

# Cross-Species Amplification of Microsatellite Loci for the Endangered Conifer, *Taxus chinensis* var. *mairei* (Taxaceae)

By Y. WEN<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, K. UCHIYAMA<sup>2</sup>, G. XU<sup>1</sup>, S. UENO<sup>2</sup>, W. HAN<sup>1</sup>, W. XIE<sup>3</sup> and Y. TSUMURA<sup>2</sup>

(Received 20<sup>th</sup> June 2012)

## Abstract

Being an economical and endangered species, microsatellite markers of *Taxus chinensis* var. *mairei* were very limited. We have developed a set of microsatellite markers, which was benefit for future genetic analysis of this rare species. Polymorphic loci were developed from congeneric species by cross-species amplification methods, and new primers were redesigned to test for potential null alleles. 15 loci showed polymorphism. The number of alleles per locus varied from 2 to 23 tested in 48 individuals. The observed heterozygosity ( $H_o$ ) and expected heterozygosity ( $H_e$ ) values ranged from 0.000 to 0.854 and 0.082 to 0.827, respectively. Newly redesigned primer confirmed that no null allele existed in most suspected loci. These microsatellite markers will be useful for future genetic analysis and conservation of this endangered species.

**Key words:** cross-amplification, microsatellites, *Taxus chinensis* var. *mairei*, population genetics, genetic diversity.

## Introduction

Maire Yew (*Taxus chinensis* var. *mairei*) is an endemic *Taxus* species in China (ZHANG, et al., 1978). Its central distribution range consists of mainly scattered populations from the south of the Yellow river to the Nanling Mountain. Maire Yew has attracted much attention due to an anti-cancer drug that it contains, and due to the high aesthetic timber. However, long term over-exploitation has made this species become scarce and seriously threatened with extinction. In order to protect this rare species, the Chinese Government has listed Maire Yew among the first class protected plants since 1999 (The State of Council of the People's Republic of China, 1999), and several nature reserves have been set up in Southern China.

Conserving genetic diversity is one of the most important factors for threatened species. In order to conserve the genetic diversity, it has to be measured first. Compared with random fingerprinting approaches, microsatellites (simple sequence repeats, SSRs) are an ideal marker system for population genetics studies due to several advantages (JARNE and LAGODA, 1996). However, to develop new species-specific microsatellites demands knowledge about their flanking sequences

through traditional approaches, which is costly and time-consuming (ZANE et al., 2002; SQUIRRELL et al., 2003). One shortcut strategy is cross-species amplification to develop new species microsatellite markers (BARBARÁ et al., 2007), based on the conservation of microsatellites flanking regions in closely related species. This approach has been used in different studies, either to derive nuclear SSR (ALDRICH et al., 2003; PEAKALL et al., 1998) or cpSSR (WEISING and GARDNER, 1999; CHUNG and STAUB, 2003) and EST-SSR marker (HEESACKER et al., 2008).

Until now, only a few studies focused on the genetic diversity of Maire Yew, by analyzing dominant markers, such as RAPD (ZHANG et al., 2003) and ISSR (LI et al., 2010). But no study was done based on microsatellites yet, due to the limited number of markers available. To the author's knowledge, microsatellite loci have been isolated from several *Taxus* species, including *T. baccata* (DUBREUIL et al., 2008, MAHMOODI et al., 2010), *T. yunnanensis* (MIAO et al., 2008), *T. sumatrana* (HUANG et al., 2008) and *T. wallichiana* (YANG et al., 2009). These loci are potential resources for Maire Yew in order to develop specific microsatellite markers. In the present study, we evaluated 68 candidate loci (including one mitochondrial marker) from congeneric species, and also tested 4 Maire Yew microsatellite loci developed by ZHOU et al (2009). 15 polymorphic markers were selected and their characterization were described.

## Materials and Methods

In order to evaluate the polymorphism of the candidate microsatellite markers, 48 individual samples were selected from a wide range of Maire Yew's distributions in Southern China. DNA extraction from the silica dried leaves was carried out by a modified CTAB method (TSUMURA et al., 1995). A total of 72 candidate loci were used to test PCR amplification and their polymorphism, in which 8 primer pairs were from *T. yunnanensis* (MIAO et al., 2008), 12 prime pairs were from *T. sumatrana* (HUANG et al., 2008), 10 primer pairs form *T. wallichiana* (YANG et al., 2009), 7 primer pairs from (DUBREUIL et al., 2008), 4 primer pairs from (ZHOU et al., 2009) and 31 primer pairs from (MAHMOODI et al., 2010). Some of the primers were end-modified to adapt post-PCR fluorescent labeling method (KONDO et al., 2000). For each of the primer pairs, 4 individuals were used to test whether they could be successfully amplified by PCR. PCR was performed in a 6.0 µl volume according to QIAGEN® Multiplex PCR kit instructions, containing 1 × Multiplex PCR master mix (Qiagen), 0.2 µM of forward and reverse primers, and 5–10 ng of template

<sup>1</sup>) Central South University of Forestry and Technology, Changsha, Hunan 410004, China.

<sup>2</sup>) Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8687, Japan.

<sup>3</sup>) Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi 530004, China.

<sup>\*</sup>) Author for correspondence: YAFENG WEN.

E-Mail: [wenyafeng7107@163.com](mailto:wenyafeng7107@163.com), [wenyafeng@affrc.go.jp](mailto:wenyafeng@affrc.go.jp)

DNA. The PCR amplification conditions were: 15 min at 95°C, then 30 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 90 s at the primer pair's optimized annealing temperature (*Table 1*), and 60 s at 72°C, followed by a 30 min extension step at 60°C. The PCR products were electrophoretically separated on 2% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide. For successfully amplifying loci, further singleplex PCR was carried out, in order to check their polymor-

phism using 48 individuals. Primers were labeled at the 5' end with FAM or HEX fluorescent dyes (Applied Biosystems). PCR amplification system and conditions were the same as above. Genotypes were determined using an ABI3100 genetic analyzer and Liz 600 size standards. Population genetic parameters were estimated using GENALEX6.3 (PEAKALL and SMOUSE, 2006), including the number of alleles per locus ( $N_a$ ), effective

Table 1. – Characterization of 15 polymorphic microsatellite loci in Maire Yew (*Taxus chinensis* var. *mairei*).

\* TB01 is mtSSR locus; <sup>a</sup> from MIAO et al. (2008); <sup>b</sup> from HUANG et al. (2008); <sup>c</sup> from YANG et al. (2009); <sup>d</sup> from DUBREUIL et al. (2008); <sup>e</sup> from ZHOU et al. (2009).

Notes: For loci TS03 and TS10, DNA sequences were not submitted to GenBank by the authors.

number of alleles per locus ( $N_e$ ), observed heterozygosity ( $H_o$ ), expected heterozygosity ( $H_e$ ) and fixation index ( $F_{IS}$ ). Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $HWE$ ) and linkage disequilibrium ( $LD$ ) were assessed using GENEPOP (RAYMOND and ROUSSET, 1995) online version 4.0.10 (<http://genepop.curtin.edu.au/index.html>). Null alleles were detected by Micro-checker 2.2.3 (VAN OOSTERHOUT et al., 2004).

New primers were designed to confirm null alleles of the loci suspected by the results of Micro-checker 2.2.3. Direct sequencing of Maire Yew PCR products was according to the BigDye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems). Ethanol purification products were run on an ABI3100 automatic sequencer. Forward and reverse nucleotide sequences of each locus were assembled by Sequencher 4.10, and then aligned using MEGA 5.05. New primers were designed for 7 loci by Primer3Plus online (<http://www.bioinformatics.nl/cgi-bin/primer3plus/primer3plus.cgi>). PCR amplification and genotyping procedures with these new primers were the same as those of the original primers. According to the level of allele matching between the two primer sets, we tried to confirm the existence of null alleles at each locus.

## Results and Discussion

### Cross-species transfer success

Among the total of 72 candidate loci (one was a mtSSR marker), 38 (52.8%) produced clear PCR fragment pat-

terns (one or two bands), 3 (4.2%) showed multiple bands (more than 2 bands); while 31 (43.0%) did not produce any fragments. Out of 38 successfully amplifying loci, 15 (20.8%) showed evidence for polymorphisms (Table 1); the others were monomorphic. The overall average cross-amplification success was 48.6%, this data was less than conifer plants (66%, BARBARÁ et al., 2007). When markers were compared in terms of species in origin, the rate of cross-amplification success and polymorphic loci were distinct among 4 congeneric species. Primers derived from *T. yunnanensis*, *T. wallichiana* and *T. sumatrana* had high success rate. Their success rate was 100%, 90% and 75%, respectively, and polymorphic loci from these species were 66.7%, 40% and 16.7%, respectively. However, those from *T. baccata* were 23.7% and 2.6%. DUBREUIL et al. (2008) reported transferring 50% (4 of 8) microsatellite markers from *T. sumatrana* to *T. baccata*, and only one showed polymorphic banding pattern. MIAO et al. (2008) tested the transferability of 12 *T. sumatrana* markers in *T. yunnanensis*, also only one loci worked.

According to several authors (PRIMMER et al., 1996; GUO et al., 2006; VINYALLONAGA et al., 2011) that cross-amplification is except to work better for phylogenetically close species. Our data also support this idea. On the terms of phylogenetic relationship among species of *Taxus*, Marie Yew and *T. yunnanensis* has been recognized as a variety of *T. wallichiana* (FU et al., 1999); it had relatively far relationship with *T. baccata* (HAO et al., 2008).

Table 2. – Results of primer screening in 48 individuals of *Taxus chinensis* var. *mairei*.

Locus									
Primer	Primer	Primer	Primer	Primer	Primer	Primer	Primer	Primer	Primer
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60
61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70
71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90
91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110
111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120
121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130
131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140
141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150
151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160
161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169	170
171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180
181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190
191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200
201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210
211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220
221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230
231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240
241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249	250
251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	259	260
261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270
271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280
281	282	283	284	285	286	287	288	289	290
291	292	293	294	295	296	297	298	299	300
301	302	303	304	305	306	307	308	309	310
311	312	313	314	315	316	317	318	319	320
321	322	323	324	325	326	327	328	329	330
331	332	333	334	335	336	337	338	339	340
341	342	343	344	345	346	347	348	349	350
351	352	353	354	355	356	357	358	359	360
361	362	363	364	365	366	367	368	369	370
371	372	373	374	375	376	377	378	379	380
381	382	383	384	385	386	387	388	389	390
391	392	393	394	395	396	397	398	399	400
401	402	403	404	405	406	407	408	409	410
411	412	413	414	415	416	417	418	419	420
421	422	423	424	425	426	427	428	429	430
431	432	433	434	435	436	437	438	439	440
441	442	443	444	445	446	447	448	449	450
451	452	453	454	455	456	457	458	459	460
461	462	463	464	465	466	467	468	469	470
471	472	473	474	475	476	477	478	479	480
481	482	483	484	485	486	487	488	489	490
491	492	493	494	495	496	497	498	499	500
501	502	503	504	505	506	507	508	509	510
511	512	513	514	515	516	517	518	519	520
521	522	523	524	525	526	527	528	529	530
531	532	533	534	535	536	537	538	539	540
541	542	543	544	545	546	547	548	549	550
551	552	553	554	555	556	557	558	559	560
561	562	563	564	565	566	567	568	569	570
571	572	573	574	575	576	577	578	579	580
581	582	583	584	585	586	587	588	589	590
591	592	593	594	595	596	597	598	599	600
601	602	603	604	605	606	607	608	609	610
611	612	613	614	615	616	617	618	619	620
621	622	623	624	625	626	627	628	629	630
631	632	633	634	635	636	637	638	639	640
641	642	643	644	645	646	647	648	649	650
651	652	653	654	655	656	657	658	659	660
661	662	663	664	665	666	667	668	669	670
671	672	673	674	675	676	677	678	679	680
681	682	683	684	685	686	687	688	689	690
691	692	693	694	695	696	697	698	699	700
701	702	703	704	705	706	707	708	709	710
711	712	713	714	715	716	717	718	719	720
721	722	723	724	725	726	727	728	729	730
731	732	733	734	735	736	737	738	739	740
741	742	743	744	745	746	747	748	749	750
751	752	753	754	755	756	757	758	759	760
761	762	763	764	765	766	767	768	769	770
771	772	773	774	775	776	777	778	779	780
781	782	783	784	785	786	787	788	789	790
791	792	793	794	795	796	797	798	799	800
801	802	803	804	805	806	807	808	809	810
811	812	813	814	815	816	817	818	819	820
821	822	823	824	825	826	827	828	829	830
831	832	833	834	835	836	837	838	839	840
841	842	843	844	845	846	847	848	849	850
851	852	853	854	855	856	857	858	859	860
861	862	863	864	865	866	867	868	869	870
871	872	873	874	875	876	877	878	879	880
881	882	883	884	885	886	887	888	889	890
891	892	893	894	895	896	897	898	899	900
901	902	903	904	905	906	907	908	909	910
911	912	913	914	915	916	917	918	919	920
921	922	923	924	925	926	927	928	929	930
931	932	933	934	935	936	937	938	939	940
941	942	943	944	945	946	947	948	949	950
951	952	953	954	955	956	957	958	959	960
961	962	963	964	965	966	967	968	969	970
971	972	973	974	975	976	977	978	979	980
981	982	983	984	985	986	987	988	989	990
991	992	993	994	995	996	997	998	999	1000

$N$ : number of samples,  $N_a$ : number of alleles,  $N_e$ : effective number of alleles,  $H_o$ : observed heterozygosity,  $H_e$ : expected heterozygosity,  $F_{IS}$ : fixation index;  $HWE$ : Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test.

ns: not significant departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $HWE$ ); \*, \*\*: significant departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $HWE$ ) ( $P < 0.01$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , respectively).

\* TB01 is mtSSR locus; † calculated using the method described by BROOKFIELD (1996).

Table 3. – New redesigned primers and the result of screening in 48 individuals of *Taxus chinensis* var. MAIREI.

Locus	New primer sequence (5'-3')	Ta/°C	Size range	PCR amplification	Proportion of matching genotypes (%)	Accession no
N-TY24	F: GTT CTC TAC CCA TAG CGT TCA TTC AG R: ATT CTG TCC TCC CCA TAG ATC TCC	60	115-175	Polymorphic	48/48 (100%)	AB725363
N-TY05	F: <FAM> GGG GGA ACA TTC CTA CTC TAT CA R: GTT TAC AGT ACA GTT CGG AGT GAG	60	273-288	Polymorphic	48/48(100%)	AB725361
N-TY16	F: GTG ACA GAT CTA CCA CAT CGT GA R: <FAM>TGG TAG TTG GAG CCC CTA TAC AT	60	148-169	Polymorphic	48/48(100%)	AB725362
N-TC3	F: <FAM> TGC TAT GGA ATG AAG AAT CCA A R: GTT TCC GTG TGT GTT GTG TGT TTT	55	157-165	Polymorphic	39/45(86.7%)	AB725358
N-TC4	F: <HEX> ACA TGG TGG CTA CAC TAG AGC AC R: CAA CCT AGT GAG GAT CAT ACT TTC A	55	99-109	Polymorphic	31/45(68.9%)	AB725359
N-TG126	F: ATT GCA TAG GGG GAG AGA TTC TAT T R: GTT CTT ATC ACA GAG TGT CGC ACC A	55	182	Monomorphic		AB725360
N-TA114	F: AAA TGT TCA GCC TCA AAA TCG R: GTT TGT TCT GGC TTC CTC TAG CA	55		No bands		

Notes: For loci TY08 and TB86, new primers were not redesigned due to sequence failure.

#### Characterization of selected microsatellite loci

Among the 15 polymorphic loci, the number of alleles per locus varied from 2 to 23 when tested on the 48 individuals. The observed heterozygosity ( $H_o$ ) and expected heterozygosity ( $H_e$ ) values ranged from 0.000 to 0.854 and 0.082 to 0.827, respectively (Table 2). 10 loci were significantly deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $HWE$ ) due to a large heterozygote deficiency ( $P < 0.01$ ). Twenty-four out of 91 possible pairwise comparisons between the 14 nuclear microsatellite loci showed significant linkage disequilibrium ( $p < 0.05$ ). Numerous factors might cause the deviation of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $HWE$ ), including inbreeding, null alleles and Wahlund effect. In our ongoing study among 26 populations using these nuclear markers, we have confirmed the influence of null alleles in most loci, which mean that inbreeding was the main reason for the large heterozygote deficiency and lead to deviation of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $HWE$ ). Another factor such as Wahlund effect might be one of the reasons, because 48 samples were selected from a wide range of Maire Yew's distributions in Southern China.

#### Null allele's confirmation

Micro-checker detection indicated no apparent evidence for scoring errors due to stuttering or large allele dropout in the 14 nuclear SSR loci. Possible null alleles were suggested by this software in 9 loci (Table 2). Our approach to design new primer for confirmation of null alleles suggested that no such null alleles existed in the 3 loci TY24, TY16 and TY05 (Table 3), as the agreement between the two primer sets was 100%. For loci TC3 and TC4, the proportion of matching genotypes was

86.7% and 68.9%, respectively. This suggests there could be null alleles in these two loci. In our ongoing study among 26 populations using these 14 nuclear microsatellite loci (data not shown), TA114 and TG126 loci showed Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $HWE$ ) in most populations, which we take as an indication that no null alleles are present in them. For loci TY08 and TB86, new primers were not redesigned due to sequencing failure, they need more cautious confirmation in a future study. We have used these 15 microsatellite markers in ongoing genetic analysis of Maire Yew, which will be benefit for the conservation of this endangered species.

#### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Special Fund for Forestry-scientific Research in the Public Interest of China (201104033), and also supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (11F00787) and the Research Foundation of Education Bureau of Hunan Province China (09A103). The authors would like to thank Dr. HEINZE for critical reading of the manuscript and valuable suggestions.

#### Reference

- ALDROCH, P. R., M. JAGTAP, C. H. MICHLER and S. J. ROMTERO (2003): Amplification of North American red oak microsatellite markers in European white oaks and Chinese chestnut. *Silvae Genetica* **52**: 176–179.
- BARBARÁ, T., C. PALMA-SILVA, G. M. PAGGI, F. BERED, M. F. FAY and C. LEXER (2007): Cross-species transfer of nuclear microsatellite markers: Potential and limitations. *Molecular Ecology* **16**: 3759–3767.

- CHUNG, S. M and J. E. STAUB (2003): The development and evaluation of consensus chloroplast primer pairs that possess highly variable sequence regions in a diverse array of plant taxa. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **107**: 757–767.
- DUBREUIL, M., F. SEBASTIANI, M. MAYOL, S. C. GONZALEZ-MARTINEZ, M. RIBA and G. G. VENDRAMIN (2008): Isolation and characterization of polymorphic nuclear microsatellite loci in *Taxus baccata* L. *Conservation Genetics* **9**: 1665–1668.
- FU, L. G., N. LI and R. R. MILL (1999): *Flora of China* (Vol. 4). Science Press, Beijing, Missouri Botanical Garden, St. Louis.
- GUO, W., W. WANG, B. ZHOU and T. ZHANG (2006): Cross-species transferability of *G. arboreum*-derived EST-SSRs in the diploid species of *Gossypium*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **112**: 1573–1581.
- HAO, D.C., B. L. HUANG and L. YANG (2008): Phylogenetic relationships of the genus *Taxus* inferred from chloroplast intergenic spacer and nuclear coding DNA. *Biological and pharmaceutical bulletin* **31**: 260–265.
- HEESACKER, A., V. K. KISHORE, W. X. GAO, S. X. TANG, M. K. JUDITH, G. ALAN, M. MARTA, K. ALEXANDER, M. M. RICHARD, L. ZHAO, H. R. LOREN and J. K. STEVEN (2008): SSRs and INDELs mined from the sunflower EST database: abundance, polymorphisms, and cross-taxa utility. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **117**: 1021–1029.
- HUANG, C. C., T. Y. CHIANG and T. W. HSU (2008): Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci in *Taxus sumatrana* (Taxaceae) using PCR-based isolation of microsatellite arrays (PIMA). *Conservation Genetics* **9**: 471–473.
- JARNE, P. and P. J. LAGODA (1996): Microsatellites, from molecules to populations and back. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **11**: 424–429.
- KONDO, H., T. TAHIRA, H. HAYASHI, K. OSHIMA and K. HAYASHI (2000): Microsatellite genotyping of post-PCR fluorescently labeled markers. *Biotechniques* **29**: 868–872.
- LI, N. W., S. A. HE, X. C. SHU, Q. WANG and F. PENG (2010): Genetic diversity and structure analyses of wild and *ex-situ* conservation populations of *Taxus chinensis* var. *mairei* based on ISSR marker. *Journal of Plant Resources and Environment* **20**: 25–30.
- MAHMOODI, P., S. M. K. NEKOEI, M. MARDI, S. M. PIRSEYEDI, M. R. GHAFARI, M. RAMROODI and B. A. SIAHSAR (2010): Isolation and characterization of new microsatellite marker in *Taxus baccata* L. *Conservation Genetics Resources* **2**: 195–199.
- MIAO, Y. C., J. R. SU, Z. J. ZHANG, H. LI, J. LUO and Y. P. ZHANG (2008): Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers for the endangered *Taxus yunnanensis*. *Conservation Genetics* **9**: 1683–1685.
- PEAKALL, R. and P. E. SMOUSE (2006): GENALEX 6: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes* **6**: 288–295.
- PEAKALL, R., S. GILMORE, W. KEYS, M. MORGANTE and A. RAFALSKI (1998): Cross-species amplification of soybean (*Glycinemax*) simple sequence repeats (SSRs) within the genus and other legume genera: implications for the transferability of SSRs in plants. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **15**: 1275–1287.
- PRIMMER, C. R., A. P. MØLLER and H. ELLEGREN (1996): A widerange survey of cross-species microsatellite amplification in birds. *Molecular Ecology* **5**: 365–378.
- RAYMOND, M and F. ROUSSET (1995): Genepop (Version-1.2): Population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity* **86**: 248–249.
- SQUIRRELL, J., P. M. HOLLONGSWORTH, M. WOODHEAD, J. RUSSELL, A. J. LOWE, M. GIBBY and W. POWELL (2003): How much effort is required to isolate nuclear microsatellites from plants? *Molecular Ecology* **12**: 1339–1348.
- TSUMURA, Y., K. YOSHIMURA, N. TOMARU and K. OHBA (1995): Molecular phylogeny of conifers using RFLP analysis of PCR-amplified specific chloroplast genes. *Theoretical and Applied Geneticist* **91**: 1222–1236.
- VAN OOSTERHOUT, C., W. F. HUTCHINSON, D. P. M. WILLS and P. SHIPLEY (2004): MICRO CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes* **4**: 535–538.
- VINYALLONGA, S. L., J. L. ALVARADO, T. H. CONSTANTINIDIS, A. SUSANNA and N. G. JACAS (2011): Microsatellite cross-species amplification in the genus *Centaurea* (Compositae). *Collectanea Botanica* **30**: 17–27.
- WEISING, K. and R. C. GARDNER (1999): A set of conserved PCR primers for the analysis of simple sequence repeat polymorphisms in chloroplast genomes of dicotyledonous angiosperms. *Genome* **42**: 9–19.
- YANG, J. B., H. T. LI, D. Z. LI, J. LIU and L. M. GAO (2009): Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers in the endangered species *Taxus wallichiana* using the FIASCO method. *HortScience* **44**: 2043–2045.
- ZANE, L, L. BARGELLONI and T. PATARNELLO (2002): Strategies for microsatellite isolation: A review. *Molecular Ecology* **11**: 1–16.
- ZHANG, H. Y., Y. Q. CHEN and W. B. LIAO (2003): RAPD analysis of population genetic diversity of *Taxus mairei*. *Acta Botanica Boreali-Occidentalia Sinica* **23**: 1994–1997.
- ZHENG, W. J and L. G. FU (1978): *Flora of China* (Vol. 7). Science Press, Beijing.
- ZHOU, Y., G. P. CHEN, Y. J. SU and Z. W. WANG (2009): Microsatellite loci from *Taxus chinensis* var. *mairei* (Taxaceae), an endangered and economically important tree species in China. *Frontiers of Biology in China* **4**: 214–216.