

ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC PREDICTORS OF CHRONOTROPIC INCOMPETENCE TO EXERCISE IN PATIENTS WITH HEART FAILURE WITH PRESERVED EJECTION FRACTION

Zharko Hristovski¹, Daniela Projevska-Donegati¹, Ljubica Georgievska-Ismail²

¹ Clinical Hospital "Acibadem-Sistina", Skopje, R. Macedonia

² University Clinic of Cardiology, University Ss. Cyril and Methodius, Skopje, R. Macedonia

Corresponding Author: Zharko Hristovski, Clinical Hospital "Acibadem-Sistina", Skopje, R. Macedonia;
E-mail: zarkohristovski@yahoo.com

Abstract

Objective: Exercise intolerance in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) is most often attributed to diastolic dysfunction (DD); however, chronotropic incompetence (CI) could also play an important role. We intended to examine whether there are predictive echocardiographic parameters of DD for impaired chronotropic response to exercise.

Methods and Results: Patients (n = 143) with unexplained dyspnea and/or exercise intolerance who fulfilled clinical and echocardiographic criteria of HFpEF presence underwent a symptom-limited exercise test using a treadmill (ETT) according to the Bruce protocol. CI was defined as an achieved heart rate reserve (HRR) of $\leq 80\%$. Comparison of the groups with (n = 98) and without CI (n = 45) did not show any statistically significant difference regarding demographic and clinical characteristics except for use of beta blockers (BB) that were more frequently present (p = 0.012) in patients with CI in comparison with those without. Patients with CI had a higher mean E-wave velocity, E/A ratio, increased E/E' septal, lateral as well as average ratio and abnormal IVRT/T_{E-e'} index all consistent with elevated LV filling pressures. E/E' average ratio > 15 was statistically insignificantly more frequently present in patients with CI. In addition, by multivariate stepwise regression analysis value of E' septal ($\beta = 3.697$, 95%CI 0.921–6.473, p = 0.009) along with use of BB, current smoking and basal heart rate appeared as statistically significant independent predictors of lower HRR %.

Conclusion: Patients with HFpEF frequently have chronotropic incompetence to graded exercise which may partly be predicted with echocardiographic parameters that are consistent with elevated LV filling pressures.

Key words: heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; diastolic dysfunction; left ventricular filling pressure; chronotropic incompetence.

Introduction

Chronotropic response to exercise and its impairment reflected as chronotropic incompetence (CI) are under-appreciated and often overlooked in clinical practice. [1–3] CI is common in patients with cardiovascular disease, produces exercise intolerance, and is an independent predictor of major adverse cardiovascular events and overall mortality even in patients taking drugs that interfere with CI such as beta blockers; [4–6] CI is often present in

patients with HF, especially in those with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF), even compared with older, age-matched controls and independent of rate-slowing medication use, and contributes to their prominent exertional symptoms, lower exercise capacity and reduced quality-of-life. [7–10] Because exercise requires coordinated changes in ventricular function, arterial tone, endothelial function, venous return, and autonomic signalling, CI is one of the mechanisms that are impaired in patients with

HFpEF contributing in coordinate fashion to depressed reserve capacity that produce exercise limitation. [9, 11] However, recent clinical studies also observed a significant correlation between exercise capacity and diastolic function parameters, i.e. exercise capacity being worse in patients with marked diastolic dysfunction. [12, 13]

Understanding the pleiotropic nature of exercise capacity limitation in patients with HFpEF, we intended to examine whether there are predictive echocardiographic parameters of diastolic dysfunction for impaired chronotropic response to exercise, which would result in more tailored therapy for individual patients.

Methods

Study Population

This was a prospective cross-sectional study conducted between November 2012 and March 2014 examining 143 consecutive patients with multiple atherosclerotic risk factors who were referred for cardiology assessment to the Acibadem-Sistina Special Hospital and/or the University Clinic of Cardiology in Skopje for unexplained dyspnea and/or exercise intolerance and who fulfilled clinical and/or echocardiographic criteria of HFpEF presence: dyspnoea, preserved left ventricular ejection fraction ($LVEF > 50\%$ or $LVEDVI < 97 \text{ ml/m}^2$) and diastolic dysfunction along with elevated LV filling pressure ($E/E' > 15$). [14] In patients with an intermediate E/E' average ratio between 8 and 15, additional parameters of diastolic dysfunction was implemented. These included an E/A ratio < 0.5 and/or deceleration half-time (DT) $> 280 \text{ ms}$ in patients over 50 years, and/or a duration difference of atrial reverse pulmonary vein flow and atrial mitral valve flow ($Ar-A$) $> 30 \text{ ms}$, and/or a left atrial volume index ($LAVI$) $> 40 \text{ ml/m}^2$, and/or an increased LV mass index ($LVMi$: men $> 149 \text{ g/m}^2$, women $> 122 \text{ g/m}^2$). [14–16]

Data on the presence of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, smoking, and family history of premature coronary artery disease (CAD) were collected prospectively by questioning the patients at the time of echocardiography. To be eligible for the study, patients had to have a sinus rhythm, normal lung func-

tion tests and normal blood counts. Patients with a history of recent (≤ 6 months) acute coronary syndrome, coronary artery bypass grafting, more than moderate mitral regurgitation or more than mild disease of the other valves, hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy, atrial fibrillation, pulmonary disease, or anaemia were excluded. Patients with a history of coronary artery disease, post-percutaneous coronary intervention (> 6 months), or post-myocardial infarction (> 6 months) were not excluded if they showed preserved LV ejection fractions and had no significant coronary artery stenosis. Patients on chronic medications, including beta-blockers or non-dihydropyridines calcium blockers were studied. The study protocol was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of involved institutions, and informed consent was obtained from patients.

Echocardiography

Standard assessment of LV dimensions, wall thickness, and mass were performed in standard views on commercially available equipment (Vivid 7, GE) according to the joint recommendations of the European Association of Echocardiography, the American College of Cardiology, and the American Heart Association. [17] LV volumes and ejection fraction were calculated using the biplane method of disks (modified Simpson's rule). [17] Left atrial volume was derived by the biapical area-length method and indexed to body surface area ($LAVI$). [17] Mitral flow using a pulsed-wave Doppler was recorded as recommended and early (E) and late (A) transmitral inflow velocities as well deceleration time (DT) were measured. [16] Pulmonary venous flow was recorded using pulsed-wave Doppler and peak systolic (S), diastolic (D) and atrial reversal flow including its duration were measured as recommended. [16] Pulse-wave tissue Doppler imaging was performed in the apical 4-chamber view to assess annular early and late diastolic velocities. [16] The recording was performed at a sweep speed of 100 mm/s at end-expiratory apnea. The septal, lateral, and average early diastolic velocity (E') were recorded, and the ratio of mitral flow E wave to E' for each of these annular velocities was calculated as well as the

time interval between the onsets of mitral inflow velocity (E) and mitral annulus velocity (T_{E-e}). IVRT/ T_{E-e} index was measured and calculated. Tissue Doppler was not performed in patients with dense mitral annular calcification. The average of 3 consecutive cardiac cycles was taken for measurement of each echocardiographic index.

Exercise testing

A symptom-limited exercise test using a treadmill (ETT) was conducted according to Bruce protocol as recommended. [18, 19] During each exercise and recovery stage, symptoms, blood pressure, heart rate, cardiac rhythm, ST-segment displacement and metabolic equivalents were recorded. Testing was terminated because of fatigue, dyspnoea, leg discomfort, chest pain, achievement of maximal predicted heart rate, exaggerated hypertensive response (systolic blood pressure > 250 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure > 115 mm Hg) or drop in systolic blood pressure of more than 10 mmHg, severe arrhythmias including second- or third-degree atrioventricular (AV) block, or marked ST-segment displacement. [19] After peak exercise, the test was almost immediately terminated, and measurements were taken while the patients were in the standing position.

Chronotropic incompetence

Chronotropic incompetence (CI) was defined to be a diminished heart rate (HR) response to exercise on the basis of the following two criteria [4, 18, 20–24]: failure to achieve 85% of the maximum age-predicted heart rate (%Max PHR) where %Max PHR was calculated as $220 - \text{age (years)}$ [23] and percentage of HR reserve (%HRR) of $\leq 80\%$ which was calculated using following equation: $(\text{HR at peak exercise} - \text{resting HR}) / ((220 - \text{age}) - \text{resting HR})$ [4, 20–24]. We decided in our study to use the latter criterion because it outweighs the possible confounding effects of age, physical fitness and resting HR.

Statistical analysis

Categorical parameters were summarised as percentages and continuous parameters as mean \pm SD. Comparison between two groups was based on the Mann-Whitney test for con-

tinuous parameters and Pearson's chi-square test for categorical parameters. Assessment of correlation of various factors was done using the Spearman correlation analysis. Multiple regression analysis was performed in stepwise order to determine independent predictors among the set of predictor parameters of presence of chronotropic incompetence.

All data analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences software (SPSS 15.0, SPSS Inc., and Chicago, IL, USA) and a p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics of the patients

Inclusion criteria were fulfilled by 143 patients (62.15 ± 10.0 y), 56 men and 87 women with body mass index (BMI) 29.60 ± 4.09 kg/m². Hypertension was present in 96.5%, dyslipidaemia in 95.8% and diabetes mellitus in 50.3% of all patients. 46.9% of patients were taking beta blockers (BB). Patients had a mean New York Heart Association class of 1.52 ± 0.5 . Out of all, 60 (42.0%) patients failed to reach %Max PHR and 98 (68.5%) patients had low %HRR. When we divided the patients according to BB presence, 53.7% patients on BB failed to reach %Max PHR and 79.1% had low HRR.

Baseline characteristics of the study patients according to CI presence assessed by %HRR are shown in Table 1. Comparison of the groups with and without CI did not show any statistically significant difference regarding demographic and clinical characteristics except for BB, which were significantly more frequently present ($p = 0.012$) in patients with CI in comparison with those without. There was a significant negative relation between %HRR and current smoking ($r = -0.222$; $p = 0.008$) as well as with use of BB ($r = -0.210$; $p = 0.013$). The OR for having CI with using BB was 2.58 (95%CI 1.22–5.46)

Table 1

Basal characteristics of patients divided according to presence of chronotropic incompetence

Parameters	Group with CI (n = 98)	Group without CI (n = 45)	p
Age (years)	61.44 ± 10.63	63.69 ± 8.38	0.135
Men/women (%)	36.7/63.3	44.4/55.6	0.382
BMI (kg/m ²)	29.54 ± 4.21	29.74 ± 3.86	0.692
NYHA class	1.54 ± 0.50	1.49 ± 0.51	0.565
Resting HR (beats/min)	74.80 ± 9.48	78.93 ± 11.37	0.069
Resting systolic BP (mmHg)	141.47 ± 16.55	143.89 ± 15.84	0.525
Current smoking (%)	27.6	15.6	0.119
Hypertension (%)	96.9	95.6	0.677
Dyslipidaemia (%)	96.9	93.3	0.320
Diabetes mellitus (%)	48.0	55.6	0.400
Coronary heart disease (%)	17.3	15.6	0.791
Serum haemoglobin (g/L)	143.24 ± 11.94	142.91 ± 14.56	0.649
Use of beta blockers (%)	54.2	31.8	0.012

BMI = body mass index; BP = blood pressure; CI = chronotropic incompetence; HR = heart rate; NYHA = New York Heart Association.

Echocardiographic data

Echocardiographic data are provided in Table 2. Patients with and without CI had similar values for LVEF, LAVI and LV mass indexed to body surface area. The average value of duration of reverse pulmonary vein atrial systole flow-mitral valve wave flow was normal in both groups with and without CI. Patients with CI had a higher mean E-wave velocity, E/A ratio and increased E/E' septal, lateral as well as average ratio and abnormal IVRT/T_{E-e'} index

all consistent with elevated LV filling pressures. Elevated LV pressure represented with E/E' average ratio > 15 was statistically insignificantly more frequently present in patients with CI (Table 2). The OR for having CI with E/E' average ratio > 15 was 2.35 (95%CI 0.89–6.19). There was a significant positive relation between %HRR and the value of E' septal and E' average (r = 0.191, p = 0.022; r = 0.181, p = 0.030; respectively) and weak negative relation with E/E' septal ratio (r = -0.158, p = 0.060).

Table 2

Comparison of echocardiographic parameters of patients divided according to presence of chronotropic incompetence

Parameters	Group with CI (n = 98)	Group without CI (n = 46)	p
LVEF (%)	65.84 ± 6.99	65.62 ± 7.15	0.782
LAVI _{max} (ml ² /m ²)	36.83 ± 10.39	37.88 ± 10.23	0.520
LV mass index (g/ m ²)	131.85 ± 28.62	131.57 ± 30.93	0.838
E (cm/s)	77.44 ± 20.13	75.71 ± 14.41	0.969
E/A ratio	0.92 ± 0.34	0.84 ± 0.21	0.299
DT (ms)	209.30 ± 52.16	205.29 ± 42.31	0.931
IVRT (ms)	105.76 ± 25.14	100.26 ± 20.84	0.314
Ar-A (ms)	-5.22 ± 20.68	2.25 ± 20.23	0.036
E' septal (cm/s)	5.36 ± 1.31	5.63 ± 1.33	0.253
E' lateral (cm/s)	6.91 ± 1.66	7.40 ± 1.76	0.077
E' average (cm/s)	6.13 ± 1.26	6.51 ± 1.34	0.054
E/E' septal ratio	14.98 ± 4.58	13.91 ± 3.29	0.172
Parameters	Group with CI	Group without CI	p

	(n = 98)	(n = 46)	
E/E' lateral ratio	11.86 ± 4.94	10.90 ± 4.31	0.131
E/E' average ratio	12.94 ± 3.91	12.09 ± 3.40	0.117
IVRT/E-e' average	0.43 ± 16.32	4.59 ± 35.60	0.489
E/E' > 15 ratio (%)	26.5	13.3	0.080

A = late filling velocity of transmitral flow; Ar = Atrial retrograde velocity of flow in pulmonary veins; CI = chronotropic incompetence; DT = deceleration time of mitral early filling velocity; E = early filling velocity measured by PW Doppler; E' = myocardial early diastolic velocity by TDI at mitral annulus; E/A = transmitral early to late filling velocity by CW-Doppler; E/E' = transmitral early diastolic velocity by CW-Doppler to myocardial early diastolic velocity of mitral annulus by TDI; EF = ejection fraction; IVRT = isovolumic relaxation time; IVRT/E-e' = tau index; LAVI = left atrial volume index; LV = left ventricular.

Exercise test data

Exercise test responses are showed in Table 3. Patients with CI showed statistically insignificant lower exercise capacity and METs achieved, had shorter exercise duration (min) and more frequent symptoms (angina or fatigue) during exercise (Table 3). Those with CI had a statistically significantly lower peak HR achieved

(p = 0.0001) and an increase in HR (p = 0.0001) as well as achieving significantly lower %Max PHR (p = 0.0001) and lower %HRR (p = 0.0001). Correlation analysis of %HRR with exercise duration, METs achieved and exercise capacity did not show any significant relation except for symptoms which were significantly negatively related to %HRR (r = -0.193, p = 0.021).

Table 3

Comparison of exercise test characteristics of patients divided according to presence of chronotropic incompetence

Parameters	Group with CI (n = 98)	Group without CI (n = 46)	p
Exercise capacity (%)	104.34 ± 24.30	107.95 ± 21.02	0.473
METs achieved	7.29 ± 1.80	7.45 ± 1.67	0.536
Exercise duration (min)	5.60 ± 1.95	5.79 ± 1.79	0.448
Peak HR (beats/min)	130.24 ± 14.55	156.27 ± 10.97	0.0001
Increase in HR (beats/min)	48.05 ± 13.18	65.20 ± 14.44	0.0001
Max PHR (%)	82.17 ± 7.65	100.07 ± 6.24	0.0001
HRR (%)	63.30 ± 14.18	101.48 ± 15.25	0.0001
Peak systolic BP (mmHg)	184.64 ± 26.75	191.28 ± 19.43	0.127
Increase in systolic BP (mmHg)	40.05 ± 20.43	43.22 ± 16.76	0.328
Increase in diastolic BP (mmHg)	3.36 ± 9.09	6.91 ± 11.53	0.015
Symptoms during exercise (%)			
Angina	10.2	0	0.235
Fatigue	34.7	33.3	
Dispnoea	1.4	0	

BP = blood pressure; CI = chronotropic incompetence; HR = heart rate; %HRR = percent of heart rate reserve; %Max PHR = Proportion of predicted HR achieved; MET = metabolic equivalent.

In order to define the role of CI in limitation of exercise capacity, echocardiographic parameters of diastolic function along with parameters of CI (%Max PHR and %HRR) were put in multiple stepwise regression analysis. The results showed (Table 4) that parameters of CI during exercise did not appear as independent predictors of exercise capacity limitation, whilst echocardiographic parameters

that represent extensive diastolic dysfunction and symptoms mostly of angina or fatigue during exercise appeared as significant independent predictors of lower exercise capacity.

Prediction of chronotropic incompetence

Multiple stepwise regression analysis of demographic, clinical and echocardiographic data significantly related with CI revealed (Table 5) that current smoking, use of beta blockers,

basal HR and myocardial early diastolic velocity at septal mitral annulus assessed by TDI (E'septal) appeared as independent significant predictors of CI existence represented by %HRR. Taking all parameters together the model sho-

wed that they are responsible for 41.0% of the lower %HRR. As for the echocardiographic parameter, it means that with every one cm/s of E'septal decline, the %HRR declines by 3.697% with a significance of $p = 0.009$.

Table 4

Multivariate stepwise regression analysis of echocardiographic and exercise parameters in prediction of exercise capacity

Parameters	β Unstandardised (SE)	β Unstandardised 95%CI	β Standardised	P value
Exercise capacity in metabolic equivalents achieved				
Symptoms*	-1.658 (0.248)	-2.149 to -1.167	-0.466	0.0001
E/E' average	-0.124 (0.033)	-0.190 to -0.058	-0.266	0.0001
E/A ratio	1.188 (0.411)	0.376 to 2.00	0.206	0.004
Exercise capacity in minutes of exercise				
Symptoms*	-1.941 (0.261)	-2.467 to -1.425	-0.505	0.0001
E/E' average	-0.137 (0.035)	-0.206 to -0.067	-0.271	0.0001
E/A ratio	1.161 (0.431)	0.308 to 2.014	0.186	0.008
Percent exercise capacity				
Symptoms*	-15.321 (3.757)	-22.749 to -7.893	-0.325	0.0001

* Symptoms of angina or fatigue during exercise; E/A = transmitral early to late filling velocity by CW-Doppler; E/E' = transmitral early diastolic velocity by CW-Doppler to myocardial early diastolic velocity of mitral annulus by TDI.

Table 5

Multivariate stepwise regression analysis of demographic, clinical and echocardiographic parameters in prediction of presence of chronotropic incompetence represented by %HRR

Parameters	β Unstandardised (SE)	β Unstandardised 95%CI	β Standardised	P value
Use of BB	-8.366 (3.665)	-15.614 to -1.118	-0.183	0.024
Current smoking	-13.021 (4.206)	-21.339 to -4.704	-0.244	0.002
Basal HR	0.484 (0.187)	0.114 to 0.854	0.209	0.011
E' septal	3.697 (1.404)	0.921 to 6.473	0.207	0.009

BB = beta blockers; CI = chronotropic incompetence; E' = myocardial early diastolic velocity by TDI at mitral annulus; HR = heart rate; %HRR = percentage of HR reserve.

Discussion

Chronotropic incompetence (CI) defined as failure to achieve 85% of the age-predicted maximum heart rate (%Max PHR) was present in 60 (42.0%) patients and/or a heart rate reserve of $\leq 80\%$ (%HRR) in 98 (68.5%) patients out of the 143 patients with HFpEF that were subject of this study, which was in concordance with other authors. Phan et al. [8] found that 34% of patients with HFpEF have CI during maximal exercise when defined by %Max PHR and 63% when defined by %HRR. In order to avoid age, functional capacity and resting HR biases of each individual [1, 4, 5, 20–24], for comparison analysis of patient data we have

used %HRR. It is now well known that both parameters of CI were associated with adverse risk profile, whereby %HRR represents an isolated measure of chronotropy that appeared as an independent predictor of mortality. [4, 5, 24]

Comparison of the groups with and without CI did not show any statistically significant difference regarding demographic and clinical characteristics except for use of beta blockers (BB), which were significantly more frequently present in patients with CI in comparison to those without. Multivariate stepwise regression analysis of demographic and clinical data revealed that along with current smoking, use of beta blockers and basal HR appeared as inde-

pendent significant predictors of CI existence represented by %HRR. The majority of earlier studies concerning the relationship between chronotropic response and exercise capacity were carried out when such therapy was not a part of standard management. However, studies on patients with chronic heart failure (CHF) who were on optimal medical therapy concordant with the current guidelines showed that there is no significant difference in the rates of CI between patients receiving and not receiving BB agents. [20, 25, 26] A previous study by Witte et al. [26], conducted on a total of 237 CHF patients, evaluated CI and showed a 32% and 64% prevalence of CI according to the %Max PHR and %HRR, respectively, whereas in patients on BB therapy these percentages were 49% and 75%. Our data are in line with those of Witte (53.7% and 79.1, respectively). Similarly, Jorde et al. [27] found CI in > 70% of patients with advanced systolic CHF irrespective of BB use and confirmed that BB had no impact on the relationship between exercise time and HR during treadmill exercise testing.

In the present study, cigarette smoking was more common in patients with CI. In addition, multivariate stepwise regression analysis showed (Table 5) that current smoking appeared as an independent significant predictor of CI existence represented by %HRR. Lauer et al. [28, 29] reported a similar result, that CI was associated with smoking in a healthy population-based cohort and revealed that the association is not just a reflection of impaired exercise capacity as well, as associations persisted after accounting for a number of potential confounders. However, the mechanisms linking smoking to CI remain unclear. There are a number of potential mechanisms including endothelial dysfunction, increased ischaemic burden, increased peripheral vascular resistance, autonomic dysfunction and/or downstream deficits in beta-adrenergic stimulation [21, 28, 29], all mechanisms which are inevitably connected to HFpEF.

Regarding echocardiographic parameters, we found that patients with CI had insignificantly higher indexes that are consistent with elevated LV filling pressures. E/E' average ratio > 15 was also insignificantly more frequently present in patients with CI. Using multivariate stepwise regression analysis, E'septal

appeared as a statistically significant independent echocardiographic predictor of lower %HRR. Hence, the more prominent is diastolic dysfunction the more frequent is CI, which confirmed their relation. A number of recent studies have revealed the existence of depressed chronotropic reserve in patients with HFpEF even compared with older, age-matched controls and independent of rate-slowing medication use. [7–11, 30] Similar to CHF, this is likely to be related to downstream deficits in beta-adrenergic stimulation and/or autonomic dysfunction. [7, 8] Data on the relation between the extent of diastolic dysfunction and CI in patients with HFpEF are limited. Thus Grewal et al. [13], in their study on patients with symptoms of dyspnoea, multiple risk factors and preserved LVEF, found that chronotropic response to exercise is only modestly associated with diastolic function and filling pressures. Clearly, the mechanisms underlying the relationships of heart rate with exercise capacity are complex and cannot be entirely explained by diastolic dysfunction parameters.

Because exercise requires coordinated changes in ventricular function, arterial tone, endothelial function, venous return, and autonomic signalling CI, as one of the mechanisms that are impaired in patients with HFpEF, contributes in coordinate fashion to depressed reserve capacity that produces exercise limitation. [7–9, 11] The results of our study showed that patients with HFpEF and CI presence had a statistically insignificant lower exercise capacity and METs achieved, had shorter exercise duration and more frequently symptoms (angina or fatigue) during exercise. Recent clinical studies also observed a significant relation between exercise capacity and diastolic function parameters, i.e. exercise capacity being worse in patients with marked diastolic dysfunction. [12, 13, 31]

In order to define the role of CI in exercise capacity limitation we performed multiple stepwise regression analysis (Table 4), but parameters represented CI did not appear as independent predictors of lower exercise capacity, whilst echocardiographic parameters that represent extensive diastolic dysfunction, higher LV filling pressure and symptoms mostly of dyspnoea or fatigue during exercise appeared strongly associated with exercise capacity limita-

tion. Although CI did not appear strongly associated with exercise capacity limitation, it does exist more frequently in patients with more pronounced diastolic dysfunction.

Conclusion

Patients with HFpEF frequently have chronotropic incompetence to graded exercise which may partly be predicted with echocardiographic parameters that are consistent with elevated LV filling pressures. Although CI did not appear strongly associated with exercise capacity limitation as were extensive diastolic dysfunction, higher LV filling pressure and symptoms mostly of dyspnoea or fatigue during exercise, it does exist more frequently in patients with more pronounced diastolic dysfunction.

REFERENCES

1. Brubaker PH and Kitzman DW. Chronotropic incompetence: Causes, consequences, and management. *Circulation*. 2011; 123: 1010–20.
2. Bangalore S, Yao SS, Chaudhry FA. Comparison of heart rate reserve versus 85% of age-predicted maximum heart rate as a measure of chronotropic response in patients undergoing dobutamine stress echocardiography. *Am J Cardiol*. 2006; 97: 742–7.
3. Lauer MS. Chronotropic Incompetence. Ready for prime time. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004; 44: 431–2.
4. Lauer MS, Francis GS, Okin PM, et al. Impaired chronotropic response to exercise stress testing as a predictor of mortality. *JAMA*. 1999; 281: 565–6.
5. Lauer MS, Okin PM, Larson MG, et al. Impaired heart rate response to graded exercise: prognostic implications of chronotropic incompetence in the Framingham heart study. *Circulation*. 1996; 93: 1520–6.
6. Khan MN, Pothier CE, Lauer MS. Chronotropic incompetence as a predictor of death among patients with normal electrocardiograms taking beta blockers (metoprolol or atenolol). *Am J Cardiol*. 2005; 96: 1328–33.
7. Borlaug BA, Melenovsky V, Russell SD, et al. Impaired chronotropic and vasodilator reserves limit exercise capacity in patients with heart failure and a preserved ejection fraction. *Circulation*. 2006; 114: 2138–47.
8. Phan TT, Nallur Shivu G, Abozguia K, et al. Impaired heart rate recovery and chronotropic incompetence in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2010; 3: 29–34.
9. Borlaug BA, Olson TP, Lam CS, et al. Global cardiovascular reserve dysfunction in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010; 56: 845–54.
10. Phan TT, Abozguia K, Nallur Shivu G, et al. Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction is characterized by dynamic impairment of active relaxation and contraction of the left ventricle on exercise and associated with myocardial energy deficiency. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009; 54: 402–9.
11. Brubaker PH, Joo KC, Stewart KP, et al. Chronotropic incompetence and its contribution to exercise intolerance in older heart failure patients. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil*. 2006; 26: 86–9.
12. Borlaug BA, Jaber WA, Ommen SR, et al. Diastolic relaxation and compliance reserve during dynamic exercise in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Heart*. 2011; 97: 964–9.
13. Grewal J, McCully RB, Kane GC, et al. Left ventricular function and exercise capacity. *JAMA*. 2009; 301: 286–94.
14. Paulus WJ, Tschope C, Sanderson JE, et al. How to diagnose diastolic heart failure: a consensus statement on the diagnosis of heart failure with normal left ventricular ejection fraction by the Heart Failure and Echocardiography Associations of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur Heart J*. 2007; 28: 2539–50.
15. Penicka M, Bartunek J, Trakalova H, et al. Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction in outpatients with unexplained dyspnea. A pressure-volume loop analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010; 55: 1701–10.
16. Nagueh SF, Appleton CP, Gillebert TC, et al. Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography. *Eur J Echocardiogr*. 2009; 10: 165–93.
17. Lang RM, Bierig M, Devereux RB, et al. Recommendations for chamber quantification. *Eur J Echocardiogr*. 2006; 7: 79–108.
18. Guazzi M, Adams V, Conraads V, et al. Clinical recommendations for cardiopulmonary exercise testing data assessment in specific patient populations. *Circulation*. 2012; 126: 2261–74.
19. Fletcher GF, Ades PA, Kligfield P, et al. Exercise standards for testing and training: A Scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2013; 128: 873–934.
20. Kligfield P and Lauer MS. Exercise electrocardiogram testing: Beyond the ST segment. *Circulation*. 2006; 114: 2070–82.
21. Kawasaki T, Kaimoto S, Sakatani T, et al. Chronotropic incompetence and autonomic dysfunction in patients without structural heart disease. *Europace*. 2010; 12: 561–6.
22. Wilkoff BL, Miller RE. Exercise testing for chronotropic assessment. *Cardiol Clin* 1992; 10: 705–17.
23. Astrand I. Aerobic work capacity in men and women with special reference to age. *Acta Physiol Scand Suppl*. 1960; 49: 1–92.
24. Lauer MS, Mehta R, Pashkow FJ, et al. Association of chronotropic incompetence with echocardiographic ischemia and prognosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1998; 32: 1280–6.
25. Magri D, Palermo P, Cauti FM, et al. Chronotropic incompetence and functional capacity in chronic

- heart failure: no role of beta-blockers and beta-blocker dose. *Cardiovasc Therapeutics*. 2010; 30: 100–8.
26. Witte KKA, Cleland JGF, Clark AL. Chronic heart failure, chronotropic incompetence, and the effects of β blockade. *Heart*. 2006; 92: 481–6.
 27. Jorde UP, Vittorio T, Kasper ME, et al. Chronotropic incompetence, beta-blockers, and functional capacity in advanced congestive heart failure: time to pace? *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2008; 10: 96–101.
 28. Lauer MS, Pashkow FJ, Larson MG, Levy D. Association of cigarette smoking with chronotropic incompetence and prognosis in the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 1997; 96: 897–903.
 29. Srivastava R, Blackstone EH, Lauer MS. Association of smoking with abnormal exercise heart rate responses and long-term prognosis in a healthy, populationbased cohort. *Am J Med*. 2000; 109: 20–6.
 30. Borlaug BA and Paulus WJ. Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. *Eur Heart J*. 2011; 32: 670–9.
 31. Kitzman DW, Higginbotham MB, et al. Exercise intolerance in patients with heart failure and preserved left ventricular systolic function: failure of the Frank-Starling mechanism. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1991; 17: 1065–72.

Резиме

ЕХОКАРДИОГРАФСКИ ПРЕДИКТОРИ НА ХРОНОТРОПНА ИНКОМПЕТЕНЦИЈА НА НАПОР КАЈ ПАЦИЕНТИ СО СРЦЕВА СЛАБОСТ И ЗАЧУВАНА ЕЈЕКЦИОНА ФРАКЦИЈА

Жарко Христовски¹, Даниела Пројевска-Донегати¹, Љубица Георгиевска-Исмаил²

¹ Клиничка болница „Ацибадем-Систина“, Скопје, Р. Македонија

² Универзитетска клиника за кардиологија, Универзитет „Св. Кирил и Методиј“, Скопје, Р. Македонија

Основи: Неподносливоста на оптоварување кај пациенти со срцева слабост со зачувана лево коморна ејекциона фракција (ССсЕФ) најмногу се должи на дијастолната дисфункција (ДД);

сепак, и хронотропната инкомпетенција (ХИ) би можела да има важна улога. Наша цел беше да истражиме дали постојат ехокардиографски параметри за ДД што би биле предиктивни за постоење на ХИ.

Метод и резултати: Пациенти (n = 143) со необјаслива диспнеја и/или неподносливост на оптоварување кои ги исполнија клиничките и ехокардиографските критериуми за постоење на ССсЕФ беа подложени на симптом-ограничувачки тест на оптоварување по протоколот на Вгусе. Пациентите беа поделени според присуството на ХИ, која беше дефинирана како резерва на срцева фреквенција од $\leq 80\%$ (%РСФ). Споредбата на групите со (n = 98) и без (n = 45) ХИ не покажа каква било статистички значајна разлика во однос на демографските и клиничките карактеристики освен за употребата на бета-блокатори (ББ) во терапија која беше значајно почеста (p = 0,012) кај пациентите со ХИ во однос на оние без ХИ. Пациентите со ХИ имаа повисока средна вредност на брзината на Е-бранот, Е/А односот, зголемен Е/Е' септален, латерален и просечен однос и абнормален IVRT/T_{E-c'} индекс, сите вредности што се во согласност со постоење зголемен ЛК притисок на полнење. Е/Е' просечен однос од > 15 беше статистички несигнификантно почесто присутен кај пациентите со ХИ. Натаму, со употреба на мултиваријантната постепена регресиона анализа, вредноста на Е' на ниво на септум ($\beta = 3,697$, 95%CI 0,921–6,473, p = 0,009) заедно со употребата на ББ, активното пушење и базалната срцева фреквенција се покажаа како значајни ехокардиографски независни предиктори за пониска %РСФ.

Заклучок: Пациентите со ССсЕФ имаат често ХИ за време на постепено оптоварување чиешто постоење би можело делумно да биде предвидено со ехокардиографските параметри, кои се во согласност со постоење зголемен ЛК притисок на полнење.

Клучни зборови: срцева слабост со зачувана ејекциона фракција, дијастолна дисфункција, хронотропна инкомпетенција.