

# THE RIEMANN DERANGEMENT THEOREM AND DIVERGENT PERMUTATIONS

ROMAN WITUŁA

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, a strengthening of the Riemann Derangement Theorem, by selecting the appropriate permutation of  $\mathbb{N}$  from two families  $\mathfrak{DC}$  and  $\mathfrak{DD}$  of permutations of  $\mathbb{N}$ , is presented. The mentioned families are characterized in a natural way; their algebraic properties were investigated by the author in his previous works.

## 1. Introduction

Subjects referring to the Riemann Derangement Theorem are still popular because the theorem offers a great inspiration. The recent papers [8], [9] (see also [6]) can be set the good examples of this statement. Let us recall its content.

**THE RIEMANN DERANGEMENT THEOREM.** *Let  $\sum a_n$  be a conditionally convergent real series. Then, for every nonempty and closed interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}^*$  (two points compactification of  $\mathbb{R}$ ), there exists a permutation  $p$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that the set of limit points of series  $\sum a_{p(n)}$ , denoted by  $\sigma a_{p(n)}$ , is equal to  $I$ .*

In the current paper, we also will return to this classical result by selecting the appropriate permutation  $p$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  for this theorem from two subfamilies  $\mathfrak{DC}$  and  $\mathfrak{DD}$  of family  $\mathfrak{P}$  of all permutations of  $\mathbb{N}$ , as being discussed by author (see [1], [2], [7]) earlier.

However, we first need to introduce a few essential concepts. For short, we write  $A < B$  for any two nonempty subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  when  $a < b$  for each  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ .

We call a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  to be increasing if

$$A_n < A_{n+1} \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We say that a nonempty subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  is a union of  $k$  mutually separated intervals (abbreviated to **MSI**), if there exist  $k$  intervals  $I_1, \dots, I_k$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  which form a partition of  $A$  and  $\text{dist}(I_i, I_j) \geq 2$  for any distinct indices  $i, j \leq k$ .

A permutation  $p \in \mathfrak{P}$  is said to be divergent permutation if there is a conditionally convergent series  $\sum a_n$  of real terms such that the  $p$ -rearranged series  $\sum a_{p(n)}$  is divergent. The family of all divergent permutations will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Elements of family  $\mathfrak{C} := \mathfrak{P} \setminus \mathfrak{D}$  are called the convergent permutations. We note that if  $p \in \mathfrak{C}$  then, for every conditionally convergent series  $\sum a_n$ , the  $p$ -rearranged series  $\sum a_{p(n)}$  is also convergent.

Family  $\mathfrak{D}$  will be naturally partitioned onto two subfamilies  $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{D}$ , depending on the condition whether, for a given  $p \in \mathfrak{D}$ , we have  $p^{-1} \in \mathfrak{C}$  or  $p^{-1} \in \mathfrak{D}$ , respectively. Some algebraic properties of those families were investigated by author [1]; among others, the following two relations

$$\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{C} \circ \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{D} \circ \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{C} \circ \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{C} \cup \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{D}$$

were shown. The sign “ $\circ$ ” denotes the composition of sets of permutations of  $\mathbb{N}$  here. In the current paper, we will add some other properties of  $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{D}$ , of analytical nature, connected with the Riemann Derangement Theorem.

## 2. Main result

The two following dual combinatoric characterizations of convergent and divergent permutations are known [3], [4], [5] and will be explored in the proof of our main result.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *A permutation  $p \in \mathfrak{P}$  is a convergent permutation if and only if there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that the set  $p(I)$  is a union of at most  $k$  MSI for every interval  $I$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ . The minimal positive integer  $k$  with this property will be denoted by  $\mathbf{c}(p)$ .*

**THEOREM 2.2.** *A permutation  $p \in \mathfrak{P}$  is a divergent permutation if and only if, for every positive integer  $n$ , there exists an interval  $I$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $p(I)$  is a union of at least  $n$  MSI.*

Now, we are ready to formulate and to prove the main result—a strengthened version of the Riemann Derangement Theorem (announced by author in [7]).

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $\sum a_n$  be a conditionally convergent series and let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}^*$  be a nondegenerated closed interval in  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . Then there exists a permutation  $p \in \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{D}$  such that  $\sigma a_{p(n)} = I$ .*

*If we assume additionally that  $\sum a_n \in I$  or that interval  $I$  is of the form  $[\alpha, +\infty]$  or  $[-\infty, \beta]$ , for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ,  $\alpha < +\infty$  and  $\beta > -\infty$ , then there exists*

a permutation  $q \in \mathfrak{DC}$  such that  $\mathbf{c}(q^{-1}) \leq 5$  and  $\sigma a_{q(n)} = I$ . In the case  $\sum a_n \in I$ , the permutation  $q \in \mathfrak{DC}$  can be selected in such a way that  $\mathbf{c}(q^{-1}) \leq 3$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha := \sum a_n$ ,  $A^+ := \{i \in \mathbb{N} : a_i \geq 0\}$  and  $A^- := \mathbb{N} \setminus A^+$ . Furthermore, let us put  $a_n^+ := \max\{0, a_n\}$  and  $a_n^- := a_n - a_n^+$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In the presented proof, we use the notations:  $(a, b)$ ,  $(a, b]$ ,  $[a, b)$  and  $[a, b]$  for the intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$ , as well as for the intervals of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Which notation is appropriate at a given moment, it will always clearly follow from the considerations.

Let  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta \leq \alpha \leq \gamma$ . First, we define a permutation  $q \in \mathfrak{DC}$  such that  $\sigma a_{q(n)} := [\beta, \gamma]$  but the initial part of the proof is applicable to the case  $\beta < \alpha < \gamma$  only. For this purpose, let us determine  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|a_n| < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \alpha), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta) \right\} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}(\beta - \alpha) < \alpha - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k < \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \alpha), \quad (2)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > m$ .

Next, by induction, we find the increasing sequence  $\{I_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  of intervals of  $\mathbb{N}$  forming the partition of  $\mathbb{N}$  and satisfying, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following conditions:

$$\sum_{j \in J_{2n-1}} a_j + \sum_{i \in I_{2n-1}} a_i^+ \geq \gamma, \quad \text{while} \quad \sum_{j \in J_{2n-1}} a_j + \sum_{\substack{i \in I_{2n-1} \\ i \neq k_{2n-1}}} a_i^+ \leq \gamma \quad (3)$$

and

$$\sum_{j \in J_{2n}} a_j + \sum_{i \in I_{2n}} a_i^- \leq \beta, \quad \text{while} \quad \sum_{j \in J_{2n}} a_j + \sum_{\substack{i \in I_{2n} \\ i \neq k_{2n}}} a_i^- \geq \beta, \quad (4)$$

where  $I_0 := [1, m]$ ,  $J_n := \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} I_i$  and

$$k_n := \begin{cases} \max\{k \in I_n : a_k > 0\} & \text{for } n \in (2\mathbb{N} - 1), \\ \max(I_n \cap A^-) & \text{for } n \in 2\mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Additionally, we set

$$L_{2n-1} := I_{2n-1} \cap A^+, \quad L_{2n} := I_{2n} \cap A^- \quad \text{and} \quad l_n := \text{card } L_n,$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n = \infty$ . Moreover, if  $L_n$  is a union of  $\lambda_n$  **MSI** then, keeping in mind the conditional convergence of series  $\sum a_n$  as well as conditions (3) and (4), we easily verify that also  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ .

Permutation  $q$  is defined to be an increasing map of sets:

$$I_0 \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [\min I_n, l_n + \min I_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [l_n + \min I_n, \max I_n]$$

onto sets:

$$I_0 \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_n \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (I_n \setminus L_n),$$

respectively. One can easily verify that we then have

$$q([\min I_n, l_n + \min I_n)) = L_n, \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and the set  $q^{-1}(I)$  is a union of at most three **MSI** for every interval  $I$ , i.e.,  $q \in \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathbf{c}(q^{-1}) \leq 3$ . In turn, from (3) and (4), it follows that  $\sigma a_{q(n)} = [\beta, \gamma]$ . However, it is not difficult to see that the above proof readily applies to the cases  $\alpha = \beta$  or  $\alpha = \gamma$  (for a nondegenerated interval  $[\beta, \gamma]$ ).

Our next goal is to construct a permutation  $q \in \mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{C}$  such that  $\sum a_{q(n)} = +\infty$ . Let  $\{I_n\}$  be an increasing sequence of intervals of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\bigcup I_n = \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\sum_{i \in I_n} a_i^+ \geq n, \quad (5)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, let

$$\lambda_i := \text{card}(I_i \cap A^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_i := \text{card}(I_i \cap A^-), \quad i \in \mathbb{N};$$

and

$$J_{n+1} := \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mu_i \right] \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We define the permutation  $q$  as an increasing map of sets:

$$[1, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2] \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \right]$$

and

$$(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \mu_1] \cup \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} J_n$$

onto sets  $A^+$  and  $A^-$ , respectively.

One can verify that the permutation  $q$  possesses the following properties. First, we note that set  $q^{-1}(I)$  is a union of at most five **MSI** for each interval  $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ , that is  $q^{-1} \in \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathbf{c}(q^{-1}) \leq 5$ . Next, from condition (5), we obtain the following estimation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\max J_n} a_{q(i)} = \sum_{i < \min J_n} a_i + \sum_{i \in J_n} a_i^+ \geq n + \sum_{i < \min J_n} a_i, \quad \text{correct for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Furthermore, it follows from the definition of  $q$  that the sequence

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^j a_{q(i)} : \min J_n < j \leq \max J_n \right\} \quad \text{is non-increasing for each } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

whereas the sequence

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^j a_{q(i)} : \max J_n < j < \min J_{n+1} \right\} \quad \text{is increasing for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From the last three properties, we receive  $\sum a_{q(n)} = +\infty$ . In consequence, we have  $q \in \mathfrak{DC}$ .

Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . We want to define a permutation  $q \in \mathfrak{DC}$  such that  $\sigma a_{q(n)} = [\beta, \infty]$ . For this purpose, it is enough to choose by induction the increasing sequence  $\{I_n\}$  of intervals of  $\mathbb{N}$  forming the partition of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the following conditions are satisfied

$$\sum_{j \in J_{2n-1}} a_{q(j)} \geq \beta + n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \in J_{2n}} a_{q(j)} \leq \beta, \quad (6)$$

while

$$\sum_{\substack{j \in J_{2n} \\ j < \max J_{2n}}} a_{q(j)} \geq \beta,$$

where  $J_n := \bigcup_{i=0}^n I_i$ , whereas  $q$  is defined to be the increasing map of sets  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{2n-1}$  and  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{2n}$  onto sets  $A^+$  and  $A^-$ , respectively. Then, it is easy to verify that  $q^{-1} \in \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathbf{c}(q^{-1}) \leq 5$ , and, since from (6) it results that  $\sigma a_{q(n)} = [\beta, \infty]$ , we have  $q \in \mathfrak{DC}$ .

Definition of permutation  $q \in \mathfrak{DC}$ , such that  $\sigma a_{q(n)} = \mathbb{R}^*$ , is the same as in the previously discussed case of  $\sigma a_{q(n)} = [\beta, \infty]$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , only condition (6) needs to be changed. More precisely, condition (6) must be replaced by the following conditions:

$$\sum_{j \in J_{2n-1}} a_{q(j)} \geq n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \in J_{2n}} a_{q(j)} \leq -n,$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Definition of permutation  $p \in \mathfrak{DD}$ , which we will also make dependent on the form of set  $\sigma a_{p(n)}$ , must be preceded by some essential preparations. The main charge of those preparations lies in the appropriate selection of increasing sequence  $\{I_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  of the intervals of  $\mathbb{N}$  forming the partition of  $\mathbb{N}$ . We denote as  $s$  and  $t$  the increasing bijections of  $\mathbb{N}$  onto sets

$$\mathbf{D} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_{2n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} I_{2n},$$

respectively. We request the intervals  $I_{2n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , to be composed of the even number of elements and so that  $\text{card } I_{2n} \rightarrow \infty$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover, we demand

the series  $\sum a_{s(n)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{D}} a_n$  and  $\sum a_{t(n)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{E}} a_n$  both to be convergent, in addition, the first one should be conditionally convergent and the second series  $\sum a_{t(n)}$  should be absolutely convergent (and therefore insusceptible to permutations). Let  $d := \sum a_{t(n)}$ .

Restriction of permutation  $p$  to set  $\mathbf{E}$  will be defined in the same way in all of the cases discussed below. So, we take that

$$p(2i - 2 + \min I_{2n}) = i - 1 + \min I_{2n}$$

and

$$p(2i - 1 + \min I_{2n}) = i - 1 + \frac{1}{2} \text{card } I_{2n} + \min I_{2n},$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \text{card } I_{2n}$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . It implies that for each case we have  $p^{-1} \in \mathfrak{D}$ .

First, let us consider the case in which  $\sigma a_{p(n)}$  is a nondegenerated closed interval. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a < b$  and  $d < b$  (the last condition does not violate the generality of considerations because one can assume  $d$  to be any real number). Additionally, we assume that

$$|a_j| < \frac{1}{2}|b - a| \quad \text{for every } j \in \mathbf{D}. \quad (7)$$

We define by induction an increasing sequence  $\{J_n\}$  of intervals of  $\mathbb{N}$  forming the partition of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_{2n-1} \right) &= \mathbf{D} \cap A^+, \quad \mu \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_{2n} \right) = \mathbf{D} \cap A^-, \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{j \in K_{2n-1}} a_{\mu(j)} \geq b - d, \quad \text{while} \quad \sum_{\substack{j \in K_{2n-1} \\ j < \max K_{2n-1}}} a_{\mu(j)} \leq b - d, \\ \text{and} \\ \sum_{j \in K_{2n}} a_{\mu(j)} \leq a - d, \quad \text{while} \quad \sum_{\substack{j \in K_{2n} \\ j < \max K_{2n}}} a_{\mu(j)} \geq a - d, \end{array} \right. \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $K_n := \bigcup_{j=1}^n J_j$  and  $\mu := ps$ . The restriction of  $p$  to set  $\mathbf{D}$  is defined to be the increasing map of sets

$$s \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_{2n-1} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad s \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_{2n} \right)$$

onto sets  $\mathbf{D} \cap A^+$  and  $\mathbf{D} \cap A^-$ , respectively. Then, what can be easily verified, we have  $\sigma a_{p(n)} = [a, b]$  which implies, in particular, that  $p \in \mathfrak{D}$ .

Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $\sigma a_{p(n)} = [a, \infty]$  or  $\sigma a_{p(n)} = \{+\infty\}$ , the construction of permutation  $p$  is almost analogous as shown before, only conditions (7) and (8) must be modified. So, for  $\sigma a_{p(n)} = [a, \infty]$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , instead of condition (7), we assume that  $|a_j| < \frac{1}{2}$ , for every  $j \in \mathbf{D}$ , whereas (8) is replaced, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with the following condition

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_{j \in K_{2n-1}} a_{\mu(j)} \geq \max\{n, 2a\}, & \text{while } \sum_{\substack{j \in K_{2n-1} \\ j < \max K_{2n-1}}} a_{\mu(j)} \leq \max\{n, 2a\}, \\ \text{and} \\ \sum_{j \in K_{2n}} a_{\mu(j)} \leq a, & \text{while } \sum_{\substack{j \in K_{2n} \\ j < \max K_{2n}}} a_{\mu(j)} \geq a. \end{array} \right. \quad (9)$$

For the case  $\sigma a_{p(n)} = \{+\infty\}$ , we request that  $|a_j| < 1$ , for each  $j \in \mathbf{D}$ , whereas (8) is replaced, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with the condition given below

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_{j \in K_{2n-1}} a_{\mu(j)} \geq 2n, & \text{while } \sum_{\substack{j \in K_{2n-1} \\ j < \max K_{2n-1}}} a_{\mu(j)} \leq 2n, \\ \text{and} \\ \sum_{j \in K_{2n}} a_{\mu(j)} \leq n, & \text{while } \sum_{\substack{j \in K_{2n} \\ j < \max K_{2n}}} a_{\mu(j)} \geq n. \end{array} \right. \quad (10)$$

□

**Acknowledgements.** The author is grateful to the reviewer's valuable comments that improved the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- [1] WITUŁA, R.: *The algebraic properties of the convergent and divergent permutations*, Filomat (submitted).
- [2] WITUŁA, R.: *The family  $\mathfrak{F}$  of permutations of  $\mathbb{N}$* , Positivity (submitted).
- [3] WITUŁA, R.—SŁOTA, D.—SEWERYN, R.: *On Erdős' theorem for monotonic subsequences*, Demonstr. Math. **40** (2007), 239–259.
- [4] WITUŁA, R.: *On the set of limit points of the partial sums of series rearranged by a given divergent permutation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **362** (2010), 542–552.
- [5] LEVI, F. W.: *Rearrangement of convergent series*, Duke Math. J. **13** (1946), 579–585.
- [6] WITUŁA, R.—HETMANIOK, E.—SŁOTA, D.: *Some variation on the Riemann Derangement Theorem*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. (submitted).
- [7] WITUŁA, R.: *The Riemann theorem and divergent permutations*, Colloq. Math. **69** (1995), 275–287.
- [8] FILIPÓW, R.—SZUCA, P.: *Rearrangement of conditionally convergent series on a small set*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **362** (2010), 64–71.

ROMAN WITUŁA

- [9] PRUS-WIŚNIEWSKI, F.: *Two refinements of the Riemann Derangement Theorem*.  
In: Real Functions, Density Topology and Related Topics (M. Filipczak and E. Wagner-  
-Bojakowska, eds.), Łódź University Press, Łódź, 2011, pp. 165–172.

Received July 4, 2011

*Institute of Mathematics  
Silesian University of Technology  
Kaszubska 23  
PL–Gliwice 44–100  
POLAND  
E-mail: roman.witula@polsl.pl*