Evaluation of Inflammatory Markers in Pregnant Women at Risk, for the Prediction of Preeclampsia
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Introduction: A low degree of inflammation has been associated with complications in pregnancies, including preeclampsia (PE). The aim of our study was to determine the serum values of high sensitivity C Reactive Protein (hs-CRP) and Interleukin-6 (IL-6) in the first and second trimesters of pregnancy in pregnant women with risk factors for the development of PE, and to evaluate their relevance for the prediction of this disorder.

Material and methods: We performed a prospective longitudinal study on 120 pregnant women, who were divided based on the pregnancy evolution, into two groups: group I – 26 pregnant women who developed preeclampsia and group II – 94 pregnant women with physiological evolution of pregnancy.

Results: Our study has shown an increase in serum levels of hs-CRP and IL-6 in the first and second trimester of pregnancy in patients from group I, significant values being revealed only in the second trimester of pregnancy. The predictive power of the selected inflammatory markers was significant only for values of hs-CRP in the second trimester of pregnancy, while the association with IL-6 increased the prediction.

Conclusions: Increased values of hs-CRP and IL-6 in the second trimester of pregnancy are associated with higher risk for preeclampsia, however the study provided only a modest efficiency of the prediction capacity.
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The criteria of inclusion in the study were the presence of at least one of the following risk factors: primiparity; a history of preeclampsia in previous pregnancies; a family history of preeclampsia; pregnancy-associated disorders (renal disease, diabetes mellitus, etc.); obstetric history (preeclampsia, fetal hypotrophy, oligoamnios, perinatal mortality, premature detachment of normally inserted placenta); obesity; young age or age over 40 years; low socioeconomic standard.

The exclusion criteria were: pregnant women with clinically manifest infections; recent treatment with non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs and corticosteroids (in the last 14 days); chronic autoimmune and inflammatory diseases.

All patients included in the study signed an informed consent and the study was approved by the Ethics Board of the "Iuliu Hațieganu" University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Cluj-Napoca. The study investigated the serum values of hs-CRP and IL-6 in the first trimester of pregnancy (weeks 10–14) and in the second trimester of pregnancy (weeks 20–24). The samples were analyzed according to the following study protocol: 3 ml blood were collected on anticoagulant, the plasma was separated by centrifugation for 15 min at 1000 xg. The samples were stored in the freezer at –20°C. When processed, they were gradually thawed on ice. The samples were processed according to the instructions of the CRP H5 ELISA (DRG Instruments GmbH, Germany) and Human IL-6 Quantikine ELISA kits (R&D Systems Europe Ltd., Abingdon OX143NB, UK).

The pregnant women were monitored periodically until delivery, and the development of PE or the physiological evolution of pregnancy was assessed. For the diagnosis of PE, we used the classification system proposed by U.S. NMBPEP Working Group 2000, preceded by AGOC: blood pressure values of at least 140/90 mmHg (on 2 examinations minimum 6 h and maximum 7 days apart), proteinuria higher than 30 mg/dl (in 2 urine samples collected 4–6 h apart); high blood pressure and proteinuria occurred after 20 weeks of pregnancy in a pregnant woman that was normotensive prior to pregnancy and were normalized by 12 weeks postpartum.

At the end of the study, the patients were divided into two groups depending on the evolution of pregnancy:

Group I – 26 pregnant women who developed preeclampsia: 9 the severe form, and 17 the moderate form. Of the 9 pregnant women with severe preeclampsia, 4 also had intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR).

Group II – 94 pregnant women who did not develop preeclampsia and had a physiological evolution of pregnancy.

**Statistical analysis**

We used the Mann-Whitney test for the comparison of IL-6 and hs-CRP values in the group with preeclampsia compared to the control group. The t-test was inadequate in this case, because the IL-6 and hs-CRP values did not have a normal distribution. We used the binary logit model to assess whether IL-6 and hs-CRP values were correlated with the probability of developing preeclampsia. We noted Y = 1 if the patient had preeclampsia and Y = 0 if the patient did not have preeclampsia. The t-test was used for the study of the statistical significance of the coefficients. We considered that a variable was statistically significant if the associated p value was <0.05. The predictive power of the functions used was evaluated using the ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristics) curve. All statistical processings were performed with the STATA 9.1 software (StataCorp, 4905 Lakeway Drive, College Station, Texas 77845 USA).

**Results**

We observed that patients with preeclampsia have higher mean and median IL-6 and hs-CRP values. The differences are more significant for hs-CRP. In the second trimester, both IL-6 and hs-CRP values are more significantly increased than in the first trimester.

**Predictive significance of IL-6 values in the first trimester**

The slightly increased IL-6 values in the first trimester are not statistically significant. The sign of the coefficient is as expected, positive, and is also found in similar studies.

The predictive power of IL-6 values in the first trimester is low (AUROC = 0.6277). Combined with the regression result, it shows that a suspicion of preeclampsia based only on IL-6 values in the first trimester is poorly supported.

**Predictive significance of IL-6 values in the second trimester**

The IL-6 value in the second trimester is statistically significant at a 5% threshold. The coefficient has the expected sign, positive. Consequently, a higher IL-6 value in the 2nd trimester increases the probability of preeclampsia.

The predictive power of IL-6 values in the second trimester is only slightly higher (AUROC = 0.6978) than that of IL-6 values in the first trimester. However, it remains rather low. A diagnosis of preeclampsia cannot be made only based on IL-6 values in the second trimester.

**Table I. Comparative IL-6 and hs-CRP values (p-value according to the Mann-Whitney test)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 – 1st trimester</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 – 2nd trimester</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-CRP – 1st trimester</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-CRP – 2nd trimester</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
predictability being modest. It is recommended to take into consideration other factors to support a serious suspicion of preeclampsia.

**Predictive significance of hs-CRP values in the first trimester**

The hs-CRP value in the first trimester is not statistically significant. The coefficient has a positive sign, according to previous empirical studies, but because it is insignificant, no conclusion regarding the influence of hs-CRP in the first trimester on the probability of preeclampsia can be drawn.

The predictive power of hs-CRP values in the first trimester is moderate (AUROC = 0.6998). Higher hs-CRP values in the first trimester are associated with an increased risk of preeclampsia.

**Table II. Results of binary logit regression, with the explanatory variable IL-6 in the 1st trimester**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 – 1st trimester</td>
<td>1.22029</td>
<td>1.01508</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-7.61233</td>
<td>4.69082</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table III. Results of binary logit regression, with the explanatory variable IL-6 in the 2nd trimester**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 – 2nd trimester</td>
<td>2.63622</td>
<td>1.16294</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-17.0949</td>
<td>5.39779</td>
<td>-3.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table IV. Results of binary logit regression, with the explanatory variable hs-CRP in the 1st trimester**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hs-CRP – 1st trimester</td>
<td>0.36886</td>
<td>0.40983</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-2.19969</td>
<td>0.35732</td>
<td>-6.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table V. Results of binary logit regression, with the explanatory variable hs-CRP in the 2nd trimester**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hs-CRP – 2nd trimester</td>
<td>0.71567</td>
<td>0.35338</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-2.19969</td>
<td>0.35732</td>
<td>-6.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
values in the first trimester indicate the probability of preeclampsia.

**Predictive significance of hs-CRP values in the second trimester**

The hs-CRP value in the second trimester is statistically significant at a 5% threshold. Increased hs-CRP values in the second trimester indicate a clear risk for the development of preeclampsia.

The predictive power of hs-CRP values in the second trimester is high (AUROC = 0.7746). Higher hs-CRP values in the second trimester are a serious indicator of the probability of preeclampsia. The percentage of correct predictions based on hs-CRP values in the second trimester is about 77%.

**Predictive significance of IL-6 and hs-CRP values in the first trimester**

In multiple regression analysis, the coefficients for IL-6 and hs-CRP in the first trimester also remain statistically insignificant. A prediction of preeclampsia based on these results would be poorly supported.

The predictability of preeclampsia based on IL-6 and hs-CRP in the first trimester is not very accurate, which confirms the conclusions derived from regression.

**Predictive significance of IL-6 and hs-CRP values in the second trimester**

In multiple regression analysis, the coefficients for IL-6 and hs-CRP in the second trimester also maintain their statistical significance at a 5% and 10% threshold, respectively.

This is a good premise to try a prediction for the development of preeclampsia based on their conjugated values.

The predictability of preeclampsia based on IL-6 and hs-CRP in the second trimester is superior to the one based on the same indicators in the first trimester.

**Discussion**

The immune system and inflammation play an important role in implantation, placentation and labor, and it is known that the pathogenesis of PE is at least in part immunologically mediated. PE is characterized by a proinflammatory status at the level of the fetomaternal interface, which determines an orientation towards Th1 immune response, compared to antiinflammatory response in normal pregnancy [5]. The clinical interest in inflammatory markers in pregnancy led to the investigation of the acute phase protein hs-CRP and IL-6 in the first and second trimesters of pregnancy in pregnant women with risk factors for the development of PE.

Our results indicate an increase of serum IL-6 levels in PE compared to normal pregnancy, with more significantly increased values in the second trimester. Similar data were reported by other literature studies which suggest a marked inflammatory response in PE [13–16].

The plasma activation of endothelial cells in PE stimulates IL-6 production that is inhibited by vitamin E [17]. Other recent studies suggest that IL-6 levels in the placenta and peripheral blood do not play a significant role in the induction of an immunological imbalance that leads to PE [13,18]. The association of high IL-6 levels with the development of PE has a moderate predictive power only.
in the second trimester of pregnancy, in the first trimester predictability being reduced.

Currently, it is not known whether IL-6 plays a direct role in the pathogenicity of PE or is just a marker of endothelial cell activation. Recently, it has been demonstrated that IL-6 can interfere with the mechanisms of contraction and relaxation at the level of systemic vessels in pregnancy, playing a role in modeling vascular resistance and implicitly blood pressure [19].

Our study detected increased hs-CRP values in the first and second trimesters of pregnancy in pregnant women who subsequently developed PE, a significant increase of hs-CRP being evidenced in the second trimester. The data obtained by Wolf et al. and Tjoa et al., who found increased CRP levels as early as the first trimester in pregnancies that subsequently developed PE, are in accordance with our results [20,21]. There are also contradictory data resulting from the studies of Savvidou, who found no differences between CRP levels in pregnant women with PE compared to normal pregnancy [4,22,23].

The results of our study indicate the association of high hs-CRP levels in the first and second trimesters of pregnancy with the development of PE, the serum hs-CRP values obtained in the second trimester having a higher predictive power. For a better and early prediction of PE, we associated the two inflammatory markers studied in the first and second trimesters of pregnancy, the predictive power of the conjugated hs-CRP and IL-6 values being higher in the second trimester.

Conclusions
The obtained data suggest the presence of a generalized inflammatory response in patients with PE as early as the first trimester of pregnancy.

The evaluation of inflammatory markers in pregnancy has complex implications regarding the prediction, screening and prophylaxis of PE. The increased hs-CRP and IL-6 values in the second trimester of pregnancy are associated with a higher risk to develop PE, the study providing only a modest efficiency of the prediction capacity. Further investigations of additional factors that might improve the prediction rate are justified in order to develop more accurate screening methods.
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