

ON ONE TYPE OF COMPACTIFICATION OF POSITIVE INTEGERS

MILAN PAŠTÉKA

ABSTRACT. The object of observation is a compact metric ring containing positive integers as dense subset. It is proved that this ring is isomorphic with a ring of reminder classes of polyadic integers.

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of positive integers. A mapping $\|\cdot\| : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ will be called norm if and only if the following conditions are satisfied for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|a\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = 0, \quad \|a + b\| \leq \|a\| + \|b\|, \quad \|ab\| \leq \|b\|.$$

There are various examples of norms on \mathbb{N} . One of these is polyadic norm defined in [N], [N1]. We start by a generalization of polyadic norm. Denote by $a + (m)$ the arithmetic progression with difference m which contains a . Instead of $0 + (m)$ we write only (m) .

A subset $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ we call *closed to divisibility* or shortly CD-set if and only if

$$1 \in A, \quad m \in A, d|m \Rightarrow d \in A, \quad m_1, m_2 \in A \Rightarrow [m_1, m_2] \in A,$$

for $d, m, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose that A is infinite CD-set and $\{B_n\}$ is such sequence elements of A that for every $d \in A$ there exists n_0 that $d|B_n$ for $n > n_0$. It is easy to see that the mapping

$$\|a\|_A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h_n(a)}{2^n}$$

for $a \in \mathbb{N}$, where $h_n(a) = 1 - \chi_{(B_n)}$, is a norm. This norm will be called generalized polyadic norm and the completion with respect the metric given by this norm will be called the ring of generalized polyadic integers.

If $A = \mathbb{N}$ and $B_n = n!$, we get polyadic norm and the completion will be the ring of polyadic integers. In the case $A = \{p^n; n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $B_n = p^n$ for a given prime p we obtain p -adic norm and the completion will be the ring of p -adic integers.

In the following text we shall assume that there is given a compact metric space (Ω, ρ) containing \mathbb{N} as a dense subset. We suppose that the operations addition and multiplication on \mathbb{N} are continuous and are extended to whole Ω to continuous operations. Thus $(\Omega, +, \cdot)$ is a topological commutative semiring.

Since Ω is compact, we can suppose that there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{x_n\}$ convergent to an element of Ω . Put

$$a_n = x_{2n} - x_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$a_n \geq n \quad \text{and} \quad a_n \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

in the topology of Ω .

For $\beta \in \Omega$ and $b_n \rightarrow \beta$, $b_n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can consider the sequence of positive integer $\{a_{k_n} - b_n\}$, for a suitable increasing sequence $\{k_n\}$, such that

$$a_{k_n} - b_n \rightarrow \beta', \quad \text{where} \quad \beta + \beta' = 0.$$

We see that $(\Omega, +)$ is a compact group.

Clearly, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there holds $cl(r + (m)) = r + m\Omega$, where $m\Omega$ is the principal ideal in the ring $(\Omega, +, \cdot)$ generated by m . This yields

$$\Omega = m\Omega \cup (1 + m\Omega) \cup \dots \cup (m - 1 + m\Omega). \quad (2)$$

Since the divisibility by m in \mathbb{N} is not necessary equivalent with the divisibility by m in Ω , it is not assumed that the last decomposition is disjoint.

LEMMA 1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such positive integer that it is also the minimal generator of the ideal $m\Omega$. Then every positive integer is divisible by m in \mathbb{N} if and only if it is divisible by m in Ω .*

Proof. One implication is trivial. Suppose now that some positive integer a is divisible by m in Ω . Thus $a \in m\Omega$. Put $d = (a, m)$ —the greatest common divisor in \mathbb{N} . Then $d = ax + my$ for certain integers x, y . This yields $d \in m\Omega$. We get $d\Omega = m\Omega$ and the minimality of m implies $m = d$. \square

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can define $g(n)$ as the minimal positive generator of $n\Omega$. Put $\mathcal{A} = \{g(n); n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

The set $r + m\Omega$ is closed and so from (2) we see that also open, which we refer as *clopen* set.

It is easy to check that the set \mathcal{A} is a CD-set.

Let $\{a_n\}$ be the sequence of positive integers given in (1). Clearly,

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \Omega = \{0\},$$

this yields

$$\bigcap_{m \in \mathcal{A}} m \Omega = \{0\}. \quad (3)$$

So we obtain that the set \mathcal{A} is infinite. Since $m\Omega$ is open for $m \in \mathcal{A}$, equality (3) implies that for each sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ there holds

$$\alpha_n \rightarrow 0 \iff \forall m \in \mathcal{A} \exists n_0; \quad n \geq n_0 \implies m | \alpha_n.$$

If we define the congruence by the natural manner: $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{\gamma}$ if and only if γ divides $\alpha - \beta$, for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega$, then there holds:

$$\alpha_n \rightarrow \beta \iff \forall m \in \mathcal{A} \exists n_0; \quad n \geq n_0 \implies \alpha_n \equiv \beta \pmod{m}.$$

Thus the convergence can be metrised by the generalized polyadic norm. Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{m_n, n = 1, 2, \dots\} \quad \text{and} \quad M_n = [m_1, \dots, m_n], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

then

$$\|\alpha\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \chi_{M_n \Omega}(\alpha)}{2^{-n}}.$$

We get the following

THEOREM 1. *The metric ρ is equivalent with the metric $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$, where*

$$\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(\alpha, \beta) = \|\alpha - \beta\|_{\mathcal{A}} \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in \Omega.$$

So for every set $S \subset \Omega$ we have

$$cl(S) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (S + M_n \Omega). \quad (4)$$

Denote the Haar probability measure defined on $(\Omega, +)$ by P . For $m \in \mathcal{A}$ the decomposition (2) is disjoint and so $P(r + m\Omega) = \frac{1}{m}$. If we define the submeasure ν^* on the system of subsets of \mathbb{N} as $\nu^*(S) = P(cl(S))$, we get from (4) and upper semicontinuity of measure that for each S

$$\nu^*(S) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R(S : M_n)}{M_n},$$

where $R(S : M_n)$ the number of elements of S incongruent modulo M_n . Thus ν^* is the covering density defined in [P].

THEOREM 2. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$. There exist $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \Omega$ such that the element $\delta = \alpha_1 \alpha + \beta_1 \beta$ divides α and β .*

Proof. Let $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$ be the sequences of positive integers that $a_n \rightarrow \alpha, b_n \rightarrow \beta$. Let d_n the greatest common divisor of $a_n, b_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $d_n = v_n a_n + u_n b_n$ for some u_n, v_n -integers. The compactness of Ω provides that $u_{k_n} \rightarrow \alpha_1$ and $v_{k_n} \rightarrow \beta_1$ for a suitable increasing sequence $\{k_n\}$. Put $\delta = \alpha_1 \alpha + \beta_1 \beta$. We see that $d_{k_n} \rightarrow \delta$. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ we have $a_{k_n} = c_n d_{k_n}$. Since $\{c_n\}$ contains a convergent subsequence, we get that δ divides α . Analogously, it can be derived that δ divides β . \square

The element δ from Theorem 2 will be called the *greatest common divisor* of α, β and we shall write $\delta \sim (\alpha, \beta)$.

COROLLARY 1. *If $p \in \mathcal{A}$ is a prime then for every $\alpha \in \Omega$ there holds p divides α or $(\alpha, p) \sim 1$.*

Proof. If p does not divide α then $\alpha \in \Omega \setminus p\Omega$. Consider a sequence of positive integers $\{a_n\}$ which converges to α . The set $\Omega \setminus p\Omega$ is open, thus we can suppose that $(a_n, p) = 1$. This yields $\ell_n a_n + s_n p = 1$ for suitable integers ℓ_n, s_n . Since Ω is a compact space there exists an increasing sequence $\{k_n\}$ that $\ell_{k_n} \rightarrow \lambda, s_{k_n} \rightarrow \sigma$. And so $\lambda \alpha + \sigma p = 1$. \square

Corollary 2 can be proved analogously

COROLLARY 2. *An element $\alpha \in \Omega$ is invertible if and only if $(\alpha, p) \sim 1$ for every prime $p \in \mathcal{A}$.*

LEMMA 2. *Each closed ideal in Ω is principal ideal.*

Proof. Let $I \subset \Omega$ be closed ideal. Let $\alpha \in I$. Denote by I_α the set of all divisors of α belonging to I . The compactness of Ω yields that I_α is a closed set. From Lemma 2 we get that for every $\alpha, \beta \in I$ there exists $\delta \in I$ so that $I_\delta \subset I_\alpha \cap I_\beta$. And so it can be proved by induction that $I_\alpha, \alpha \in I$ is a centered system of closed sets. Thus its intersection is non empty, and contains an element γ . Then $I = \gamma\Omega$. \square

In the sequel we denote Ω the ring of polyadic integers, thus completion of \mathbb{N} with respect to norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{N}}$ and we suppose that an infinite CD-set A is given. The completion of \mathbb{N} with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_A$ we denote as Ω_A .

Lemma 2 provides that $\cap_{a \in A} a\Omega = \alpha\Omega$ for suitable $\alpha \in \Omega$.

We will prove the following

THEOREM 3. *The ring Ω_A is isomorphic with the factor ring $\Omega/\alpha\Omega$.*

Proof. If a sequence of positive integers is Cauchy's with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{N}}$, then it is Cauchy's with respect to $\|\cdot\|_A$ as well.

If $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$ are sequences of positive integer, then

$$\|a_n - b_n\|_{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 0 \implies \|a_n - b_n\|_A \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore we can define a mapping $F : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega_A$ in the following way. If $\beta \in \Omega, b_n \rightarrow \beta$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{N}}$, then $F(\beta)$ is the limit of $\{b_n\}$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_A$. Clearly, F is a surjective morphism with kernel $\cap_{a \in A} a\Omega$ and the assertion follows. \square

THEOREM 4. *The ring Ω_A is an integrity domain if and only if $A = \{p^n; n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, where p is a prime number.*

PROOF. Suppose that $A = \{p^n; n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. It suffices to prove that $\cap_{a \in A} a\Omega$ is a prime ideal. Let α, β do not belong to $\cap_{a \in A} a\Omega$. Then $\alpha = p^k \alpha_1, \beta = p^j \beta_1$, where $(p, \alpha_1) \sim 1, (p, \beta_1) \sim 1, j, k < \infty$. Thus

$$\alpha\beta = p^{k+j} \alpha_1 \beta_1 \notin \cap_{a \in A} a\Omega.$$

Assume that A contains at least two different primes. The elements of the sequence $\{M_k\}$ can be decomposed into $M_k = d_k c_k$ such that

$$(d_k, c_k) = 1, \quad d_k > 1, \quad c_k > 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let $\{k_n\}$ be a subsequence such that $d_{k_n} \rightarrow \delta, c_{k_n} \rightarrow \gamma$. Then $\gamma, \delta \notin \cap_{a \in A} a\Omega$ and $\gamma\delta \in \cap_{a \in A} a\Omega$, thus $\cap_{a \in A} a\Omega$ is not a prime ideal. \square

REFERENCES

- [N] NOVOSELOV, E. V.: *Topological theory of polyadic numbers*, Trudy Tbilis. Mat. Inst. **27** (1960), 61–69. (In Russian)
- [N1] NOVOSELOV, E. V.: *New method in the probability number theory*, Doklady Akademii Nauk. Ser. Mat. **28** (1964) no. 2, 307–364. (In Russian)
- [P] PAŠTÉKA, M.: *Covering densities*, Math. Slovaca **42** (1992), 593–614.
- [Po] POSTNIKOV, R.G.: *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*. Moscow, Nauka, 1971. (In Russian); Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1981 (English translation).
- [P-P] PAŠTÉKA, M.—PORUBSKÝ, Š.: *On the distribution on the sequences of integers*, Math. Slovaca **43** (1993), 521–639.

Received October 12, 2015

*Department of Mathematics and
Computer Science
Faculty of Education
University of Trnava
Priemyselná 4
SK-918-43 Trnava
SLOVAKIA
E-mail: milan.pasteka@mat.savba.sk*