Environmental radioactivity in southern Serbia at locations where depleted uranium was used
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In the 1999 bombing of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, NATO forces used ammunition containing depleted uranium. The cleaning of depleted uranium that followed was performed in southern Serbia by the Vinča Institute of Nuclear Sciences between 2002 and 2007 at the locations of Pljačkovica, Borovac, Bratoselce, and Reljan. This paper presents detailed results of radioactivity monitoring four years after cleaning (2011), which included the determination of gamma emitters in soil, water, and plant samples, as well as gross alpha and beta activities in water samples. The gamma spectrometry results showed the presence of natural radionuclides $^{226}$Ra, $^{232}$Th, $^{40}$K, $^{235}$U, $^{238}$U, and the produced radionuclide $^{137}$Cs (from the Chernobyl accident). In order to evaluate the radiological hazard from soil, the radium equivalent activity, the gamma dose rate, the external hazard index, and the annual effective dose were calculated. Considering that a significant number of people inhabit the studied locations, the periodical monitoring of radionuclide content is vital.
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Over the last 40 years, Europe has experienced several major radioactive fallouts, from atmospheric nuclear tests in North Africa during the 1960s, the Chernobyl accident in 1986, the Acerinox accident in 1998 to the wars in former Yugoslavia in the 1990s (1). Depleted uranium (DU) came into military use in the early 1990s and was first used in 1991 in the Gulf War (2, 3). The DU used during the wars in former Yugoslavia represents the most recent source of radioactivity released into the environment, following NATO air strikes (1, 4-6). NATO and Serbian Military (VS) data on DU use are in large discordance, but overlap for at least four locations (5, 6) in southern Serbia: Pljačkovica, Borovac, Bratoselce, and Reljan (Figure 1).

Uranium is a naturally occurring ubiquitous heavy metal found in various chemical forms in all soils, rocks, seas, and oceans. It is also present in drinking water and food. Natural uranium consists of a mixture of three different isotopes: $^{238}$U (99.27 %), $^{235}$U (0.72 %), and $^{234}$U (0.0054 %) (7). It is both chemically toxic and radioactive, and being water-soluble, it is easily taken up by plants, thus entering food chains and soil/water systems (8). Metallic uranium used for military purposes is practically insoluble in water and body fluids. However, while exposed to air and water, uranium is slowly oxidised to a +4 or +6 state (9).

The uranyl ion easily forms complexes, mainly carbonate $[\text{UO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_2]^2-$, which are well dissolved in water and body fluids. As the result of these chemical processes (weathering), uranium dispersed into the environment in a metallic form can slowly migrate to drinking water and plants and enter the
human food chain. However, the weathering of DU penetrators strongly depends on the type of soil, its humidity and temperature (9). Depending on the physicochemical characteristics of the medium and chemical form in which uranium is bound, there are various scenarios for environmental contamination. Quantitative determination of the DU fraction in environmental samples is difficult, primarily because of the relatively large content of natural uranium present in the environment. Based on the obtained concentrations of $^{235}$U and $^{238}$U and their ratio, a conclusion whether this ratio presents the natural activity ratio of uranium isotopes or possible presence of DU can be reached.

Considering the fact that people live and work on the locations examined here, it is necessary to periodically monitor the content of radionuclides in samples from these locations, especially because of the use of DU. Therefore, one of the aims of this study was to offer a detailed approach to perform such monitoring.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Environmental monitoring**

Regular radioactivity monitoring in Serbia includes spectrometric measurements of gamma emitters in soil, water and plant samples, as well as determination of the gross alpha and beta activities in water samples. The cleaning of DU left over after NATO air strikes, along with detailed dosimetric screening and decontamination, was performed by the Radiation and Environmental Protection Department of the Vinča Institute of Nuclear Sciences from 2002 to 2007 at Pljačkovica, Borovac, Bratoselce, and Reljan, located in southern Serbia, in coordination with the Agency for Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety of Serbia (10) (Figure 1). Because of the loose soil at these locations, projectiles containing DU were found already at a depth of one meter. The discovered projectiles, contaminated soil, and all radioactive materials were disposed of as radioactive waste. After the cleaning, the terrain was aligned and another dosimetric screening was performed. In 2011, the Department collected and analysed samples from these locations in order to determine the activity concentrations of radionuclides and verify that the fields were successfully cleaned of DU.

**Sampling and sample preparation**

Soil samples were collected at 5 measuring points for each location (east, west, north, south, and centre) at a depth of 10-15 cm using a probe. The sampling depth was chosen based on the fact that these measurements follow the migration of radionuclides by depth (11). About 2 kg of samples were placed into polyethylene bags and transported to the laboratory. Plant samples were taken differently and sampling included the presence of plant species (hay and grass). About 1 kg of samples was placed into polyethylene bags and transported to the laboratory. Plant samples were dried at room temperature over a few days, ashed at 450 °C during 24 h, placed in plastic boxes of 100 mL and left to reach radioactive equilibrium for four weeks (12).

The plant samples were taken differently and sampling included the presence of plant species (hay and grass). About 1 kg of samples was placed into polyethylene bags and transported to the laboratory. Plant samples were dried at room temperature over a few days, ashed at 450 °C during 24 h, placed in plastic boxes of 100 mL and left to reach radioactive equilibrium for four weeks (12).

Water samples were taken from public fountains and wells from where the inhabitants draw water. These samples (20 L each) were collected directly from the springs in plastic bottles and tightly closed. For gamma spectrometric measurements, a volume of 17 L of water samples was acidified to pH 2, evaporated to 200 mL under an infrared lamp, and then poured into 200 mL cylindrical polyethylene vials. The samples were then stored to reach radioactive equilibrium (12). For measurements of
gross alpha and beta activity, a volume of 3 L of water samples was evaporated to dry residue under an infrared lamp. The remainder was heated to dryness at 450 °C (13, 14). The residues were transferred quantitatively to a stainless-steel planchet and measurements were performed immediately after preparation.

Plant samples were concentrated by mineralisation and water samples by evaporation in order to maximize the detection efficiency, which is higher in geometries that are not collected in a large volume because the self-absorption effect is smaller.

Procedure for gamma spectrometry

Gamma spectrometric measurements were performed using HPGe Canberra detectors (Canberra Industries, Meriden, Connecticut, USA) with counting efficiency of 18 and 20 %. Due to the low activity in the samples and to reduce the uncertainty of measurement, the samples were measured 60,000 s. The calibration of detectors for water and plant sample measurement was performed using a cylindrical polyethylene bottle of 200 mL and a plastic box of 100 mL in volume, respectively. This secondary reference material was obtained from the primary reference liquid radioactive material (9031–OL–116/08, type ERX, Czech Metrological Institute, Prague, Czech Republic) spiked with a series of radionuclides (241Am, 109Cd, 139Ce, 57Co, 60Co, 88Y, 113Sn, 85Sr, 137Cs, and 209Pb) with total activity of 114.9 kBq on 3 March 2008. The calibration of detectors for measurement of soil samples was performed using a silicone resin matrix in the geometry of a plastic Marinelli beaker of 500 mL in volume, (9031–OL–208/08, type ERX, Czech Metrological Institute, Prague, Czech Republic) spiked with a series of radionuclides (241Am, 109Cd, 139Ce, 57Co, 60Co, 203Hg, 85Y, 113Sn, 85Sr, and 137Cs) with total activity of 40.624 kBq on 15 April 2008.

The spectra were analysed using the program GENIE 2000 (Canberra Industries, Meriden, Connecticut, USA). The activity of 226Ra and 232Th was determined by their decay products: 214Bi (609 keV, 1120 keV, and 1764 keV), 214Pb (295 keV and 352 keV) and 228Ac (338 keV and 911 keV), respectively. 228Ra was determined via 186 keV corrected for 224Ra. 238U was determined via 234Th (63 keV) or by 234Pa (t1/2 = 1.17 min, 1000 keV). The activities of 40K and 137Cs were determined from its 1460 keV and 661 keV, respectively. The activities of 209Pb and 7Be were determined from its 46 keV and 477 keV, respectively. The background spectrum was recorded regularly after or before the sample counting, with an empty 200 mL cylindrical polyethylene bottle, 100 mL plastic box, and 500 mL plastic Marinelli beaker.

The accuracy and reproducibility of gamma spectrometry systems were verified on weekly basis by a quality control (QC) procedure. QC procedure consisted of the following steps: total background count rate was monitored to verify that the detector and shield have not been contaminated by radioactive materials; the total activity of the calibration source [60Co and 133Ba issued by the Czech Metrological Institute and traceable to BIPM (Bureau International des Poids et Mesures)] was used to check the efficiency calibration and the general operating parameters of the gamma spectrometry system (source positioning, contamination, library values, and energy calibration); the detector-shield background, full energy peak efficiency, peak shape, and peak drift were measured to confirm whether they were within the warning and acceptance limits; the acceptance limits were set according to the manufacturer’s specifications (peak shape and drift, full energy peak efficiency) and previous experiences (detector shield background); the specific activity of the radionuclides in the samples (A) was calculated using the equation:

$$A = \frac{N}{t \times P_f \times \varepsilon_f \times V(m)}$$

where $N$ is count rate of the sample, $t$ – counting time (s), $P_f$ – probability of gamma decay (%), $\varepsilon_f$ – detector efficiency (%), $V$ and $m$ are the volume (L) and mass (kg) of the sample.

Minimum detectable activity (MDA) was calculated by the equation (2):

$$MDA = \frac{LLD}{t \times P_f \times \varepsilon_f \times V(m)}$$

where $LLD$ is the detection limit, $LLD = 2.71 + 4.65 \sqrt{B}$ and B is background.

The combined measurement uncertainty of results was calculated at the 95 % level of confidence (k=2).

Minimum detectable activity was inversely proportional to the measurement time of samples (equation 2), which is very important for spectrometry of gamma emitters in environmental samples. Also, in order to reduce the measurement uncertainty of the count rate below the photo peak of a certain energy that corresponds to radionuclides with low activities (such as 233U), it is necessary to increase the
Uncertainty measurement of count below the photo peak affects the overall uncertainty of the results, which can be calculated by the equation (3):

$$ \sigma = \sqrt{\left( \frac{\Delta N}{N} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\Delta t}{t} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\Delta P}{P} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\Delta \gamma}{\gamma} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\Delta V}{V} \frac{(\Delta m/m)}{V/\text{m}} \right)^2 + \sigma_s^2 } $$

where $\Delta N/N$ is relative uncertainty of count which is corrected on background, $\Delta t/t$ relative uncertainty of time measurement, $\Delta P/P$ relative uncertainty of the gamma decay probability (table value), $\Delta \gamma/\gamma$ relative uncertainty of detector efficiency, $\Delta V/V (\Delta m/m)$ relative uncertainty of volume determination (or mass determination), $\sigma_s$ uncertainty due to the fitting and due to the unfairness in the calibration.

**Procedure for gross alpha and gross beta activity**

Gross alpha and beta activity in water samples were determined by $\alpha/\beta$ low-level proportional counter Thermo Eberline FHT 770 T (ESM Eberline Instruments GmbH, Erlangen, Germany). The counting time was 7,200 s.

Calibration was performed by using a standard source of $^{90}\text{Sr}$ (EM 145, Prague, Czech Republic) with an activity of 189.4 Bq on 1 August 2011 for beta activity and a standard source of $^{241}\text{Am}$ (EM 445, Prague, Czech Republic) with an activity of 224 Bq on the 1 August 2011 for alpha activity. The counting gas was a mixture of 90 % argon and 10 % methane. The counting efficiencies for the system were 26 % for alpha and 35 % for beta. The background of each detector was determined by counting an empty planchet for 3,600 s.

Quality of sampling and measurement and the calculated uncertainty are very important for predicting the dose for a population. The accuracy and reproducibility of a gas proportional counter were verified on a periodic basis (every week). Calibration was done every week with calibration standards and efficiency was checked. Total background count rate without a source was monitored to verify that the detector and shield have not been contaminated by radioactive materials. Alpha and beta efficiencies of gas proportional counter were checked with $^{241}\text{Am}$ and $^{90}\text{Sr}$ sources, respectively.

Gross alpha and beta activity was calculated using the following formula:

$$ A_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{I}{V} $$

where $A_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the activity of the sample (Bq L$^{-1}$), $V$ is the volume of the sample (L) that corresponds to the mass of solid residue, and $I$ is given by the formula:

$$ I = \frac{(N - B)}{ef} $$

where $N$ is the count rate for the sample (s$^{-1}$), $B$ is background (s$^{-1}$) and $ef$ is the efficiency of the detectors for alpha and beta measurements.

Minimum detectable activity was calculated by the equation (6):

$$ MDA = \frac{\text{LLD}}{V} $$

where LLD is the detection limit (s$^{-1}$) and $V$ is the volume of the sample (L). Measurement uncertainty determined as expanded measurement uncertainty was 30–40 % for alpha and 15 % for beta.

**Radium equivalent activity**

The distribution of $^{226}\text{Ra}$, $^{232}\text{Th}$, and $^{40}\text{K}$ in soil is not uniform. Uniformity with respect to exposure to radiation has been defined in terms of radium equivalent activity ($Ra_{eq}$) in Bq kg$^{-1}$ to compare the specific activity of materials containing different amounts of $^{226}\text{Ra}$, $^{232}\text{Th}$, and $^{40}\text{K}$ (8). It is calculated using the following equation (15, 16):

$$ Ra_{eq} = A_{\text{Ra}} + 1.43 \times A_{\text{Th}} + 0.077 \times A_{\text{K}} $$

where $A_{\text{Ra}}$, $A_{\text{Th}}$, and $A_{\text{K}}$ are the specific activities of $^{226}\text{Ra}$, $^{232}\text{Th}$, and $^{40}\text{K}$ in Bq kg$^{-1}$, respectively.

**Gamma dose rate calculation**

The external gamma dose rate in the air 1 m above ground level was calculated from the measured specific activities of $^{226}\text{Ra}$, $^{232}\text{Th}$, and $^{40}\text{K}$ in soil assuming that the other radionuclides, such as $^{137}\text{Cs}$, $^{90}\text{Sr}$, and the $^{235}\text{U}$ series, can be neglected as they contribute very little to the total dose from environmental background (8, 17). The calculations were performed according to the following equation (18):

$$ \dot{D} = 0.462 \times A_{\text{Ra}} + 0.604 \times A_{\text{Th}} + 0.042 \times A_{\text{K}} $$

where $\dot{D}$ is the dose rate in nGy h$^{-1}$.

**Calculation of external hazard index**

The external hazard index, $H_{ex}$, was defined as (15):
The mean gamma dose rate in air was calculated to be 78 nGy h$^{-1}$ and was insignificantly higher than the global average (57 nGy h$^{-1}$) (18). The calculated values of outdoor annual effective dose ranged from 0.03 to 0.15 mSv, which is close to the global average value (0.07 mSv) (18). The external hazard index obtained in this study ranged from 0.16 to 0.70. Since these values were lower than unity (18), we can conclude that the radiation hazard in the studied area was low.

The results of gamma emitter measurements in water samples from southern Serbia are presented in Table 3. $^{226}$Ra was detected in all of the water samples, except for Bratoselce. $^{40}$K was detected in water samples from Pljačkovica and Reljan. The obtained values were low and mainly typical for water samples, while the activity concentrations for other radionuclides were below the detection limit.

The results on gross alpha and beta activity in the same water samples are presented in Table 4. Gross alpha activity ranged between <MDA–0.024 Bq L$^{-1}$, while gross beta activity ranged from 0.07 to 0.36 Bq L$^{-1}$. The obtained results showed that the natural activity concentrations of alpha and beta emitting radionuclides in water samples from southern Serbia were within recommended levels (0.5 and 1.0 Bq L$^{-1}$, respectively) (22). The gross alpha activity (Table 4) was lower than the $^{226}$Ra concentration in the tested samples (Table 3), as the determination of $^{226}$Ra via daughters in cylindrical polyethylene bottle often leads to erroneous results. Gaseous Rn escapes from the water to a gaseous phase and remains partially present in the bottle, while its solid progenies $^{214}$Bi and $^{214}$Pb can non-uniformly deposit on the walls of a bottle.

The results of gamma emitters in plant samples are presented in Table 5. In addition to the radionuclides already mentioned, the plant sample also revealed a presence of $^{210}$Pb and ‘Be. The obtained results for $^{210}$Pb are characteristic for plant samples and higher than values for other natural radionuclides. This was expected because a plant can absorb $^{210}$Pb from soil and air. The activity concentrations of the radionuclides ranged between 1.8–4.5 Bq kg$^{-1}$ for $^{226}$Ra, 2.1–3.2 Bq kg$^{-1}$ for $^{222}$Th, 284–434 Bq kg$^{-1}$ for $^{40}$K, <MDA–1.3 Bq kg$^{-1}$ for $^{137}$Cs, 63–123 Bq kg$^{-1}$ for ‘Be, and 7–50 Bq kg$^{-1}$ for $^{210}$Pb. The obtained activity concentrations for $^{235}$U and $^{238}$U in plant samples from

$$H_{ex} = \frac{A_{ex}}{370} + \frac{A_{Ex}}{259} + \frac{A_{K}}{4810} \quad (9)$$

The value of this index must be less than unity in order to keep the radiation hazard insignificant. The maximum value of $H_{ex}$ equal to unity corresponds to the upper limit of radium equivalent activity (370 Bq kg$^{-1}$) (8).

**Calculation of annual effective dose**

To estimate the annual effective dose, the following must be taken into account: the conversion coefficient from absorbed dose in air to effective dose and the indoor occupancy factor (8). Using the dose rate data obtained from the concentration values of natural radionuclides in soil, adopting the conversion factor of 0.7 Sv Gy$^{-1}$ (18) from the absorbed dose rate in air to the effective dose received by adults, and considering that people in Serbia on average spend 20% of their time outdoors, the annual effective doses were calculated by (8):

$$D_{E} = 0.7 \times 365 \times 24 \times 0.2 \times D \quad (10)$$

where $D_{E}$ is the annual effective dose in nSv.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results of measurements of gamma emitters (mean activity concentrations) in soil samples collected at different locations in southern Serbia are presented in Table 1 along with a comparison with relevant literature. The activity concentrations of the radionuclides ranged between 16–102 Bq kg$^{-1}$ for $^{226}$Ra, 21–90 Bq kg$^{-1}$ for $^{212}$Th, 184–979 Bq kg$^{-1}$ for $^{40}$K, 2.9–19 Bq kg$^{-1}$ for $^{137}$Cs, 1.1–7.4 Bq kg$^{-1}$ for $^{230}$U, and 21–95 Bq kg$^{-1}$ for $^{238}$U.

The man-made radioisotope $^{137}$Cs, which has a generally negative impact on the environment, was identified in all of the samples and these values were in good agreement with those obtained in other regional studies (8, 19, 20). From the late 1980s, most $^{137}$Cs originates from the Chernobyl nuclear accident.

The highest concentrations of $^{226}$Ra, $^{40}$K, $^{137}$Cs, $^{230}$U, and $^{238}$U were measured for Bratoselce, and the lowest for Borovac. Based on the measured concentrations of $^{226}$Ra, $^{232}$Th, and $^{40}$K, radium equivalent activity, gamma dose rate, external hazard index and annual effective dose are calculated and presented in Table 2. The radium equivalent activity values for the soil samples varied from 60 to 260 Bq kg$^{-1}$. These values were below the recommended level (370 Bq kg$^{-1}$) (21).
Table 1  Activity concentrations of radionuclides in soil samples in southern Serbia and comparison with literature (Bq kg$^{-1}$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>$^{226}\text{Ra}$</th>
<th>$^{232}\text{Th}$</th>
<th>$^{40}\text{K}$</th>
<th>$^{137}\text{Cs}$</th>
<th>$^{235}\text{U}$</th>
<th>$^{238}\text{U}$</th>
<th>$^{235}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pljačkovica</td>
<td>27±5</td>
<td>32±4</td>
<td>498±44</td>
<td>14±1</td>
<td>2.2±0.3</td>
<td>33±7</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borovac</td>
<td>16±3</td>
<td>21±3</td>
<td>184±19</td>
<td>3±1</td>
<td>1.1±0.2</td>
<td>21±5</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bratoselce</td>
<td>102±28</td>
<td>58±6</td>
<td>979±133</td>
<td>19±2</td>
<td>7.4±0.8</td>
<td>95±18</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reljan</td>
<td>35±6</td>
<td>90±11</td>
<td>941±64</td>
<td>5±1</td>
<td>2.9±0.4</td>
<td>49±12</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison with literature

- Republic of Srpska (8): 47, 41, 536, 26, 3.4, 64, /
- Croatia (19): 74, 62, 650, 39, 5.4, 110, /
- Slovenia (19): 73.8, /, 318, 25.1, /, 69.5, /
- Vojvodina (Serbia) (20): 40, 53, 554, 12, /, 51, /
- World (18): 35, 30, 400, /, /, 35, /

Table 2  Radium equivalent activity, gamma dose rate, annual effective dose, and external hazard index at four locations in southern Serbia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Radium equivalent activity (Bq kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Gamma dose rate (nGy h$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Annual effective dose (mSv)</th>
<th>External hazard index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pljačkovica</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borovac</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bratoselce</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reljan</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3  Activity concentrations of radionuclides in water samples from southern Serbia (Bq L$^{-1}$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>$^{226}\text{Ra}$</th>
<th>$^{232}\text{Th}$</th>
<th>$^{40}\text{K}$</th>
<th>$^{137}\text{Cs}$</th>
<th>$^{235}\text{U}$</th>
<th>$^{238}\text{U}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pljačkovica</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.13</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>&lt;0.007</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borovac</td>
<td>0.055±0.007</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>0.17±0.03</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>&lt;0.007</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bratoselce</td>
<td>0.033±0.005</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.13</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>&lt;0.007</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reljan</td>
<td>0.018±0.003</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.13</td>
<td>&lt;0.004</td>
<td>&lt;0.009</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4  Gross alpha and gross beta activity concentrations of water samples from southern Serbia (Bq L$^{-1}$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Gross alpha activity</th>
<th>Gross beta activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pljačkovica</td>
<td>0.009±0.001</td>
<td>0.16±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borovac</td>
<td>0.020±0.003</td>
<td>0.29±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bratoselce</td>
<td>&lt;0.006</td>
<td>0.07±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reljan</td>
<td>0.009±0.001</td>
<td>0.09±0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pljačkovica and Bratoselce were below the detection limit.

CONCLUSION

The results of gamma spectrometric measurements of soil samples showed that the radioactivity levels in samples were similar to values characteristic for other locations in the world where depleted uranium had not been used (8, 19, 20, 23–29).

According to the calculated values of external hazard index, there is no risk for the population living in the investigated area. Measurements of radioactivity in environmental samples at these locations in southern Serbia should, however, continue.
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Table 5 Activity concentrations of gamma emitters in plant samples from southern Serbia (Bq per kg of dry matter)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radionuclide</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pljačkovica</td>
<td>Borovac</td>
<td>Bratoselce</td>
<td>Reljan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226Ra</td>
<td>1.8±0.2</td>
<td>2.3±0.5</td>
<td>4.5±0.7</td>
<td>2.0±0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232Th</td>
<td>3.0±0.6</td>
<td>3.2±0.6</td>
<td>2.1±0.5</td>
<td>3.2±0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40K</td>
<td>434±43</td>
<td>308±32</td>
<td>284±31</td>
<td>431±45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137Cs</td>
<td>1.0±0.1</td>
<td>1.3±0.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.4</td>
<td>0.8±0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235U</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
<td>0.3±0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>0.3±0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238U</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>4.4±1.5</td>
<td>&lt;2.2</td>
<td>3.5±1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7Be</td>
<td>123±12</td>
<td>116±15</td>
<td>108±10</td>
<td>63±7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210Pb</td>
<td>50±6</td>
<td>32±4</td>
<td>33±4</td>
<td>7±2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Three plant samples were taken from each location.
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