Effect of quercetin on the transport of ritonavir to the central nervous system in vitro and in vivo

The aim of this study was to identify an effective flavonoid that could improve the intracellular accumulation of ritonavir in human brain-microvascular endothelial cells (HBMECs). An in vitro experiment on Sprague-Dawley rats was then designed to further determine the flavonoid’s impact on the pharmacokinetics and tissue distribution of ritonavir. In the accumulation assay, the intracellular level of ritonavir was increased in the presence of 25 mmol L⁻¹ of flavonoids in HBMECs. Quercetin showed the strongest effect by improving the intracellular accumulation of ritonavir by 76.9 %. In the pharmacokinetic study, the presence of quercetin in the co-administration group and in the pretreatment group significantly decreased the area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC₀−ₜ) of ritonavir by 42.2 % (p < 0.05) and 53.5 % (p < 0.01), and decreased the peak plasma concentration (cₘₚₚₚ) of ritonavir by 23.1 % (p < 0.05) and 45.8 % (p < 0.01), respectively, compared to the control group (ritonavir alone). In the tissue distribution study, the ritonavir concentration in the brain was significantly increased 2-fold (p < 0.01), during the absorption phase (1 h) and was still significantly higher (p < 0.05) during the distribution phase (6 h) in the presence of quercetin.
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Although highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) has greatly promoted the clinical treatment of HIV-1 infection, unresolved issues still remain. For instance, despite the fact that the blood viral load can be successfully reduced by HAART, the brain has proven to be a sanctuary site where the virus can reside relatively un-interrupted by therapeutic intervention (1–4). Thus, despite undetectable plasma viral RNA levels, low-level active replication of the virus is still present in the central nervous system (CNS), which can result in AIDS-related neurological complications (5). One of the strategies through which HIV-1 can reduce HAART efficacy in the brain is to rely on the presence of drug-
efflux transporters in the blood-brain barrier (BBB), which include members of the ATP-binding cassette superfamily of proteins, such as P-glycoprotein (P-gp), multidrug resistance-associated protein (MRP), and breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP) (6). The HIV-1 protease inhibitors (HPIs) are key components of the HAART currently used to treat HIV-1 infection. A strong body of evidence suggests that HPIs are substrates of P-gp and, as a result, the limited ability of these drugs to transverse the BBB is attributed to the activity of this efflux transporter (7–11). A recent study showed that MDR1 specific drug-efflux function increases in BBB following co-exposure to HIV-1 and HPIs, which can reduce the penetration of HPIs into the infected brain reservoirs of HIV-1 (12).

To overcome tumor cell resistance caused by the over-expression of P-gp, a chemosensitizer is used to inhibit the efflux pump activity (13, 14). In principle, a similar strategy could be employed for HPIs therapy in order to enhance their distribution into sites such as the brain. Compounds such as verapamil, quinidine, and cyclosporin A have shown inhibitory effects on P-gp function. However, these compounds have an intrinsic toxicity due to their pharmacological activity and cannot be used safely at dosages required for the inhibition of drug efflux in vivo. Although some competitive inhibitors of P-gp are being tested as an approach to increase HPIs levels within the brain, their generalized inhibition has not been a safe or feasible approach since other organs also suffer from toxic xenobiotics (15). The search for chemosensitizers, which have the advantage of being effective inhibitors without side-effects, has focused on plant-derived flavonoids (16). Flavonoids are constituents of fruits, vegetables, herbal dietary supplements, as well as components of traditional Chinese medicines, and several flavonoids have been proven to modulate the function and expression of P-gp (17–19).

This study was designed to seek a safe and effective flavonoid to be used as an agent to increase HPIs levels within the brain, and to determine whether the result of flavonoids in vitro screening can be translated to in vivo effects on the distribution of HPIs into the brain.

EXPERIMENTAL

Chemicals

Ritonavir was purchased from Xiamen Henry Biochem Co., Ltd. (China). Saquinavir (an internal standard for high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass chromatography, HPLC-MS/MS, analysis of ritonavir) with a purity > 99% was from United States Pharmacopoeia USA). Epicatechin gallate (ECG), hesperetin, kaempferol, quercetin, isoquercetrin, morinhydrate, chrysin and epicatechin (EC) (purity ≥ 99 %) were purchased from Nantong Feiyu Biological Technology Co., Ltd. (China). Verapamil was obtained from the China National Institute for Food and Drug Control (China). HPLC-grade methanol, acetonitrile, methyl tert-butyl ether, sodium tetraborate, ammonium formate and formic acid were purchased from Fisher Scientific Co. (USA). Bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay kit and bovine serum albumin (BSA) were purchased from Tiangen Biotech (China). Distilled water was purified by a Direct-Q® Ultrapure Water System (Millipore, USA). The mobile phase used in HPLC-MS/MS was filtered using a 0.45-μm membrane filter, and was supplied by Ameritech Co. (USA).
Animals

Sprague-Dawley rats (6–10 weeks old, half male and half female, 250–300 g) were purchased from the Academy of Military Medical Sciences (Beijing, China) and raised in the animal room of the Peking University People’s Hospital (Beijing, China), under a 12-h light-dark cycle. The animals were housed with free access to laboratory food and water ad libitum. Experimental procedures were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Peking University People’s Hospital, and were designed in accordance with the “Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals”.

Cell culturing

Human brain-microvascular endothelial cells (HBMECs) were obtained from the Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). HBMECs were incubated at 37 °C and in the presence of 5 % CO₂ in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium supplemented by 10 % heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, antibiotics (penicillin 100 U mL⁻¹, streptomycin 100 μg mL⁻¹), and 2 mmol L⁻¹ L-glutamine (all from Gibco/BRL, Germany). HBMECs whose monolayers reached confluence were selected and the old media were discarded. The cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at 37 °C. A 0.25 % trypsin-EDTA solution was then added to the cells at about 0.12 mL cm⁻². After incubation at 37 °C for 15 min, the solution was discarded and new culture medium was added. The cells attached to the wall were gently fluctuated with the medium using a transfer pipette until the cells were detached and suspended. The cell density was adjusted to approximately 5 × 10⁴ mL⁻¹, and the cells were inoculated in a new culture flask. The medium was replaced every other day for regular cellular metabolism and the cells were subcultured approximately every three days in order to maintain proper cell density.

Intracellular accumulation of ritonavir

Accumulation of ritonavir in HBMECs was examined in confluent cell cultures as previously described (20, 21). HBMECs were seeded into a 6-well plate, at 2 × 10⁴ cells per well. When cells were 70–80 % confluent, after the addition of 50 μmol L⁻¹ of ritonavir, either 25 μmol L⁻¹ of various flavonoids or 100 μmol L⁻¹ verapamil (the drugs were dissolved in solvent with less than 1 % of the RPMI 1640 by volume was added, except for the blank control. Cells were then incubated for another 4 hours in a CO₂ incubator to reach a steady-state level. The cells were then rinsed ten times with the ice-cold PBS in a culture dish to remove the drugs on the cell surface. After the addition of 500 μL of de-ionized water, the cells were transferred into a micro centrifuge tube with a cell scraper. The culture dish and cell scraper were rinsed with 500 μL of de-ionized water and the suspension was then transferred into micro centrifuge tube. The cell suspension was processed with an ultrasonic cell disruption system 40 times (working frequency 10, disruption 3 s, interval 3 s). The lysate solution was centrifuged for 5 min at 13400 rpm, and the supernatant was kept at –20 °C until HPLC-MS/MS analysis.

Pharmacokinetic study

For the pharmacokinetic study, eighteen Sprague-Dawley rats were randomly divided into three groups (n = 6, half male and half female). The rats in the control group were
orally administered ritonavir at a dose of 20 mg kg\(^{-1}\) bm after being orally administered a blank solvent for 7 consecutive days. The rats of co-administration group were orally co-administered ritonavir at a dose of 20 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and quercetin at a dose of 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) bm, after being orally administered a blank solvent for 7 consecutive days. The rats of pretreatment group were orally administered ritonavir at a dose of 20 mg kg\(^{-1}\) with quercetin at a dose of 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\), after being orally pretreated with 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) quercetin for 7 consecutive days. The rats were fasted for 12 hours prior to the beginning of experiments (on the eighth day) and had free access to sterilized water.

After oral administration blood samples (200 μL) were collected into heparinized centrifuge tubes at predetermined time intervals of 0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24 and 48 hours from the retro orbital vein using heparinized capillary tubes. Control blood samples were obtained before dosing. Blood samples were immediately centrifuged for 5 min at 12,000 rpm, and a 100-μL aliquot of plasma was stored in a freezer at –20 °C until HPLC-MS/MS analysis.

**Tissue distribution study**

For the tissue distribution study, 36 Sprague-Dawley rats were randomly divided into 2 groups (n = 18, half male and half female). The rats were fasted for 12 h prior to the beginning of experiments and had free access to sterilized water. The rats were orally given a dose of 20 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of ritonavir with or without quercetin (100 mg kg\(^{-1}\)). For the control, a blank solvent was given in comparable amounts (5 mL kg\(^{-1}\)). At each of the time points (1, 6 and 12 h) following dosing, six rats were sacrificed and brain, liver and kidney tissues were collected. Tissue samples were rinsed with physiological saline to remove blood or content, weighed, blotted on filter paper, and then stored in a freezer at –20 °C until HPLC-MS/MS analysis.

**HPLC-MS/MS quantitative analysis of ritonavir**

**Conditions.** – High performance liquid chromatography was performed using an Agilent 1200 HPLC system consisting of a G1312A binary pump, a G1379B degasser, a G1329A autosampler and a G1361A column oven (Agilent, USA). Ritonavir was separated on a Restek Ultra C18 column (150 × 2.1 mm i.d., 5.0 μm, USA) maintained at 20 ± 0.5 °C (ambient temperature of the laboratory). The mixed mobile phase delivered at 300 μL min\(^{-1}\) was CH\(_3\)CN/H\(_2\)O, 67.75:22.25 (V/V), containing 0.2 mmol L\(^{-1}\) NH\(_4\)COOH in CH\(_3\)CN and H\(_2\)O. The retention times of ritonavir and the internal standard in plasma samples were approximately 2.5 and 3.3 min, respectively. Detection was carried out on an API Qtrap 5500 MS/MS system (Applied Biosystems, USA) equipped with an electrospray interface (ESI) and operated in the positive ionization mode. Multiple-reaction monitoring (MRM) at unit resolution was employed to monitor the transitions of molecular ions ([M-H]) of ritonavir at m/z 721.4 → 296.2 and that of the internal standard at m/z 671.4 → 570.4. Optimized MS parameters were: curtain gas, gas 1 (nitrogen), and gas 2 (nitrogen) 206.85, 275.8 and 275.8 kPa, respectively, dwell time 200 ms, ionspray voltage +4500 V, ion source temperature 500 °C, declustering potential (DP) 0 V for ritonavir, and 0 V for the internal standard; collision energy (CE) –26 V for ritonavir and –46 V for the internal standard (volt is a standard unit of parameters in the instrument). Data acquisition and analysis were performed using the analyst software version 1.5.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).
**Ritonavir in HBMECs.** – The intracellular concentration of ritonavir in HBMECs was determined by HPLC-MS/MS. No effect on cell viability was observed at these concentrations during the incubation period (data not shown). Results were normalized to the protein content of cells in each well (22, 23). The protein content in the lysate solution of cells was determined using the commercially available BCA protein assay. The accumulation of ritonavir was expressed as uptake per mg protein of the cells relative to the drug concentration (ng kg⁻¹ protein).

**Ritonavir in blood plasma.** – Ritonavir in plasma was determined after the following treatment. Prior to the determination, 200 μL of IS (saquinavir) working solution (20 ng mL⁻¹), 500 μL of sodium tetraborate (0.1 mmol L⁻¹) and 3 mL methyl tert-butyl ether were added to a 10-μL aliquot of plasma in a 7-mL Eppendorf tube. The mixture was vortex-mixed for 10 min and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 5 min. The upper layer (2.8 mL) was carefully transferred to another 7-mL clean Eppendorf tube and evaporated at 40 °C under a gentle stream of nitrogen. The dry residue was then reconstituted with the 400 μL of mobile phase and vortex-mixed for 3 min. Finally, a 10 μL of the solution was injected into the HPLC-MS/MS system.

**Ritonavir in tissues.** – For determination of ritonavir in a tissue, each weighed tissue sample was thawed and then homogenized in ice-cold physiological saline (1:10, m/V). The tissue homogenate was then taken and processed further like plasma samples. Finally, 10 μL of each sample solution was analyzed by HPLC-MS/MS system.

**Pharmacokinetics**

The pharmacokinetic parameters of ritonavir were analyzed by non-compartmental analysis using the PK-Solver Program (version 2.0, China Medicinal University, Nanjing, China). The elimination rate constant (Kₑ) was calculated by log-linear regression of ritonavir concentration data during the elimination phase, and the terminal half-life (t½ₚ) was calculated as 0.693/Kₑ. The peak concentration (cₘₜₛ) of ritonavir in plasma as well as the time to reach cₘₜₛ (tₘₜₛ) were obtained by visual inspection of the data from the concentration-time curve. The area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC) from time zero to the time of the last measured concentration (cₙₙₜ) was calculated by means of the linear trapezoidal rule. AUCₙ₀–∞ was determined by adding AUC₀–ₙ and the extrapolated area was determined by calculating cₙₙₜ/Kₑ. Mean residence time (MRT) was the estimated time for 63.2 % of the administered dose to be eliminated. The total body clearance of oral (Cl/F, here F represents the bioavailability of ritonavir) ritonavir was calculated from the quotient of the dose and the AUC₀–∞.

**Data analysis**

All analyses were performed using SPSS (SPSS 11.0, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, USA). For comparisons of the mean values between two experimental groups, Student’s unpaired t-test was used. Comparisons between three or more experimental groups were performed using a one-way analysis of variance, followed by Dunnett’s test. Differences were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

HPLC-MS/MS validation

The method was checked according to the guidelines for bioanalytical method validation. The calibration curve for ritonavir was linear over the concentration range 0.1–100 ng mL⁻¹, which were found to be adequate for the analysis of the lysate solution of cells, plasma and tissues. The quantitation limit, with a coefficient of variation of less than 20 %, was 0.1 ng mL⁻¹. Inter- and intra-day coefficients of variation for the low, middle, and high quality control samples were less than 15 %. Ritonavir was found stable when kept at 25 °C for 24 h, at −20 °C for 30 days, and after 3 freeze-thaw cycles.

Effect of flavonoids on intracellular accumulation of ritonavir in HBMECs

In the accumulation assay, the intracellular level of ritonavir in HBMECs was measured after 4 h of incubation with various flavonoids (Fig. 1). The positive control verapamil at 100 μmol L⁻¹ significantly increased (p < 0.01) the intracellular accumulation of ritonavir by 133.2 % compared to the control (ritonavir alone). Among the eight flavonoids, hesperetin, quercetin, isoquercetin and EC demonstrated significant effects on the accumulation of ritonavir in HBMECs, with quercetin showing the strongest effect, with an increase of 76.9 %. In other words, the results indicated that quercetin had the highest potential for inhibiting P-gp, the important efflux transporter in the cell membrane.

Fig. 1. Effects of 25 μmol L⁻¹ flavonoids and 100 μmol L⁻¹ verapamil on the accumulation of ritonavir in HBMECs. Data are represented as mean ± SD (n = 3). * p < 0.05, and ** p < 0.01 compared to the control.
Effect of quercetin on pharmacokinetics of orally administered ritonavir

The mean arterial plasma concentration-time profiles of ritonavir following oral administration in the presence or absence of quercetin are illustrated in Fig. 2 and the pharmacokinetic parameters of ritonavir are summarized in Table I. Compared to the control group (ritonavir alone), the presence of quercetin in the co-administration group and the pretreatment group significantly decreased AUC_{0–t} of ritonavir by 42.2% (p < 0.05) and 53.5% (p < 0.01), and markedly decreased the peak plasma concentration (c_{max}) of ritonavir by 23.1% (p < 0.05) and 45.8% (p < 0.01), respectively. The time to reach maximum plasma concentration (t_{max}) was postponed and the Cl/F of ritonavir was increased in the presence of quercetin. In addition, no significant changes in t_{1/2} and MRT of ritonavir were found after quercetin co-administration either the co-administration group or the pretreatment group.

Fig. 2. Mean plasma concentration-time profiles of ritonavir in rats. (●) Mean plasma concentration-time profiles of ritonavir in the control group rats, which were orally administered ritonavir (20 mg kg⁻¹ bm) after being administered orally blank solvent for 7 consecutive days; (▲) mean plasma concentration-time profiles of ritonavir in the co-administration group, which were orally co-administered ritonavir (20 mg kg⁻¹ bm) with quercetin (100 mg kg⁻¹ bm), after being orally administered blank solvent for 7 consecutive days; (●) mean plasma concentration-time profiles of ritonavir in the pretreatment group rats which were orally co-administered ritonavir (20 mg kg⁻¹ bm) with quercetin (100 mg kg⁻¹ bm) after being orally pretreated with quercetin (100 mg kg⁻¹ bm) for 7 consecutive days. Data are represented as mean ± SD (n = 6). Insert: enlarged portion of up to 6 hours.
Table I. Pharmacokinetic parameters of ritonavir after its oral administration in the absence (control) or presence of quercetin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Ritonavir alone</th>
<th>Ritonavir+quercetin (once)</th>
<th>Ritonavir+quercetin (1 week)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{0–t} (ng h mL⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td>20072.74 ± 8268.43</td>
<td>11593.15 ± 3567.15c</td>
<td>9329.95 ± 3668.79d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c_{\text{max}}) (ng mL⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1490.00 ±281.50</td>
<td>1145.67 ± 222.37c</td>
<td>807.60 ± 221.79d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(t_{\text{max}}) (h)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.27</td>
<td>3.83 ± 1.96d</td>
<td>2.60 ± 1.13c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(t_{1/2}) (h)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.54 ± 1.14</td>
<td>2.88 ± 1.47</td>
<td>4.90 ± 2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT (h)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.25 ± 4.29</td>
<td>7.62 ± 1.29</td>
<td>9.11 ± 2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl/F (L mg⁻¹ h⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00148 ± 0.00070</td>
<td>0.00187 ± 0.00063</td>
<td>0.00283 ± 0.00124c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are represented as mean ± SD (\(n = 6\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Ritonavir alone</th>
<th>Ritonavir+quercetin (once)</th>
<th>Ritonavir+quercetin (1 week)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{0–t} (ng h mL⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td>20072.74 ± 8268.43</td>
<td>11593.15 ± 3567.15c</td>
<td>9329.95 ± 3668.79d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c_{\text{max}}) (ng mL⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1490.00 ±281.50</td>
<td>1145.67 ± 222.37c</td>
<td>807.60 ± 221.79d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(t_{\text{max}}) (h)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.27</td>
<td>3.83 ± 1.96d</td>
<td>2.60 ± 1.13c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(t_{1/2}) (h)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.54 ± 1.14</td>
<td>2.88 ± 1.47</td>
<td>4.90 ± 2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT (h)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.25 ± 4.29</td>
<td>7.62 ± 1.29</td>
<td>9.11 ± 2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl/F (L mg⁻¹ h⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00148 ± 0.00070</td>
<td>0.00187 ± 0.00063</td>
<td>0.00283 ± 0.00124c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are represented as mean ± SD (\(n = 6\)).

\(^a\) 20 mg kg⁻¹ bm.
\(^b\) 100 mg kg⁻¹ bm.
\(^c\) \(p < 0.05\), \(^d\) \(p < 0.01\) compared to the control.

\(\text{AUC}_{0–t}\) – area under the plasma concentration-time curve from time zero to the time of last measured concentration, \(c_{\text{max}}\) – peak concentration; \(t_{\text{max}}\) – time to reach peak concentration, \(t_{1/2}\) – terminal half-life, MRT, mean residence time, Cl/F – total body clearance, F – bioavailability.

Table II. Distribution of ritonavir in the brain, liver and kidney after its oral administration in the absence (control) or presence of quercetin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time point (h)</th>
<th>Brain (ng g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Liver (ng g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Kidney (ng g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ritonavir alone</td>
<td>Ritonavir + quercetin</td>
<td>Ritonavir alone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>135.34 ± 46.40</td>
<td>413.23 ± 190.90b</td>
<td>97166.61 ± 12084.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>95.33 ± 24.41</td>
<td>129.87 ± 28.74a</td>
<td>40773.33 ± 12362.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>56.43 ± 21.35</td>
<td>54.41 ± 16.20</td>
<td>36102.09 ± 16824.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are represented as mean ± SD (\(n = 6\)). Ritonavir dose 20 mg kg⁻¹; quercetin dose 100 mg kg⁻¹.

\(^a\) \(p < 0.05\), and \(^b\) \(p < 0.01\) compared to the control.

co-administrated with quercetin. There were no significant changes for ritonavir concentration in the brain in the elimination phase (12 h), with or without quercetin. Ritonavir concentration in the liver was significantly increased (p < 0.01), by 72.3 %, during the distribution phase (6 h), and showed no significant change in the absorption phase (1 h) or
elimination phase either (12 h). However, the ritonavir concentration in the kidney tissue decreased markedly \((p < 0.01)\), by 42.4 %, during the elimination phase (12 h) but had no significant changes either during the absorption (1 h) or distribution phase (6 h).

It can be seen that there was a wide changing range of SD within the parameters in Table II. One reason is that all the analyses were based on the data of 6 experimental animals in each group, which could be considered as a small sample size. Other reasons are still to be explored. K. Berginc et al. (24) investigated the impact of garlic flavonoids on the hepatic pharmacokinetics of saquinavir and darunavir. It was very confusing that aged garlic extract significantly inhibited saquinavir efflux from rat hepatocytes, while the efflux of darunavir significantly increased. The authors inferred that the competition between saquinavir and garlic constituent(s) for the same binding site on the efflux transporter and the positive cooperative effect between darunavir and garlic phytochemical(s), which bind to separate binding places on the transporter, are the most probable mechanisms. In our study, ritonavir was used as the test drug, whose chemical structure is different from saquinavir and darunavir, so the exact mechanism is still unclear. We must admit that the current manuscript is just a primary exploratory study and our group will consistently investigate the detailed mechanism in the future.

Summary of the study

In this study, we assessed the effects of eight flavonoids on the accumulation of ritonavir in HBMECs and found that quercetin was the most effective in improving the intracellular concentration of ritonavir. Further, the in vivo experiment sought to determine if quercetin could serve as an agent to improve the brain concentration of ritonavir in rats. Based on the results of animal experiments, we found that quercetin had a significant effect on the pharmacokinetics of ritonavir in rats. After co-administration with quercetin, the postoned \(t_{\text{max}}\) in rats demonstrated that the absorption of ritonavir was slowed down. The \(AUC_0\text{t}\) and \(c_{\text{max}}\) were decreased, which indicated that the amount of ritonavir exposed in plasma was reduced. However, the concentration of ritonavir in the brain increased significantly when orally co-administered with quercetin. The results of tissue studies were consistent with the results of the intracellular accumulation assay of ritonavir in HBMECs. Many reports indicate that flavonoids modulate the cell multi-drug resistance mediated by P-gp (25–27), which plays a role in the barrier function of BBB. As ritonavir is a substrate of P-gp, modulating P-gp might be a mechanism that could improve the concentration of ritonavir in the brain. Quercetin, which has a consistent effect on the modulation of ritonavir in vitro and in vivo, might become a safe and effective agent for increasing HPIs levels within the brain.

CONCLUSIONS

This study found that quercetin screened from the in vitro experiment had a significant effect on the pharmacokinetics of ritonavir in rats and could markedly enhance the distribution of ritonavir into the brain in vivo. These findings suggest a new strategy that might result in higher brain distributions reduced toxicity, and improved efficacy of HPIs by using a plant-derived flavonoid as a chemosensitizer.
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