Statin use and histopathological change in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract

Background: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is one of the major causes of chronic liver disease. The primary treatment of NAFLD by statins has not been clearly elucidated.

Objectives: To evaluate the effectiveness of statin use in patients with biopsy-proven NAFLD or non-alcoholic steatohepatitis on the change in liver histology.

Methods: We searched MEDLINE, Scopus, Google Scholar, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials for clinical trials and observational studies investigating the effects of statins on histological change regardless of type or dosage from inception to December 2015. Random-effect model meta-analyses were used to compute changes in outcomes of interest. The study protocol was registered in advance with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO 2016 CRD42016033132).

Results: We identified 6 studies (111 patients), representing 5 cohort studies and 1 randomized controlled clinical trial. There was significant decrease in steatosis grading with a standardized mean difference of –2.580 (95% confidence interval [CI] –4.623 to –0.536; P = 0.013) and NAFLD activity score standardized mean difference of –1.488 (95% CI –2.506 to –0.471; P = 0.004). However, there was no significant change in fibrosis stage (0.156; 95% CI –0.553 to 0.865; P = 0.667).

Conclusions: Statin use can possibly reduce the extent of steatohepatitis but not the stage of fibrosis. Further randomized controlled studies to assess histological evidence with adequate sample size and duration are required in order to establish the role of statin as a primary treatment of NAFLD.
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Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a leading cause of chronic liver disease worldwide. The disease spectrum ranges from fatty liver, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), fibrosis, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma.

In general, the definition of NAFLD comprises evidence for fatty infiltration in liver tissue either by histology or imaging and known causes of fat accumulation must be excluded, whereas NASH is classified as steatosis and inflammation...
with or without fibrosis [1]. Recent meta-analyses suggest that NAFLD is a precursor of type 2 diabetes and metabolic syndrome, and is independently associated with cardiovascular disease [2, 3]. Dyslipidemia is one of the major metabolic modifiers of the high-risk group for NAFLD [4].

There are scant data of statin effects on liver histology. Despite liver biopsy as the criterion standard for diagnosis and ensuing outcomes, it is limited by the risk of this technique. The Cochrane meta-analysis of statin effect on NAFLD included 2 trials with a high risk of bias and limited numbers of participants. Neither of these trials demonstrated the effect of statins on liver histology [5]. Primary treatment of NASH by statins is not recommended by the practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) unless there are randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to confirm its histological efficacy [1]. Therefore, the present study aims to review the available evidence for statin use in patients with biopsy-proven NAFLD or NASH regarding the change in liver histology and to summarize the effects by meta-analysis methods.

Methods

All analyses were based on previously published studies; there was no interaction with patients directly in this analysis; thus, no institutional review board approval or patient consent was required. The study protocol was registered in advance with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO 2016 CRD42016033132) (available from: http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record.php?ID=CRD42016033132).

Data sources and searches

We performed a MEDLINE literature search (inception to December 2015) to identify eligible studies using the Medical Subject Headings search terms “HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor(s)”, “statin(s)”, “simvastatin”, “atorvastatin”, “pitavastatin”, “rosuvastatin”, “lovastatin”, “pravastatin”, “fluvastatin”, “biopsy*”, “histology*”, “histopathology”, “steatosis”, “cirrhosis”, “non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)”, “non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)”, and free text. Scopus, Google Scholar, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) were also searched using similar keywords.

Study selection

Studies included in this meta-analysis were RCTs, observational studies, and nonrandomized clinical trials that investigated the effects of statins on histological change in the entire spectrum of NAFLD patients regardless of type or dosage. The trials had a treatment duration of at least 1 year and followed up with a biopsy. There were no restrictions on language, sample size, or publication type. The titles and abstracts of studies identified in the search were reviewed independently by two authors (PR and KT) and excluded by consensus if studies did not answer the research question of interest. The full text of the articles was retrieved for a comprehensive review and was independently rescreened. In instances of multiple publications from the same investigators and population, the most recent studies were included.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Data extraction was performed independently by two reviewers (PR and KT). The following data were extracted onto a form: study design, country of origin, year of publication, and sample size, as well as data on statin treatment characteristics including type of medication (if reported) and dose and duration of statin used (if reported). Baseline characteristics such as age and body mass index were also obtained.

We extracted data on the effect of statin therapy as follows: percentage of steatosis, steatosis grading, fibrosis stage, necroinflammatory activity grade, and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease activity score (NAS). Steatosis grading was classified as follows: grade 1 (≥25% and <33% of hepatocytes affected), grade 2 (33%–66% of hepatocytes affected), or grade 3 (>66% of hepatocytes affected). Fibrosis stage and necroinflammatory activity grade were assessed semiquantitatively on a scale of 0–4 and 0–3, respectively, as reported by Brunt et al. [6]. The NAS was calculated as the unweighted sum of the scores for steatosis (0–3), lobular inflammation (0–3), and ballooning (0–2), as previously described by Kleiner et al. [7]. Apart from the data on histopathology, data on the effect of statin on blood lipid and lipoproteins and liver enzymes were extracted, including total cholesterol, triglyceride, low-density lipoprotein (LDL), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and alanine transaminase (ALT).

The methodological quality of observational studies was assessed using the Cochrane assessment of bias for nonrandomized studies tool (ROBINS-I) [8]. For the RCTs, the Cochrane Collaboration’s tool for assessing risk of bias was used. The use of following strategies was associated with assessing good-quality studies: adequacy of sequence generation; allocation concealment; blinding of investigators, participants, outcome assessors, and data analysts; handling of incomplete outcome data; and selective outcome reporting [9]. Any disagreement was reevaluated with the joint consensus based on original articles.
**Data synthesis and analysis**

We used the random-effects model described by DerSimonian and Laird to analyze mean pre-to-post changes in treatment outcome for a prospective single-arm study (pre–post study or before and after study) [10]. For RCTs, we calculated the mean absolute net changes in the outcome by means of random-effects model meta-analysis. Where few RCTs were included, the statin arm was pooled together with cohort studies. All pooled estimates are displayed with a 95% confidence interval (CI). Statistical heterogeneity was evaluated using the $I^2$ index and Q-test $P$ value. Either $I^2 > 50\%$ or $\chi^2$ test $P < 0.1$ indicates substantial heterogeneity [11]. When heterogeneity was detected, subgroup analysis was performed.

Publication bias was examined using funnel plots and Egger’s linear regression method. Funnel plots were constructed if sufficient (≥10) eligible trials were available [12]. All analyses were performed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (version 2.0; Biostat, available at www.meta-analysis.com).

**Results**

**Characteristics and quality of the studies**

The initial search identified a total of 534 articles from the electronic databases (MEDLINE, n = 431; Scopus, n = 35; Google Scholar, n = 61; CENTRAL, n = 7). Following the exclusion of duplicated data and screening on the basis of titles and abstracts, 505 articles were further dismissed. After intensive assessment of 29 studies, 6 studies fulfilled our criteria and were included in the meta-analysis, including 5 cohort studies [13–17] and 1 RCT [18]. All cohort studies were single-arm studies. Exclusion criteria were as follows: not an NASH patient (n = 6) [19–24], no matched biopsy (n = 13) [25–37], follow-up time <1 year (n = 2) [38, 39], and overlapping population (n = 2) [40, 41]. A detailed flow chart showing the selection process is shown in **Figure 1**

The characteristics of the included studies are shown in **Table 1**. The extracted outcomes are as follows: percentage of steatosis, steatosis grading, fibrosis stage, necroinflammatory activity grade, NAS, total cholesterol, triglyceride, LDL, HDL, AST, and ALT. The number of patients and studies included in each analysis is shown in **Table 2**

All studies included reported the change in total cholesterol (n = 6) and triglyceride (n = 6). The main findings and outcomes extracted from each study are shown in **Table 3**. Treatment duration is mainly 1 year across the selected studies (**Table 1**).

Quality assessments of each included study are presented in **Table 1**. The included RCT, the 2009 trial by Nelson et al. [18], had a high risk of bias, according to the Cochrane Collaboration’s tool for assessing risk of bias, which identified 2 potential biases, including selection bias and reporting...
Table 1. Characteristics of the studies included in the meta-analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year [ref]</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Patients, n</th>
<th>Type of statin (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Follow-up time (year)</th>
<th>Statin duration (year)</th>
<th>Study quality§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nelson et al.</td>
<td>2009 [18]</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>10†</td>
<td>Simvastatin (40)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ekstedt et al.</td>
<td>2007 [13]</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10.3–16.3</td>
<td>1–15.9</td>
<td>Ser†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyogo et al.</td>
<td>2011 [14]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Pitavastatin (2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mod‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakahara et al.</td>
<td>2012 [15]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Rosuvastatin (2.5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mod‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyogo et al.</td>
<td>2012 [16]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Atorvastatin (10)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mod‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kargiotis et al.</td>
<td>2015 [17]</td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Rosuvastatin (10)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mod‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Number of patients in statin treatment arm, ‡data from the statin arm. §Quality assessment using Cochrane Collaboration’s tool for assessing risk of bias for RCT and Cochrane assessment of bias for non-randomized studies tool (ROBINS-I). ¶Risk of bias legend for RCT illustrated in Table 4. ¶Risk of bias legend for nonrandomized studies illustrated in Table 5.

Mod, moderate; NA, not available; RCT, randomized controlled trial; Ser, serious.

Table 2. Summary effects of statins on liver steatosis and fibrosis parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Studies, n</th>
<th>Patients, n</th>
<th>Standardized mean change†</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Assessment of heterogeneity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of steatosis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>–0.580 (–1.599, 0.439)</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>I² index, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steatosis grading</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>–2.580 (–4.623, –0.536)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>Q-test, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAFLD activity score</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>–1.488 (–2.506, –0.471)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necroinflammatory activity grade</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>–0.112 (–0.372, 0.148)</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis stage</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.156 (–0.553, 0.865)</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>–1.540 (–2.221, –0.859)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>–0.764 (–1.334, –0.195)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-density lipoprotein</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.423 (–0.070, 0.917)</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-density lipoprotein</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>–1.865 (–2.416, –1.313)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine transaminase</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>–0.511 (–0.650, –0.373)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate aminotransferase</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>–0.462 (–0.599, –0.325)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data in parentheses denote 95% confidence limits. †By random-effects model meta-analysis. ‡A measure of statistical heterogeneity across study results; either I² > 50% or χ² test P < 0.1 indicates substantial heterogeneity [11].

NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

bias (Table 4). The assessment of study quality for included observational studies revealed moderate-to-serious risk of bias according to the Cochrane assessment of bias for non-randomized studies tool (ROBINS-I) [8]. Detailed assessment is shown in Table 5.

Statins decrease steatosis and steatohepatitis

As shown in Table 2, the effect of statins on steatosis is demonstrated by the change in percentage of steatosis and steatosis grading. Necroinflammatory activity grade and NAS were used to assess the change in steatohepatitis. There was a significant decrease in steatosis grading (Figure 2) with a standardized mean difference of –2.580 (95% CI –4.623 to –0.536; P = 0.013) and NAS (Figure 3) with a standardized mean difference of –1.488 (95% CI –2.506 to –0.471; P = 0.004).

Statins do not change fibrosis stage significantly

From a meta-analysis of the 4 studies included, there was no significant change in fibrosis stage (0.156; 95% CI –0.553 to 0.865; P = 0.67) (Figure 4).
Table 3. Outcomes and main findings of the studies included in the meta-analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year)</th>
<th>% of steatosis</th>
<th>Steatosis grading</th>
<th>NAS</th>
<th>Necroinflammatory activity grade</th>
<th>Fibrosis stage</th>
<th>Total cholesterol</th>
<th>Triglyceride</th>
<th>HDL</th>
<th>LDL</th>
<th>ALT</th>
<th>AST</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Nelson et al. (2009) [18] | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | - 26% reduction in LDL between simvastatin vs placebo
- No statistically significant improvement in ALT, AST, hepatic steatosis, necroinflammatory activity, or stage of fibrosis within or between groups |
| Ekstedt et al. (2007) [13] | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | - Significant reduction in quantitative steatosis in the statin cohort between baseline and follow-up
- AST/ALT did not differ significantly between the two cohorts |
| Hyogo et al. (2011) [14] | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | - Significant decrease in ALT and lipid profiles
- NAS tended to be decreased but statistical significance was not shown
- Fibrosis stage did not change significantly |
| Nakahara et al. (2012) [15] | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | - Mean AST/ALT did not significantly change during the treatment
- NAS and fibrotic stage tended to decrease after the treatment but statistical significance was not shown |
| Hyogo et al. (2012) [16] | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | - Significant decrease in AST and ALT
- Significant improvement in NAS |
| Kargiotis et al. (2015) [17] | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | - Complete resolution of NASH in 19 of 20 patients
- AST, ALT, and lipid profiles were normalized by the 3rd month of treatment |

ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NAS, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease activity score; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis.

Table 4. Risk of bias for the randomized controlled trial by Nelson et al. [18]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>●</td>
<td>Random sequence generation (selection bias)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>●</td>
<td>Allocation concealment (selection bias)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○</td>
<td>Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○</td>
<td>Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○</td>
<td>Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>●</td>
<td>Selective reporting (reporting bias)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Black, high grade; gray, moderate grade; white, low grade.

Statins decrease liver enzyme levels

There was a significant reduction in liver enzyme levels with a standardized mean difference of –0.511 (95% CI –0.650 to –0.373; P < 0.001) in AST and –0.462 (95% CI –0.599 to –0.325; P < 0.001) in ALT.

Investigations of heterogeneity

Subgroup analysis was not performed because insufficient studies were included. Significantly different treatment effects were seen between studies; however, as there were only a few studies to classify per subanalysis, it was difficult to interpret any subgroup analysis result.

Funnel plots and Egger’s test for the main outcomes of interest were not assessed because <10 studies were included and the power of the tests is too low to distinguish chance from genuine asymmetry [12].
Table 5. Risk of bias assessment for nonrandomized studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confounding</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection</td>
<td>Serious</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement of interventions</td>
<td>Serious</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement of outcomes</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>Serious</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Forest plot displaying the effect of statin on Std diff of steatosis grading. CI, confidence interval; Std diff, standardized mean difference

Figure 3. Forest plot displaying the effect of statin on Std diff of NAFLD activity score (NAS). CI, confidence interval; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NAS, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease activity score; Std diff, standardized mean difference
Discussion

The main finding from this meta-analysis is that statin use can possibly reduce the extent of steatohepatitis but not the stage of fibrosis in NAFLD. By comparing pre- and posttreatment histological parameters, a significant change was found in markers for steatosis and steatohepatitis, the steatosis grading, and NAS. However, there was an insufficient evidence to support any antifibrotic activity of statins.

Beyond cholesterol-lowering effects by inhibition of the 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase-dependent pathway, statins inhibit cell growth and angiogenesis, and exert anti-inflammatory, proapoptotic, and antifibrotic activities [42–49]. In statin and NASH studies in animal models, prevention of hepatic steatosis and fibrosis was observed, and various mechanisms proposed, including anti-inflammatory, antiapoptotic, and antioxidant effects [50–52].

One of the activities that statins reduced is hepatic lipid infiltration, which was observed in patients treated with atorvastatin. Alterations in the expression of several genes involved in triglyceride and carbohydrate metabolism resulted in reduced plasma, very LDL, and liver triglyceride mass [53].

Our meta-analysis suggests a reduction in the percentage of steatosis and necroinflammatory activity grade, although these trends are not significant. These findings, which are incongruent with the significant reduction in other outcomes, can be attributed to the small sample size and the incomplete outcomes retrieved from the included study (Table 3). The paucity of sample size might have led to a lack of statistical power that must be taken into account when interpreting the results.

Statins are considered to beneficially influence liver fibrosis and portal hypertension by various mechanisms. The current findings include hepatic stellate cell deactivation, reduced migration, reduced collagen synthesis, vasodilation, and hepatic stellate cell senescence [54]. However, our meta-analysis cannot illustrate these effects on fibrosis. The independent antifibrotic effects found for various statins could also be an explanation. Additionally, the short follow-up time of the included study might present only the early stage of fibrotic change. From the meta-analysis, the average rate of fibrosis progression of one stage is more than 7.7 years in patients with NASH and 14.3 years in patients with NAFLD [55].

The heterogeneous findings from the present study may be the result of several factors. First, the pharmacokinetics of each statin varies in metabolism and excretion. Most statin metabolism takes place in liver, while the excretion depends on hydrophobicity. The liver mostly excretes hydrophobic compounds, such as simvastatin, whereas hydrophilic compounds, such as pravastatin, are mainly eliminated through the kidney [56]. In patients with kidney and liver disease, alteration in pharmacokinetics of statins has been observed [57–59]. Cirrhotic patients show an increase in pitavastatin plasma concentration after administration compared with normal controls [59]. Second, patients with NAFLD have alteration in lipid metabolism and steatosis progression caused by polymorphisms. Increases in expression of cytochrome P450 2E1 and polymorphisms in peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR) α and γ2 have been linked to NAFLD [60]. Thus, the response to each statin treatment may differ in this
A post hoc analysis of the Greek Atorvastatin and Coronary Heart Disease Evaluation (GREACE) Study to analyze the liver-related adverse effects of statin treatment in patients with coronary heart disease and liver enzyme elevation showed interesting findings. The frequency of liver-related adverse effects was low in treatment groups both with and without a statin (1.1% and 0.4%, \( P = 0.2 \), respectively), and there was an improvement in liver tests during 3-year follow-up in the statin treatment group [19]. In a randomized, open-label study, which was prospectively performed in patients with elevated LDL, elevated ALT, and no evidence of viral hepatitis or alcohol use, pitavastatin and atorvastatin equally reduced LDL-cholesterol and ALT [66]. An improvement in ALT and AST was also demonstrated in our meta-analysis. Therefore, physicians should not hesitate to use statins in patients with NAFLD and hyperlipidemia.

Statin use is associated with protection from the full spectrum of NAFLD including steatosis and fibrosis [36]. However, only fibrosis is associated with a worse liver outcome. A multicentered longitudinal study demonstrated that regardless of other histological feature, liver fibrosis was the most relevant feature associated with long-term overall mortality, liver transplantation, and liver-related events. The utility of NAS could not provide any long-term prognostic data [67].

Conclusions

The present meta-analysis suggests that statin use can possibly reduce the extent of steatohapatitis but not the stage of fibrosis. Therefore, we encourage physicians to prescribe statins as routine management for NAFLD patients without hesitation, especially for those who have cardiovascular indications for statin use. Further randomized controlled studies assessing histological evidence with adequate size and long duration are required to establish statins as a primary treatment for NAFLD.
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