Influence of viscosity and compressibility of aerated oil on determination of volumetric losses in a variable capacity piston pump

Jan Koralewski, M. Sc., Gdansk University of Technology, Poland

ABSTRACT



Modulus B of the liquid volume elasticity of non-aerated and aerated oil is defined in the paper as relation to the indicated increase of pressure in the pump working chambers, with the change of oil temperature and degree of aeration. In evaluation of the losses due to oil compressibility in a variable capacity displacement pump, the volume of compressed liquid at each pump setting is taken into account. Volumetric losses have been divided into leakage losses in the pump chambers and losses due to liquid compressibility. The need of accounting for only the leakage losses for pump evaluation is pointed out.

Keywords: hydrostatic drive, variable capacity displacement pump, liquid aeration, method of determining the degree of liquid aeration

INTRODUCTION

In references [1–3] the Author presented results of investigations of the influence of hydraulic oil viscosity on volumetric losses in a variable capacity piston pump. The tests were carried out with a HYDROMATIK A7V.58.1.R.P.F.00 type pump of bent axis design, without taking into account the hydraulic oil compressibility. The investigations were performed on a test stand in the Hydraulic and Pneumatics Laboratory of the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and the results were elaborated in the Chair of Marine Mechatronics of the Faculty of Ocean Engineering and Ship Technology of the Gdansk University of Technology.

The tests were performed with:

- 8 hydraulic oil temperatures ϑ (oil kinematic viscosity v): $\vartheta = 20^{\circ}C (v = 120.40 \text{ mm}^2\text{s}^{-1}), \vartheta = 24^{\circ}C (v = 91.16 \text{ mm}^2\text{s}^{-1}), \vartheta = 30^{\circ}C (v = 65.37 \text{ mm}^2\text{s}^{-1}), \vartheta = 36^{\circ}C (v = 47.05 \text{ mm}^2\text{s}^{-1}), \vartheta = 43^{\circ}C (v = 34.68 \text{ mm}^2\text{s}^{-1}), \vartheta = 50^{\circ}C (v = 26.41 \text{ mm}^2\text{s}^{-1}), \vartheta = 60^{\circ}C (v = 18.77 \text{ mm}^2\text{s}^{-1}), \vartheta = 68^{\circ}C (v = 14.53 \text{ mm}^2\text{s}^{-1}), \vartheta$
- 8 values of increase Δp_{p} pressure in the pump: $\Delta p_{p} = 1.6 \text{ MPa}, \Delta p_{p} = 3.2 \text{ MPa}, \Delta p_{p} = 6.3 \text{ MPa},$ $\Delta p_{p} = 10 \text{ MPa}, \Delta p_{p} = 16 \text{ MPa}, \Delta p_{p} = 20 \text{ MPa},$ $\Delta p_{p} = 25 \text{ MPa}, \Delta p_{p} = 32 \text{ MPa},$
- 7 values of pump capacity coefficient b_p : $b_p = 0.225$; $b_p = 0.361$; $b_p = 0.493$; $b_p = 0.623$; $b_p = 0.752$; $b_p = 0.880$; $b_p = 1$.

The Author presents in this paper results of the investigations of the effect of viscosity and compressibility of non-aerated and aerated oil on determination of volumetric losses in a variable capacity piston pump. The problem of effect of compressibility of the non-aerated and aerated working liquid on volumetric and mechanical losses in a variable capacity displacement pump has been undertaken by Zygmunt Paszota [4-10].

In reference [13] Z. Paszota presented his method of determining the degree of aeration of liquid flowing in a variable capacity displacement pump.

The Author is the first user of the method in his research work into the influence of liquid aeration and viscosity on mechanical and volumetric losses in the pump.

LIQUID COMPRESSIBILITY IN A VARIABLE CAPACITY PISTON PUMP

The term "compressibility" defines susceptibility of liquid to volumetric strain with changing pressure. The measure of strain is compressibility coefficient β defined as:

$$\beta = -\frac{1}{V_0} \frac{dV}{dp} \tag{1}$$

For finite increments, relations may be used of change of initial volume V_0 with increase of pressure by a value Δp :

$$\Delta V = -\beta V_0 \Delta p \tag{2}$$

The inverse of compressibility coefficient is modulus B of the liquid volume elasticity:

$$\mathbf{B} = 1/\beta \tag{3}$$

For mineral oils, modulus B depends on pressure p and temperature ϑ . These relations are illustrated in diagrams (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2).



Fig. 1. Relation of modulus K of volumetric strain K of mineral oils to pressure and viscosity [12]



Fig. 2. Relation of modulus K of volumetric strain of mineral oils to temperature and viscosity [12]

Numerical values of modulus B of the used hydraulic oils are the following [11]:

- at the normal temperature (20°C), close to B = 1500 MPa,

- B increases with the pressure (by about 1% every 2 MPa up to 20 MPa (a_p = 0.005/1 MPa)),
- B decreases when the temperature increases (about 1% every 2°C up to 100°C ($a_{\vartheta} = -0.005/1$ °C)).

In working chambers of the tested piston pump during their connection with the inlet channel was slight overpressure $p_{Pli} \approx 0.05$ MPa (i.e. absolute pressure $p_{Plia} \approx 0.15$ MPa). Let's assume that the value of modulus B of the hydraulic oil volume elasticity, at the temperature $\vartheta = 20^{\circ}$ C, equals to:

$$B_{|p_{P lia} \approx 0.15 MPa; \vartheta = 20^{\circ}C} = 1500 MPa$$
 (4)

Therefore, the dependence of modulus B of oil on the increase Δp_{Pi} of pressure in the working chambers and on the increase $\Delta \vartheta$ of oil temperature may be described by the expression:

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_{|\mathbf{p}_{P1ia} \approx 0.15 \text{MPa}; \vartheta = 20^{\circ} \text{C}} (1 + a_p \,\Delta \mathbf{p}_{Pi} + a_{\vartheta} \,\Delta \vartheta)$$
(5)

The hydraulic oil compressibility depends to a great extent on the contents of non-dissolved air. The measure of nondissolved air in oil is the oil aeration coefficient ε – ratio of the volume V_a of air to the volume V₀ = V₀ + V_a of mixture equal to the sum of oil volume V₀ and air volume V_a:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{V_a}{V_o + V_a} = \frac{V_a}{V_0} \tag{6}$$

The oil aeration coefficient ε is determined at the absolute pressure p_{P1ia} in the pump working chambers during their connection with the inlet channel.

An increase Δp_{P_i} of pressure in the pump working chambers causes a decrease of the oil and air mixture volume by the value ΔV (assuming a hypothesis of compression of air $pV_a = cte$) equal to:

$$\Delta V = \Delta V_{o} + \Delta V_{a} = \frac{V_{o}}{B} \Delta p_{Pi} + \frac{V_{a}}{p_{Plia} + \Delta p_{Pi}} \Delta p_{Pi(7)}$$

If the aeration coefficient ε is small, which is a general case, V_0 is close to V_0 . Therefore, it can, be written [11]:

$$\Delta V = V_0 \left(\frac{1}{B} + \frac{\varepsilon}{p_{P1ia} + \Delta p_{Pi}}\right) \Delta p_{Pi}$$
(8)

Modulus B' of aerated hydraulic oil volume elasticity is defined by the expression:

$$\frac{1}{B'} = \frac{1}{B} + \frac{\varepsilon}{p_{P1ia} + \Delta p_{Pi}}$$
(9)

or, in the conditions of changing the aerated oil pressure and temperature, by the expression:

$$\frac{1}{B'} = \frac{1}{B_{|p_{Plia}\approx 0.15 \text{ MPa}, \vartheta=20^{\circ}\text{C}} (1 + a_{p}\Delta p_{Pi} + a_{\vartheta}\Delta \vartheta)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{p_{Plia} + \Delta p_{Pi}}$$
(10)

Fig. 3 presents modulus B of non-aerated ($\epsilon = 0$) oil volume elasticity and modulus B of aerated ($\epsilon > 0$) oil volume elasticity as dependent on the indicated increase Δp_{Pi} of pressure in the pump working chambers with the hydraulic oil temperature limit values $\vartheta = 20^{\circ}$ C and $\vartheta = 68^{\circ}$ C assumed during the tests.

In a variable capacity pump, the initial oil volume V_0 (Fig. 4), subjected to compression in effect of increase Δp_{Pi} of pressure in the chambers, corresponding to setting q_{Pgv} of variable geometrical working capacity, is equal to:

$$V_0 = 0.5q_{\rm Pt} + 0.5q_{\rm Pgv} \tag{11}$$

When the variable (set) geometrical working capacity q_{Pgv} reaches the maximum value equal to the pump theoretical working capacity q_{Pt} ($q_{Pgv} = q_{Pt}$), the compressed oil volume V_0 has the value:

$$V_0 = 0.5q_{\rm Pt} + 0.5q_{\rm Pt} = q_{\rm Pt} \tag{12}$$

The change ΔV of liquid volume due to compression of liquid as an effect of increase Δp_{Pi} of pressure in the pump chambers (presented in Fig. 4) equals to the volumetric losses q_{Pvc} due to compression of oil during one pump shaft revolution:

5



Fig. 3. Modulus *B* of volume elasticity of non-aerated hydraulic oil ($\varepsilon = 0$) and modulus *B* of aerated oil ($\varepsilon > 0$) as relations dependent on indicated increase Δp_{Pi} of pressure in the pump working chambers, with limit values $\vartheta = 20^{\circ}C$ (continuous line) and $\vartheta = 68^{\circ}C$ (dashed line) of hydraulic oil temperature adopted during the investigations. It was assumed that modulus *B* of oil volume elasticity at absolute pressure $p_{Piw} \approx 0.15$ MPa in the pump working chambers during their connection with the inlet channel and at oil temperature $\vartheta = 20^{\circ}C$ is equal to B = 1500 MPa. Also assumed was the value of coefficient $a_p = 0.005/1$ MPa of the change of modulus *B* of oil due to increase Δp_{Pi} of pressure in the working channels and coefficient $a_{\vartheta} = -0.005/1^{\circ}C$ of the change of modulus *B* due to change of oil temperature ϑ

2



Fig. 4. Initial oil volume $(0.5q_{P_l} + 0.5q_{P_gy})$ subjected to compression in a variable capacity displacement pump in effect of increase Δp_{P_l} of pressure in the chambers, corresponding to setting $q_{P_{gy}}$ of variable geometrical working capacity

$$\Delta V = q_{Pvc} \tag{13}$$

The losses q_{Pvc} of pump capacity per one shaft revolution (Fig. 4) due to compressibility of non-aerated (or aerated) oil, occurring with setting q_{Pgv} of variable geometrical capacity, is determined (in reference to (7) and (8)) by the formula:

$$q_{Pvc} = \frac{(0.5q_{Pt} + 0.5q_{Pt})\Delta p_{Pi}}{B'}$$
(14)

and with $q_{Pgv} = q_{Pt}$ by the formula:

$$q_{Pvc} = \frac{q_{Pt} \,\Delta p_{Pi}}{B'} \tag{15}$$

after replacing 1/B' by expression (10), by the formula: $q_{\text{Dus}} = (0.5q_{\text{Dt}} + 0.5q_{\text{Dus}})$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{B_{|p_{Plia} \approx 0.15 \text{ MPa}, \vartheta = 20^{\circ}\text{C}} (1 + a_{p}\Delta p_{Pi} + a_{\vartheta}\Delta \vartheta)} + \\ + \frac{\varepsilon}{p_{Plia} + \Delta p_{Pi}} \end{bmatrix} \Delta p_{Pi}$$
(16)

and, with $q_{Pgv} = q_{Pt}$, by the formula:

$$= q_{Pt} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{B_{|p_{Plia} \approx 0.15 \text{ MPa}, \vartheta = 20^{\circ}\text{C}} (1 + a_{p} \Delta p_{Pi} + a_{\vartheta} \Delta \vartheta)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{p_{Plia} + \Delta p_{Pi}} \right] (17)$$

Fig. 5 presents an example (with assumed oil aeration coefficient $\varepsilon = 0.0135$) of calculations of the losses $q_{Pvc} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ of pump capacity per one pump shaft revolution, taking into account formula (16) for cases of variable geometrical working capacity settings q_{Pgv} and formula (17) for the maximum setting $q_{Pgv} = q_{Pt}$, i.e. pump theoretical working capacity.

 $q_{Pgv} = q_{Pt}$, i.e. pump theoretical working capacity. The change of losses q_{Pvc} of pump capacity per one shaft revolution due to the liquid compressibility, as a relation to the indicated increase Δp_{Pi} of pressure in the working chambers, presented in Fig. 5, takes into account the influence of changing volumes V₀ (Fig. 4) of liquid in working chambers subjected to compression and being an effect of operation of



Fig. 5. Losses q_{Pvc} of pump capacity during one pump shaft revolution due to compressibility of aerated ($\varepsilon = 0.0135$) liquid, decreasing the active volume of liquid displaced by the pump compared with the theoretical working capacity q_{Pr} ($b_p = 1$) or geometrical working capacity q_{Pgv} ($0 \le b_p \le 1$) (pump of HYDROMATIK A7V.DR.1.R.P.F.00 type)

a variable capacity q_{Pgv} (variable b_P coefficient) per one shaft revolution.

The losses q_{Pvc} of pump capacity per one shaft revolution due to the liquid compressibility reduces the active volume of liquid displaced by the pump compared with the theoretical working capacity q_{Pt} or geometrical variable working capacity q_{Pgv} (determined at $\Delta p_{Pi} = 0$). This fact must be taken into account in evaluation of intensity $q_{Pv} = Q_{Pv}/n_P$ of volumetric losses in working chambers and in evaluation of the increase $\Delta M_{Pm|\Delta p_{Pi}}$ of torque of mechanical losses in the "working chambers - shaft" assembly, the losses caused by the increase Δp_{Pi} of pressure in the pump working chambers.

DETERMINING THE PUMP GEOMETRICAL VARIABLE WORKING CAPACITY q_{Pgv} AND THEORETICAL WORKING CAPACITY q_{Pt}

It is essential, particularly in evaluation of operating characteristics of a displacement pump with variable capacity per one shaft revolution, to determine precisely the pump theoretical working capacity q_{Pt} and geometrical working capacities q_{Pgv} . The geometrical working capacities q_{Pgv} change in the $0 \le q_{Pgv} \le q_{Pt}$ range and the corresponding coefficients $b_P = q_{Pgv}/q_{Pt}$ of pump capacity change in the $0 \le b_P \le 1$ range. The precise evaluation of $b_P = q_{Pgv}/q_{Pt}$ coefficient depends on the precise evaluation of q_{Pgv} and q_{Pt} . The pump theoretical working capacity q_{Pt} and geometrical

The pump theoretical working capacity q_{Pt} and geometrical working capacities q_{Pgv} are evaluated at the indicated increase Δp_{Pi} of pressure in the working chambers equal zero ($\Delta p_{Pi} = 0$). Their values are determined by approximation at $\Delta p_{Pi} = 0$ point of the $q_P = Q_P/n_P = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ line describing, with the fixed pump setting (but not known exactly value of b_P coefficient), the value q_P displaced in one shaft revolution as a relation to Δp_{Pi} . The line $q_P = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ is determined by measurement points obtained from the tests.

Fig. 6 presents an example of the relation $q_P = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ of capacity q_P per one shaft revolution of the tested axial piston pump to the indicated increase Δp_{Pi} of pressure in working chambers with coefficients $b_P = 0.225$ and $b_P = 1$ of pump capacity per one shaft revolution. Therefore, these examples present searching for geometrical working capacity q_{Pgv} and theoretical working capacity q_P per one shaft revolution of the intensity q_{Pv} of volumetric losses per one shaft revolution into the volumetric losses q_{Pvl} due to oil leakage in working chambers and volumetric losses q_{Pvc} due to compressibility of non-aerated (or aerated) oil.

The losses $q_{Pvc} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ per one shaft revolution determined by formula (16), resulting from the liquid compressibility, occurring with setting q_{Pgv} of the pump variable geometrical working volume (or by formula (17) with setting q_{Pt} of the pump theoretical working volume) are added to capacity $q_P = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ per one shaft revolution determined by the line drawn through the measurement points. The result of adding $q_{Pvc} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ to $q_P = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ is the line $q_{Pwithout compressibility} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ of pump capacity as a difference between q_{Pgv} (or q_{Pt}) and the volumetric losses q_{Pvl} due to oil leakage (independent of the liquid compressibility):

$$(q_{P \text{ without compressibility}} = q_{Pvc} + q_{P}) = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$$
 (18)

 $(q_{P \text{ without compressibility}} = q_{Pgv} (or q_{Pt}) - q_{Pvl}) = f(\Delta p_{Pi}) (19)$

Approximation of the line $q_{P_{without compressibility}} = f(\Delta p_{P_i})$ with $\Delta p_{P_i} = 0$ allows to determine the value $q_{P_{gv}}$ (or q_{P_t}):

$$q_{P \text{ without compressibility}|\Delta p_{p_i} = 0} = q_{Pgv} \text{ (or } q_{Pt})$$
 (20)



Fig. 6. Dependence of pump capacity q_p per one shaft revolution on the indicated increase Δp_{Pi} of pressure in the working chambers, at the coefficients $b_p = 0.225$ and $b_p = 1$ of pump capacity; the values q_{Pgv} of geometrical working volume and q_{Pt} of theoretical working volume per one shaft revolution (determined at $\Delta p_{Pi} = 0$) and subdivision of the intensity $q_{Pv} = q_{Pvl} + q_{Pvc}$ of volumetric losses per one shaft revolution into volumetric losses q_{Pvl} due to oil leakage in the chambers and volumetric losses q_{Pvc} due to compressibility of non-aerated (or aerated) oil dependent on the value of oil aeration coefficient ε ($\varepsilon = 0$ to 0.016); viscosity coefficient $v/v_n = 1$, oil temperature $\vartheta = 43^{\circ}$ C (pump of the HYDROMATIK A7V.DR.1.R.P.F.00 type)

As shown in Fig. 6, the pump theoretical working capacity q_{Pt} , determined by approximation at point $\Delta p_{Pi} = 0$ of the line $q_P = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ obtained from tests and taking into account the liquid compressibility, as well as the line $(q_{P \text{ without compressibility}} = q_{Pvc} + q_P) = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ taking into account the compressibility of non-aerated ($\epsilon = 0$) oil has practically the same value

 $q_{Pt} = 58.9 \text{ cm}^3/\text{rev.}$ Approximation of the line $(q_{Pwithout \text{ compressibility}} = q_{Pvc} + q_P) = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ at point $\Delta p_{Pi} = 0$, made with allowing for compressibility of aerated oil, shows the increase of q_{Pt} practically proportional to oil aeration coefficient ϵ . This is clearly presented in Fig. 7. For example, with $\epsilon = 0.0135$, takes the value $q_{Pt} = 59.57 \text{ cm}^3/\text{obr.}$

8



Fig. 7. Effect of evaluation of geometrical working capacity q_{Pgv} and theoretical working capacity q_{Pl} per one pump shaft revolution resulting from assumption of aeration coefficient ε of the pump displaced oil; evaluation of qPgv and qPt (Fig. 7 and Fig. 8) is a result of approximation, at $\Delta p_{Pl} = 0$, of the relation of pump capacity q_P per one shaft revolution to the indicated increase Δp_{Pl} of pressure in the working chambers taking into account the aerated oil compressibility (at a given oil aeration coefficient ε) (pump HYDROMATIK A7V.DR.1.R.P. F.00 type)

Fig. 8a and Fig. 8b present the values of geometrical working capacity q_{Pgv} ($b_p = 0.225$) and theoretical working capacity q_{Pt} ($b_p = 1$) per one shaft revolution obtained with different values of the oil viscosity ratio v/v_n and also average values q_{Pgv} and q_{Pt} obtained with assumed. Values of the modulus of liquid volume elasticity $B = \infty$, B = 1500 MPa, with assumed values of the oil aeration coefficient $\varepsilon = 0$, $\varepsilon = 0.008$ and $\varepsilon = 0.0135$.

RESULTS OF THE VOLUMETRIC LOSS INVESTIGATIONS

Fig. 9a and 9b present the subdivision of volumetric losses $q_{Pv} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ per one shaft revolution into losses $q_{Pvc} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ due to oil compressibility and losses $q_{Pvl} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ due to oil leakage with different values of oil aeration coefficient ϵ in the pump, with geometrical working capacity q_{Pgv} and theoretical working capacity q_{Pt} per one shaft revolution. The figures show, with different values of the aeration coefficient ϵ , unchanging characteristics of the losses $q_{Pvl} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ due to oil leakage and changing characteristics of the losses $q_{Pvl} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ due to oil compressibility, and also characteristics ($q_{Pv} = q_{Pvl} + q_{Pvc}$) $= f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ of the volumetric losses $q_{Pv} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ in the pump as a sum of the losses $q_{Pvl} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ due to leakage and the losses $q_{Pvc} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ due to oil compressibility.

Fig. 10a and Fig. 10b present the dependence of volumetric losses q_{Pv} per one shaft revolution (with the assumption of B = ∞) or the dependence of volumetric losses q_{Pvl} per one shaft revolution due to oil leakage (with the assumption of B = 1500 MPa, $a_p = 0.005/1$ MPa, $a_{\theta} = -0.005/1$ °C) on the indicated increase Δp_{Pi} of pressure in the pump working chambers, with different values v/v_n of oil viscosity ratio, with coefficient $b_p = 0.225$ and $b_p = 1$ of pump capacity q_{Pgv} per one shaft revolution. With taking into account the oil compressibility, losses due to oil leakage in the pump working chambers appear evidently smaller.

Fig. 11a and Fig. 11b present the high share of volumetric losses q_{Pvc} per one shaft revolution due to compressibility of

non-aerated ($\varepsilon = 0$) and aerated ($\varepsilon = 0.0135$) oil as a component of the volumetric losses $q_{Pv} = q_{Pvl} + q_{Pvc}$ in the tested pump. With coefficient of pump capacity $b_p = 1$ and coefficient of non-aerated oil $\varepsilon = 0$, that share was in the 30 to 40 % range. With the aeration coefficient $\varepsilon = 0.0135$, the share changes from $40 \div 50 \%$ to $80 \div 90 \%$. With coefficient $b_p = 0.225$ of pump capacity, the share is a little lower but still high.

Fig. 12 presents the volumetric losses q_{Pvl} per one shaft revolution due to oil leakage as a dependence on the indicated increase Δp_{Pi} of pressure in the working chambers with different values of the pump capacity coefficient b_p and different values of oil viscosity ratio v/v_n . Decreasing oil viscosity v has a clear influence on the increase of leakage in the pump, but change of pump capacity coefficient b_p has practically no influence on leakage in the chambers.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Ability of determining the aeration of working liquid and resulting liquid compressibility makes it possible to determine the volumetric losses q_{Pv} in the pump working chambers and subdivision of the losses into losses q_{Pvl} due to leakage in the pump chambers and losses q_{Pvc} due to liquid compressibility in the chambers which are not connected with displacement pump construction.
- 2. The influence of liquid compressibility on the evaluation of volumetric losses in the pump with the oil aeration coefficient $\varepsilon = 0.0135$ was remarkable. Losses due to liquid compressibility amounted to $30 \div 90$ % of volumetric losses depending on the value of increase Δp_{Pi} of pressure in the working chambers, the oil viscosity ratio v/v_n and the pump capacity coefficient b_{P} .
- 3. Knowledge of the compressibility of non-aerated liquid makes it possible to determine the volumetric losses due to leakage in the pump working chambers.
- Volumetric losses due to leakage and volumetric losses due to liquid compressibility must be clearly separated and only the losses due to leakage should be taken into account for pump evaluation.



Fig. 8a. Determination of the pump geometrical variable working capacity q_{Pgv} ($q_{Pgv} = b_{p'}q_{Pt}$) and the value of pump capacity coefficient b_{p} from the dependence of pump capacity q_{p} per one shaft revolution on the indicated increase Δp_{pi} of pressure in the pump working chambers with different values of oil viscosity ratio v/v_{n} and average value of q_{Pgv} ; assumed values $B = \infty$ and B = 1500 MPa, assumed values $\varepsilon = 0$, $\varepsilon = 0.008$, $\varepsilon = 0.0135$, $b_{p} = 0.225$ to 0.232 (pump HYDROMATIK A7V.DR.1.R.P. F.00 type)



Fig. 8b. Determination of the pump theoretical working capacity q_{Pl} (pump capacity coefficient $b_p = 1$) from the dependence of pump capacity q_p per one shaft revolution on the indicated increase Δp_{Pl} of pressure in the pump working chambers, with different values of oil viscosity ratio v/v_n and average value of q_{Pl} ; assumed values $B = \infty$ and B = 1500 MPa, assumed values $\varepsilon = 0$, $\varepsilon = 0.008$, $\varepsilon = 0.0135$ (pump HYDROMATIK A7V.DR.1.R.P. F.00 type)



Fig. 9a. Subdivision of volumetric losses $q_{Pv} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ per one shaft revolution in the pump working chambers into losses $q_{Pvc} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ due to oil compressibility and losses $q_{Pvl} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ due to oil leakage at different values of oil aeration coefficient ε and different values of oil viscosity ratio v/v_n in the tested pump with the pump geometrical working capacity q_{Pgv} ($b_p = 0.225$) (pump HYDROMATIK A7V.DR.1.R.P.F.00 type)



Fig. 9b. Subdivision of volumetric losses $q_{Pv} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ per one shaft revolution in the pump working chambers into losses $q_{Pvc} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ due to oil compressibility and losses $q_{Pvl} = f(\Delta p_{Pi})$ due to oil leakage at different values of oil aeration coefficient ε and different values of oil viscosity ratio v/v_n in the tested pump with the pump theoretical working capacity q_{Pi} ($b_P = 1$) (pump HYDROMATIK A7V.DR.1.R.P.F.00 type)



Fig. 10a. Volumetric losses q_{Pv} per one shaft revolution (with the assumption $B = \infty$) or volumetric losses q_{Pvl} per one shaft revolution due to oil leakage (with the assumption of B = 1500 MPa, $a_p = 0.005/1$ MPa, $a_{\theta} = -0.005/1$ °C) as dependent on the indicated increase Δp_{Pi} of pressure in the pump working chambers, with different values of oil viscosity ratio v/v_{pr} with coefficient $b_p = 0.225$ of pump capacity q_{Pgv} per one shaft revolution ($b_p = q_{Pgv}/q_{Pi}$) (pump HYDROMATIK A7V.DR.1.R.P. F.00 type)



Fig. 10b. Volumetric losses q_{P_0} per one shaft revolution (with the assumption $B = \infty$) or volumetric losses q_{P_0} per one shaft revolution due to oil leakage (with the assumption of B = 1500 MPa, $a_p = 0.005/1$ MPa, $a_0 = -0.005/1$ °C) as dependent on the indicated increase Δp_{P_0} of pressure in the pump working chambers, with different values of oil viscosity ratio v/v_n , with coefficient $b_p = 1$ of pump capacity q_{P_0} per one shaft revolution ($b_p = q_{P_0}/q_{P_1}$) (pump HYDROMATIK A7V.DR.1.R.P. F.00 type)



Fig. 11a. Share of the volumetric losses q_{Pvc} per one shaft revolution due to compressibility of non-aerated ($\varepsilon = 0$) and aerated ($\varepsilon = 0.0135$) oil in the pump volumetric losses q_{Pv} , with the pump capacity coefficient $b_p = 0.225$ (pump HYDROMATIK A7V.DR.1.R.P.F.00 type)



Fig. 11b. Share of the volumetric losses q_{Pw} per one shaft revolution due to compressibility of non-aerated ($\varepsilon = 0$) and aerated ($\varepsilon = 0.0135$) oil in the pump volumetric losses q_{Pw} , with the pump capacity coefficient $b_p = 1$ (pump HYDROMATIK A7V.DR.1.R.P.F.00 type)



Fig. 12. Volumetric losses q_{Pvl} per one shaft revolution due to oil leakage as dependent on the indicated increase Δp_{Pvl} of pressure into the pump working chambers, with different values of pump capacity coefficient b_p and different values v/v_n ratio of oil viscosity; losses q_{Pvl} are practically independent of the pump capacity coefficient b_p (pump HYDROMATIK A7V.DR.1.R.P.F.00 type)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Koralewski J.: Influence of hydraulic oil viscosity on the volumetric losses in a variable capacity piston pump. Chapter in the monograph: "Research, design, production and operation of hydraulic systems" (in Polish) Adam Klich, Antoni Kozieł and Edward Palczak editors. "Cylinder" Library. "Komag" Mining Mechanisation Centre, Gliwice 2011
- Koralewski J.: Influence of hydraulic oil viscosity on the volumetric losses in a variable capacity piston pump. "Napędy i sterowanie", scientific monthly, 9 /2011
- Koralewski J.: Influence of hydraulic oil viscosity on the volumetric losses in a variable capacity piston pump. Polish Maritime Research 3, 2011, Vol. 18
- 4. Paszota Z.: Effect of the working liquid compressibility on the picture of volumetric and mechanical losses in a high pressure displacement pump used in a hydrostatic drive. Part I Energy losses in a drive system, volumetric losses in a pump//International Scientific-Technical Conference Hydraulics and Pneumatics, Wrocław, 16 – 18 maja 2012 / Ośrodek Doskonalenia Kadr SIMP - Wrocław : ODK SIMP we Wrocławiu, 2012,
- Paszota Z.: Effect of the working liquid compressibility on the picture of volumetric and mechanical losses in a high pressure displacement pump used in a hydrostatic drive. Part II Mechanical losses in a pump //International Scientific-Technical Conference Hydraulics and Pneumatics, Wrocław, 16 – 18 maja 2012 / Ośrodek Doskonalenia Kadr SIMP - Wrocław : ODK SIMP we Wrocławiu, 2012,
- Paszota Z.: Effect of the working liquid compressibility on the picture of volumetric and mechanical losses in a high pressure displacement pump used in a hydrostatic drive. Part I Energy losses in a drive system, volumetric losses in a pump// Polish Maritime Research 2/2012, Vol. 19

- Paszota Z.: Effect of the working liquid compressibility on the picture of volumetric and mechanical losses in a high pressure displacement pump used in a hydrostatic drive. Part II Mechanical losses in a pump // Polish Maritime Research 3, 2012, Vol.19
- 8. Paszota Z.: *Theoretical and mathematical models of the torque of mechanical losses in the pump used in a hydrostatic drive* (in Polish). Chapter in the monograph: "Research, design, production and operation of hydraulic systems" (in Polish) Adam Klich, Antoni Kozieł and Edward Palczak editors. "Cylinder" Library. "Komag" Mining Mechanisation Centre, Gliwice 2011
- 9. Paszota Z.: *Theoretical and mathematical models of the torque of mechanical losses in the pump used in a hydrostatic drive.* (in Polish). "Napędy i sterowanie", scientific monthly 10/2011
- 10.Paszota Z.: *Theoretical models of the torque of mechanical losses in the pump used in a hydrostatic drive.* Polish Maritime Research 4 / 2011, Vol. 18
- 11.Guillon M.: *Theory and calculation of hydraulic systems* (in Polish). Wydawnictwa Naukowo-Techniczne Warszawa 1967
- 12.Osiecki A.: *Hydrostatic drive of machine* (in Polish). Wydawnictwa Naukowo-Techniczne Warszawa 2004
- 13.Paszota Z.: *Method of determination the degree of liquid aeration in a variable capacity displacement pump.* //Polish Maritime Research 3/2013, vol.20

CONTACT WITH THE AUTHOR

Jan Koralewski, M. Sc. Faculty of Ocean Engineering and Ship Technology Gdansk University of Technology Narutowicza 11/12 80-233 Gdansk, POLAND e-mail: jkoral@pg.gda.pl