{1}{6}\left[l_{1}+(2-\sqrt{2}) l_{2}+(2+\sqrt{2}) l_{3}+l_{4}\right] . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

13. Obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i+1,3}=y_{i, 3}+\frac{1}{6}\left[m_{1}+(2-\sqrt{2}) m_{2}+(2+\sqrt{2}) m_{3}+m_{4}\right] . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

14. Obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i+1,4}=y_{i, 4}+\frac{1}{6}\left[n_{1}+(2-\sqrt{2}) n_{2}+(2+\sqrt{2}) n_{3}+n_{4}\right] . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

15. $i=i+1$.
16. Repeat the procedure till desire accuracy is obtained.

For obtaining periodic orbit, the values of different parameters for both cases are as follows: $A_{1}=0.01, A_{1}^{\prime}=$ $0.006, A_{2}=0.008, A_{2}^{\prime}=0.002, A_{3}=0.002$ and $A_{3}^{\prime}=0.001$.

### 5.1 Numerical solution for Case-I

Here $\theta_{i}=\phi_{i}=\frac{\pi}{2}, \psi_{i}=0$. Figure 1 obtained using $\mu=0.01$ and $C=1.92$. Periodic orbit is located at $x=0.69$ whose period is 165 . Figure 2 obtained using $\mu=0.1$ and $C=1.1$. Periodic orbit is located at $x=0.7124$ whose period is 173 . both orbits are around both primary bodies.


Fig. 2 Periodic orbit located at $x=0.7124, T=173$ for $C=1.1$, and $\mu=0.1$


Fig. 3 Periodic orbit located at $x=0.69, T=165$ for $C=1.92$, and $\mu=0.01$

### 5.2 Numerical solution for Case-II

For obtaining periodic orbit, the values of different parameters are as following. $\theta_{i}=0, \psi_{i}=\frac{\pi}{4}$ and $\phi_{i}=\frac{\pi}{4}$. Figure 3 obtained using $\mu=0.01$ and $C=1.92$. Periodic orbit is located at $x=0.69$ whose period is 165 . Figure 4 obtained using $\mu=0.1$ and $C=1.1$. Periodic orbit is located at $x=0.7124$ whose period is 173 . Both orbits are around both primary bodies. It can be seen that periodic orbit located at $x=0.7124$ passing through bigger primary body very nearly whereas periodic orbit located at $x=0.69$ passing through smaller primary body very nearly. It is observed that for both different cases, there is no change in location of periodic orbits and its period by considering value of $C$ and $\mu$ same for both cases.

For the case of stationary rotational motion of the primaries which are triaxial rigid bodies $\left(\theta_{i}=\frac{\pi}{2}, \phi_{i}=\psi_{i}=0\right)$. During study this case, it is worthwhile pointing out the locations of the triangular points $L_{4}$ and $L_{5}$ and the conditions of their stability can be obtained by interchanging the parameters $\sigma_{2}$ and $\sigma_{3}$ by $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{3}^{\prime}$ respectively in the corresponding results given in the Case-I. In addition for the case of $\left(\theta_{i}=0, \psi_{i}+\phi_{i}=0\right)$, the locations of triangular points $L_{4}$ and $L_{5}$ and the conditions of their stability can be also obtained by interchanging the parameters $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ by $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}$ respectively in the corresponding results given in the Case-II.


Fig. 4 Periodic orbit located at $x=0.7124, T=173$ for $C=1.1$, and $\mu=0.1$

## 6 Conclusion

In this work equations of motion of infinitesimal mass for CRTBP when both primaries are triaxial rigid bodies are analyzed. Locations of triangular points and its stability is discussed for different four cases of Euler's angles: (1) $\theta_{i}=\phi_{i}=\frac{\pi}{2}, \psi_{i}=0$; (2) $\theta_{i}=0, \psi_{i}+\phi_{i}=\frac{\pi}{2}$; (3) $\theta_{i}=\frac{\pi}{2}, \phi_{i}=\psi_{i}=0$; (4) $\theta_{i}=0, \psi_{i}+\phi_{i}=0$. Particular the first two cases of Euler's angles are analyzed in details, the locations of the triangular points and their stability are studied. While we can do similar kind of analysis for the last two cases by using the obtained results of the first two cases. In addition the numerical solution is obtained by using a fourth order Runge-Kutta-Gill integrator with some graphical investigations.
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