Correlation between capillary and venous blood glucose levels in diabetic patients
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Background: Rapid blood glucose estimation is required to prescribe treatments and to make dose adjustments in diabetic patients. However, measuring plasma glucose levels is time consuming. Therefore, the use of glucometers has greatly increased.

Objectives: To measure the correlation between capillary and venous blood glucose levels.

Methods: Seventy patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) were enrolled in the present study and informed written consent was obtained from all participants. Demographic characteristics and clinical information was noted. Capillary and venous glucose levels were determined. Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 21 was used for data analysis.

Results: Mean age of patients was 52 ± 12 years. It included 29% men; 71% women; 9% smokers; and 90% poor. Mean venous glucose was 11.73 ± 4.64 mmol/L and mean capillary glucose 12.57 ± 5.21 mmol/L. These findings demonstrated a significant intermethod mean difference of 0.84 mmol/L (P < 0.001). Inter-glucose difference was not significant at glucose levels near normal. However, it increased gradually with rise in glucose measurements and was significant at elevated glucose levels. Both concordance correlation coefficient and intraclass correlation coefficient demonstrated positive correlation and more consistency between glucose estimations. A Bland and Altman plot presented excellent agreement between glucometrically and photometrically determined glucose levels.

Conclusion: A positive correlation coefficient showed strong association between capillary and venous glucose measurements.
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Pakistan Medical Research Council. Seventy patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) were enrolled. Demographic characteristics and clinical information was noted. Capillary blood glucose was measured using a Glucometer (On-Call plus, Acon, USA). Venous plasma glucose was measured using a UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Microlab 300, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 21 (IBM SPSS, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for data analysis.

Results
Mean age of the 70 patients was 52 ± 12 years. Participants included 29% men; 71% women; 56% were literate and there were 9% cigarette smokers. Socioeconomic status of 90% patients was poor with an average monthly income was 150 USD. Mean duration of diabetes was 12 ± 08 years. History of comorbidity showed that 43% patients had T2DM, 54% diabetics had hypertension (HTN), and 3% diabetics had ischemic heart disease (IHD).

Results showed that 99% of the diabetic participants were monitoring blood glucose. The blood glucose of 70% patients was being measured by the healthcare providers and in 30% by family members. Glucometers were used by 64% patients and glucose levels were measured by laboratory spectrophotometers in 36% patients. Blood glucose levels were measured at least once in a month in 86% patients. Only 25% of patients were monitoring for both fasting and postprandial glucose levels.

The volume used for the Photometric Venous Blood Glucose determination (PVBG) was 11.73 ± 4.64 mmol/L. For the Glucometric Capillary Blood Glucose (GCBG) it was 12.57 ± 5.21 mmol/L; and for Glucometric Venous Blood Glucose (GVBG) 13.92 ± 5.69 mmol/L. These findings demonstrate a significant intermethod mean difference of 0.84 mmol/L ($P < 0.001$). The intermethod glucose difference was not significant at glucose levels near normal. However, the difference increased gradually with a rise in glucose levels and was significant at elevated glucose levels.

Concordance correlation coefficient (CCC) analysis showed strong agreement (CCC = 0.95) between PVBG and GCBG; moderate agreement (CCC = 0.94) was seen between GCBG and GVBG; and poor agreement (CCC = 0.81) was present with GVBG and PVBG. Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), 0.947 at 95% CI (0.864, 0.974) showed positive correlation and more consistency between capillary and venous glucose levels (Table 1). For most of the cases a Bland and Altman plot presented excellent agreement between glucometric and spectrophotometric estimations (Figure 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paired Differences</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>ICC</th>
<th>CCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVBG Vs GCBG</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.51 1.17</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.864–0.974) (0.505–0.974)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCBG Vs GVBG</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.02 1.68</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.643–0.978) (0.507–0.962)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GVBG Vs PVBG</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.79 2.59</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.149–0.160) (0.515–0.887)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $P < 0.05$ was considered significant. PVBG, photometric venous blood glucose; GCBG, glucometric capillary blood glucose; GVBG, glucometric venous blood glucose; ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient; CCC, concordance correlation coefficient.
Figure 1 Bland and Altman plots
PVBG, photometric venous blood glucose; GCBG, glucometric capillary blood glucose; GVBG, glucometric venous blood glucose
Discussion

Monitoring of blood glucose on regular basis is very useful in preventing hypoglycemia and adjusting medications. In the present study, 98.6% patients underwent regular glucose measurements [1]. It is well established that the education and training of glucometer operators is important to obtain accurate results. However, in our study, 44% of patients were illiterate. The training status of all glucometer operators included healthcare providers and family members, but details remained unknown. Raine et al. concluded that up to 16% of patients do not use glucometers properly and this leads to ±33% errors [7].

Comparison of photometric venous and glucometric capillary glucose estimations resulted in a mean difference of 0.84 mmol/L, which was greater than the 0.58 mmol/L reported by Boyd et al. [8], but it was comparatively lower than the two other mean differences calculated in the study of Bina et al., who studied differences in blood glucose levels at various sample sites (forearm, palm, and thigh) compared with fingertip capillary blood. They found significant differences ($P < 0.05$) [9]. Similar significant differences ($P < 0.001$) in blood glucose measurement at forearm with respect to fingertip capillary blood glucose was obtained in their study. Other than various sample sites, factors that may contribute to this significant difference include hematocrit and pH of blood, drugs, triglycerides, and bilirubin [10].

When venous blood was tested using a glucometer, relatively poor agreement (CCC = 0.81) was observed. The same was reported by Funk et al. [6], because glucometers are actually designed only for capillary blood. Yang et al. have observed insignificant inter-measurement glucose differences at glucose levels near normal and significant differences at elevated levels [11]. Similar findings were reported in the present study, which verified the results by Yang et al. Significant differences at elevated levels may result from reagents and/or instruments that may produce invalid results beyond limits set by the manufacturer.

The correlation coefficients i.e. CCC = 0.95 and ICC = 0.947 for capillary and venous glucose estimations obtained in this study were very close and comparable to correlation coefficients for different glucometers reported by Jamaluddin et al. [12]. These findings support that capillary blood glucose by glucometers can be determined at point of care, but certain conditions like glucometer operator’s training and participation in quality assurance programs should be considered.

Conclusion

A positive correlation coefficient showed strong association between capillary and venous glucose measurements including large percentage of illiterates.
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