

Milena Kosiorek\*, Mirosław Wyszowski\*

# Selected properties of cobalt-contaminated soil following the application of neutralising substances

## Wybrane właściwości gleby zanieczyszczonej kobaltem po zastosowaniu substancji neutralizujących

\*Mgr inż. Milena Kosiorek, prof. dr hab. inż. Mirosław Wyszowski,  
Department of Environmental Chemistry, University of Warmia and Mazury  
in Olsztyn, Łódzki 4 Sq., 10-727 Olsztyn, e-mail: mirosław.wyszowski@uwm.edu.pl

**Keywords:** cobalt, contamination, soil properties, neutralising substances

**Słowa kluczowe:** kobalt, zanieczyszczenie, właściwości gleby, substancje neutralizujące

### Abstract

The aim of the study was to determine the influence of increasing cobalt soil contamination (0 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, 20 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, 40 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, 80 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, 160 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, 320 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) after the application of neutralising substances on selected soil properties. In the soil without an addition of neutralising substances, the highest doses of cobalt caused the pH, total exchangeable bases, cation exchange capacity and the degree of base saturation to decrease and the hydrolytic acidity of soil to increase. Among the substances used, zeolite and calcium oxide (particularly) had the most advantageous influence on the analysed soil properties. They caused the pH, total exchangeable bases and cation exchange capacity to increase and the hydrolytic acidity to decrease. Among the other substances, it was charcoal that had the greatest influence on the soil properties, but the way it influenced the total exchangeable bases, the cation exchange capacity of soil and the degree of base saturation were opposite to the way calcium oxide influenced these properties.

© IOŚ-PIB

### Streszczenie

Celem badań było określenie działania wzrastającego zanieczyszczenia gleby kobaltem (0, 20, 40, 80, 160, 320 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) po zastosowaniu substancji neutralizujących na wybrane właściwości gleby. W glebie bez dodatku substancji neutralizujących najwyższe dawki kobaltu spowodowały zmniejszenie odczynu, sumy zasad wymiennych, całkowitej pojemności sorpcyjnej i stopnia wysycenia kompleksu sorpcyjnego gleb kationami o charakterze zasadowym oraz zwiększenie kwasowości hydrolitycznej gleby. Spośród zastosowanych substancji zeolit i zwłaszcza tlenek wapnia miały najbardziej korzystny wpływ na analizowane właściwości gleby. Spowodowały one wzrost odczynu, sumy zasad wymiennych, całkowitej pojemności sorpcyjnej i zmniejszenie kwasowości hydrolitycznej. Z pozostałych substancji największy wpływ na właściwości gleby miał węgiel drzewny, ale kierunek jego oddziaływania na sumę zasad wymiennych, całkowitą pojemność sorpcyjną gleby i stopień wysycenia kompleksu sorpcyjnego gleby kationami o charakterze zasadowym był przeciwny niż tlenku wapnia.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Cobalt is one of the many trace metals occurring in the environment. Its sources naturally present in the Earth's crust include sulphide minerals, arsenide minerals and oxide minerals (of iron, nickel and copper) [Faucon *et al.* 2007]. Due to an increase in mining cobalt ore, inappropriate fertiliser use, waste water discharge and coal and motor fuel combustion processes, the amount of naturally occurring cobalt in the environment has increased [Saaltink *et al.* 2014]. The main forms of cobalt in soil are Co<sup>2+</sup> and Co<sup>3+</sup>, although it can also occur in the form of CoOH<sup>+</sup>, Co(OH)<sub>3</sub> and Co(OH)<sub>2</sub><sup>0</sup>. The organic matter content in soil has a significant influence on the soil cobalt content, as the content of this element is greatest in loam soils and alluvial soils [Faucon *et al.* 2007]. Most often, the total cobalt content in normal soils ranges from 1 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> to 40 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, and less often from 1 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> to 100 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> [Chatterjee and Chatterjee 2002]. The greatest natural cobalt content in soil may reach 500 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> of soil [Tappero *et al.* 2007]. However, the exceeding of the acceptable

cobalt content in soil, water and air may pose a potential threat to plants, animals and also humans. It can also cause many potentially deadly illnesses [Taghipour *et al.* 2011, Wendling *et al.* 2009]. Trace metals, including cobalt, play a vital role in the lives of all living organisms [Wyszowska and Wyszowski 2002, Wyszowski and Radziemska 2013, Wyszowski and Wyszowska 2009]. They are mainly responsible for biochemical processes and for increasing the activity of vitamins and hormones which influence the immune system [Moghaddas *et al.* 2013]. The incorporation of trace metals into the food chain starts from their uptake from the soil by plants with which they enter animal and human organisms [Fitamo *et al.* 2011]. However, the trace metal content in an animal or human organism depends on their availability in soil for plants. This availability is mostly influenced by the trace metal chemical properties and by soil properties [Basta *et al.* 2005, Mico *et al.* 2008, Reedy *et al.* 2011]. Cobalt contamination also causes changes in soil properties.

Table 1. Effect of cobalt on pH, hydrolytic acidity and total exchange bases in soil

| Cobalt dose in<br>mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> of soil               | Kind of substance neutralising the effect of cobalt                       |        |       |          |         |               |         |
|---|---|--------|-------|----------|---------|---------------|---------|
|   | Without<br>additions  | Manure | Loam  | Charcoal | Zeolite | Calcium oxide | Average |
| pH <sub>KCl</sub>   |   |        |       |          |         |               |         |
| 0   | 6.19  | 6.14   | 5.97  | 5.94     | 6.58    | 7.46          | —       |
| 20  | 5.83  | 6.54   | 5.89  | 5.60     | 6.65    | 7.47          | —       |
| 40  | 6.30  | 6.26   | 5.49  | 5.85     | 6.64    | 7.43          | —       |
| 80  | 6.22  | 6.35   | 5.85  | 5.68     | 6.76    | 7.54          | —       |
| 160   | 5.73  | 6.18   | 5.63  | 5.72     | 6.52    | 7.47          | —       |
| 320   | 5.38  | 6.04   | 5.51  | 6.40     | 6.33    | 7.53          | —       |
| r   | -0.83   | -0.61  | -0.64 | 0.74     | -0.81   | 0.56          | —       |
| LSD for   | Co dose — 0.05; kind of neutralising substance — 0.05; interaction — 0.12 |        |       |          |         |               |         |
| Hydrolytic acidity [mmol(+)·kg <sup>-1</sup> of soil]       |   |        |       |          |         |               |         |
| 0   | 26.78   | 29.77  | 28.98 | 28.82    | 28.98   | 15.28         | 26.43   |
| 20  | 29.93   | 26.46  | 29.61 | 28.35    | 24.41   | 12.44         | 25.20   |
| 40  | 26.62   | 31.50  | 39.06 | 27.09    | 26.93   | 13.86         | 27.51   |
| 80  | 25.52   | 26.93  | 30.56 | 33.23    | 24.10   | 16.85         | 26.20   |
| 160   | 30.87   | 28.04  | 36.07 | 33.86    | 28.35   | 20.48         | 29.61   |
| 320   | 34.97   | 30.08  | 32.76 | 27.72    | 30.08   | 21.58         | 29.53   |
| Average   | 29.11   | 28.80  | 32.84 | 29.85    | 27.14   | 16.75         | 27.41   |
| r   | 0.84  | 0.17   | 0.21  | 0.05     | 0.56    | 0.89          | 0.81    |
| LSD for   | Co dose — 0.65; kind of neutralising substance — 0.65; interaction — 1.60 |        |       |          |         |               |         |
| Total exchangeable bases [mmol(+)·kg <sup>-1</sup> of soil] |   |        |       |          |         |               |         |
| 0   | 84.26   | 63.53  | 63.63 | 84.95    | 56.86   | 110.88        | 77.35   |
| 20  | 66.05   | 73.13  | 62.53 | 62.06    | 55.23   | 119.02        | 73.00   |
| 40  | 66.78   | 66.10  | 58.75 | 54.50    | 51.45   | 106.68        | 67.38   |
| 80  | 68.36   | 64.94  | 57.54 | 30.40    | 78.86   | 104.16        | 67.38   |
| 160   | 52.34   | 60.32  | 56.44 | 15.23    | 62.21   | 89.20         | 55.96   |
| 320   | 48.46   | 58.64  | 54.44 | 14.60    | 30.24   | 119.65        | 54.34   |
| Average   | 64.37   | 64.44  | 58.89 | 43.62    | 55.81   | 108.26        | 65.90   |
| r   | -0.85   | -0.73  | -0.87 | -0.83    | -0.58   | 0.06          | -0.90   |
| LSD for   | Co dose — 1.92; kind of neutralising substance — 1.92; interaction — 4.70 |        |       |          |         |               |         |

Explanations: r — correlation coefficient, LSD — least significant differences

For this reason, studies were conducted to determine the influence of increasing cobalt soil contamination after the application of neutralising substances on selected soil properties.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out in the vegetation hall of the University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn in polyethylene pots which were filled with 9 kg of soil. Before the experiment, the soil had the following properties: pH in a 1 M KCl solution — 4.32; hydrolytic acidity (HA) —  $46.50 \text{ mmol}(+)\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ; total exchangeable bases (TEBs) —  $28.40 \text{ mmol}(+)\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ; cation exchange capacity

(CEC) —  $74.90 \text{ mmol}(+)\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ; degree of base saturation (BS) — 37.92%. The soil was contaminated with increasing doses of cobalt:  $0 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ,  $20 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ,  $40 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ,  $80 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ,  $160 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ,  $320 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ . An amount of 2% of manure, loam, charcoal and zeolite were used as neutralising substances based on the weight of the soil and calcium oxide in a dose corresponding to 1 HA. Natural zeolite with medium potassium, calcium and sodium content and very low phosphorus and magnesium content was used in the experiment. In order to secure the plants' nutritional requirements, all pots received the following additions: nitrogen — 100 mg N; phosphorus — 35 mg P; potassium — 100 mg K; magnesium — 50 mg Mg; boron — 0.33 mg B; manganese —

Table 2. Effect of cobalt on cation exchange capacity and base saturation in soil

| Cobalt dose in<br>mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> of soil               | Kind of substance neutralising the effect of cobalt                       |        |       |          |         |               |         |
|---|---|--------|-------|----------|---------|---------------|---------|
|   | Without<br>additions  | Manure | Loam  | Charcoal | Zeolite | Calcium oxide | Average |
| Cation exchange capacity [mmol(+)-kg <sup>-1</sup> of soil] |   |        |       |          |         |               |         |
| 0   | 111.04  | 93.29  | 92.61 | 113.77   | 85.84   | 126.16        | 103.78  |
| 20  | 95.97   | 99.59  | 92.14 | 90.41    | 79.64   | 131.46        | 98.20   |
| 40  | 93.40   | 97.60  | 97.81 | 81.59    | 78.38   | 120.54        | 94.89   |
| 80  | 93.87   | 91.88  | 88.10 | 63.63    | 102.95  | 121.01        | 93.57   |
| 160   | 83.21   | 88.36  | 92.51 | 49.09    | 90.56   | 109.68        | 85.57   |
| 320   | 83.42   | 88.72  | 87.20 | 42.32    | 60.32   | 141.23        | 83.87   |
| Average   | 93.49   | 93.24  | 91.73 | 73.47    | 82.95   | 125.01        | 93.31   |
| r   | -0.78   | -0.74  | -0.60 | -0.86    | -0.55   | 0.37          | -0.90   |
| LSD for   | Co dose — 1.98; kind of neutralising substance — 1.98; interaction — 4.85 |        |       |          |         |               |         |
| Base saturation [%]   |   |        |       |          |         |               |         |
| 0   | 75.89   | 68.09  | 68.71 | 74.67    | 66.24   | 87.89         | 73.58   |
| 20  | 68.82   | 73.43  | 67.86 | 68.64    | 69.35   | 90.54         | 73.11   |
| 40  | 71.50   | 67.72  | 60.06 | 66.80    | 65.64   | 88.50         | 70.04   |
| 80  | 72.82   | 70.69  | 65.32 | 47.77    | 76.59   | 86.07         | 69.88   |
| 160   | 62.90   | 68.27  | 61.01 | 31.02    | 68.70   | 81.33         | 62.20   |
| 320   | 58.09   | 66.09  | 62.43 | 34.49    | 50.13   | 84.72         | 59.33   |
| Average   | 68.34   | 69.05  | 64.23 | 53.90    | 66.11   | 86.51         | 68.02   |
| r   | -0.91   | -0.58  | -0.51 | -0.85    | -0.72   | -0.66         | -0.95   |
| LSD for   | Co dose — 1.11; kind of neutralising substance — 1.11; interaction — 2.71 |        |       |          |         |               |         |

Explanations: r — correlation coefficient, LSD — least significant differences

5 mg Mn and molybdenum — 5 mg  $\text{Mo}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  of soil. The test plant was oat (*Avena sativa* L.), Polish variety Zuch. Soil samples for laboratory analyses were taken during oat harvest, at the hard dough stage.

Before the determinations were performed, the soil was dried and sieved through a sieve with a 1 mm  $\times$  1 mm mesh. The soil pH in a 1 M KCl solution was determined potentiometrically and HA and TEB were determined with Kappen's method [Ostrowska *et al.* 1991]. The CEC was calculated with the formula  $\text{CEC} = \text{TEB} + \text{HA}$ , and BS was calculated with the formula  $\text{BS} = (\text{TEB} \cdot \text{CEC}^{-1}) \cdot 100$ . The results were statistically analysed using a two-way analysis of variance with the Statistica software package (STATSOFT, 2014).

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study found that both cobalt contamination and the selected neutralising substances had a significant influence on the tested soil properties. In the soil without added neutralising substances, the highest doses of cobalt caused the soil pH to be lowered from 6.19 to 5.38 and the HA to increase from 26.78  $\text{mmol}(+)\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  to 34.97  $\text{mmol}(+)\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  of soil (Table 1). Apart from this, cobalt contamination in the soil caused the TEBs to decrease from 84.26  $\text{mmol}(+)\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  to 48.46  $\text{mmol}(+)\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  of soil

and the sorption capacity to decrease from 111.04  $\text{mmol}(+)\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  to 83.42  $\text{mmol}(+)\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  of soil. The BS also decreased from 75.89% to 58.09%. The influence of cobalt in the soil on the decrease in the soil pH was also confirmed by Bakkaus *et al.* [2008], Lange *et al.* [2014], Pathak and Choppin [2009]. The significant influence of cobalt on the decrease of the sorption capacity of soil was also found by Reedy *et al.* [2011] and Taghipour *et al.* [2011]. A decrease of the soil pH can thus have an influence on increased cobalt uptake from the soil by plants [Fitamo *et al.* 2011].

Among the substances used, calcium oxide had the most advantageous influence on the analysed soil properties (Table 2). Compared with the soil without an added neutralising substance, it caused soil pH to increase above the value of 7.4, which, according to Wendling *et al.* [2009], significantly contributes to immobilising cobalt in soil. This was also confirmed by Li *et al.* [2009], who found that the soil with pH 4.5 contained 0.01 mg  $\text{Co}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  to 367  $\text{Co}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  of soil, while soil with pH 7.5 contained 0 mg  $\text{Co}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  to 158 mg  $\text{Co}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  of soil. The main study also found: an increase of TEBs, on average, for the series from 64.37  $\text{mmol}(+)\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  to 108.26  $\text{mmol}(+)\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  of soil, an increase in CEC from 93.49  $\text{mmol}(+)\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  to 125.01  $\text{mmol}(+)\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  of soil and an increase in BS from 68.34% to 86.51%, as well as a decrease in HA from 29.11  $\text{mmol}(+)\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  to 16.75  $\text{mmol}(+)\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  of soil. Apart from calcium oxide, a similar advantageous influence was also

found by adding zeolite to soil. However, it has to be noted that the effect of calcium oxide was much stronger than that of zeolite. Among the other substances used, charcoal had the greatest influence on soil properties, but the way it influenced TEBs (a change by -32% on average), CEC of soil (-21%) and BS (-14%) was opposite to the way that calcium oxide influenced these properties (Table 2). Adding loam to soil also caused a small increase in HA (Table 1). Kumari and Singh [2003] found a tendency of the pH value and the electrical conductivity to decrease after introducing coal ash into the soil.

## REFERENCES

- BAKKAUS E., COLLINS R. N., MOREL J. L., GOUGET B. 2008. Potential phytoavailability of anthropogenic cobalt in soils as measured by isotope dilution techniques. *Science of the Total Environment*, 406:5-115.
- BASTA N. T., RYAN J. A., CHANEY R. L. 2005. Trace element chemistry in residual-treated soil: Key concept and metal bioavailability. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 34: 49-63.
- CHATTERJEE J., CHATTERJEE C. 2002. Amelioration of phytotoxicity of cobalt by high phosphorus and its withdrawal in tomato. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 25, 12: 2731-2743.
- FAUCON M. P., SHUTCHA N. M., MEERTS P. 2007. Revisiting copper and cobalt concentrations in supposed hyperaccumulators from SC Africa: influence of washing and metal concentrations in soil. *Plant and Soil*, 301: 29-36.
- FITAMO D., LETA S., BELAY G., LEMMA B., OLSSON M. 2011. Phytoavailability of Heavy Metals and Metalloids in Soils Irrigated with Wastewater, Akaki, Ethiopia: A Greenhouse Study. *Soil and Sediment Contamination*, 20: 745-766.
- KUMARI D., SINGH B. 2003. The use of coal fly ash in sodic soil reclamation. *Land Degradation and Development*, 14: 285-299.
- LANGE B., FAUCON M. P., MEERTS P., SHUTCHA M., OLIVIER POURRET G. M.O. 2014. Prediction of the edaphic factors influence upon the copper and cobalt accumulation in two metallophytes using copper and cobalt speciation in soils. *Plant and Soil*, 379: 275-287.
- LI H. F., GRAY C., MICO C., ZHAO F. J., MCGRATH S. P. 2009. Phytotoxicity and bioavailability of cobalt to plants in a range of soils. *Chemosphere*, 75: 979-986.
- MICO C., LI H. F., ZHAO F. J., MCGRATH S. P. 2008. Use of Co speciation and soil properties to explain variation in Co toxicity to root growth of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) in different soils. *Environmental Pollution*, 156: 883-890.
- MOGHADDAS H. N., NAMAGHI H. H., GHORBANI H., DAHRAZMA B. 2013. The effects of agricultural practice and land-use on the distribution and origin of some potentially toxic metals in the soils of Golestan province, Iran. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 68: 487-497.
- OSTROWSKA A., GAWLIŃSKI S., SZCZUBAŁKA Z. 1991. Methods for analysis and evaluation of soil and plant properties. IOŚ Warszawa.
- PATHAK P. N., CHOPPIN G. R. 2009. Effects of pH, Ionic Strength, Temperature, and Complexing Anions on the Sorption Behavior of Cobalt on Hydrous Silica. *Soil and Sediment Contamination*, 18: 590-602.
- REDDY M., MOODLEY R., KINDNESS A., JONNALAGADDA S. B. 2011. Impact of soil quality on elemental uptake by, and distribution in, *Colocasia esculenta* (Amadumbe), an edible Root. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part A*, 46:247-256.
- SAALTINK R., GRIFFIOEN J., MOL G., BIRKE M. 2014. Geogenic and agricultural controls on the geochemical composition of European agricultural soils. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 14: 121-137.
- STATSOFT, INC. 2014. STATISTICA data analysis software system, version 12. [www.statsoft.com](http://www.statsoft.com).
- TAGHIPOUR M., AYOUBI S., KHADEMI H. 2011. Contribution of lithologic and anthropogenic factors to surface soil heavy metals in Western Iran Using multivariate geostatistical analyses. *Soil and Sediment Contamination*, 20: 921-937.
- TAPPERO R., PELTIER E., GRAFE M., HEIDEL K., GINDER VOGEL M., LIVI K. J. T., RIVERS M. L., MARCUS M. A., CHANEY R. L., SPARKS D. L. 2007. Hyperaccumulator *Alyssum murale* relies on different metal storage mechanism for cobalt than for nickel. *New Phytologist*, 175: 641-654.
- WENDLING L. A., KIRBY J. K., MCLAUGHLIN M. J. 2009. Aging effects on cobalt availability in soils. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 28, 8: 1609-1617.
- WYSZKOWSKA J., WYSZKOWSKI M. 2002. Effect of cadmium and magnesium on microbiological activity in soil. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 5, 11: 585-591.
- WYSZKOWSKI M., RADZIEMSKA M. 2013. Assessment of tri- and hexavalent chromium phytotoxicity on oats (*Avena sativa* L.) biomass and content of nitrogen compounds. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, 224, 1619: 1-14.
- WYSZKOWSKI M., WYSZKOWSKA J. 2009. The effect of contamination with cadmium on spring barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) and its relationship with the enzymatic activity of soil. *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, 18, 7: 1046-1053.

## 4. CONCLUSION

1. In the soil without an addition of neutralising substances, the highest doses of cobalt caused the pH, TEBs, CEC and BS to decrease and the HA of soil to increase.
2. Among the substances used, zeolite and calcium oxide (particularly) had the most advantageous influence on the analysed soil properties. They caused the pH, TEBs and CEC to increase and HA to decrease.
3. Among the other substances, it was charcoal that had the greatest influence on the soil properties, but the way it influenced TEBs, CEC of soil and BS were opposite to the way calcium oxide influenced these properties.