

A generalization of André-Jeannin's symmetric identity

EMANUELE MUNARINI Dipartimento di Matematica Politecnico di Milano Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy email: emanuele.munarini@polimi.it

(Received: October 30, 2017, and in revised form May 11, 2018.)

Abstract. In 1997, Richard André-Jeannin obtained a symmetric identity involving the reciprocal of the Horadam numbers W_n , defined by a three-term recurrence $W_{n+2} = PW_{n+1} - QW_n$ with constant coefficients. In this paper, we extend this identity to sequences $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying a three-term recurrence $a_{n+2} = p_{n+1}a_{n+1} + q_{n+1}a_n$ with arbitrary coefficients. Then, we specialize such an identity to several q-polynomials of combinatorial interest, such as the q-Fibonacci, q-Lucas, q-Pell, q-Jacobsthal, q-Chebyshev and q-Morgan-Voyce polynomials.

Mathematics Subject Classification(2010). Primary 05A19; Secondary 05A30, 11B65.

Keywords: combinatorial sums, sums of reciprocals, three-term recurrences, *q*-Fibonacci polynomials, *q*-Fibonacci numbers, *q*-Lucas polynomials, *q*-Lucas numbers, *q*-Pell polynomials, *q*-Pell numbers, *q*-Jacobsthal polynomials, *q*-Jacobsthal numbers, *q*-Chebyshev polynomials, *q*-Morgan-Voyce polynomials.

1 Introduction

Let $W_n = W_n(a, b; P, Q)$ be the Horadam numbers [8, 9], defined by the linear recurrence

$$W_{n+2} = PW_{n+1} - QW_n$$

with the initial conditions $W_0 = a$ and $W_1 = b$, where a, b, P and Q are constants (or symbols) with $PQ \neq 0$. Several classical combinatorial sequences are of this kind. This is true, for instance, for the *Fibonacci*, *Lucas*, *Pell* and *Jacobsthal numbers*, the *Chebyshev polynomials* and the *Morgan-Voyce polynomials*.

In [2], Richard André-Jeannin proved, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the symmetric identity

$$U_n \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{Q^k}{W_k W_{n+k}} = U_m \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{Q^k}{W_k W_{m+k}}$$
(1)

where $U_n = W_n(0, 1; P, Q)$. For instance, for the Fibonacci numbers $F_n = W_n(0, 1; 1, -1)$ and for the Lucas numbers $L_n = W_n(2, 1; 1, -1)$, we have $U_n = W_n(0, 1; 1, -1) = F_n$. Hence, in this case, we have the identities [6]

$$F_n \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(-1)^k}{F_k F_{n+k}} = F_m \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{F_k F_{m+k}}$$
$$F_n \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(-1)^k}{L_k L_{n+k}} = F_m \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{L_k L_{m+k}}$$

DOI: 10.1515/puma-2015-0028

Similarly, for the Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kind $T_n(x) = W_n(1, x; 2x, 1)$ and $U_n(x) = W_n(1, 2x; 2x, 1)$ we have $U_n = W_n(0, 1; 2x, 1) = U_{n-1}(x)$ and

$$U_{n-1}(x)\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{T_k(x)T_{n+k}(x)} = U_{m-1}(x)\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{T_k(x)T_{m+k}(x)}$$
$$U_{n-1}(x)\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{U_k(x)U_{n+k}(x)} = U_{m-1}(x)\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{U_k(x)U_{m+k}(x)}$$

Finally, for the Morgan-Voyce polynomials [13] [19, 20]

$$M_n(x) = W_n(1, x+2; x+2, 1) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k+1}{n-k} x^k$$
$$N_n(x) = W_n(1, x+1; x+2, 1) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k}{n-k} x^k$$

we have $U_n = W_n(0, 1; x + 2, 1) = M_{n-1}(x)$ and

$$M_{n-1}(x)\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{M_k(x)M_{n+k}(x)} = M_{m-1}(x)\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{M_k(x)M_{m+k}(x)}$$
$$M_{n-1}(x)\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{N_k(x)N_{n+k}(x)} = M_{m-1}(x)\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{N_k(x)N_{m+k}(x)}.$$

In this paper, we extend André-Jeannin's identity (1) to sequences $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying a threeterm recurrence $a_{n+2} = p_{n+1}a_{n+1} + q_{n+1}a_n$ with arbitrary coefficients. Then, we specialize such an identity to the particular case in which the coefficients of the recurrence are given by $p_n = X(q^n x)$ and $q_n = Y(q^n x)$. Finally, we exemplify this identity for several q-polynomials of combinatorial interest, such as the q-Fibonacci, q-Lucas, q-Pell, q-Jacobsthal, q-Chebyshev and q-Morgan-Voyce polynomials.

2 The main result

André-Jeannin's identity (1) is a simple consequence of the next Lemma (whose proof is reported for completeness).

LEMMA 2.1 Given a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, let $\{A_{n,k}\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence where $A_{n,k} = a_k - a_{n+k}$. Then, for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} A_{n,k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{m,k} \,.$$

Proof. If $m \ge n$, then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} A_{n,k} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} (a_k - a_{n+k}) = (a_1 + \dots + a_m) - (a_{n+1} + \dots + a_{n+m})$$

$$= (a_1 + \dots + a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots + a_m) - (a_{n+1} + \dots + a_m + a_{m+1} + \dots + a_{m+n})$$

= $(a_1 + \dots + a_n) - (a_{m+1} + \dots + a_{m+n}) = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k - a_{m+k}) = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{m,k}.$

A similar argument holds for $n \ge m$. This completes the proof.

We also need the following result.

THEOREM 2.2 Let $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence satisfying a three-term recurrence

$$a_{n+2} = p_{n+1}a_{n+1} + q_{n+1}a_n \tag{2}$$

with $a_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then there exists a sequence $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the following property: for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $\{B_n^{(k)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where

$$B_n^{(k)} = A_k a_{n+k} - A_{n+k} a_k \,,$$

satisfies the three-term recurrence

$$B_{n+2}^{(k)} = p_{n+k+1}B_{n+1}^{(k)} + q_{n+k+1}B_n^{(k)}$$
(3)

with the initial values $B_0^{(k)} = 0$ and $B_1^{(k)} = (-1)^k q_k^*$, where $q_k^* = q_k q_{k-1} \cdots q_2 q_1$.

Proof. Let us suppose that the sequence $\{A_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ exists. Then, by recurrence (2), we have

$$\begin{split} B_{n+2}^{(k)} &= A_k a_{n+k+2} - A_{n+k+2} a_k \\ &= A_k (p_{n+k+1} a_{n+k+1} + q_{n+k+1} a_{n+k}) - A_{n+k+2} a_k \\ &= p_{n+k+1} A_k a_{n+k+1} + q_{n+k+1} A_k a_{n+k} - A_{n+k+2} a_k \\ &= p_{n+k+1} (A_k a_{n+k+1} - A_{n+k+1} a_k) + p_{n+k+1} A_{n+k+1} a_k + \\ &\quad + q_{n+k+1} (A_k a_{n+k} - A_{n+k} a_k) + q_{n+k+1} A_{n+k} a_k - A_{n+k+2} a_k \\ &= p_{n+k+1} B_{n+1}^{(k)} + q_{n+k+1} B_n^{(k)} - (A_{n+k+2} - p_{n+k+1} A_{n+k+1} - q_{n+k+1} A_{n+k}) a_k \,. \end{split}$$

Now, if we assume that the sequence $\{A_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the recurrence

$$A_{n+2} = p_{n+1}A_{n+1} + q_{n+1}A_n \tag{4}$$

then, by the above remarks, we obtain identity (3). Moreover, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$B_0^{(k)} = A_k a_k - A_k a_k = 0$$

$$B_1^{(k)} = A_k a_{k+1} - A_{k+1} a_k = \begin{vmatrix} a_{k+1} & a_k \\ A_{k+1} & A_k \end{vmatrix}$$

Assuming $k \ge 1$ and using recurrence (2), we have

$$B_1^{(k)} = \begin{vmatrix} p_k a_k + q_k a_{k-1} & a_k \\ p_k A_k + q_k A_{k-1} & A_k \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} q_k a_{k-1} & a_k \\ q_k A_{k-1} & A_k \end{vmatrix} = -q_k \begin{vmatrix} a_k & a_{k-1} \\ A_k & A_{k-1} \end{vmatrix} = -q_k B_1^{(k-1)}$$

Consequently, we have

$$B_1^{(k)} = (-1)^k q_k q_{k-1} \cdots q_2 q_1 B_1^{(0)} = (-1)^k q_k^* (A_0 a_1 - A_1 a_0).$$

Now, we choose A_0 and A_1 so that $A_0a_1 - A_1a_0 = 1$. Specifically, since $a_1 \neq 0$, we choose $A_0 = (A_1a_0 + 1)/a_1$. In conclusion, there exists at least a sequence $\{A_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying recurrence (4) and having the requested property.

Now, we can prove next

THEOREM 2.3 Let $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence satisfying a three-term recurrence

$$a_{n+2} = p_{n+1}a_{n+1} + q_{n+1}a_n \tag{5}$$

with $a_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then, for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k q_k^* \frac{b_n^{(k)}}{a_k a_{n+k}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k q_k^* \frac{b_m^{(k)}}{a_k a_{m+k}}$$
(6)

where $q_k^* = q_k q_{k-1} \cdots q_2 q_1$, and where the coefficients $b_n^{(k)}$ are defined by the recurrence

$$b_{n+2}^{(k)} = p_{n+k+1}b_{n+1}^{(k)} + q_{n+k+1}b_n^{(k)}$$
(7)

with the initial values $b_0^{(k)} = 0$ and $b_1^{(k)} = 1$.

Proof. Consider the sequence $\{B_n^{(k)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined in Theorem 2.2. Since $B_n^{(k)} = A_k a_{n+k} - A_{n+k} a_k$ and $a_n \neq 0$ for all $n \ge 1$, we have

$$\frac{B_n^{(k)}}{a_k a_{n+k}} = \frac{A_k}{a_k} - \frac{A_{n+k}}{a_{n+k}}$$

So, by Lemma 2.1, we have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{B_n^{(k)}}{a_k a_{n+k}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{B_m^{(k)}}{a_k a_{m+k}} \,.$$

Finally, since $B_n^{(k)} = (-1)^k q_k^* b_n^{(k)}$, we have identity (6).

Notice that the coefficients $b_n^{(k)}$ can be obtained by two linearly independent solutions of recurrence (5). Indeed, we have

PROPOSITION 2.4 If $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are two linearly independent solutions of recurrence (5), then the coefficients $b_n^{(k)}$ can be expressed as

$$b_n^{(k)} = \frac{x_k y_{n+k} - x_{n+k} y_k}{x_k y_{k+1} - x_{k+1} y_k} \,. \tag{8}$$

Proof. The sequence $\{b_n^{(k)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies recurrence (7). So, it belongs to the vector space generated by the two sequences $\{x_{n+k}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{y_{n+k}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. This means that there exist two scalars $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$b_n^{(k)} = \lambda x_{n+k} + \mu y_{n+k} \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By imposing the initial conditions $b_0^{(k)} = 0$ and $b_1^{(k)} = 1$, we obtain the system

$$\begin{cases} x_k \lambda + y_k \mu = 0\\ x_{k+1} \lambda + y_{k+1} \mu = 1 \end{cases}$$

whose unique solution (by Cramer's theorem) is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\Delta_k} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & y_k \\ 1 & y_{k+1} \end{vmatrix} = -\frac{y_k}{\Delta_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \frac{1}{\Delta_k} \begin{vmatrix} x_k & 0 \\ x_{k+1} & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{x_k}{\Delta_k}$$

where

$$\Delta_k = \begin{vmatrix} x_k & y_k \\ x_{k+1} & y_{k+1} \end{vmatrix} = x_k y_{k+1} - x_{k+1} y_k \,.$$

Notice that $\Delta_k \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, since we are considering two linearly independent solutions of recurrence (5). In conclusion, we have obtained identity (8).

3 A first specialization

Let X(x) and Y(x) be two expressions such that $X(x), Y(x) \neq 0$. Let $\{\mathcal{W}_n(q, x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence defined by the recurrence

$$\mathcal{W}_{n+2}(q,x) = X(q^{n+1}x)\mathcal{W}_{n+1}(q,x) + Y(q^{n+1}x)\mathcal{W}_n(q,x)$$
(9)

with the initial values $\mathcal{W}_0(q, x) = 1$ and $\mathcal{W}_1(q, x) = X(x)$. Furthermore, let $\{\mathcal{W}_n^{(a,b)}(q, x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence defined by recurrence (9) and by the initial values $\mathcal{W}_0^{(a,b)}(q, x) = a$ and $\mathcal{W}_1^{(a,b)}(q, x) = b$ (with $b \neq 0$).

THEOREM 3.1 We have $\mathcal{W}_n^{(0,1)}(q,x) = \mathcal{W}_{n-1}(q,qx)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Set $U_n(q, x) = \mathcal{W}_{n-1}(q, qx)$. Replacing *n* by n-1 and *x* by qx in recurrence (9), we have

$$\mathcal{W}_{n+1}(q,qx) = X(q^{n+1}x)\mathcal{W}_n(q,qx) + Y(q^{n+1}x)\mathcal{W}_{n-1}(q,qx)$$

that is

$$U_{n+2}(q,x) = X(q^{n+1}x)U_{n+1}(q,x) + Y(q^{n+1}x)U_n(q,qx).$$

So, the terms $U_n(q, x)$ satisfy recurrence (9). Moreover $U_1(q, x) = \mathcal{W}_0(q, qx) = 1$. Finally, for n = -1 in (9), we have $\mathcal{W}_1(q, x) = X(x)\mathcal{W}_0(q, x) + Y(x)\mathcal{W}_{-1}(q, x)$, that is $X(x) = X(x) + Y(x)\mathcal{W}_{-1}(q, x)$, from which we have $U_0(q, x) = \mathcal{W}_{-1}(q, qx) = 0$.

Theorem 3.2 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \ge 1$, we have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} Q_{k}(q,x) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{n-1}(q,q^{k+1}x)}{\mathcal{W}_{k}^{(a,b)}(q,x)\mathcal{W}_{n+k}^{(a,b)}(q,x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} Q_{k}(q,x) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{m-1}(q,q^{k+1}x)}{\mathcal{W}_{k}^{(a,b)}(q,x)\mathcal{W}_{m+k}^{(a,b)}(q,x)}$$
(10)

where $Q_k(q,x) = Y(qx)Y(q^2x)\cdots Y(q^{k-1}x)Y(q^kx)$. In particular, we have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} Q_{k}(q,x) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{n-1}(q,q^{k+1}x)}{\mathcal{W}_{k}(q,x)\mathcal{W}_{n+k}(q,x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} Q_{k}(q,x) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{m-1}(q,q^{k+1}x)}{\mathcal{W}_{k}(q,x)\mathcal{W}_{m+k}(q,x)}$$
(11)

Proof. The terms $\mathcal{W}_n^{(a,b)}(q,x)$ satisfy recurrence (5) with $p_n = X(q^n x)$ and $q_n = Y(q^n x)$. So $q_k^* = Y(q^k x)Y(q^{k-1}x)\cdots Y(q^2 x)Y(qx) = Q_k(q,x)$ and the coefficients $b_n^{(k)} = b_n^{(k)}(q,x)$ appearing in the statement of Theorem 2.3 are defined by the recurrence

$$b_{n+2}^{(k)}(q,x) = X(q^{n+k+1}x)b_{n+1}^{(k)}(q,x) + Y(q^{n+k+1}x)b_n^{(k)}(q,x)$$

with the initial values $b_0^{(k)}(q,x) = 0$ and $b_1^{(k)}(q,x) = 1$. Hence, by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$b_n^{(k)}(q,x) = U_n(q,q^kx) = \mathcal{W}_{n-1}(q,q^{k+1}x)$$

In conclusion, identity (6) becomes identity (11).

The results obtained in Theorem 3.2 can be extended to the bisection sequences $\{\mathcal{W}_{2n}^{(a,b)}(q,x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\mathcal{W}_{2n+1}^{(a,b)}(q,x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. If $E_n^{(a,b)}(q,x) = \mathcal{W}_{2n}^{(a,b)}(q,x)$ and $O_n^{(a,b)}(q,x) = \mathcal{W}_{2n+1}^{(a,b)}(q,x)$, then we have

THEOREM 3.3 The terms $E_n^{(a,b)}(q,x)$ and $O_n^{(a,b)}(q,x)$ satisfy the three-term recurrences

$$E_{n+2}(q,x) = R_{n+1}(q,x)E_{n+1}(q,x) + S_{n+1}(q,x)E_n(q,x)$$
(12)

$$O_{n+2}(q,x) = R_{n+1}^+(q,x)O_{n+1}(q,x) + S_{n+1}^+(q,x)O_n(q,x)$$
(13)

where

$$R_{n+1}(q,x) = Y(q^{2n+3}x) + X(q^{2n+2}x)X(q^{2n+3}x) + \frac{X(q^{2n+3}x)}{X(q^{2n+1}x)}Y(q^{2n+2}x)$$
(14)

$$S_{n+1}(q,x) = \frac{X(q^{2n+3}x)}{X(q^{2n+1}x)} Y(q^{2n+1}x) Y(q^{2n+2}x)$$
(15)

and $R_{n+1}^+(q,x) = R_{n+1}(q,qx)$ and $S_{n+1}^+(q,x) = S_{n+1}(q,qx)$.

Proof. By recurrence (9), we have the system

$$\begin{cases} E_{n+1}(q,x) = Y(q^{2n+1}x)E_n(q,x) + X(q^{2n+1}x)O_n(q,x) \\ O_{n+1}(q,x) = X(q^{2n+2}x)E_{n+1}(q,x) + Y(q^{2n+2}x)O_n(q,x) \end{cases}$$

from which it is straightforward to obtain recurrences (12) and (13).

Moreover, if $E_n(q, x) = \mathcal{W}_{2n}(q, x)$ and $O_n(q, x) = \mathcal{W}_{2n+1}(q, x)$, then we have

Theorem 3.4 For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$E_n^{(0,1)}(q,x) = \frac{O_{n-1}(q,qx)}{X(qx)} = \frac{\mathcal{W}_{2n-1}(q,qx)}{X(qx)}$$
(16)

$$O_n^{(0,1)}(q,x) = \frac{O_{n-1}(q,q^2x)}{X(q^2x)} = \frac{\mathcal{W}_{2n-1}(q,q^2x)}{X(q^2x)}.$$
(17)

Proof. Since $R_n^+(q,qx) = R_{n+1}(q,x)$ and $S_n^+(q,qx) = S_{n+1}(q,x)$, also the terms $\frac{O_{n-1}(q,qx)}{X(qx)}$ satisfy recurrence (12) with the initial values 0 and 1. So, we have identity (16). Similarly, since $R_n^+(q,q^2x) = R_{n+1}^+(q,x)$ and $S_n^+(q,q^2x) = S_{n+1}^+(q,x)$, also the terms $\frac{O_{n-1}(q,q^2x)}{X(q^2x)}$ satisfy recurrence (13) with the initial values 0 and 1. So, we have identity (17).

Now, we can prove next

THEOREM 3.5 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \geq 1$, we have the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} Q_k(q^2, x) Q_k(q^2, x/q) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{\mathcal{W}_{2k}^{(a,b)}(q, x) \mathcal{W}_{2n+2k}^{(a,b)}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_k(q^2, x) Q_k(q^2, x/q) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{\mathcal{W}_{2k}^{(a,b)}(q, x) \mathcal{W}_{2m+2k}^{(a,b)}(q, x)}$$
(18)

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} Q_k(q^2, qx) Q_k(q^2, x) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{\mathcal{W}_{2k+1}^{(a,b)}(q, x) \mathcal{W}_{2n+2k+1}^{(a,b)}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_k(q^2, qx) Q_k(q^2, x) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{\mathcal{W}_{2k+1}^{(a,b)}(q, x) \mathcal{W}_{2m+2k+1}^{(a,b)}(q, x)}$$
(19)

where $Q_k(q,x) = Y(qx)Y(q^2x)\cdots Y(q^{k-1}x)Y(q^kx)$. In particular, we have the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} Q_k(q^2, x) Q_k(q^2, x/q) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{\mathcal{W}_{2k}(q, x)\mathcal{W}_{2n+2k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_k(q^2, x) Q_k(q^2, x/q) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{\mathcal{W}_{2k}(q, x)\mathcal{W}_{2m+2k}(q, x)}$$
(20)

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} Q_{k}(q^{2},qx) Q_{k}(q^{2},x) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{2n-1}(q,q^{2k+2}x)}{\mathcal{W}_{2k+1}(q,x)\mathcal{W}_{2n+2k+1}(q,x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_{k}(q^{2},qx) Q_{k}(q^{2},x) \frac{\mathcal{W}_{2m-1}(q,q^{2k+2}x)}{\mathcal{W}_{2k+1}(q,x)\mathcal{W}_{2m+2k+1}(q,x)}$$

$$(21)$$

Proof. By recurrence (12), the terms $E_n(q, x)$ satisfy recurrence (5) with $p_n = R_n(q, x)$ and $q_n = S_n(q, x)$. So, by identity (15), we have

$$q_k^* = \prod_{i=1}^k S_k(q, x) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{X(q^{2i+1}x)}{X(q^{2i-1}x)} Y(q^{2i-1}x) Y(q^{2i+1}x)$$

$$= \frac{X(q^{2k+1}x)}{X(qx)} \prod_{i=1}^{k} Y(q^{2i+1}x) \prod_{i=1}^{k} Y(q^{2i-1}x)$$
$$= \frac{X(q^{2k+1}x)}{X(qx)} Q_k(q^2, qx) Q_k(q^2, x/q).$$

Moreover, by identities (14) and (15), the coefficients $b_n^{(k)} = b_n^{(k)}(q, x)$ appearing in the statement of Theorem 2.3 are defined by the recurrence

$$b_{n+2}^{(k)}(q,x) = R_{n+k+1}(q,x)b_{n+1}^{(k)}(q,x) + S_{n+k+1}(q,x)b_n^{(k)}(q,x)$$
$$= R_{n+1}(q,q^{2k}x)b_{n+1}^{(k)}(q,x) + S_{n+1}(q,q^{2k}x)b_n^{(k)}(q,x)$$

with the initial values $b_0^{(k)}(q,x) = 0$ and $b_1^{(k)}(q,x) = 1$. So, by identity (16), we have

$$b_n^{(k)}(q,x) = E_n^{(0,1)}(q,q^{2k}x) = \frac{\mathcal{W}_{2k-1}(q,q^{2k+1}x)}{X(q^{2k+1}x)}$$

Then, identity (6) becomes identity (20).

By recurrence (13), the terms $O_n(q, x)$ satisfy recurrence (5) with $p_n = R_n^+(q, x) = R_n(q, qx)$ and $q_n = S_n^+(q, x) = S_n(q, qx)$. So, as before, we have

$$q_k^* = \frac{X(q^{2k+2}x)}{X(q^2x)} Q_k(q^2, qx) Q_k(q^2, x) \,.$$

Moreover, the coefficients $b_n^{(k)} = b_n^{(k)}(q, x)$ are defined by the recurrence

$$b_{n+2}^{(k)}(q,x) = R_{n+k+1}^+(q,x)b_{n+1}^{(k)}(q,x) + S_{n+k+1}^+(q,x)b_n^{(k)}(q,x)$$
$$= R_{n+1}^+(q,q^{2k}x)b_{n+1}^{(k)}(q,x) + S_{n+1}^+(q,q^{2k}x)b_n^{(k)}(q,x)$$

with the initial values $b_0^{(k)}(q,x) = 0$ and $b_1^{(k)}(q,x) = 1$. So, by identity (17), we have

$$b_n^{(k)}(q,x) = O_n^{(0,1)}(q,q^{2k}x) = \frac{\mathcal{W}_{2k-1}(q,q^{2k+2}x)}{X(q^{2k+2}x)}$$

Then, identity (6) becomes identity (21).

4 Specialization to *q*-polynomials

Now, we specialize the results obtained in the previous section to some q-polynomials of combinatorial interest. Specifically, we consider the q-polynomials $W_n(q, x)$ defined by the recurrence

$$W_{n+2}(q,x) = (A + Bq^{n+2}x)W_{n+1}(q,x) + (C + Dq^{n+1}x)W_n(q,x)$$
(22)

with the initial conditions $W_0(q, x) = 1$ and $W_1(q, x) = A + Bqx$, where $AB \neq 0$ and $CD \neq 0$. Notice that, by extending this recurrence to negative indices, we have $W_{-1}(q, x) = 0$. In particular, for

105

x = 1, we have the q-numbers $w_n(q) = W_n(q, 1)$. Furthermore, let $W_n^{(a,b)}(q, x)$ be the q-polynomials defined by recurrence (22) and by the initial values $W_0^{(a,b)}(q, x) = a$ and $W_1^{(a,b)}(q, x) = b$ (with let (a,b)). $b \neq 0$).

First of all, we have

THEOREM 4.1 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \geq 1$, we have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} Q_{k}(q,x) \frac{W_{n-1}(q,q^{k+1}x)}{W_{k}^{(a,b)}(q,x)W_{n+k}^{(a,b)}(q,x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} Q_{k}(q,x) \frac{W_{m-1}(q,q^{k+1}x)}{W_{k}^{(a,b)}(q,x)W_{m+k}^{(a,b)}(q,x)}$$
(23)

where $Q_k(q,x) = (C + Dqx) \cdots (C + Dq^{k-1}x)(C + Dq^kx)$. In particular, we have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} Q_{k}(q,x) \frac{W_{n-1}(q,q^{k+1}x)}{W_{k}(q,x)W_{n+k}(q,x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} Q_{k}(q,x) \frac{W_{m-1}(q,q^{k+1}x)}{W_{k}(q,x)W_{m+k}(q,x)}$$
(24)

and for x = 1 and $Q_k(q) = Q_k(q, 1)$, we have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} Q_{k}(q) \frac{W_{n-1}(q, q^{k+1})}{w_{k}(q)w_{n+k}(q)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} Q_{k}(q) \frac{W_{m-1}(q, q^{k+1})}{w_{k}(q)w_{m+k}(q)}.$$
(25)

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.2, with X(x) = A + Bqx and Y(x) = C + Dx.

Then, we have

Theorem 4.2 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \ge 1$, we have the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} Q_k(q^2, x) Q_k(q^2, x/q) \frac{W_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{W_{2k}^{(a,b)}(q, x) W_{2n+2k}^{(a,b)}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_k(q^2, x) Q_k(q^2, x/q) \frac{W_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{W_{2k}^{(a,b)}(q, x) W_{2m+2k}^{(a,b)}(q, x)}$$
(26)

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} Q_k(q^2, qx) Q_k(q^2, x) \frac{W_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{W_{2k+1}^{(a,b)}(q, x)W_{2n+2k+1}^{(a,b)}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_k(q^2, qx) Q_k(q^2, x) \frac{W_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{W_{2k+1}^{(a,b)}(q, x)W_{2m+2k+1}^{(a,b)}(q, x)}$$
(27)

where $Q_k(q,x) = (C + Dqx) \cdots (C + Dq^{k-1}x)(C + Dq^kx)$. In particular, we have the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} Q_k(q^2, x) Q_k(q^2, x/q) \frac{W_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{W_{2k}(q, x)W_{2n+2k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_k(q^2, x) Q_k(q^2, x/q) \frac{W_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{W_{2k}(q, x)W_{2m+2k}(q, x)}$$
(28)

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} Q_k(q^2, qx) Q_k(q^2, x) \frac{W_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{W_{2k+1}(q, x)W_{2n+2k+1}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_k(q^2, qx) Q_k(q^2, x) \frac{W_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{W_{2k+1}(q, x)W_{2m+2k+1}(q, x)}.$$
(29)

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.5, with X(x) = A + Bqx and Y(x) = C + Dx. Finally, we have

THEOREM 4.3 The q-polynomials $W_n^{(a,b)}(q,x)$ have generating series

$$W^{(a,b)}(q,x;t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} W_n^{(a,b)}(q,x) t^n =$$

$$= \sum_{k\geq 0} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} x^k t^k \frac{(a+(b-aA-aBqx)q^k t)(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)\cdots(B+Dq^{k-1}t)}{(1-At-Ct^2)(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)\cdots(1-Aq^kt-Cq^{2k}t^k)}.$$
(30)

In particular, the q-polynomials $W_n(q, x)$ have generating series

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} W_n(q,x) t^n = \sum_{k\geq 0} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} x^k t^k \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)\cdots(B+Dq^{k-1}t)}{(1-At-Ct^2)(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)\cdots(1-Aq^kt-Cq^{2k}t^2)}.$$
 (31)

Proof. Let $W(t) = W^{(a,b)}(q, x; t)$. By recurrence (22), we have

$$\frac{W(t)-a-bt}{t^2} = A\frac{W(t)-a}{t} + Bqx\frac{W(qt)-a}{t} + CW(t) + DqxW(qt)$$

from which we obtain the identity

$$W(t) = \frac{a + (b - aA - aBqx)t}{1 - At - Ct^2} + \frac{qxt(B + Dt)}{1 - At - Ct^2} W(qt).$$

By applying this identity repeatedly, we obtain

$$W(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} x^{k} t^{k} \frac{(a + (b - aA - aBqx)q^{k}t)(B + Dt)(B + Dqt)\cdots(B + Dq^{k-1}t)}{(1 - At - Ct^{2})(1 - Aqt - Cq^{2}t^{2})\cdots(1 - Aq^{k}t - Cq^{2k}t^{2})} + q^{\binom{n+2}{2}} x^{n+1} t^{n+1} \frac{(a + (b - aA - aBqx)q^{n+1}t)(B + Dt)(B + Dqt)\cdots(B + Dq^{n}t)}{(1 - At - Ct^{2})(1 - Aqt - Cq^{2}t^{2})\cdots(1 - Aq^{n}t - Cq^{2n}t^{2})} W(q^{n+1}t).$$

Now, by taking the limit of both sides for $n \to +\infty$, we get identity (30). Finally, since $W_0(q, x) = 1$ and $W_1(q, x) = A + Bqx$, identity (30) implies identity (31).

REMARK 4.4. By identity (30), we also have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} W_n^{(0,1)}(q,x) t^n = \sum_{k\geq 0} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} (qx)^k t^{k+1} \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)\cdots(B+Dq^{k-1}t)}{(1-At-Ct^2)(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)\cdots(1-Aq^kt-Cq^{2k}t^k)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)\cdots(B+Dq^kt-Cq^{2k}t^k)}{(1-At-Ct^2)(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)\cdots(1-Aq^kt-Cq^{2k}t^k)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)\cdots(B+Dq^kt-Cq^{2k}t^k)}{(1-At-Ct^2)(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)\cdots(1-Aq^kt-Cq^{2k}t^k)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)\cdots(1-Aq^kt-Cq^{2k}t^k)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)\cdots(1-Aq^kt-Cq^{2k}t^k)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)\cdots(1-Aq^kt-Cq^{2k}t^k)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)\cdots(1-Aq^kt-Cq^{2k}t^k)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)\cdots(1-Aq^kt-Cq^{2k}t^k)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)\cdots(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^k)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)\cdots(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)\cdots(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)\cdots(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)\cdots(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)\cdots(1-Aqt-Cq^2t^2)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dqt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dt)}{(1-At-Ct^2)} \cdot \frac{(B+Dt)(B+Dt)}{(1-At-Ct^$$

Notice that, by series (31), we have the identity $W^{(0,1)}(q,x;t) = tW(q,qx;t)$, from which we reobtain that $W_n^{(0,1)}(q,x) = W_{n-1}(q,qx)$.

5 Examples

Several q-polynomials are a specialization of the q-polynomials $W_n(q, x)$ considered in Section 4. Some of them can be defined in the following combinatorial setting. A linear partition of the linearly ordered set $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ is a family $\pi = \{B_1, B_2, ..., B_k\}$ of non-empty intervals B_i of [n] such that $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$, for every $i \neq j$, and $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \cdots \cup B_k = [n]$. A 2-filtering partition of [n] is a linear partition of [n] where each block has size 1 or 2. Let $\Phi_n^{(2)}$ be the set of the 2-filtering partitions of [n] where the blocks are of two types, say black or white. Given $\pi \in \Phi_n^{(2)}$, let $m(\pi) = m(B_1) + m(B_2) + \cdots + m(B_k)$, where $m(B_i) = 0$ if B_i is a block of the first kind (black), $m(B_i) = s$ if $B_i = \{s\}$ or $B_i = \{s, s+1\}$ is a block of the second kind (white); then, let $w(\pi)$ be the number of white blocks of π .

5.1 q-Fibonacci and q-Lucas polynomials

Let Φ_n be the subset of $\Phi_n^{(2)}$ consisting of the 2-filtering partitions with only 1-blocks of the first kind (black) and 2-blocks of the second kind (white). The *q*-Fibonacci polynomials are defined by

$$F_n(q,x) = \sum_{\pi \in \Phi_n} q^{m(\pi)} x^{w(\pi)}$$

and satisfy the recurrence

$$F_{n+2}(q,x) = F_{n+1}(q,x) + q^{n+1}xF_n(q,x)$$

with the initial values $F_0(q, x) = F_1(q, x) = 1$. In particular, for x = 1, we have the *q*-Fibonacci numbers $f_n(q) = F_n(q, 1)$, [17, 10] [4, 5].

Similarly, we define the q-Lucas polynomials $L_n(q, x)$ by the recurrence

$$L_{n+2}(q,x) = L_{n+1}(q,x) + q^{n+1}xL_n(q,x)$$

with the initial values $L_0(q, x) = 1 + q$ and $L_1(q, x) = 1$. Then, for x = 1, we have the *q*-Lucas numbers $\ell_n(q) = L_n(q, 1)$.

The q-Fibonacci polynomials are a special case of the q-polynomials $W_n(q, x)$. Indeed, we have $F_n(q, x) = W_n(q, x)$ for A = 1, B = 0, C = 0, D = 1. The q-Lucas polynomials satisfy the same recurrence, but with different initial values. Then, by identities (31) and (30), we have the generating series

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} F_n(q,x) t^n = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{q^{k^2} x^k t^{2k}}{(1-t)(1-qt)\cdots(1-q^k t)}$$
$$\sum_{n\geq 0} L_n(q,x) t^n = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{q^{k^2}(1+q-q^{k+1}t)x^k t^{2k}}{(1-t)(1-qt)\cdots(1-q^k t)}$$

from which we obtain $L_n(q, x) = (1+q)F_n(q, x) + qF_{n-1}(q, qx)$, for $n \ge 1$. Moreover, we have THEOREM 5.1 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \ge 1$, we have the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} x^{k} \frac{F_{n-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{F_{k}(q, x)F_{n+k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} x^{k} \frac{F_{m-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{F_{k}(q, x)F_{m+k}(q, x)}$$
(32)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} x^{k} \frac{F_{n-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{L_{k}(q, x) L_{n+k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} x^{k} \frac{F_{m-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{L_{k}(q, x) L_{m+k}(q, x)}.$$
(33)

In particular, for x = 1, we have the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \frac{F_{n-1}(q, q^{k+1})}{f_{k}(q) f_{n+k}(q)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \frac{F_{m-1}(q, q^{k+1})}{f_{k}(q) f_{m+k}(q)}$$
(34)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \frac{F_{n-1}(q, q^{k+1})}{\ell_{k}(q)\ell_{n+k}(q)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \frac{F_{m-1}(q, q^{k+1})}{\ell_{k}(q)\ell_{m+k}(q)}.$$
(35)

Proof. Since $Q_k(q, x) = q^{k+(k-1)+\dots+2+1}x^k = q^{\binom{k+1}{2}}x^k$, identity (24) becomes identity (32). Similarly, identity (23) becomes identity (33).

Then, we have

THEOREM 5.2 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \ge 1$, we have the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} q^{k(2k+1)} x^{2k} \frac{F_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{F_{2k}(q, x)F_{2n+2k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{k(2k+1)} x^{2k} \frac{F_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{F_{2k}(q, x)F_{2m+2k}(q, x)}$$
(36)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} q^{k(2k+1)} x^{2k} \frac{F_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{L_{2k}(q, x)L_{2n+2k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{k(2k+1)} x^{2k} \frac{F_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{L_{2k}(q, x)L_{2m+2k}(q, x)}$$
(37)

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} q^{k(2k+3)} x^{2k} \frac{F_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{F_{2k+1}(q, x)F_{2n+2k+1}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{k(2k+3)} x^{2k} \frac{F_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{F_{2k+1}(q, x)F_{2m+2k+1}(q, x)}$$
(38)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} q^{k(2k+3)} x^{2k} \frac{F_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{L_{2k+1}(q, x)L_{2n+2k+1}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{k(2k+3)} x^{2k} \frac{F_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{L_{2k+1}(q, x)L_{2m+2k+1}(q, x)} .$$
(39)

Proof. Apply Theorem 4.2, noticing that

$$Q_k(q^2, x)Q_k(q^2, x/q) = q^{4\binom{k+1}{2}-k}x^{2k} = q^{k(2k+1)}x^{2k}$$
$$Q_k(q^2, qx)Q_k(q^2, x) = q^{4\binom{k+1}{2}+k}x^{2k} = q^{k(2k+3)}x^{2k}.$$

REMARK 5.3. In the literature, there are other q-analogues of the Fibonacci polynomials and numbers. For instance, we have the q-Fibonacci polynomials $\varphi_n(q,x)$ defined by the recurrence $\varphi_{n+2}(q,x) = q^{n+1}x\varphi_{n+1}(q,x) + q^n x\varphi_n(q,x)$ with the initial values $\varphi_0(q,x) = 1$ and $\varphi_1(q,x) = x$, and the q-Fibonacci numbers $\varphi_n(q) = \varphi_n(q,1)$ considered in [7]. In this case, we have $\varphi_n(q,x) =$ $W_n(q,x)$ for A = 0, B = 1/q, C = 0, D = 1/q. So, we have the generating series

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \varphi_n(q,x) t^n = \sum_{k\geq 0} q^{\binom{k}{2}} x^k t^k (1+t)(1+qt) \cdots (1+q^{k-1}t)$$

and the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k}{2}} x^{k} \frac{\varphi_{n-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{\varphi_{k}(q, x)\varphi_{n+k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k}{2}} x^{k} \frac{\varphi_{m-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{\varphi_{k}(q, x)\varphi_{m+k}(q, x)}.$$
(40)

5.2 *q*-Pell polynomials

Let Ψ_n be the subset of $\Phi_n^{(2)}$ consisting of the 2-filtering partitions of [n] where the 1-blocks are of both types (black and white), and the 2-blocks are only of the second type (white). The *q-Pell polynomials* are defined by

$$P_n(q,x) = \sum_{\pi \in \Psi_n} q^{m(\pi)} x^{w(\pi)}$$

and satisfy the recurrence

$$P_{n+2}(q,x) = (1+q^{n+2}x)P_{n+1}(q,x) + q^{n+1}xP_n(q,x)$$

with the initial conditions $P_0(q, x) = 1$ and $P_1(q, x) = 1 + qx$. In particular, for x = 1, we have the *q*-Pell numbers $p_n(q) = P_n(q, 1)$, [16, 15, 3]. For q = 1, we have the Pell numbers [18, A000129].

In this case, we have $P_n(q, x) = W_n(q, x)$ for A = 1, B = 1, C = 0, D = 1. Then, by identity (31), we have the generating series

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} P_n(q,x) t^n = \sum_{k\geq 0} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} x^k t^k \frac{(1+t)(1+qt)\cdots(1+q^{k-1}t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)\cdots(1-q^kt)}$$

Moreover, we have

Theorem 5.4 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \ge 1$, we have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} x^{k} \frac{P_{n-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{P_{k}(q, x) P_{n+k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} x^{k} \frac{P_{m-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{P_{k}(q, x) P_{m+k}(q, x)} .$$
(41)

In particular, for x = 1, we have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \frac{P_{n-1}(q, q^{k+1})}{p_{k}(q)p_{n+k}(q)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \frac{P_{m-1}(q, q^{k+1})}{p_{k}(q)p_{m+k}(q)}.$$
(42)

Proof. Since $Q_k(q, x) = q^{k+(k-1)+\dots+2+1}x^k = q^{\binom{k+1}{2}}x^k$, identity (24) becomes identity (41). Then, we have

THEOREM 5.5 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \geq 1$, we have the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} q^{k(2k+1)} x^{2k} \frac{P_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{P_{2k}(q, x)P_{2n+2k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{k(2k+1)} x^{2k} \frac{P_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{P_{2k}(q, x)P_{2m+2k}(q, x)}$$
(43)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} q^{k(2k+3)} x^{2k} \frac{P_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{P_{2k+1}(q, x)P_{2n+2k+1}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{k(2k+3)} x^{2k} \frac{P_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{P_{2k+1}(q, x)P_{2m+2k+1}(q, x)}.$$
 (44)

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, where $Q_k(q^2, x)Q_k(q^2, x/q) = q^{4\binom{k+1}{2}-k}x^{2k} = q^{k(2k+1)}x^{2k}$.

REMARK 5.6. In [12], we have other two q-analogues of the Pell polynomials: the q-polynomials $a_n(q,x)$ defined by the recurrence $a_{n+2}(q,x) = (1+x)a_{n+1}(q,x) + q^n x a_n(q,x)$ with the initial values $a_0(q,x) = 0$ and $a_1(q,x) = x$, and the q-polynomials $b_n(q,x)$ defined by the recurrence $b_{n+2}(q,x) = (1+q^{n+1}x)b_{n+1}(q,x) + q^n x b_n(q,x)$ with the initial values $b_0(q,x) = 0$ and $b_1(q,x) = x$. The q-polynomials $b_{n+1}(q,x)$ satisfy the same recurrence of $P_n(q,x)$, but with different initial values, while the q-polynomials $a_{n+1}(q,x)$ do not satisfy an instance of recurrence (22).

5.3 q-Jacobsthal polynomials

Let Ξ_n be the subset of $\Phi_n^{(2)}$ consisting of the 2-filtering partitions of [n] where the 1-blocks are only of the first type (black) and the 2-blocks are of both types (black and white). The *q*-Jacobsthal polynomials are defined by

$$J_n(q,x) = \sum_{\pi \in \Xi_n} q^{m(\pi)} x^{w(\pi)}$$

and satisfy the recurrence

$$J_{n+2}(q,x) = J_{n+1}(q,x) + (1+q^{n+1}x)J_n(q,x)$$

with the initial values $J_0(q, x) = J_1(q, x) = 1$. In particular, for x = 1, we have the *q*-Jacobsthal numbers $j_n(q) = J_n(q, 1)$. Furthermore, for q = 1, we have the Jacobsthal numbers $j_n = (2^{n+1} + (-1)^n)/3$ [18, A001045].

In this case, we have $J_n(q, x) = W_n(q, x)$ for A = 1, B = 0, C = 1, D = 1. Then, by identity (31), we have the generating series

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} J_n(q, x) t^n = \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{q^{k^2} x^k t^{2k}}{(1 - t - t^2)(1 - qt - q^2t^2) \cdots (1 - q^kt - q^{2k}t^2)} \,.$$

Moreover, recalling that the *q*-Pochhammer symbol is defined by

$$(x;q)_k = (1-x)(1-qx)\cdots(1-q^{k-1}x)$$

we have

THEOREM 5.7 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \geq 1$, we have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k (-qx;q)_k \frac{J_{n-1}(q,q^{k+1}x)}{J_k(q,x)J_{n+k}(q,x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k (-qx;q)_k \frac{J_{m-1}(q,q^{k+1}x)}{J_k(q,x)J_{m+k}(q,x)} \,. \tag{45}$$

In particular, for x = 1, we have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k (-q;q)_k \frac{J_{n-1}(q,q^{k+1})}{j_k(q)j_{n+k}(q)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k (-q;q)_k \frac{J_{m-1}(q,q^{k+1})}{j_k(q)j_{m+k}(q)}.$$
(46)

Proof. Since $Q_k(q, x) = (1 + qx) \cdots (1 + q^{k-1}x)(1 + q^k x) = (-qx; q)_k$, identity (24) becomes identity (45).

Then, we have

Theorem 5.8 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \ge 1$, we have the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-qx;q)_{2k} \frac{J_{2n-1}(q,q^{2k+1}x)}{J_{2k}(q,x)J_{2n+2k}(q,x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-qx;q)_{2k} \frac{J_{2m-1}(q,q^{2k+1}x)}{J_{2k}(q,x)J_{2m+2k}(q,x)}$$
(47)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-q^2 x; q)_{2k} \frac{J_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+2} x)}{J_{2k+1}(q, x) J_{2n+2k+1}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-q^2 x; q)_{2k} \frac{J_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+2} x)}{J_{2k+1}(q, x) J_{2m+2k+1}(q, x)} .$$
(48)

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, where $Q_k(q^2, x/q)Q_k(q^2, x) = (-qx; q^2)_k(-q^2x; q^2)_k = (-qx; q)_{2k}$.

5.4 The *q*-polynomials $R_n(q, x)$

Let $R_n(q,x)$ be the q-polynomials associated to $\Phi_n^{(2)}$, i.e. the q-polynomials defined by

$$R_n(q, x) = \sum_{\pi \in \Phi_n^{(2)}} q^{m(\pi)} x^{w(\pi)}$$

These q-polynomials satisfy the recurrence

$$R_{n+2}(q,x) = (1+q^{n+2}x)R_{n+1}(q,x) + (1+q^{n+1}x)R_n(q,x)$$

with the initial conditions $R_0(q, x) = 1$ and $R_1(q, x) = 1 + qx$. In particular, for x = 1, we have the *q*-numbers $r_n(q) = R_n(q, 1)$. Furthermore, the coefficients of the polynomials $R_n(x) = R_n(1, x)$ form sequence A063967 in [18], while the numbers $r_n = r_n(1)$ form sequence A026150 in [18].

In this case, we have $R_n(q, x) = W_n(q, x)$ for A = 1, B = 1, C = 1, D = 1. Then, by identity (31), we have the generating series

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} R_n(q,x) t^n = \sum_{k\geq 0} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} x^k t^k \frac{(1+t)(1+qt)\cdots(1+q^{k-1}t)}{(1-t-t^2)(1-qt-q^2t^2)\cdots(1-q^kt-q^{2k}t^2)}$$

Moreover, we have

THEOREM 5.9 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \geq 1$, we have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k (-qx;q)_k \frac{R_{n-1}(q,q^{k+1}x)}{R_k(q,x)R_{n+k}(q,x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k (-qx;q)_k \frac{R_{m-1}(q,q^{k+1}x)}{R_k(q,x)R_{m+k}(q,x)}.$$
 (49)

In particular, for x = 1, we have the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k (-q;q)_k \frac{R_{n-1}(q,q^{k+1})}{r_k(q)r_{n+k}(q)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k (-q;q)_k \frac{R_{m-1}(q,q^{k+1})}{r_k(q)r_{m+k}(q)}.$$
(50)

Proof. Since $Q_k(q, x) = (1 + qx) \cdots (1 + q^{k-1}x)(1 + q^kx) = (-qx; q)_k$, identity (24) becomes identity (49).

Then, we have

Theorem 5.10 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \ge 1$, we have the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-qx;q)_{2k} \frac{R_{2n-1}(q,q^{2k+1}x)}{R_{2k}(q,x)R_{2n+2k}(q,x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-qx;q)_{2k} \frac{R_{2m-1}(q,q^{2k+1}x)}{R_{2k}(q,x)R_{2m+2k}(q,x)}$$
(51)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-q^2 x; q)_{2k} \frac{R_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+2} x)}{R_{2k+1}(q, x)R_{2n+2k+1}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-q^2 x; q)_{2k} \frac{R_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+2} x)}{R_{2k+1}(q, x)R_{2m+2k+1}(q, x)}.$$
 (52)

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, where $Q_k(q^2, x/q)Q_k(q^2, x) = (-qx; q^2)_k(-q^2x; q^2)_k = (-qx; q)_{2k}$.

5.5 *q*-Chebyshev polynomials

We define the q-Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $T_n(q, x)$ by the recurrence

$$T_{n+2}(q,x) = 2q^{n+1}x T_{n+1}(q,x) - T_n(q,x)$$

with the initial conditions $T_0(q, x) = 1$ and $T_1(q, x) = x$. Similarly, we define the *q*-Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $U_n(q, x)$ by the recurrence

$$U_{n+2}(q,x) = 2q^{n+1}x U_{n+1}(q,x) - U_n(q,x)$$

with the initial conditions $U_0(q, x) = 1$ and $U_1(q, x) = 2x$.

In this case, we have $U_n(q, x) = W_n(q, x)$ for A = 0, B = 2/q, C = -1, D = 0. Then, by identities (30) and (31), we have the generating series

$$T(q,x;t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} T_n(q,x) t^n = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{q^{\binom{k}{2}} 2^k x^k t^k (1-q^k x t)}{(1+t^2)(1+q^2 t^2)\cdots(1+q^{2k} t^2)}$$
$$U(q,x;t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} U_n(q,x) t^n = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{q^{\binom{k}{2}} 2^k x^k t^k}{(1+t^2)(1+q^2 t^2)\cdots(1+q^{2k} t^2)}.$$

Notice that T(q, x; t) = U(q, x; t) - xtU(q, qx; t), and consequently that $T_n(q, x) = U_n(q, x) - xU_{n-1}(q, qx)$. Moreover, we have

Theorem 5.11 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \ge 1$, we have the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{U_{n-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{T_k(q, x)T_{n+k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{U_{m-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{T_k(q, x)T_{m+k}(q, x)}$$
(53)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{U_{n-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{U_k(q, x)U_{n+k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{U_{m-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{U_k(q, x)U_{m+k}(q, x)}.$$
(54)

Proof. Since $Q_k(q, x) = (-1)^k$, identity (24) becomes identity (54). Similarly, identity (23) becomes identity (53).

Then, we have

THEOREM 5.12 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \ge 1$, we have the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{U_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{T_{2k}(q, x)T_{2n+2k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{U_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{T_{2k}(q, x)T_{2m+2k}(q, x)}$$
(55)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{U_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{T_{2k+1}(q, x)T_{2n+2k+1}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{U_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{T_{2k+1}(q, x)T_{2m+2k+1}(q, x)}$$
(56)

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{U_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{U_{2k}(q, x)U_{2n+2k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{U_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{U_{2k}(q, x)U_{2m+2k}(q, x)}$$
(57)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{U_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{U_{2k+1}(q, x)U_{2n+2k+1}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{U_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{U_{2k+1}(q, x)U_{2m+2k+1}(q, x)}.$$
(58)

Proof. Apply Theorem 4.2.

REMARK 5.13. In [11] we have the q-polynomials $U_n^{(a)}(q, x)$ (with a and x exchanged between them) defined by the recurrence

$$U_{n+2}^{(a)}(q,x) = (2a+q^{n+1}x)U_{n+1}^{(a)}(q,x) - U_n^{(a)}(q,x)$$

with the initial conditions $U_0^{(a)}(q,x) = 1$ and $U_1^{(a)}(q,x) = 2a + x$. So $U_n^{(a)}(q,x) = W_n(q,x)$ for A = 2a, B = 1/q, C = -1, D = 0. Consequently, we have the generating series

and the same identities given by (54), (57) and (58).

5.6 *q*-Morgan-Voyce polynomials

We define the q-Morgan-Voyce polynomials $M_n(q, x)$ by the recurrence

$$T_{n+2}(q,x) = (2+q^{n+1}x) M_{n+1}(q,x) - M_n(q,x)$$

with the initial conditions $M_0(q, x) = 1$ and $M_1(q, x) = 2 + x$. Similarly, we define the *q*-Morgan-Voyce polynomials $N_n(q, x)$ by the recurrence

$$N_{n+2}(q,x) = (2+q^{n+1}x)N_{n+1}(q,x) - N_n(q,x)$$

with the initial conditions $N_0(q, x) = 1$ and $N_1(q, x) = 1 + x$.

In this case, we have $M_n(q, x) = W_n(q, x)$ for A = 2, B = 1/q, C = -1, D = 0. then, by identities (31) and (30), we have the generating series

$$M(q,x;t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} M_n(q,x) t^n = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{q^{\binom{k}{2}} x^k t^k}{(1-2t+t^2)(1-2qt+q^2t^2)\cdots(1-2q^kt+q^{2k}t^2)}$$

$$N(q,x;t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} N_n(q,x) t^n = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{q^{\binom{k}{2}} x^k t^k (1-q^k t)}{(1-2t+t^2)(1-2qt+q^2t^2)\cdots(1-2q^kt+q^{2k}t^2)}$$

Notice that N(q, x; t) = M(q, x; t) - tM(q, qx; t), and consequently that $N_n(q, x) = M_n(q, x) - M_{n-1}(q, qx)$. Moreover, we have

Theorem 5.14 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \ge 1$, we have the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{M_{n-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{M_k(q, x)M_{n+k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{M_{m-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{M_k(q, x)M_{m+k}(q, x)}$$
(59)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{M_{n-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{N_k(q, x)N_{n+k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{M_{m-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{N_k(q, x)N_{m+k}(q, x)}.$$
(60)

Proof. Since $Q_k(q, x) = (-1)^k$, identity (24) becomes identity (59). Similarly, identity (23) becomes identity (60).

Then, we have

Theorem 5.15 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \ge 1$, we have the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{M_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{M_{2k}(q, x)M_{2n+2k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{M_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{M_{2k}(q, x)M_{2m+2k}(q, x)}$$
(61)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{M_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{M_{2k+1}(q, x)M_{2n+2k+1}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{M_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{M_{2k+1}(q, x)M_{2m+2k+1}(q, x)}$$
(62)

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{M_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{N_{2k}(q, x)N_{2n+2k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{M_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{N_{2k}(q, x)N_{2m+2k}(q, x)}$$
(63)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{M_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{N_{2k+1}(q, x)N_{2n+2k+1}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{M_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{N_{2k+1}(q, x)N_{2m+2k+1}(q, x)} \,. \tag{64}$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 4.2.

5.7 Two q-sums

As a final example, we consider the q-polynomials

$$S_n(q,x) = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{\binom{k}{2}} x^k$$
 and $T_n(q,x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (x;q)_k$.

LEMMA 5.16 The q-polynomials $S_n(q, x)$ satisfy the recurrence

$$S_{n+2}(q,x) = (1+q^{n+1}x)S_{n+1}(q,x) - q^{n+1}xS_n(q,x)$$
(65)

with the initial values $S_0(q,x) = 1$ and $S_1(q,x) = 1 + x$, while the q-polynomials $T_n(q,x)$ satisfy the recurrence

$$T_{n+2}(q,x) = (2 - q^{n+1}x)T_{n+1}(q,x) - (1 - q^{n+1}x)T_n(q,x)$$
(66)

with the initial values $T_0(q, x) = 1$ and $T_1(q, x) = 2 - x$.

Proof. In the first case, we have the identities

$$S_{n+1}(q,x) - S_n(q,x) = q^{\binom{n+1}{2}} x^{n+1}$$

$$S_{n+2}(q,x) - S_{n+1}(q,x) = q^{\binom{n+2}{2}} x^{n+2},$$

from which we obtain the equation

$$S_{n+2}(q,x) - S_{n+1}(q,x) = q^{n+1}x(S_{n+1}(q,x) - S_n(q,x))$$

equivalent to recurrence (65). Similarly, in the second case, we have the identities

$$T_{n+1}(q, x) - T_n(q, x) = (x; q)_{n+1}$$

$$T_{n+2}(q, x) - T_{n+1}(q, x) = (x; q)_{n+2},$$

from which we obtain the equation

$$T_{n+2}(q,x) - T_{n+1}(q,x) = (1 - q^{n+1}x)(T_{n+1}(q,x) - T_n(q,x))$$

equivalent to recurrence (66).

By Lemma 5.16, we have that also $S_n(q, x)$ and $T_n(q, x)$ are special cases of the q-polynomials $W_n(q, x)$. Specifically, we have $S_n(q, x) = W_n(q, x)$ for A = 1, B = 1/q, C = 0, D = -1, and $T_n(q, x) = W_n(q, x)$ for A = 2, B = -1/q, C = -1, D = 1. So, we have

THEOREM 5.17 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \ge 1$, we have the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} x^k \frac{S_{n-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{S_k(q, x)S_{n+k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} x^k \frac{S_{m-1}(q, q^{k+1}x)}{S_k(q, x)S_{m+k}(q, x)}$$
(67)

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (qx;q)_k \frac{T_{n-1}(q,q^{k+1}x)}{T_k(q,x)T_{n+k}(q,x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (qx;q)_k \frac{T_{m-1}(q,q^{k+1}x)}{T_k(q,x)T_{m+k}(q,x)}.$$
(68)

Proof. In the first case, we have $Q_k(q,x) = q^{\binom{k+1}{2}}(-x)^k$ and identity (24) becomes identity (67). In the second case, we have $Q_k(q,x) = (-1)^k (qx;q)_k$ and identity (24) becomes identity (68).

Finally, by Theorem (4.2), we have

THEOREM 5.18 For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m, n \geq 1$, we have the identities

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} q^{k(2k+1)} x^{2k} \frac{S_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{S_{2k}(q, x)S_{2n+2k}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{k(2k+1)} x^{2k} \frac{S_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+1}x)}{S_{2k}(q, x)S_{2m+2k}(q, x)}$$
(69)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} q^{k(2k+3)} x^{2k} \frac{S_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{S_{2k+1}(q, x)S_{2n+2k+1}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{k(2k+3)} x^{2k} \frac{S_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+2}x)}{S_{2k+1}(q, x)S_{2m+2k+1}(q, x)}$$
(70)

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (qx;q)_{2k} \frac{T_{2n-1}(q,q^{2k+1}x)}{T_{2k}(q,x)T_{2n+2k}(q,x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (qx;q)_{2k} \frac{T_{2m-1}(q,q^{2k+1}x)}{T_{2k}(q,x)T_{2m+2k}(q,x)}$$
(71)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} (q^2 x; q)_{2k} \frac{T_{2n-1}(q, q^{2k+2} x)}{T_{2k+1}(q, x) T_{2n+2k+1}(q, x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (q^2 x; q)_{2k} \frac{T_{2m-1}(q, q^{2k+2} x)}{T_{2k+1}(q, x) T_{2m+2k+1}(q, x)} .$$
(72)

REMARK 5.19. The Al-Salam and Ismail polynomials $U_n(x; a, b)$, [1], are defined by the recurrence

$$U_{n+2}(x;a,b) = (1+q^{n+1}a)x U_{n+1}(x;a,b) - q^{n+1}b U_n(x;a,b)$$

with the initial values $U_0(x; a, b) = 1$ and $U_1(x; a, b) = (1 + a)x$. These polynomials do not satisfy an instance of recurrence (22). However, if we consider the q-polynomials $u_n(q, x) = U_n(1; x, x)$, then they satisfy the recurrence $u_{n+2}(q, x) = (1 + q^{n+1}x)u_{n+1}(q, x) - q^{n+1}xu_n(q, x)$ with the initial values $u_0(q, x) = 1$ and $u_1(q, x) = 1 + x$. This means that $S_n(q, x) = u_n(q, x) = U_n(1; x, x)$.

References

- [1] W. A. AL-SALAM AND M. E. H. ISMAIL, Orthogonal polynomials associated with the Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction, Pacific J. Math., 104 (1983) 269–283.
- [2] R. ANDRÉ-JEANNIN, Summation of reciprocals in certain second-order recurring sequences, Fibonacci Quart., 35 (1997) 68–74.
- [3] K. S. BRIGGS, D. P. LITTLE AND J. A. SELLERS, *Tiling proofs of various q-Pell identities via tilings*, Ann. Comb., 14 (2010) 407–418.
- [4] L. CARLITZ, Fibonacci notes 3: q-Fibonacci numbers, Fibonacci Quart., 12 (1974) 317–322.
- [5] L. CARLITZ, Fibonacci notes 4: q-Fibonacci polynomials, Fibonacci Quart., 13 (1975) 97– 102.
- [6] I. J. GOOD, A symmetry property of alternating sums of products of reciprocals, Fibonacci Quart., 32 (1994) 284–287.
- [7] A. M. GOYT AND B. E. SAGAN, Set partition statistics and q-Fibonacci numbers, European J. Combin., 30 (2009) 230–245.
- [8] A. F. HORADAM, Basic properties of a certain generalized sequence of numbers, Fibonacci Quart., 3 (1965) 161–176.
- [9] A. F. HORADAM, Generating functions for powers of a certain generalized sequence of numbers, Duke Math. J., 32 (1965) 437–446.

- [10] M. E. H. ISMAIL, H. PRODINGER AND D. STANTON, Schur's determinants and partition theorems, Sém. Lothar. Combin., B44a (2000) 10 pp.
- [11] M. E. H. ISMAIL AND D. STANTON, Ramanujan continued fractions via orthogonal polynomials, Adv. Math., 203 (2006) 170–193.
- [12] T. MANSOUR AND M. SHATTUCK, Restricted partitions and q-Pell numbers, Cent. Eur. J. Math., 9 (2011) 346–355.
- [13] A. M. MORGAN-VOYCE, Ladder network analysis using Fibonacci numbers, IRE Transactions on Circuit Theory, 6.3 (1959) 321–322.
- [14] E. MUNARINI, Generalized q-Fibonacci numbers, Fibonacci Quart., 43 (2005) 234–242.
- [15] H. PAN, Arithmetic properties of q-Fibonacci numbers and q-Pell numbers, Discrete Math., 306 (2006) 2118–2127.
- [16] J. O. SANTOS AND A. V. SILLS, q-Pell sequences and two identities of V. A. Lebesgue, Discrete Math., 257 (2002) 125–142.
- [17] I. SCHUR, Gesmmelte Abhandungen, Vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, pp. 117–136.
- [18] N. J. A. SLOANE, On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at http://oeis.org/.
- [19] M. N. S. SWAMY, Properties of the polynomials defined by Morgan-Voyce, Fibonacci Quart., 4 (1966) 73–81.
- [20] M. N. S. SWAMY, Further properties of Morgan-Voyce polynomials, Fibonacci Quart., 6 (1968) 167–175.