MODERN HYBRID JOINTS IN AEROSPACE: MODELLING AND TESTING

Nowoczesne połączenia hybrydowe w lotnictwie: modelowanie i badania eksperymentalne

The aim of the paper is to review different types of modern hybrid joints applied in aerospace. We focused on three particular cases: 1) spot welding – adhesive, 2) rivet-bonded and 3) clinch-bonded joints. The numerical models presented in the paper for these joints describe their complex behaviour under mechanical loading. The numerical calculations performed using ABAQUS code were compared to experimental results obtained by application of the Digital Image Correlation system (DIC) ARAMIS.

The results investigated within the paper lead to the following major conclusions:
• the strengthening of joints by application of adhesive significantly improve static strength,
• the final failure of the joined structural system significantly depends on the surface adhesive area,
• the stiffening effects of the hybrid joint lead to higher reliability and durability of the structural joints.
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W pracy dokonano przeglądu różnych typów połączeń hybrydowych stosowanych w lotnictwie. Szczególną uwagę zwrócono na trzy typy połączeń: 1) zgrzewanie punktowe – klejenie, 2) nitowanie – klejenie, 3) klinowanie – klejenie. Modele numeryczne złączy zawarte w pracy, ujawniają złożoną i trudną do przewidzenia reakcję tych złączy na obciążenia mechaniczne. Obliczenia numeryczne porównano z wynikami eksperymentalnymi otrzymanymi za pomocą bezdotykowego systemu pomiaru odkładzeń i naprężeń (DIC) ARAMIS.

Główne wnioski z prezentowanych badań:
• zastosowanie kleju powoduje istotne zwiększenie wytrzymałości statycznej testowanych połączeń,
• na końcowy efekt zniszczenia złącza hybrydowego znaczący wpływ ma powierzchnia złącza klejowego,
• zwiększona sztywność złączy hybrydowych powoduje, że mają one większą niezawodność i trwałość jako połączenia konstrukcyjne.

1. Introduction

The current manufacturers demands of modern structural parts of aircrafts requires application of new composite materials with different internal structure, specially introduced to the material, to modify its properties in order to get: excellent mechanical properties, lightweight, low cost and high security of structural elements creating whole airplanes. These applications are very important task in case of critical parts of the aircrafts as: elements of engines (e.g. turbine blades), wings, fuselage, joining of critical elements with various techniques etc. One of the idea of the new composites is to function-alize properties of the material in the form so called functionally graded materials – FGM (e.g. [1-5]) or by introduction layers between material components (e.g. [6-9]) or joined adherends (e.g. [10-15]) and as TBC covering turbine blades (e.g.[16-19]), etc. Application of adhesives with different nano-particles or carbon nano-tubes are other example to use of modern particle reinforced composites in aerospace technology (e.g. [20, 21]). This is the advantage of the idea to use combination of different phases in materials or joints to create new and stronger composite which guarantee higher durability and reliability of structural elements in aerospace.

The above ideas are the basis for improvements of joining technologies of structural parts in aerospace. Instead of using single joining technique as: riveting, spot welding, clinching or adhesive bonding a hybrid joining technique (e.g. [15, 22]) can be applied by combination of two simple ones, e.g.: riveted-bonding (e.g. [23, 24]), spot welded-bonding (e.g. [25, 26], clinched-bonding (e.g. [27-34]), etc. The first application of hybrid joints, one of them being adhesive bonding, was in a Russian aircraft construction from 1960 [27], and it was developed later on the Antonov AN-74 airplane, were a resistance spot welding was combined with the adhesive bonding.

In the paper we will review currently applied different hybrid joining techniques. The basic idea is to strengthen simple
joining technology by introduction additional adhesive layer between adherends, which have many positive effects, like: a higher static strength, improved fatigue strength, removing of sealing operations, better corrosion resistance.

The own experimental investigations done with: 1) rivet-adhesive bonded, 2) spot welding – adhesive and 3) clinch-adhesive bonded joints confirm the significant improvement of mechanical properties of hybrid joints in comparison to simple one.

The paper describes details of numerical model by application of FEA for proper characterisation of the mechanical response of these complex hybrid joints. The models incorporate description of the technological process of the joint creation (i.e. press forming of the clinch) as well as different aspects of damage processes which should be applied for full description of the degradation both: adhesive layers and adherends.

The received results lead to general conclusion that the hybrid joining significantly increases mechanical response of the joint, particularly as for load capacity.

2. Rivet-adhesive bonded joints

Let us consider the hybrid Double Lap Joints (DLJ) made of steel strips adhesive bond layer reinforced by a rivet. The rivet forming process introduces an initial compressive stress to the joint, making the hybrid joint non-symmetric in the thickness direction. The mechanical response of this DLJ was analysed in three steps: starting from a simple mechanical rivet joint, further – from a simple adhesive joint and finally – by analysis of the hybrid joint.

The experimental testing included the application of the 3-D Digital Image Correlation (DIC) system ARAMIS in order to monitor the displacements distribution during the joint testing up to final failure. A numerical modelling was performed by Finite Element Analysis (FEA) and software ABAQUS 6.6. It included the modelling of the riveting process, degradation of the adhesive layer by application of a Cohesive Zone Model (CZM) and fracture of the aluminium rivet, similar to [7]. The stress concentrations in different parts of the joint due to different reasons (riveting process, mechanical loading) were investigated.

2.1. Experimental tests

The samples were prepared from two different kinds of steel sheets with a Young’s modulus equal to $E_s = 210$ GPa, and Poisson’s ratio $\nu = 0.3$. The steel used for the middle part of DLJ (3 mm thickness) was much stronger in comparison to that used for the 2 external thinner sheets (1.5 mm thickness).

The rivets, made of aluminium alloy having the Young’s modulus equal to $E_r = 70$ GPa, whereas Poisson’s ratio is equal to $\nu = 0.3$. The mandrel was made of steel. The exerted force by the mandrel for the single rivet during forming process was equal to $F_s = 2$ kN.

The adhesive used for the DLJ was the heat curing epoxy adhesive Hysol 9514 (Loctite, Ireland). In order to get maximum tensile and shear strengths, the specimens were kept in the curing temperature 150°C for 30 min, according to producer recommendation. The shear strength was equal to $\tau_{\text{max}} = 45$ MPa, whereas tensile strength $\sigma_{\text{max}} = 44$ MPa (data supplied by the manufacturer).

The specimens were subjected to tensile loading using the ZWICK Z-100 testing machine at a crosshead displacement rate of 2 mm/min. Experimental results for the whole program with the three types of specimens are presented in Fig. 1. The steel parts joined mechanically by the rivet exhibit a relatively weak strength. This is due to the fact that the rivet was made of aluminium alloy, having not high shear strength limit. The shape of the force-displacement diagram is in the first stage a linear behaviour, then yielding of steel strips takes place and finally crack propagation in the adhesive layers (after maximum load) in the most efforted zone of the joint started.

The adhesive joint with Hysol 9514 was extremely effective and tough, i.e. the strength of the joint is almost one order of magnitude higher in comparison to the rivet joints. The shape of the force-displacement curve is characteristic, i.e. after the first linear part, the curve reaches the local maximum at which the adhesive layers start to fail (damage initiation criterion is satisfied in the most efforted parts of the joint). Then, the bonding area load starts to reduce. Therefore, one can observe a local small decrease of the force and then stabilisation corresponding to further gradual development of failure zones in adhesive layers, followed by almost linear increase up to the maximum load.

The hybrid joints have the same geometry as the simple joints. The adhesive was applied on the cleaned steel adherends and then the joint was reinforced by a simple rivet. The whole joint was cured at 150°C for 30 min. The averaged thickness of the adhesive, measured on the specimen, was equal to 0.2 mm. The force-displacement curves of the hybrid joints are similar to those of the adhesive joints. However, one can observe an increase of the failure load due to the presence of the rivet and synergy between the adhesive and the rivet. Moreover, a significant increase of the displacement at failure is observed for the hybrid joint. Failure takes place at the two bonding interfaces and the rivet.

![Fig. 1. Load-displacement curves for the riveted joints, the adhesive joints and the hybrid joints](image-url)
2.2. Numerical model

3D finite element model was created in order to investigate numerically the 3 types of joint behaviour. The following types of elements were applied to the analysis: 8 node brick elements with reduced integration for modelling the steel adherends response, 4 node tetrahedral elements were used for the rivet modelling and 8-node three-dimensional cohesive elements for the adhesive layer. The analyses were done with the explicit version of the ABAQUS finite element code using arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian adaptive meshing.

Rivet forming process was modelled introducing the contact between the adherends, the rivet and the mandrel, i.e. the general contact algorithm in ABAQUS/Explicit was used with edge-to-edge contact penetrations. The contact properties were assumed as a “hard” contact in the normal direction without friction. One can observe the high plastic stress concentrations in the regions surrounding the penetration of the rivet by the mandrel. In this way, a compressive state is introduced in the joint, which significantly improves the load capacity of the whole joint. The permanent state of stress and strain created during the riveting process constitutes the initial stage for further analysis of the DLJ behaviour.

To simulate gradual decohesion and the failure process of the adhesive layer, a cohesive zone model CZM was applied in FEA calculations, e.g. [35 - 39]. For the analysis of degradation process the following damage initiation criterion which depend on current state of stress \(\{\sigma_n, \sigma_t, \sigma_s\}\) was applied:

\[
\left(\frac{\sigma_n}{\sigma_n^{\text{max}}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma_t}{\sigma_t^{\text{max}}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma_s}{\sigma_s^{\text{max}}}\right)^2 = 1,
\]

where \(\sigma_n\) is the normal stress to the surface of the adhesive layer, whereas \(\sigma_t\) and \(\sigma_s\) are the shear stress components along the adhesive layer. For the considered adhesive Hysol 9514 (\(\sigma_n^{\text{max}} = 44\ \text{MPa} < \sigma_t^{\text{max}} = \sigma_s^{\text{max}} = 45\ \text{MPa}\)) one can assume that the damage initiation process can be treated as isotropic.

The damage evolution criterion in the most general case is formulated as a power law and depends on the fracture energy in the three considered modes: normal \(n = I\) and two tangential: \(t = II, s = III:\)

\[
\left(\frac{G_I}{G_{IIc}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{G_{II}}{G_{Ic}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{G_{III}}{G_{IIc}}\right)^2 = 1
\]

where \(G_{IIc}, G_{Ic}, G_{IIIc}\) are the critical values of the fracture energies (e.g. [40 – 43]). The fracture energy, measured by DCB test, is equal to \(G_{IIc} = 905\ \text{J/m}^2\). Due to lack of experimental data, it was assumed that \(G_{IIIc} = G_{Ic} = G_{IIc}\), i.e. the failure of the cohesive layer is isotropic. In simulation we assumed that the total damage of a finite element of the adhesive layers is reached, when the fracture energy criterion (2) is satisfied. Then the finite element was removed from the mesh.

Figure 2 presents the Huber – von Mises stress concentration at the stage before final failure of the adhesive joint. The major part of the adhesive layers area is damaged in the FEA model. However, the central parts are still strong enough to transmit the load. One can observe the progression of the failure zone in the adhesive layers due to:

- significant development of plastic strains in the steel adherends (from the loading force side);
- total unloading at the ends of the adherents (full decohesion of joined parts).

Figure 3 shows distribution of the Huber – von Mises stress in the final stage of degradation process of the hybrid joint. After total decohesion of the adhesive layers shearing rivet process initiates and leads to final failure.

3. Spot welding – adhesive joints

The second type of joining is spot welding-adhesive joint made of aluminium 2024 sheet of the thickness 0.6 mm – typical alloys for making fuselage of small aircrafts, Fig. 5. The characteristic values of the material are: the Young’s modulus was equal to \(E_m = 70\ \text{GPa}\), \(\nu = 0.3\), \(R_m = 330\ \text{MPa}\).
The adhesive used for samples preparation was two-component epoxy CHS-EPODUR 619 (Spolchemie, Czech Republic). This is modified liquid epoxy resin with the low dynamic viscosity coefficient equal to 0.8 Pas. The shear strength was equal to \( \tau_{\text{max}} \approx 24.4 \text{ MPa} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{max}} \approx 24.4 \text{ MPa} \). The Young's modulus was equal to \( E_{\text{ad}} = 2 \text{ GPa} \), whereas \( G_{\text{ad}} = 0.8 \text{ GPa} \) and \( \nu = 0.3 \).

The weld-bonded joints were produced by the weld-through technique. This process was performed in order to create spots located in the middle part of the laps (of the area 12 mm \( \times 25 \text{ mm} \)), Fig. 5a). The diameter of the nuggets for the considered joint was 6 mm.

In the final stage of the hybrid joint technological process the low-viscosity adhesive is introduced between joint faying surfaces by capillary action to fulfill the overlap area of the joint. The curing procedure was the following: 24 hours in 23°C and then 4 hours in 140°C.

3.1. Experimental tests

The specimens were subjected to tensile loading using the MTS testing machine (100 kN) with displacement rate equal to 2 mm/min. Because of very complex specimen shape in order to monitor the whole displacement process two ARAMIS sensors were simultaneously used from both specimen sides. Figure 5b) shows load-displacement curves for all tested kinds of joints and corresponding DIC major strains distribution. The obtained results indicate that the deformation process of the real joint is highly non-homogeneous, anisotropic and non-uniform. The load-displacement diagram indicates that simple spot welded joint for the considered sample geometry exhibits a relatively weak strength. The maximum force \( P_{\text{max}} \) is equal to 1.8 kN and corresponds to the fracture force of the single spot. The carrying load is just concentrated in the small cross section of the 2 spots. For the hybrid joint at the load level 4.4 kN the change of the angle of inclination takes place. At this stage of deformation a visible adhesive layer degradation process starts, i.e. the overlap area of the adhesive carrying load decreases. The load increase leads to destruction of the adhesive layer up to the maximum force \( P_{\text{max}} \). Then sudden drop of the carrying force begins and the final failure of the hybrid joint takes place.

The hybrid joint has almost 2.5 times higher load capacity in comparison to the simple weld spot joint.

3.2. Numerical results

The numerical model was created with application fasteners technique to simple spot joints and ABAQUS code. The adhesive layer with thickness 0.1 mm was modelled by application cohesive elements. The fracture energy of the adhesive, measured by DCB test, was equal to \( G_{\text{cr}} = 750 \text{ J/m}^2 \). In the numerical analysis both criteria (1) and (2) were included.

Figure 6 presents numerical results. In case of the pure spot weld joint, the difference between maximum force \( P_{\text{max}} \) obtained from the experiment and the ABAQUS program was equal to 0.1 kN. This is about 4.5% of the experimental force. Furthermore, the maximum force for both results, i.e. numerical and experimental one, took place almost at the same displacements. For the hybrid joint we also received convergence of results concerning \( P_{\text{max}} \) at the level of 6.3%. However, there is divergence for displacement at which the failure of the joint initiates. For the numerical simulation this characteristic is about 0.7 mm while in real sample after testing 1.25 mm.

That big difference is caused by the high gradient of plastic deformation and further gradual rupture of material in the surrounding area of the spot weld. The concentrated large deformations cause additional displacement before the final fail-
ure, what was reflected in the experimental force-displacement diagram.

4. Clinch-bonded joints

Clinching is a mechanical joining technique for point joining of sheet metals without the use of any additional elements (e.g. [28, 29, 44, 45]). With clinching, the sheets are squeezed between a punch and a die, forming a shape that locks the sheets together. The strength of the joint is not high in comparison with other joining methods. Combining clinching with adhesive bonding can increase the joint strength and create a hybrid joining method with new potential applications in the manufacturing industry [31-34]. The paper presents results of experimental and numerical testing of such clinch-bonded joints. Up till now there is no discussion in the literature of the sequence of technological operations in manufacturing of the hybrid joints, i.e. investigations of the effect of clinching before and after curing of the adhesive.

4.1. Experimental tests

The experimental investigations of the clinched joints were realized in the pull tests of lap joints. The clinch lap joints were manufactured by the tool set presented in previous publications [33, 34]. ETP-copper and low carbon steel sheets were used to prepare the test specimens, which were composed of two strips (∼132×35×1 mm) joined with 35 mm overlap length. Adhesive used in the bonded and hybrid (clinched and bonded) specimens preparation, was Pattex® Repair Epoxy, a commercial product of Henkel AG &Co.KGaA.

Two methodologies of hybrid specimen were applied: 1 – adhesive curing before clinching and 2 – clinching before adhesive curing. The results obtained for these both methods are shown in Fig. 7. Although, big differences in geometrical parameters between clinched and hybrid specimens were not observed, the essential increase in their mechanical properties was noticed, i.e. force and energy absorption, e.g. [31, 33, 34].

![Fig. 7. Experimental load–displacement curves obtained for adhesive, clinched and hybrid joints](image)

The hybrid joint – clinching before adhesive curing disclose more rigidity and greater bearing load than the hybrid joint – clinching after curing, as it can be observed in diagrams presented in Fig 7. This result is particularly visible in the second stage of the hybrid joint curves, when the adhesive bonds are destroyed (after maximal load point) and the load is borne by the clinch joint.

4.2. Numerical results

Numerical simulations were performed using ABAQUS software with dynamic explicit approach. The blanks were meshed using C3D8R elements, involving in 10816 elements for each blank and four elements in thickness direction. The assumptions made in simulation process are shown in Table 1 and the simulation results are shown in Fig 8. The quadratic stress-based damage initiation criterion CSQUADSCRT for cohesive surfaces in general contact was used to estimate damage of the adhesive layer; damage initiation occurs when the stresses satisfy the specified quadratic nominal stress criterion given by:

\[ \left( \frac{t_s}{t_s^0} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{t_n}{t_n^0} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{t_t}{t_t^0} \right)^2 = 1, \]  

where \( t_s^0 \), \( t_n^0 \), \( t_t^0 \) are the peak values of the nominal stress when the deformation is either purely normal to the interface or purely in the first or the second shear direction respectively. The adhesive layer parameters were assumed as: \( E =3000 \text{MPa}, \ t_s^0 = t_n^0 = t_t^0 = 6 \text{ MPa}, \) fracture energy was equal to \( G_{\text{lc}} = 275 \text{ J/m}^2 \) (measured by DCB test).

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulated stages of the process</th>
<th>Clinching before curing of adhesive (A simulation)</th>
<th>Clinching after curing of adhesive (B simulation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sheet drawing</td>
<td>Lack of friction between joined sheets; lack of cohesion properties</td>
<td>There are cohesion properties - friction 0.1 on the contact surfaces after adhesive layer failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anneal</td>
<td>Deletion of drawing stresses – it is in real process, when clamping the joint</td>
<td>No deletion of drawing stresses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening the clinching tools</td>
<td>Engaging of cohesion properties and then friction 0.1 on the contact surfaces after adhesive layer failure</td>
<td>No changes. Friction 0.1 on the contact surfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint failure</td>
<td>No changes in the contact definition</td>
<td>No changes in the contact definition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 describes assumptions in the numerical modelling of the clinch-bonded joints at different stages of their manufacturing starting from the sheet drawing up to the final failure.

Differences between experimental and numerical simulations are in the shearing force value. The experimental force values obtained in the pull test were in the range 4±4.5 kN, whereas the simulation results were 5±7 kN. In experiments, greater force values were obtained for hybrid specimens prepared by procedure clinching before curing; inverse results were obtained in simulation.
The main reason of the discrepancy between experiment and simulation results, concerning hybrid specimen procedures (clipping before and after adhesive curing), are numerical simulation assumptions, but it should be noticed, that the differences between experimental results, obtained in these two applied procedures, are small. So, it is difficult to establish simulation conditions satisfying exactly experiment requirements. The presented simulation is only a trial of possible simulations by FEM, but the simulation assumptions should be discussed and corrected in future investigations.

5. Conclusions

The results investigated within the paper lead to the following major conclusions:

- the strengthening of simple joints (clipping, riveting and spot welding) by application of adhesive significantly improve static strength,
- the increase of the load capacity of the rivet – adhesive hybrid joint in comparison to purely riveted one is higher than 10 times. In comparison to simple adhesive bond this difference is approximately equal to 10%. However, energy consumed by the specimen to the final failure is several times higher in case of the hybrid joints,
- the spot welded – adhesive hybrid joint has almost 2.5 times higher load capacity in comparison to the simple weld spot joint,
- the greater force values were obtained for hybrid specimens prepared by clipping before curing,
- the final failure of the hybrid joined structural system significantly depends on the type of the applied adhesive during specimen manufacturing and the surface adhesive area. The high fracture properties of the adhesive layer can significantly influence the level of loading capacity and increase the energy absorption capacity up to the final failure,
- the stiffening effects of the hybrid joint lead to higher reliability and durability of the structural joints.
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