Research on Accuracy of Automatic System for Casting Measuring
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Abstract

Ensuring the required quality of castings is an important part of the production process. The quality control should be carried out in a fast and accurate way. These requirements can be met by the use of an optical measuring system installed on the arm of an industrial robot. In the article a methodology for assessing the quality of robotic measurement system to control certain feature of the casting, based on the analysis of repeatability and reproducibility is presented. It was shown that industrial robots equipped with optical measuring systems have the accuracy allowing their use in the process of dimensional control of castings manufactured by lost-wax process, permanent-mould casting, and pressure die-casting.
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1. Introduction

The foundry companies as well as their customers need to perform quality control to guarantee the required specifications. The simplest and most common method of castings control is the manual method that consists in the accurate measurements and assessment of the results by qualified staff. For this purpose, the simple measuring devices, such as calipers, micrometers and reference standards are used for comparative control (templets). The assessment of the casting state also depends on a visual assessment of the correctness of manufactured element [1-5]. Manual methods are very simple and cheap. Their main disadvantage is the long realization time, and it is connected with a small efficiency. The disadvantage of the manual method is also a greater probability of errors in comparison with the automated methods used for evaluation of the casting quality. Automation of measurements usually involves the use of a coordinate measuring machine (CMM) or optical measuring devices [6]. CMM’s allows to perform spatial measurements (3D) of geometrically complex elements. The most common CMM is equipped with three measurement systems to measure in three axes XYZ and the probe for the localization of the position of the element surface. Thanks to drives with high accuracy and computerizing control system, it is possible to make measurements relatively fast with very high accuracy and objectivity.

Particularly complex part geometries with inaccessible or hidden features can be also measured with X-ray computed tomography (CT). Complete 3D mapping means that CT can also be used for the non-destructive 3D measurement of cast parts that cannot be inspected using conventional coordinate measuring machines due to their complex internal geometry [7]. With industrial X-ray computed tomography (CT), even low-contrast defects in cast parts, such as cracks, pores and blowholes, can be
localized and measured in three dimensions [7]. Since both material and geometry data can be retrieved from the measurement, the results can be used for the detection of defects as well as for the investigation of geometrical and material variations [8].

The coordinate measuring technique is based on the values of the coordinate measurement points. All feeds that the contact tip of measuring device makes are recorded by the side-guiders. As a result, every motion is recorded on the server of the machine and it is transmitted to the computer. The spherical tip by contact with the measured object records the points in the system. Based on points registered by the CMM we can reconstruct many kinds of flat geometric elements (points, circles, planes, straights, curves) and the spatial geometric elements (flats, cones, spheres).

The great advantage of using the CMM is performing the measurements of various objects with complex shapes that cannot be measured with the use of basic measuring instruments. However, for castings as a semi-finished product, the use of the CMM is inadequate, considering the high cost and the required precision of the measurement level (50-400 µm) [9]. An alternative solution, that offers similar functionality is an optical measuring system installed on the arm of an industrial robot. Robots are characterized by the increased speed of movement of the arm (to 0.5 m/s) in relation to the CMMs. They can also move with several times greater acceleration (up to 4 m/s), that significantly increases the efficiency of measurement system. The standard robotic measuring position consists of [9]:

− bearing assembly that provides the ability to move the measuring head in the axes Z, Y and Z,
− optical scanner,
− computer containing the software for processing the measurements results.

Optical measurement method is based on the projection of the light with known structure (white or blue) on the examined object. The measurement involved CCD cameras and a projector (light source). A number of different structures of light that differs in density is projected on the surface of the investigated object during scanning. The most important action during the optical method based on structured light projection realization is properly carried out calibration of the cameras and the projector. It is also important structure of the object under test. The accuracy of the optical method also depends on the structure of the investigated object. The reflective surfaces are very problematic, because they do not provide an adequate contrast of the fringes projected by the projector. The accuracy of the scanners that use structured light depends on the measuring range and varies between 0.01 and 0.1 mm. The collected point cloud is processed by the appropriate software that generates a virtual representation of the surface for dimensional analysis [10-14].

The accuracy of robotic measuring positions must meet certain requirements in order to ensure the economic price of the casting. The relationship between measurement uncertainty and tolerance of the controlled feature is the indicator of the usefulness of the measurement system (measuring ability of the system) [15, 16]. In industrial plants, especially in the automotive industry, the criterion of suitability is formulated based on the analysis of repeatability and reproducibility (R&R) of results of measurements. This method has been developed by companies: Ford, Chrysler and General Motors, and implemented in the form of the requirements of the quality system according to the QS-9000 standard [17]. After appropriate modifications, it can also be used to assess the ability of the robotic measurement system. Therefore, a further part of this work has been devoted to this issue.

2. Characteristics of investigated measuring systems

Investigations of the accuracy of measurement systems were carried out for the experimental part, in the same environmental conditions and with the use of the same method of building a measurement coordinate system. The measurements were performed using two measurement systems:

− CNC Mitutoyo CRysta-Apex S coordinate measuring machine designed for measurements of geometric dimensions of machine parts in a manual and automatic cycle (Fig. 1),
− robotic measuring position consisting of Fanuc M-10iA industrial robot equipped with the GOM Atos Triple Scan head (Fig. 2).
The M-10iA is FANUC Robotics’ latest-generation, six-axis, high performance industrial robot. This small but mighty robot weighs only 130 kg but provides 10 kg payload with the highest wrist moments and inertia in its class. ATOS Triple Scan uses all the viewing angles of the stereo camera system (3-in-1 sensor). It is also based for the very first time on a completely new projection technology. Therefore, the scanner enables easier, faster and more reliable measurement processes and greatly reduces a number of single scans. The measurement accuracy of ATOS system is lower than 0.03 mm for the measuring range of 700x700 mm. The accuracy, measurement resolution and measuring area are completely adaptable to the application requirements. This allows for the highest resolution for highly detailed, small parts with measuring volumes down to 38 mm, or for extremely fast digitizing of large objects with measuring volumes up to 2m.

The ATOS sensor is freely positioned, either manually or automatically, in front of the part. After each measurement, the sensor or the part is moved to obtain areas not captured in the previous scan [11]. The stereo cameras combine with the projector to capture three views of an object in a single measurement process. This technology requires fewer scans and delivers higher quality data even when scanning shiny surfaces and complex geometries (Fig. 3). All individual measurements are automatically transformed into a common coordinate system resulting in a complete 3D point cloud.

Measurements on the scanner and the coordinate measuring machine were repeated 5 times and then both the mean value and standard deviation were determined. The results of measurements of linear dimensions are shown in Table 1. While the results of measurements of the surface flatness and the hole roundness are presented in Table 2. The results of measurements of the surface flatness were evaluated based on measure of three selected points on the analyzed surface and based on the measurement of points on the whole surface. The second type of the surface measurement is possible only using the optical scanner.

Fig. 4. The values of standard deviation of measurement repeatability error

To assess the ability of the measurement system the potential sources of measurement uncertainty in the context of the components of the measurement should be analyzed. If the real value of the measured parameter is denoted by \( x_p \), the measurement result will depend on both repeatability and reproducibility errors, according to the formula:

\[
W = x_p + \Delta p + \Delta r
\]

where:
- \( \Delta r \) – reproducibility error of a measurement
- \( \Delta p \) – repeatability error of a measuring machine

The first component of the measurement uncertainty is a component resulted from both dispersion of indications of a measuring instrument, and dispersion of the observed indicators during the measurement realization. The measure of the measurement uncertainty is the experimental standard deviation \( \sigma_p \) determined based on the series of measurements (Fig. 4):

The analysis of measurement results listed in Tables 1 and 2 shows that the repeatability error of the optical system is connected with a much larger error value. This value is greater than the error generated by the CMM from 8% to as much as 500% (Table 1). A similar situation is in the case of measuring the surface flatness (253%) and the roundness of the hole (530%). However, in the case of measurement of the surface flatness the repeatability error of the optical system can be reduced by changing the method of the measurement. The measurement that takes into account the points on the whole scanned surface reduces the error at 33%.
Table 1.
Results of measurements of linear dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal dimension ( x_p ), mm</th>
<th>Optical measurement system</th>
<th>Coordinate measuring machine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average value ( x_{av}^O ), mm</td>
<td>Standard deviation ( \sigma_p^O ), mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.9846</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.9809</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.0078</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.1485</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50.016</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>164.9221</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tabela 2.
Results of measurements of surface flatness and hole roundness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Optical measurement system</th>
<th>Coordinate measuring machine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average value ( x_{av}^O ), mm</td>
<td>Standard deviation ( \sigma_p^O ), mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface flatness (three points)</td>
<td>0.0947</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface flatness (the whole surface)</td>
<td>0.1210</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roundness error</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h = 8 \text{mm} )</td>
<td>0.0454</td>
<td>0.00049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h = 12 \text{mm} )</td>
<td>0.0476</td>
<td>0.00048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h = 16 \text{mm} )</td>
<td>0.0425</td>
<td>0.00095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h = 20 \text{mm} )</td>
<td>0.0533</td>
<td>0.00293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assessment of the accuracy of the measurement system also needs to take into account the reproducibility. Reproducibility of a method/test can be defined as the closeness of the agreement between independent results obtained with the same method on the identical subject (or object, or test material) but under different conditions (different observers, laboratories, machines, etc.) [18].

Figure 5 shows the difference between the average values of results of measurements obtained using the coordinate measuring machine \( x_{av}^C \), and using the optical scanner \( x_{av}^O \).

![Figure 5: The differences between the average values of measurements obtained using both the coordinate measuring machine \( x_{av}^C \) and the optical scanner \( x_{av}^O \).](image)

In the case of assessing the accuracy of the automatic measurement systems on the reproducibility depends on a method of measurement. The difference in the average values of measurements obtained using both the coordinate measuring machine and optical scanner varies from -1.32% to 1.86%.

If we assume that the results of measurements obtained on the CMMs as model results, then it turns out that the optical scanner is burdened with an additional reproducibility error causing the change of mean values obtained by CMM. But this is not a systematic error because there are no linear correlation between the obtained mean values. Therefore, it should be assumed that the value of reproducibility error is a random variable. Based on the results of investigations we can only estimate the range \( R \) of obtained results (\( R = 0.032 \text{mm} \)). On the basis of the Eq. (2) we can estimate the value of the standard deviation of the random variable representing simultaneously an estimator of the reproducibility error of the optical system \( \sigma_o (0.0053 \text{mm}) \) [19]:

\[
\sigma_o = \frac{R}{d_n} \tag{2}
\]

where \( d_n \) – Hartley’s constant.

In the course of assessing the accuracy of the measurement system error variance \( \sigma^2 \) of the total will be the result of variance characterized reproducibility: measuring instrument \( \sigma_p^2 \) and variance \( \sigma^2 \) characterized reproducibility (Fig. 6):

\[
\sigma^2 = \sigma_p^2 + \sigma_o^2 \tag{3}
\]
4. Conclusions

During the control of quality of casting process the finished cast is evaluated and compared with the requirements concerned on dimensions and structural defects, and surface quality. Depending on the type and the size of the cast and the casting quality control of the cast can be realized visually, using measuring machines, or may take place in an automatic cycle. Currently, the most popular and most commonly used method of control the casting is the manual method using appropriate master templates. This method is cheap but it is time-consuming and inflexible. In this article, it was shown, that the quality control of castings may be realized using an optical measurement system. This system is less precise than the coordinate measuring machine, but accurate enough to measure castings produced by lost-wax process. A considerable advantage of the optical system is non-contact measurement method that allows its use at the design stage of the model. It allows to identify discrepancies at an early stage of the manufacturing process. Furthermore, the robotic stand provides great flexibility of the measurement. Thanks to multiflexibility of the robot arm the measuring position becomes more universal, and the robot can be used for many tasks.
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