

research article

Percutaneous parametrial dose escalation in women with advanced cervical cancer: feasibility and efficacy in relation to long-term quality of life

Sati Akbaba^{1,2}, Jan Tobias Oelmann-Avendano^{1,2}, Tilman Bostel^{1,2}, Harald Rief^{1,2,3}, Nils Henrik Nicolay^{1,2,4,5}, Juergen Debus^{1,2,4}, Katja Lindel^{1,2,6}, Robert Foerster^{1,2,7}

¹ Department of Radiation Oncology, University Hospital Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

- ² National Center for Radiation Research in Oncology (NCRO), Heidelberg Institute for Radiation Oncology (HIRO), Heidelberg, Germany
- ³ Gemeinschaftspraxis Strahlentherapie Bonn-Rhein-Sieg, Bonn, Germany
- ⁴ Clinical Cooperation Unit Radiation Oncology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany
- ⁵ Department of Radiation Oncology, University Hospital Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
- ⁶ Department of Radiation Oncology, Staedtisches Klinikum Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe, Germany
- ⁷ Department of Radiation Oncology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Radiol Oncol 2018; 52(3): 320-328.

Received 14 February 2018 Accepted 09 May 2018

Correspondence to: Robert Foerster, M.D., Department of Radiation Oncology, University Hospital Zurich, Raemistrasse 100, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland. Phone: +41 44 255 9959; Fax: +41 44 255 4547; E-mail: robert.foerster@usz.ch

Disclosure: No potential conflict of interest were disclosed.

Background. We analyzed long-term quality of life (QoL) and prognostic factors for QoL as well as clinical outcome in patients with advanced cervical cancer (ACC) treated with primary radiochemotherapy (RChT) consisting of external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) with or without sequential or simultaneous integrated boost (SIB) to the parametria, intracavitary brachytherapy and concomitant chemotherapy (ChT).

Patients and methods. Eighty-three women were treated with primary RChT between 2008 and 2014. Survival of all patients was calculated and prognostic factors for survival were assessed in univariate and multivariate analysis. In 31 patients QoL was assessed in median 3 years (range 2–8 years) after treatment. QoL was compared to published normative data and the influence of age, tumour stage, treatment and observed acute toxicities was analyzed.

Results. Thirty-six patients (43.4%) died, 18 (21.7%) had a local recurrence and 24 (28.9%) had a distant progression. Parametrial boost (p = 0.027) and ChT (p = 0.041) were independent prognostic factors for overall survival in multivariate analysis. Specifically, a parametrial equivalent doses in 2-Gy fractions (EQD2) > 50 Gy was associated with an improved overall survival (OS) (p = 0.020), but an EQD2 > 53 Gy did not further improve OS (p = 0.194). Turnour size was the only independent prognostic factor for local control (p = 0.034). Lymph node status (p = 0.038) and distant metastases other than in paraaortic lymph nodes (p = 0.002) were independent prognostic factors for distant progression-free survival. QoL was generally inferior to the reference population. Age only correlated with menopausal symptoms (p = 0.003). The degree of acute gastrointestinal (p = 0.038) and genitourinary (p = 0.041) toxicities correlated with the extent of chronic symptom experience. Sexual/vaginal functioning was reduced in patients with larger tumours (p = 0.012). Parametrial EQD2 > 53 Gy correlated with reduced sexual/vaginal functioning (p = 0.009) and increased sexual worry (p = 0.009). Whether parametrial dose escalation was achieved by sequential boost or SIB, did not affect survival or QoL.

Conclusions. Primary RChT is an effective treatment, but long-term QoL is reduced. The degree of acute side effects of RChT correlates with the extent of chronic symptoms. Patients benefit from parametrial SIB or sequential boost, but an EQD2 > 53 Gy does not further improve survival and negatively affects QoL.

Key words: cervical cancer; parametrial boost; quality of life; radiotherapy

Introduction

Primary radiochemotherapy (RChT) with external beam radiotherapy (EBRT), intracavitary brachytherapy (ICBT), and concomitant chemotherapy (ChT) remains a frequently used treatment for advanced cervical cancer (ACC). However, local tumour control requires comparatively large doses, which in turn may lead to relevant treatmentrelated morbidity.^{1,2} In recent years, image guided adaptive brachytherapy (IGABT), based on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and combined interstitial / intracavitary brachytherapy (IBT/ICBT), has been successfully implemented as the new standard of care for local dose escalation and substantial reduction of therapy-related morbidity.³⁻⁵

However, access to IGABT is limited at many brachytherapy facilities. Therefore, despite evidence of the inferiority of percutaneous boosting in terms of organ sparing and target coverage, another approach has been to combine ICBT with modern radiotherapy (RT) techniques, such as intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) with simultaneous integrated boost (SIB) to the parametria, for percutaneous local dose escalation.^{6,7} There is a low incidence of acute toxicities after SIB, but clinical outcome and QoL of these patients have not been investigated so far.7 Due to limited access to IGABT at many brachytherapy facilities and the unknown effects of SIB in terms of chronic morbidity, IMRT with sequential boost remains a widely used method for parametrial dose escalation. Given the comparatively young age of patients with cervical cancer and improving prognosis, long-term quality of life (QoL) and extent of chronic morbidity become increasingly important issues.

Therefore, we conducted this study to analyze feasibility and efficacy of a percutaneous parametrial boost in relation to long-term QoL as well as to assess tumour- and treatment-related prognostic factors for long-term QoL and outcome in women with ACC.

Patients and methods

Between 2008 and 2014, eighty-three women with ACC underwent primary treatment at our department. Patients' data were acquired from the institutional electronic patient charts and the institutional follow-up database. Median age at first diagnosis was 57 years (range 32–90 years; Table 1). Due to the substantially differing fractionation schedules, all reported doses were recalculated as equivalent

TABLE 1. Patients' characteristics

Age			
Median	57 ر	57 years	
Range	32–90	32–90 years	
	n	%	
Histology			
Squamous cell carcinoma	67	80.7%	
Adenocarcinoma	14	16.9%	
Adenosquamous carcinoma	2	2.4%	
Grading			
G1	8	9.6%	
G2	24	28.9%	
G3	35	42.2%	
GX	16	19.3%	
FIGO stage			
I	2	2.4%	
II	40	48.2%	
III	15	18.1%	
IV	26	31.3%	
Tumour size			
TI	3	3.6%	
T2	46	55.4%	
T3	25	30.1%	
T4	9	10.8%	
Lymph node status			
NO	28	33.7%	
N1	55	66.3%	
Distant metastases			
MO	64	77.1%	
Mla	12	14.5%	
Mlc	7	8.4%	

doses in 2-Gy fractions with $\alpha/\beta = 10$ (EQD2₁₀) for the tumour and $\alpha/\beta = 3$ (EQD2₃) for the organs at risk (OARs). OAR doses were documented for volumes of 0.1 cm³ (D0.1cc), 1 cm³ (D1cc) and 2 cm³ (D2cc). They were calculated as the sum of the individual doses received from all brachytherapy fractions and the EBRT plan.⁸

Survival was plotted according to Kaplan and Meier. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time between first diagnosis and death. Local progression-free survival (LPFS) was defined as the time between first diagnosis and occurrence of any local progression. Since patients with distant metastases (cM1a and cM1c) at first diagnosis were in-

TABLE 2. Treatment and toxicity

Radiotherapy dose in EQD2 (α/β = 10)		
Median EBRT		44 Gy
Range EBRT	35–51 Gy	
Median parametria	53 Gy	
Range parametria	3	8–67 Gy
Median HDR-BT		40 Gy
Range HDR-BT	1	0–50 Gy
	n	%
Radiotherapy technique		
IMRT	58	69.9%
3D-conformal	25	30.1%
Parametrial boost		
No boost	36	43.4%
Sequential	31	37.3%
SIB	16	19.3%
Parametrial dose in EQD2 (α/β = 10)		
≤ 53 Gy	56	67.5%
> 53 Gy	27	32.5%
Simultanous chemotherapy		
Cisplatin 40 mg/m²	71	85.5%
None	12	14.5%
Total treatment duration		
< 6 weeks	9	10.8%
6-8 weeks	52	62.7%
≥9 weeks	22	26.5%
Anemia during therapy		
min. Hb < 10 g/dl	38	45.8%
min. Hb ≥ 10 g/dl	45	54.2%
Transfusions		
≤ 2 ECs	69	83.1%
> 2 ECs	14	16.9%
Observed acute GI toxicity		
Grade 0	33	39.8%
Grade I	15	18.1%
Grade II	20	24.1%
Grade III	12	14.5%
Grade IV	3	3.6%
Observed acute GU toxicity		
Grade 0	15	18.1%
Grade I	34	41.0%
Grade II	28	33.7%
Grade III	6	7.2%

EBRT = external beam radiotherapy; ECs = erythrocyte concentrates; EQD2 = equivalent doses in 2-Gy fractions; GI = gastrointestinal; GU = genitourinary; Hb = haemoglobin; HDR-BT = high-doserate brachytherapy; IMRT = intensity-modulated radiotherapy; SIB = simultaneous integrated boost cluded in this study, distant progression-free survival (DPFS) was defined as the interval between first diagnosis of cervical cancer and occurrence of new distant metastases. Prognostic factors for survival were analyzed with the log-rank test (univariate analysis) and a Cox proportional hazards model (multivariate analysis).

Three years (median; range 2-8 years) after treatment, patients were approached during clinical follow-up examinations and asked to fillin the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Quality of Life Questionnaire for Cancer Patients 30 (QLQ-C30) and the Cervical Cancer Module (QLQ-CX24). Thirty-one women agreed to participate. The difference between patients' QoL items scores and published German reference values was analyzed with the t-test (9). Possible prognostic factors for QoL (age, stage, tumour size, lymph node status, distant metastases status, histological grading, histology, RT techniques, applied RT doses, OAR doses, ChT, treatment duration, observed acute toxicities, anemia during RChT, number of transfusions during RChT) were investigated with an analysis of variances and the t-test. Age was used as a covariate. A p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS version 24.0.

This study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the responsible independent ethics committee on 22 October 2012 (#S-513/2012). The requirement of informed consent was waived by the ethics committee, due to the retrospective nature of the study.

Results

Treatment and dose-volume histogram analysis

RT was conducted as EBRT (69.9% IMRT, 30.1% 3D-conformal RT), with or without sequential boost / SIB to parametria and involved pelvic / paraaortic lymph nodes (43.4% no boost, 37.3% sequential boost, 19.3% SIB), and high-dose-rate (HDR) ICBT boost (tandem and ring applicator). Median EBRT EQD2₁₀ to the whole pelvic (and paraaortic) planning target volume (PTV) was 44 Gy (range 35–51 Gy) and median parametrial EQD2₁₀ was 53 Gy (range 38–67 Gy). Including ICBT boost, as prescribed to point A, with a median EQD2₁₀ of 40 Gy (range 10–50 Gy), the median prescribed primary tumour EQD2₁₀ was 84 Gy (range 54–95 Gy). Seventy-one patients (85.5%) received con-

comitant ChT with 4–6 cycles of cisplatin 40 mg/ m² weekly (Table 2).

Mean bladder D0.1cc, D1cc and D2cc were 130.2 Gy (\pm SD 31.7 Gy), 105.6 Gy (\pm SD 14.2 Gy) and 97.1 Gy (\pm SD 9.9 Gy), respectively. Mean sigmoid D0.1cc, D1cc and D2cc were 71.9 Gy (\pm SD 10.6 Gy), 64.1 Gy (\pm SD 7.9 Gy) and 61.1 Gy (\pm SD 6.9 Gy), respectively. Mean rectum D0.1cc, D1cc and D2cc were 89.8 Gy (\pm SD 23.1 Gy), 74.3 Gy (\pm SD 12.8 Gy) and 68.8 Gy (\pm SD 9.4 Gy), respectively.

Survival analysis

Thirty-six patients (43.4%) died, 18 (21.7%) had a local progression and 24 (28.9%) developed new distant metastases during follow-up. Calculated 3- / 5-year LPFS, DPFS and OS were 80.5% / 73.2%, 76.7% / 65.3% and 66.5% / 53.2%, respectively.

In univariate analysis (Table 3), Fédération Internationale de Gynécologie et d'Obstétrique (FIGO) stage (I/II vs. III/IV; p = 0.015), tumour size (T1/2 vs. T3/4; p = 0.036), parametrial boost (yes vs. no; p = 0.037), parametrial dose (EQD2₁₀ \leq 50 Gy vs. > 50 Gy; p = 0.020 and EQD2₁₀ < 53 Gy vs. 53 Gy vs. > 53 Gy; p = 0.017), simultaneous ChT (yes vs. no; p = 0.004), total treatment duration (6–8 weeks $vs. \ge 9$ weeks; p = 0.027), anemia during therapy (minimal hemoglobin < 10 g/dl vs. \ge 10 g/dl; p = 0.039) and number of erythrocyte concentrate transfusions (\leq 2 vs. > 2; p = 0.007) were prognostic factors for OS. Histological grading showed a tendency towards statistical significance (G1/2 vs. G3; p = 0.053). Importantly, a percutaneous dose escalation in the parametria beyond an EQD2₁₀ of 53 Gy did not further improve survival (p = 0.194; Figure 1). For DPFS, only tumour size (T1/2 vs. T3/4; p = 0.034) was a prognostic factor. Lower FIGO stage (FIGO I/ II vs. III/IV; p = 0.072) and conductance of a parametrial boost (yes vs. no; p = 0.095) showed a tendency towards improved LPFS. DPFS was prolonged in patients without lymph node metastases (N0 vs. N1; p = 0.038) and in patients without distant metastases other than in paraaortic lymph nodes (M0/ M1a vs. M1c; p = 0.002) as well as in patients with low or intermediate histological grading (G1/2 vs. G3; p = 0.037). In none of three endpoints we found any difference in outcome between patients receiving SIB or sequential boost.

In multivariate analysis (Table 3), parametrial boost (yes *vs.* no, HR 0.417 [95%CI 0.192–0.900], p = 0.027) and simultaneous ChT (yes *vs.* no, HR 0.382 [95%CI 0.152–0.961], p = 0.041) remained as independent prognostic factors for OS. Advanced tumour size was the only independent prognos-



FIGURE 1. Overall survival dependent on parametrial equivalent dose in 2-Gy fractions (EQD2) with $a/\beta = 10$.

tic factor for LPFS (T3/4 *vs.* T1/2, HR 2.668 [95%CI 1.032–6.896], p = 0.043). Regarding freedom from distant progression (DPFS), presence of pelvic lymph node metastases (N1 *vs.* N0, HR 4.383 [95%CI 1.003–19.154], p = 0.05) as well as distant metastases other than in the paraaortic lymph nodes at initial diagnosis (M1c *vs.* M0/M1a, HR 4.646 [95%CI 1.466–14.719], p = 0.009) were independent prognostic factors.

Quality of life analysis

We found the values of the functioning and symptoms scores in our cohort to be significantly worse comparison those from the normative population (Table 4). Generally, age must be considered when looking at quality of life and we used age as a covariate for our analyses, however age only correlated with menopausal symptoms (p = 0.003) in our analysis and did not affect global health status or any of the other functioning or symptom items scores from the QLQ-C30 or QLQ-CX24 questionnaires in our cohort.

The doses to bladder, sigmoid and rectum did not correlate with any of the QoL item scores. However, we found a statistically significant correlation between the degree of observed acute gastrointestinal (GI) and genitourinary (GU) toxicities with chronic symptom experience (p = 0.038and p = 0.041), which can be considered an indicator for the known dose-volume dependence of

..

TABLE 3. Prognostic factors for survival

	Univariate analysis (log-rank tes			
OS	Mean (months)	p-value		
FIGO stage				
FIGO I/II	72.6	0.015		
FIGO III/IV	50.8			
Tumour size				
T1/2	69.2	0.036		
T3/4	50.4			
Grading				
G1/2	72.4	0.053		
G3	50.5			
Parametrial boost				
yes	69.9	0.037		
no	51.2			
Parametrial dose in EQE	02 (α/β = 10)			
≤ 50 Gy	50.7	0.020		
> 50 Gy	71.5			
≤ 53 Gy	59.5	0.194		
> 53 Gy	64.1			
Chemotherapy				
yes	67.4	0.004		
no	32.1			
Total treatment duration	1			
6–8 weeks	71.6	0.027		
≥9 weeks	44.7			
Anemia during therapy				
min. Hb < 10 g/dl	52.1	0.039		
min. Hb ≥ 10 g/dl	70.3			
Transfusions				
≤ 2 ECs	67.6	0.007		
> 2 ECs	41.6			
LPFS	Mean (months)	p-value		
FIGO stage				
FIGO I/II	85.9	0.072		
FIGO III/IV	66.7			
Tumour size				
T1/2	85.7	0.034		
T3/4	64.1			
Parametrial boost				
yes	84.1	0.095		
no	56.1			

DPFS	Mean (months)	p-value			
Lymph node status					
NO	85.6	0.038			
N1	61.0				
Distant metastases					
M0/M1a	75.2	0.00	2		
Mlc	37.1				
Grading					
G1/2	84.6	0.03	7		
G3	59.8				
Multivariate analysis (Cox regressio	n)			
OS	HR	95% CI	p-value		
Parametrial boost					
yes	0.417	0.192-0.900	0.027		
no	Reference	Ś			
Chemotherapy					
yes	0.382	0.152-0.961	0.041		
no	Reference	:			
LPFS	HR	95% CI	p-value		
Tumour size					
T1/2	Reference				
T3/4	2.668	1.032-6.896	0.043		
DPFS	HR	95% CI	p-value		
Lymph node status					
N0	Reference				
N1	4.383	1.003-19.154	0.05		
Distant metastases					
M0/M1a	Reference				
Mlc	4.646	1.466-14.719	0.009		

DPFS = Distant progression-free survival; ECs = erythrocyte concentrates; EQD2 = equivalent doses in 2-Gy fractions; FIGO = Fédération Internationale de Gynécologie et d'Obstétrique; Hb = haemoglobin; LPFS = Local progression-free survival OS = overall survival

chronic morbidity. Patients with higher degree of observed acute GI toxicity also complained more about chronic diarrhea (p = 0.053). Since most of our patients underwent IMRT and all of them received HDR ICBT, we found no difference in QoL between RT techniques. Importantly, we could show, that a parametrial $EQD2_{10} > 53$ Gy statistically significantly correlated with reduced sexual/vaginal functioning (p = 0.009) and increased sexual worry (p = 0.009). Additionally, these patients also suffered more from chronic constipation (p =

0.057). Whether parametrial dose escalation was achieved by sequential boost or SIB did not affect QoL. Sexual/vaginal functioning was statistically significantly worse in patients with T3/4 tumours compared to those with T1/2 tumours (p = 0.012). Details are shown in Table 5.

Discussion

The objective of this study was to analyze the feasibility and efficacy of a parametrial boost in relation to long-term QoL of patients with ACC. Secondly, we aimed to assess tumour- and treatment-related prognostic factors for long-term QoL and clinical outcome.

Currently, for the QLQ-CX24 items, there are only reference populations from 2 Korean studies available and possible social or cultural differences prevent these cohorts from being reference populations for European studies.^{10,11} Therefore, we were unable to compare the QLQ-CX24 items scores of our patients and we can only report on the comparison between our patients' QLQ-C30 items scores and German normative data.9 Compared to the reference population, the patients in our cohort had significantly worse functioning and symptoms item scores. Similar results have been reported in a large population-based study from the United States. They found health-related QoL in survivors of cervical cancer to be worse than in the normal population as well.¹² In women treated with IGABT within the "European and international study on MRI-guided brachytherapy in locally advanced cervical cancer" (EMBRACE), after a median follow-up of 21 months, functioning and general QoL returned to levels of the reference population, but several clearly treatment-related symptoms, e.g. diarrhea and sexual dysfunction, did develop or persist in those patients during follow-up as well.¹³

While we, probably due to the small cohort, were unable to show a significant correlation between the doses received by the OARs and any of the QoL item scores, others have found a significant dose-volume effect relationship for late rectal and urinary morbidity. Particularly, patients with bladder D2cc > 95 Gy and rectum D2cc \geq 75 Gy are at risk for severe late toxicities.^{14,15} It has been shown that chronic bladder and rectal morbidity can be further reduced by IGABT.³ Therefore, in the ongoing EMBRACE-2 trial, the planning aims / limits for the prescribed EQD2₃ to rectum (D2cc < 65 / <75 Gy) and bladder (D2cc < 80 / < 90 Gy) are substantially lower than the mean dose values achieved in TABLE 4. Quality of life of patients compared to reference populations (EORTCQLQ-C30)

	n	mean	SD	p-value
Global health status				
Reference	1309	74.5	15.5	0.001
Patients	30	60.3	21.7	
Physical functioning				
Reference	1309	91.5	15.5	< 0.001
Patients	30	73.6	19.6	
Role functioning				
Reference	1309	89.9	20.6	< 0.001
Patients	31	58.1	33.0	
Emotional functioning				
Reference	1309	83.2	19.3	0.001
Patients	31	65.1	26.7	
Cognitive functioning				
Reference	1309	93.4	14.6	< 0.001
Patients	31	73.2	28.4	
Social functioning				
Reference	1309	93.3	17.1	< 0.001
Patients	31	69.9	29.0	
Fatigue				
Reference	1309	16.4	21.4	< 0.001
Patients	31	51.6	28.0	
Nausea / Vomiting				
Reference	1309	2.4	9.6	0.030
Patients	31	11.3	21.7	
Pain				
Reference	1309	17.0	24.2	0.025
Patients	31	26.9	24.2	
Dyspnea				
Reference	1309	7.2	18.7	0.020
Patients	30	18.9	25.8	
Insomnia				
Reference	1309	13.0	23.6	< 0.001
Patients	31	40.9	36.2	
Appetite loss				
Reference	1309	4.2	13.9	0.002
Patients	31	21.5	27.7	
Constipation				
Reference	1309	3.1	12.1	< 0.001
Patients	31	22.6	27.7	
Diarrhea				
Reference	1309	2.9	12.7	< 0.001
Patients	31	29.0	30.7	
Financial difficulties	1000		1 / 2	
Reterence	1309	4.8	16.3	< 0.001
Patients	31	29.0	29.5	

EORTC = European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer; QLQ-C30 = quality of life questionnaire for cancer patients 30

TABLE 5. Prognostic factors for patients' long-term quality of life (EORTC QLQ-C30, QLQ-CX24)

	n	mean	SD	p-value
Diarrhea				
Acute GI 0	11	12.1	16.8	0.053
Acute GI I/II	15	35.6	32.0	
Acute GI III/IV	5	46.7	38.0	
Constipation				
Parametria ≤ 53 Gy*	19	14.0	23.1	0.057
Parametria > 53 Gy*	11	33.3	29.8	
Symptom experience				
Acute GI toxicity 0	11	9.4	8.6	0.038
Acute GI toxicity I/II	14	20.6	15.9	
Acute GI toxicity III/IV	5	30.3	22.7	
Acute GU toxicity 0	5	14.3	12.9	0.041
Acute GU toxicity I/II	22	22.4	9.7	
Acute GU toxicity III/IV	3	38.4	33.5	
Menopausal symptoms				
≤ 49 years	7	57.1	25.2	0.033
50–59 years	12	36.1	36.1	
60–69 years	7	14.3	26.2	
≥ 70 years	4	8.3	16.7	
Sexual / vaginal functioning				
T1/2	9	93.5	11.6	0.012
T3/4	3	41.7	52.0	
Parametria ≤ 53 Gy*	8	96.9	6.2	0.009
Parametria > 53 Gy*	4	47.9	44.2	
Sexual worry				
Parametria ≤ 53 Gy*	17	15.7	26.6	0.009
Parametria > 53 Gy*	9	51.8	37.7	

EORTC = European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer; GI = gastrointestinal; GU = genitourinary; QLQ-C30 = quality of life questionnaire for cancer patients 30; QLQ-CX24 = quality of life questionnaire cervical cancer module

*expressed as equivalent dose in 2-Gy fractions (EQD2) with $\alpha/\beta = 10$

our cohort.⁵ Consequently, the QLQ-CX24 symptom experience score was higher in our patients compared to those treated within the EMBRACE study ($18.1 \pm SD 16.4 vs. 12.1 \pm SD 11.9$; p = 0.063) after 36 months of follow-up.¹³ As a further indicator for the dose-volume dependence of chronic morbidity, we found the degree of RT-related acute GI und GU toxicities in patients with ACC to statistically significantly correlate with the extent of chronic symptoms and we have already shown this correlation in a previous study from our institution on women with endometrial cancer.¹⁶ In that study we also demonstrated an improved global health status and fewer chronic GI symptoms by reduction of acute toxicities with IMRT.¹⁶ Since most of the patients in our current analysis were treated with IMRT, we were unable to demonstrate such a benefit in terms of long-term QoL. Apart from the dose-volume-relationship found in both, acute and late toxicities, chronic toxicities may be consequential to acute damage, thus amelioration of the acute response to irradiation may be a useful approach to minimize late side effects.¹⁷

Our analysis showed a significant impairment of sexual/vaginal functioning, significantly increased sexual worry, and a trend towards more chronic constipation in patients exceeding an EQD2₁₀ of 53 Gy in the parametria. This was equally true for patients with SIB or sequential boost. The required dose to the parametria for adequate local tumour control has not been established and dose prescriptions vary greatly among radiation oncologists.^{2,18} In patients with traditional midline-shielding, parametrial boost resulted in a significantly higher incidence of radiation proctitis and enterocolitis when > 54 Gy were applied.^{19,20} and this technique has been described to substantially contribute to rectum, sigmoid and bladder doses.²¹ In the times of IMRT and SIB to the parametria, studies have shown, that local dose escalation can be achieved with a relevantly reduced incidence of acute toxicities.7,22 So far, no study has ever looked at percutaneous parametrial dose escalation regarding QoL and chronic morbidity. Particularly, chronic vaginal morbidity after EBRT boost has not been the focus of research so far. The Vienna group has provided important evidence, that IGABT delivers superior outcome.^{3,23} and can reduce vaginal morbidity significantly, but sexual dysfunction remained a problem in patients treated within the EMBRACE trial as well.^{13,24} It is assumed that vaginal toxicity may be further reduced with IGABT by decreasing dwell times in the ovoid/ring and increasing dwell times in tandem/needles.^{25,26} In this context it is important to state that the ongoing EMBRACE-2 trial limits percutaneous IMRT boost as SIB to involved lymph nodes and does not allow a parametrial IMRT boost.⁵ At our institution, MRI-guided IGABT with combined IBT/ICBT was recently implemented and we are currently planning a study with longitudinal QoL assessment in these patients. Certainly, it should be the ultimate goal to enable access to IGABT at all brachytherapy facilities. Nevertheless, at the moment, availability of IBT and MRI-guidance is low at most brachytherapy centers and EBRT boost remains a widely

used method for parametrial dose escalation. This underlines the importance of our investigation.

While survival rates were generally adequate in our study and in line with previously published data from randomized controlled trials on RChT in patients with ACC, a comparison of our results to data on IGABT is quite challenging because our cohort consists of mostly advanced stage patients.^{27,28} The RetroEMBRACE study, a multicenter retrospective observational study, found substantially better 5-year pelvic control and overall survival rates (84% vs. 73.2% and 65% vs. 53.2%), but their cohort contained much more stage I and far less stage IV patients than our study (16.8% vs. 2.4% and 3.1% vs. 31.3%) (23). A Dutch retrospective analysis on 46 patients treated with IGABT found a 3-year regional control rate of 86% and a 3-year overall survival rate of 65%.29 Similarly, Pötter et al. found pelvic control and overall survival to be 91% and 68% after 3-years, respectively.³ The patient cohorts in these two studies were very similar to the collective of the RetroEMBRACE study. Therefore, our results, despite the large proportion of stage IV patients and the negligible amount of stage I patients, are not inferior in terms of overall survival and seem comparable with respect to local pelvic control. However, primary tumour control was remarkably high with 93% after 3 years in the Vienna series.³ Furthermore, an analysis from the RetroEMBRACE study comparing IGABT with IBT/ICBT to ICBT only showed the feasibility of local dose escalation without an increase in dose to organs at risk as well as a 10% higher 3-year local control rate with IBT.30 These results clearly demonstrate the advantage of MRI-based IGABT with combined IBT/ICBT for local dose escalation.

Nevertheless, our analysis showed a statistically significant overall survival benefit from a parametrial boost and this was equally true for patients with SIB or sequential boost. Alongside with simultaneous ChT, parametrial boost was as an independent prognostic factor for OS in the multivariate analysis. Local control was also improved in patients with parametrial boost, but, probably due to the comparatively few events, this did not reach statistical significance. However, the survival benefit was dependent on the applied dose. In particular, overall survival was statistically significantly prolonged in patients with a parametrial EQD2₁₀> 50 Gy, but a dose escalation beyond an EQD2₁₀ of 53 Gy did not further improve survival. Thus, we believe IMRT with 25 x 1.8 Gy to the whole pelvic PTV including a SIB with 25 x 2.1 Gy to the parametria to be a feasible and overall efficient EBRT concept for centers without access to IGABT.

We acknowledge that our study is substantially limited by its retrospective nature, the comparatively small sample size and the conductance of multiple subgroup analyses, but we believe our results on parametrial dose and chronic morbidity to be of importance for all brachytherapy centers without access to MRI-guidance and IBT.

Primary RChT is an effective treatment for ACC, but long-term QoL of survivors is inferior compared to normative data. The degree of acute side effects of RChT correlates with the extent of chronic symptoms. For patients treated with EBRT boost and ICBT, we have shown a significant survival benefit from parametrial dose escalation as SIB or sequential boost, but exceeding an EQD2₁₀ of 53 Gy with this technique does not further improve survival and has a negative impact on QoL. Therefore, the conductance of a percutaneous parametrial boost has to be seen very critically with respect to local tumour control and long-term QoL. MRI-guided IGABT with combined IBT/ICBT certainly is the gold standard for local dose escalation in ACC.

References

- Haie-Meder C, Potter R, Van Limbergen E, Briot E, De Brabandere M, Dimopoulos J, et al. Recommendations from Gynaecological (GYN) GEC-ESTRO Working Group (I): concepts and terms in 3D image based 3D treatment planning in cervix cancer brachytherapy with emphasis on MRI assessment of GTV and CTV. *Radiother Oncol* 2005; **74**: 235-45. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2004.12.015
- Viswanathan AN, Creutzberg CL, Craighead P, McCormack M, Toita T, Narayan K, et al. International brachytherapy practice patterns: a survey of the Gynecologic Cancer Intergroup (GCIG). *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012; 82: 250-5. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.10.030
- Potter R, Georg P, Dimopoulos JC, Grimm M, Berger D, Nesvacil N, et al. Clinical outcome of protocol based image (MRI) guided adaptive brachytherapy combined with 3D conformal radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy in patients with locally advanced cervical cancer. *Radiother Oncol* 2011; 100: 116-23. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2011.07.012
- Rijkmans EC, Nout RA, Rutten IH, Ketelaars M, Neelis KJ, Laman MS, et al. Improved survival of patients with cervical cancer treated with imageguided brachytherapy compared with conventional brachytherapy. *Gynecol Oncol* 2014; **135**: 231-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2014.08.027
- Potter R, Tanderup K, Kirisits C, de Leeuw A, Kirchheiner K, Nout R, et al. The EMBRACE II study: the outcome and prospect of two decades of evolution within the GEC-ESTRO GYN working group and the EMBRACE studies. *Clin Transl Radiat Oncol* 2018; 9: 48-60. doi: 10.1016/j.ctro.2018.01.001
- Mohamed S, Kallehauge J, Fokdal L, Lindegaard JC, Tanderup K. Parametrial boosting in locally advanced cervical cancer: combined intracavitary/interstitial brachytherapy vs. intracavitary brachytherapy plus external beam radiotherapy. *Brachytherapy* 2015; 14: 23-8. doi: 10.1016/j.brachy.2014.09.010
- Marnitz S, Kohler C, Burova E, Wlodarczyk W, Jahn U, Grun A, et al. Helical tomotherapy with simultaneous integrated boost after laparoscopic staging in patients with cervical cancer: analysis of feasibility and early toxicity. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012; 82: e137-43. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.10.066

- Potter R, Haie-Meder C, Van Limbergen E, Barillot I, De Brabandere M, Dimopoulos J, et al. Recommendations from gynaecological (GYN) GEC ESTRO working group (II): concepts and terms in 3D image-based treatment planning in cervix cancer brachytherapy-3D dose volume parameters and aspects of 3D image-based anatomy, radiation physics, radiobiology. *Radiother Oncol* 2006; **78**: 67-77. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2005.11.014
- Hinz A, Singer S, Brahler E. European reference values for the quality of life questionnaire EORTC QLQ-C30: results of a German investigation and a summarizing analysis of six European general population normative studies. *Acta Oncol* 2014; 53: 958-65. doi: 10.3109/0284186x.2013.879998
- Lee Y, Lim MC, Kim SI, Joo J, Lee DO, Park SY. Comparison of quality of life and sexuality between cervical cancer survivors and healthy women. *Cancer Res Treat* 2016; 48: 1321-9. doi: 10.4143/crt.2015.425
- Park SY, Bae DS, Nam JH, Park CT, Cho CH, Lee JM, et al. Quality of life and sexual problems in disease-free survivors of cervical cancer compared with the general population. *Cancer* 2007; **110**: 2716-25. doi: 10.1002/ cncr.23094
- Weaver KE, Forsythe LP, Reeve BB, Alfano CM, Rodriguez JL, Sabatino SA, et al. Mental and physical health-related quality of life among U.S. cancer survivors: population estimates from the 2010 National Health Interview Survey. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Pre* 2012; 21: 2108-17. doi: 10.1158/1055-965.EPI-12-0740
- Kirchheiner K, Potter R, Tanderup K, Lindegaard JC, Haie-Meder C, Petric P, et al. Health-related quality of life in locally advanced cervical cancer patients after definitive chemoradiation therapy including image guided adaptive brachytherapy: an analysis from the EMBRACE study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2016; 94: 1088-98. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2015.12.363
- Kim Y, Kim YJ, Kim JY, Lim YK, Jeong C, Jeong J, et al. Toxicities and dosevolume histogram parameters of MRI-based brachytherapy for cervical cancer. *Brachytherapy* 2017; 16: 116-25. doi: 10.1016/j.brachy.2016.10.005
- Mazeron R, Maroun P, Castelnau-Marchand P, Dumas I, del Campo ER, Cao K, et al. Pulsed-dose rate image-guided adaptive brachytherapy in cervical cancer: dose-volume effect relationships for the rectum and bladder. *Radiother Oncol* 2015; **116**: 226-32. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2015.06.027
- Foerster R, Schnetzke L, Bruckner T, Arians N, Rief H, Debus J, et al. Prognostic factors for long-term quality of life after adjuvant radiotherapy in women with endometrial cancer. *Strahlenther Onkol* 2016; **192**: 895-904. doi: 10.1007/s00066-016-1037-1
- Dorr W, Hendry JH. Consequential late effects in normal tissues. *Radiother* Oncol 2001; 61: 223-31. doi: 10.1016/S0167-8140(01)00429-7
- Rajasooriyar C, Van Dyk S, Lindawati M, Bernshaw D, Kondalsamy-Chennakesavan S, Narayan K. Reviewing the role of parametrial boost in patients with cervical cancer with clinically involved parametria and staged with positron emission tomography. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2012; 22: 1532-7. doi: 10.1097/IGC.0b013e31826c4dee
- Huang EY, Lin H, Hsu HC, Wang CJ, Chen HC, Sun LM, et al. High external parametrial dose can increase the probability of radiation proctitis in patients with uterine cervix cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 2000; **79:** 406-10. doi: 10.1006/gyno.2000.5997
- Huang EY, Wang CJ, Hsu HC, Hao L, Chen HC, Sun LM. Dosimetric factors predicting severe radiation-induced bowel complications in patients with cervical cancer: combined effect of external parametrial dose and cumulative rectal dose. *Gynecol Oncol* 2004; 95: 101-8. doi: 10.1016/j. ygyno.2004.06.043
- 21. Fenkell L, Assenholt M, Nielsen SK, Haie-Meder C, Potter R, Lindegaard J, et al. Parametrial boost using midline shielding results in an unpredictable dose to tumor and organs at risk in combined external beam radiotherapy and brachytherapy for locally advanced cervical cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2011; **79**: 1572-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.05.031
- Boyle J, Craciunescu O, Steffey B, Cai J, Chino J. Methods, safety, and early clinical outcomes of dose escalation using simultaneous integrated and sequential boosts in patients with locally advanced gynecologic malignancies. *Gynecol Oncol* 2014; **135**: 239-43. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2014.08.037
- Sturdza A, Potter R, Fokdal LU, Haie-Meder C, Tan LT, Mazeron R, et al. Image guided brachytherapy in locally advanced cervical cancer: improved pelvic control and survival in RetroEMBRACE, a multicenter cohort study. *Radiother Oncol* 2016; **120**: 428-33. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2016.03.011

- 24. Kirchheiner K, Nout RA, Tanderup K, Lindegaard JC, Westerveld H, Haie-Meder C, et al. Manifestation pattern of early-late vaginal morbidity after definitive radiation (chemo)therapy and image-guided adaptive brachy-therapy for locally advanced cervical cancer: an analysis from the EMBRACE study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2014; 89: 88-95. doi: 10.1016/j. ijrobp.2014.01.032
- 25. Kirchheiner K, Nout RA, Lindegaard JC, Haie-Meder C, Mahantshetty U, Segedin B, et al. Dose-effect relationship and risk factors for vaginal stenosis after definitive radio(chemo)therapy with image-guided brachytherapy for locally advanced cervical cancer in the EMBRACE study. *Radiother Oncol* 2016; **118**: 160-6. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2015.12.025
- Mohamed S, Lindegaard JC, de Leeuw AA, Jurgenliemk-Schulz I, Kirchheiner K, Kirisits C, et al. Vaginal dose de-escalation in image guided adaptive brachytherapy for locally advanced cervical cancer. *Radiother Oncol* 2016; 120: 480-5. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2016.05.020
- Morris M, Eifel PJ, Lu J, Grigsby PW, Levenback C, Stevens RE, et al. Pelvic radiation with concurrent chemotherapy compared with pelvic and paraaortic radiation for high-risk cervical cancer. N Engl J Med 1999; 340: 1137-43. doi: 10.1056/nejm199904153401501
- Rose PG, Bundy BN, Watkins EB, Thigpen JT, Deppe G, Maiman MA, et al. Concurrent cisplatin-based radiotherapy and chemotherapy for locally advanced cervical cancer. N Engl J Med 1999; 340: 1144-53. doi: 10.1056/ nejm199904153401502
- 29. Nomden CN, de Leeuw AA, Roesink JM, Tersteeg RJ, Moerland MA, Witteveen PO, et al. Clinical outcome and dosimetric parameters of chemoradiation including MRI guided adaptive brachytherapy with tandem-ovoid applicators for cervical cancer patients: a single institution experience. *Radiother Oncol* 2013; **107**: 69-74. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2013.04.006
- Fokdal L, Sturdza A, Mazeron R, Haie-Meder C, Tan LT, Gillham C, et al. Image guided adaptive brachytherapy with combined intracavitary and interstitial technique improves the therapeutic ratio in locally advanced cervical cancer: Analysis from the retroEMBRACE study. *Radiother Oncol* 2016; 120: 434-40. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2016.03.020