

Phenotypic and genotypic examination of antimicrobial resistance in thermophilic *Campylobacter* species isolated from poultry in Turkey

Mehmet Cemal Adiguzel¹, Belgi Diren Sigirci², Baran Celik², Beren Basaran Kahraman², Kemal Metiner², Serkan Ikiz², A. Funda Bagcigil², Seyyal Ak², N. Yakut Ozgur²

¹Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ataturk University, 25240 Erzurum, Turkey ²Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Istanbul University, 34320 Istanbul, Turkey mcemal.adiguzel@atauni.edu.tr

Received: July 28, 2018 Accepted: November 28, 2018

Abstract

Introduction: The study aimed to isolate thermophilic *Campylobacter* from chickens raised three rearing methods, determine its antimicrobial susceptibilities, and examine resistance-related genes by PCR. **Material and Methods:** Cloacal swabs or intestinal contents were taken in Istanbul, Sakarya, and Izmir provinces. Chickens were from small village-based family-run businesses (n = 70), organically raised (n = 71), and conventionally raised broilers (n = 79). The samples were cultured on modified charcoal cefoperazone desoxycholate (mCCD) agar. Suspect isolates were identified with multiplex PCR (mPCR). As per EUCAST standards, MIC values were derived by broth microdilution for tetracycline, ciprofloxacin, nalidixic acid, kanamycin, gentamicin, and erythromycin in isolates of *C. jejuni* (n = 98) and *C. coli* (n = 83). **Results:** In *C. jejuni*, 78.6% tetracycline, 87.8% ciprofloxacin, and 81.6% nalidixic acid resistance was detected, but none was to kanamycin, gentamicin, or erythromycin. In *C. coli*, 98.8% ciprofloxacin and 63.9% nalidixic acid resistance was detected, whereas resistance to non-quinolones was not observed. C257T (Thr-86-Ile) mutation in the *gyrA* gene of all phenotypically quinolone-resistant isolates was detected through a mismatch amplification mutation assay PCR (MAMA-PCR). It emerged that all isolates bore the *tet* (O) resistance gene. **Conclusion:** Common tetracycline, nalidixic acid, and ciprofloxacin resistance exists in *Campylobacter* isolated from chickens raised three rearing methods.

Keywords: chicken, antimicrobial resistance, PCR, stools, thermophilic Campylobacter.

Introduction

Thermophilic Campylobacter species, including Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli, are among the most common bacterial gastroenteritis agents in both developed and developing countries (29). Thermophilic Campylobacter species are flora bacteria in chicken and poultry intestines, these being the main reservoir for this pathogen. Infection in humans occurs as a result of intake of water and food contaminated with Campylobacter by the digestive system. Poultry-based foods play a particularly important role in the spread of the disease (29). Thermophilic Campylobacter infections are also

closely related to Guillain-Barré syndrome, a neurological disorder (10, 25, 29).

Macrolide, aminoglycoside, tetracycline, and especially fluoroquinolone antibiotics are frequently used for campylobacteriosis treatment in human medicine. These groups of antibiotics are also widely used in veterinary medicine. Unconscious and unnecessary use of them causes resistant strains infecting people through contaminated nutrients (5, 9, 29).

In Turkey and in other countries, there have been many studies with the purpose of determining the prevalence of antimicrobial-resistant *Campylobacter* species (1, 4, 5, 6, 11, 16, 17). In Turkey, where poultry

production represents an important sector of animal husbandry and significant supplier to poultry consumption, but also outside the country, it is important to reveal the current state of antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter* isolated from poultry. In this study, we aimed to examine thermophilic *Campylobacter* isolated from chickens raised in different ways and specifically to examine possible phenotypic and genotypic resistance of the isolates to tetracycline, ciprofloxacin, nalidixic acid, kanamycin, gentamicin, and erythromycin.

Material and Methods

Sample collection. Between October 2014 and May 2015, a total of 220 cloacal swabs or intestinal contents were taken from chickens in Istanbul, Sakarya, and Izmir provinces. These were chickens typical of

those raised in villages by small family-run businesses (n = 70), organically reared animals (n = 71), and conventionally reared broilers (n = 79) (Table 1). The samples were delivered to the laboratory as soon as possible in cold containers and examined bacteriologically without any delay.

Isolation. Stool swabs taken directly and in a sufficient amount to represent the intestinal contents comprehensively were planted onto the Campylobacter selective supplement modified charcoal cefoperazone desoxycholate (mCCD) agar surface. This microaerobic medium was incubated for 24–48 h at 42°C and the colonies were first evaluated in terms of colony morphology and colour. The Gram characteristics of the colonies were determined, and suspicious colonies were purified by passage through blood agar. Catalase positive/negative, oxidase-positive isolates were examined for their motile ability, and those which were mobile were considered suspicious (7).

Table 1. Samples and their origin

Source		- Aim to raise	Rearing method	Use of antibiotics
Province/District	Number of samples	Ann to faise	Rearing method	Ose of antibioties
Izmir	1–71	Broiler	Organic	Unused
Sakarya	72-150	Broiler	Conventional	Unknown
Istanbul				
Catalca-1	151-166	Layer hen	Village	Unknown
Catalca-2	167-175	Layer hen	Village	Unknown
Arnavutkoy-1	176-193	Layer hen	Village	Unknown
Arnavutkoy-2	194-206	Layer hen	Village	Unknown
Avcilar	207-220	Layer hen	Village	Unknown

Table 2. Primers and amplicon lengths used in the study

Target gene		Amplified gene	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Size (bp)	References
- 4	Campylobacter spp. (16S rRNA)	MD16S1 MD16S2	ATCTAATGGCTTAACCATTAAAC GGACGGTAACTAGTTTAGTATT	857 589	(26)
mPCR	C. jejuni (mapA)	MDmapA1 MDmapA2	CTATTTTATTTTTGAGTGCTTGTG GCTTTATTTGCCATTTGTTTTATTA		
	C. coli (ceuE)	COL3 MDCOL2	AATTGAAAATTGCTCCAACTATG TGATTTTATTATTTGTAGCAGCG	462	
		CampyMAMAgryA-F CampyMAMAgyrA-R	TTTTTAGCAAAGATTCTGAT CAAAGCATCATAAACTGCAA	265	40.00
MAMA-PCR	Quinolone resistance gene	CampyMAMAgryA1-F GZgyrA4	TTTTTAGCAAAGATTCTGAT CAGTATAACGCATCGCAGCG	368	(18, 35)
1AM	Quinolone resistance gene	GZgyrACcoli3F-F CampyMAMAgyrA8-R	TATGAGCGTTATTATCGGTC TAAGGCATCGTAAACAGCCA	192	(18, 34)
2		GZgyrACcoli3F-F GZgyrACcoli4R-R	TATGAGCGTTATTATCGGTC GTCCATCTACAAGCTCGTTA	505	
		23S rRNA-F 23S rRNA-R	TTAGCTAATGTTGCCCGTACCG AGCCAACCTTTGTAAGCCTCCG	697	
Erythromycin resistance gene		ERY2075-R	TAGTAAAGGTCCACGGGGTCGC	485	(3)
		ERY2074-R	AGTAAAGGTCCACGGGGTCTGG	485	
Kanam	ycin resistance gene	aphA-3 F aphA-3 R	GGGACCACCTATGATGTGGAACG CAGGCTTGATCCCCAGTAAGTC	600	(15)
Tetracycline resistance gene		tetO F tetO R	GGCGTTTTGTTTATGTGCG ATGGACAACCCGACAGAAGC	559	(22)
		cmeA- F cmeA- R	TAGCGGCGTAATAGTAAATAAAC ATAAAGAAATCTGCGTAAATAGGA	435	
CmeABC efflux system		cmeB- F cmeB- R	AGGCGGTTTTGAAATGTATGTT TGTGCCGCTGGGAAAAG	444	(27)
		cmeC- F cmeC- R	CAAGTTGGCGCTGTAGGTGAA CCCCAATGAAAAATAGGCAGAGTA	431	

The identification of isolates for *Campylobacter* spp. (16S rRNA; 23), C. jejuni (mapA gene), and C. coli (ceuE gene) was performed by multiplex mPCR (Table 2, 26). To extract genomic DNA, a loopful of bacterial colonies harvested from agar plates was suspended in 0.5 mL of sterile water, heated at 95°C for 10 min, and centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C (20). Amplification of the chromosomal region was performed with a PCR mixture which contained 5 μL of 10× PCR buffer, 1.5 mmol of MgCl₂, 2 μL of dNTP mix (2.5 mM each of deoxynucleoside triphosphate), 1 µL of forward and reverse primers (2.5 pmol/μL MD16S1/S2, 10 pmol/μL MDmapA1/A2, and 10 pmol/µL COL3/MDCOL2), 0.2 µL of Taq polymerase (5 U/μL, Takara Bio Inc, Japan), 5 μL of target DNA, and up to 50 µL of distilled water. Amplification was performed in a Maxygene thermal cycler (Axygen, USA) with 35 cycles of 95°C for 60 s as initial denaturation, 95°C for 15 s as denaturation, 59°C for 60 s as the annealing step, 72°C for 90 s as extension, and 3 min as the final extension step at 72°C. The products obtained after PCR were subjected to electrophoresis at 200 V in 1% agarose gel for 30 min and were stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 µg/mL) (26). C. jejuni ATCC 33560 and C. coli ATCC 33559 were used as positive control strains.

Antimicrobial susceptibility test. Phenotypic antimicrobial resistance evaluation of the isolates to ciprofloxacin, erythromycin, gentamycin, kanamycin, nalidixic acid, and tetracycline was performed with the broth microdilution method (12). Cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth (CAMHB, Oxoid, UK) enriched with 5% haemolysed defibrinated horse blood and containing 20 mg/L of β-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (β-NAD) was used in order to determine minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). Antibiotics diluted in appropriate concentrations were distributed to 50 µL microplates. A bacterial suspension was prepared at a density of 0.5 McFarland with 24 h bacterial culture in tryptic soy broth (TSB), diluted to 1:100 with TSB, and was distributed to all wells in 50 µL volumes. In this way, the liquid in each well totalled 100 µL. The last well containing the suspension of media and bacteria was evaluated as a negative control. The microplate was capped and allowed to incubate for 24 h at 42°C. At the end of the

incubation, the lowest antimicrobial concentration without bacterial growth was recorded as the MIC value. To check the accuracy of the assay, 10 µL of negative control suspension was spread over the blood agar surface and was incubated. At the end of the incubation, 20–80 colonies demonstrated the accuracy of the test. The *C. jejuni* ATCC 33560 strain was tested as a quality control. Microplates were incubated at 42°C for 24 h in microaerobic (5% CO₂) conditions. Thermophilic *Campylobacter* isolates resistant to three or more antimicrobial classes were defined as multidrug resistance isolates (12, 19).

Determination of antimicrobial resistance genes. The isolates phenotypically determined as resistant were examined by PCR in the broad sense of antimicrobial resistance (3, 15, 22, 27, 34, 35). A total of 25 μL of PCR mixture contained 2.5 μL of $10 \times PCR$ buffer, 1.5 μL of MgCl₂ (25 mM), 1.25 μL of dNTP (2 mM), 0.25 μL of each primer (1.0 mg/mL), 0.2 μL of Taq polymerase (5 U/μL, Takara Bio Inc, Japan), and 1 μL of target DNA. The amplified PCR products were viewed on 1.5% agarose gel. The primer sequences and amplification conditions used in the study are demonstrated in Table 2.

Statistical analysis. The SPSS package programme (IBM, USA) was used for the statistical analysis. Pearson's Chi-squared (x^2) test was used for comparisons, and P values <0.05 were considered significant (28).

Results

In total 181 (82.3%) *Campylobacter* spp. were isolated from cloacal swabs and intestinal contents. The distribution of isolates according to breeding types is shown in Table 3. *C. coli* was isolated in all samples from conventional breeding, while *C. jejuni* was isolated only in village-reared chickens and organically reared broilers.

While the isolates from organic broilers and village chickens were resistant to ciprofloxacin, nalidixic acid, and tetracycline, the isolates of conventional broilers were found to be resistant to ciprofloxacin and nalidixic acid (Table 4). No isolate was determined as multiple antibiotic resistant.

Table 3.	The proportion	of thermophilic	Campylobacter	strains isolated	from cloacal	and intestinal s	wab samples
----------	----------------	-----------------	---------------	------------------	--------------	------------------	-------------

Rearing method	Sample number	Campylobacter spp. (%)	C. jejuni (%)	C. coli (%)
Village	70	36 (51.4) [†]	34 (48.6)‡	2 (2.9)‡
Organic	71	66 (93.0)‡	64 (90.1)§	2 (2.8)‡
Conventional	79	79 (100.0)§	-	79 (100.0)§
Total (%)	220	181 (82.3)	98 (44.6)	83 (37.7)

^{†. ‡. §} The statistical difference between the ratios with different symbols in the same column is significant (P < 0.05)

Table 4. Resistance rates of thermophilic Campylobacter isolates according to the method of raising

Isolate	Antibiotic	Resistance status	R	Chi-squared		
			Village-raised (n = 34)	Organic (n = 64)	Conventional (n = 0)	(P value)
C. jejuni	Tetracycline	Resistant Non-resistant	13 (38.2) [†] 21 (61.8)	64 (100.0) [‡] 0 (0.0)	-	50,310 (<0.001)
	Ciprofloxacin	Resistant Non-resistant	22 (64.7) [†] 12 (35.3)	64 (100.0) [‡] 0 (0.0)	-	(<0.001)*
	Nalidixic acid	Resistant Non-resistant	17 (50.0) [†] 17 (50.0)	63 (98.4)‡	-	34,744 (<0.001)
	Kanamycin Gentamycin	Resistant Resistant	-	1 (1.6)	-	-
	Erythromycin	Resistant	-	-	-	-
Isolate	Antibiotics	Resistance status	Village-raised (n = 2)	Organic (n = 2)	Conventional (n = 79)	Chi-Squared (P value)
C. coli	Tetracycline	Resistant	-	-	-	-
	Ciprofloxacin	Resistant Non-resistant	$2(100.0)^{\dagger}$ 0(0.0)	1 (50.0)§ 1 (50.0)	79 (100.0) [‡] 0 (0.0)	(0.048)**
	Nalidixic acid	Resistant Non-resistant	2 (100.0) [†] 0 (0.0)	-	51 (64.6) [†] 28 (35.4)	$(0.542)^*$
	Kanamycin	Resistant	-	-	- ` ´	-
	Gentamycin	Resistant	-	-	_	-
	Erythromycin	Resistant	-	-	-	-
Total			36	66	79	

^{†,‡,§} The statistical difference between the ratios bearing different symbols on the same line is significant

It was revealed by the PCR that all isolates that were phenotypically resistant to ciprofloxacin (86 *C. jejuni* and 82 *C. coli*) contained point mutations in the *gyrA* gene of Thr-86-Ile of the DNA gyrase enzyme. The chain reaction also showed that all isolates that were phenotypically tetracycline-resistant (77 *C. jejuni*) contained the *tet* (O) gene involved in the synthesis of the ribosomal protective protein.

Discussion

This study clearly demonstrates that thermophilic *Campylobacter* species are commonly seen in chickens raised by three different methods. The antimicrobial resistance rate differs according to the chicken rearing method and this difference stands out in conventional broiler isolates. Isolates with multiple antimicrobial resistance were not detected in this study.

While both *Campylobacter* species (*C. jejuni* and *C. coli*) were isolated from organic broilers and village chickens, only *C. coli* was isolated from conventionally reared broilers (P = 0.048). *C. jejuni* was the most dominant microorganism isolated from both organic broilers and village chickens. This finding is similar to those of previous studies (5, 33). The isolation of only *C. coli* from the samples from conventionally reared chickens was determined to be derogative finding. There are, however, studies indicating that *C. coli* is isolated as the dominant species in commercial ducks and organic and free-range chickens (24, 29). It was thought that the possibility of isolating *C. coli* from

conventionally reared broilers may depend on the hygiene of the poultry and shelter, the type of breeding of the animals, the season, and the drugs used.

The quinolone group antibiotics were used as feed additives in previous years (30). El-Adawy et al. (9) reported resistance to nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin in organically grown turkeys. It has been shown that quinolone-resistant Campylobacter strains in the environment could be identified on a 30-metre wind-exposed field and that quinolone-resistant Campylobacter strains could also contain quinolonesensitive strains even in the absence of antimicrobial use. This finding is consistent with other studies showing that some quinolone-resistant strains can survive on farms for several rotations (24). The detection of nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin resistance from organic farming isolates in this study was found to be statistically significant (P < 0.001). It was thought that the detection of this resistance could be a legacy effect of the production by the farms where the samples were collected of reared broilers in previous years. While the use of quinolone antibiotics in village-raised chickens and floor-reared broilers is unknown, high resistance to nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin is detected. Alfredson and Korolik (2) studied poultry coops and reported that the quinolones used in the treatment of infections led to the development of ciprofloxacin-resistant Campylobacter by their entering the food chain as a result of selective effect. These authors stated that a large number of resistant clones had been selectively transferred as a result of quinolone treatment. It has been shown in previous studies that

^{*} Fisher's exact test value was applied because cells have expected count less than 5

^{**} Fisher's exact test for a 2×3 contingency table was applied

the use of quinolone antibiotics as feed additive increases the incidence of quinolone resistance in thermophilic *Campylobacter* isolates in Turkey (1, 4, 6, 30, 32). The high quinolone resistance in this study is compatible with the findings of researchers both in Turkey and in other countries.

C257T (Thr-86-Ile) mutation has been nominated as the main resistance mechanism in quinolone resistance (34, 35). While Aslantaş (4) discovered the same mutation on all his isolates, Kurekci and Onen (21) reported Ala40Ser mutation in addition to this mutation. In this study, the statistical significance of the C257T (Thr-86-Ile) mutation in the gyrA gene was found to be significant for all isolates resistant to ciprofloxacin (P < 0.001). This finding is similar to other studies showing the significance of an increase in resistance (8, 9, 18). In this study, tetracycline resistance was detected in C. jejuni strains isolated from organic broiler production (P < 0.001), and all these isolates carried the tet (O) gene, which was compatible with the results of Hungaro et al. (18). High tetracycline resistance in thermophilic Campylobacter strains isolated from the organic production type has also been reported in another study (24). Since antibiotics of the tetracycline group have been used as feed additives for both treatment and protection in farms and poultry for a long time (14), resistant strains could have been transmitted over the years and can be found extensively in animal housing structures regardless of the rearing method. This finding revealed that antibiotic use was not the only reason for the development of resistant bacteria.

No isolates from any of the three different production types showed resistance to kanamycin, gentamicin, or erythromycin in the study. It seems that there is low resistance against these antibiotics, as demonstrated in other studies in Turkey (1, 6, 17). According to an erythromycin resistance report concerning with European countries; it was reported to be 0% in Lithuania, Austria, and Denmark, 2% in Germany, 7% in Portugal, and 8% in Belgium (13). It was posited that the low resistance to these antibiotics was due to their rare use in prophylaxis and therapy in the poultry industry.

In this study, isolates carrying multiple antibiotic resistance were not detected. Elsewhere, multiple-antibiotic-resistant isolates have been reported in some studies (1, 4, 31). The difference between reported rates could depend on the antibiotic test sensitivity of methods used by researchers, the number of antimicrobial agents, and the method of sampling.

The literature reveals that the antimicrobial resistant *Campylobacter* isolates can be transmitted and are long-lasting. It also brings to light that antibiotic use is not the only reason for the development of resistant bacteria and reveals the problem of removing resistant isolates from farms. The rate of antimicrobial-resistant thermophilic *Campylobacter* increases every year. Particularly, against the antibiotics such as the

quinolone group which are no longer used as feed additives, the resistance is bordering on becoming permanent. Widespread resistance to quinolone and tetracycline, determined even in chickens which had no history of antibiotic use, compels us to apply these antimicrobials nationally only in a controlled and conscious manner.

Conflict of Interests Statement: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

Financial Disclosure Statement: This project was supported by the Scientific Research Projects Coordination Unit of Istanbul University (Project No. 49744).

Animal Rights Statement: The research was approved by the Local Ethics Committee for Animal Experimentation of the Istanbul University, Turkey.

Acknowledgments: Examination of the quinolone resistance gene section is summarised in the first author's PhD dissertation. We would like to thank Dr. Orhan Sahin for kindly providing positive controls and Dr. Kamber Kasali for helping with this research investigation. Also thanks TUBITAK 2211-C PhD Scholarship Program for their support.

References

- Abay S., Kayman T., Otlu B., Hizlisoy H., Aydin F., Ertas N.: Genetic diversity and antibiotic resistance profiles of Campylobacter jejuni isolates from poultry and humans in Turkey. Int J Food Microbiol 2014, 178, 29–38.
- Alfredson D.A., Korolik V.: Antibiotic resistance and resistance mechanisms in *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2007, 277, 123–132.
- Alonso R., Mateo E., Churruca E., Martinez I., Girbau C., Fernández-Astorga A.: MAMA-PCR assay for the detection of point mutations associated with high-level erythromycin resistance in *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* strains. J Microbiol Methods 2005, 63, 99–103.
- Aslantaş Ö.: Genotypic, antimicrobial resistance and virulence profiles of thermophilic *Campylobacter* isolates in broilers. Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg 2017, 23, 547–554.
- Chen X., Naren G.W., Wu C.M., Wang Y., Dai L., Xia L.N., Luo P.J., Zhang Q., Shen J.Z.: Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter* isolates in broilers from China. Vet Microbiol 2010, 144, 133–139.
- Cokal Y., Caner V., Sen A., Cetin C., Karagenc N.: *Campylobacter* spp. and their antimicrobial resistance patterns in poultry: An epidemiological survey study in Turkey. Zoonoses Public Health 2009, 56, 105–110.
- Corry J.E.L., Atabay H.I.: Handbook of culture media for food and water microbiology. In: Culture Media for the Isolation of Campylobacters, Helicobacters, and Arcobacters, edited by Curtis G.D.W. and Baird R.M., Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 2012, pp. 403–435.
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA).: Trends and sources of zoonoses and zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2011. EFSA J 2013, 11, 1–250.
- 9. El-Adawy H., Hotzel H., Düpre S., Tomaso H., Neubauer H., Hafez H.M.: Determination of antimicrobial sensitivities of

- Campylobacter jejuni isolated from commercial turkey farms in Germany. Avian Dis 2012, 56, 685–692.
- El-Adawy H., Ahmed M.F., Hotzel H., Tomaso H., Tenhagen B.A., Hartung J., Neubauer H., Hafez H.M.: Antimicrobial susceptibilities of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* recovered from organic turkey farms in Germany. Poult Sci 2015, 94, 2831–2837.
- Englen M.D., Hill A.E., Dargatz D.A., Ladely S.R., Fedorka-Cray P.J.: Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter* in US dairy cattle. J Appl Microbiol 2007, 102, 1570–1577.
- Ertaş H., Çetinkaya B., Muz A., Öngör H.: Identification of chicken originated *Campylobacter coli* and *Campylobacter jejuni* by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Turk J Vet Anim Sci 2014, 26, 1447–1452.
- European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST).: Preparation of nutrients for determination of MIC values by disk diffusion test and liquid microdilution method. EUCAST 2014, 4, 1–5.
- Fallon R., O'Sullivan N., Maher M., Carroll C.: Antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolates from broiler chickens isolated at an Irish poultry processing plant. Lett Appl Microbiol 2003, 36, 277–281.
- Gibreel A., Sköld O., Taylor D.E.: Characterization of plasmidmediated *aphA*-3 kanamycin resistance in *Campylobacter jejuni*. Microb Drug Resist 2004, 10, 98–105.
- Hariharan H., Sharma S., Chikweto A., Matthew V., DeAllie C.: Antimicrobial drug resistance as determined by the E-test in Campylobacter jejuni, C. coli, and C. lari isolates from the ceca of broiler and layer chickens in Grenada. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 2009, 32, 21–28.
- 17. Hizlisoy H., Kilic H.: Broiler Karkaslarından İzole Edilen Campylobacter jejuni İzolatlarının Makrolid, Kinolon ve Tetrasiklin Grubu Antibiyotiklere Karşı Direnç Durumu. (The resistance to macrolide, quinolone, and tetracycline group antibiotics of campylobacter jejuni isolates isolated from broiler carcasses) Erciyes Üniv Vet Fak Derg 2015, 12, 81–92.
- Hungaro H.M., Mendonça R.C.S., Rosa V.O., Badaró A.C.L., Moreira M.A.S., Chaves J.B.P.: Low contamination of *Campylobacter* spp. on chicken carcasses in Minas Gerais state, Brazil: molecular characterization and antimicrobial resistance. Food Control 2015, 51, 15–22.
- International Organization for Standardization (ISO). Clinical laboratory testing and *in vitro* diagnostic test systemssusceptibility testing of infectious agents and evaluation of performance of antimicrobial susceptibility test devices- Part 1. ISO 2006, 20776, 1–19.
- Josefsen M.H., Lübeck P.S., Hansen F., Hoorfar J.: Towards an international standard for PCR-based detection of foodborne thermotolerant *Campylobacters*: interaction of enrichment media and pre-PCR treatment on carcass rinse samples. J Microbiol Methods 2004, 58, 39–48.
- 21. Kurinčič M., Klančnik A., Smole Možina S.: Effects of efflux pump inhibitors on erythromycin, ciprofloxacin, and tetracycline

- resistance in *Campylobacter* spp. isolates. Microb Drug Resist 2012, 18, 492–501.
- Kurekci C., Önen S.P.: Characteristics of ciprofloxacin resistant *Campylobacter* spp. isolated from chicken meat in Turkey. J Food Meas Charact 2017, 11, 586–591.
- Linton D., Owen R.J., Stanley J.: Rapid identification by PCR of the genus *Campylobacter* and of five *Campylobacter* species enteropathogenic for man and animals. Res Microbiol 1996, 147, 707–718.
- 24. Luangtongkum T., Morishita T.Y., Ison A.J., Huang S., McDermott P.F., Zhang Q.: Effect of conventional and organic production practices on the prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter* spp. in poultry. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006, 72, 3600–3607.
- Maćkiw E., Korsak D., Rzewuska K., Tomczuk K., Rożynek E.: Antibiotic resistance in *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolated from food in Poland. Food Control 2012, 23, 297–301.
- Müller W., Böhland C., Methner U.: Detection and genotypic differentiation of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* strains from laying hens by multiplex PCR and fla-typing. Res Vet Sci 2011, 91, 48–52.
- Olah P.A., Doetkott C., Fakhr M.K., Logue C.M.: Prevalence of the *Campylobacter* multi-drug efflux pump (CmeABC) in *Campylobacter* spp. isolated from freshly processed turkeys. Food Microbiol 2006, 23, 453–460.
- Ozdamar, K.: Biostatistics with SPSS. Kaan Bookstore, Eskisehir, 1999.
- Sahin O., Kassem I.I., Shen Z., Lin J., Rajashekara G., Zhang Q.: *Campylobacter* in poultry: ecology and potential interventions. Avian Dis 2015, 59, 185–200.
- Savaşan S., Çiftçi A., Diker K.S.: Emergence of quinolone resistance among chicken isolates of *Campylobacter* in Turkey. Turk J Vet Anim Sci 2004, 28, 391–397.
- Shin E., Lee Y.: Antimicrobial resistance of 114 porcine isolates of *Campylobacter coli*. Int J Food Microbiol 2007, 118, 223–227
- 32. Yildirim M., Istanbulluoğlu E., Ayvali B.: Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility of thermophilic *Campylobacter* species in broiler chickens. Turk J Vet Anim Sci 2005, 29, 655–660.
- Zhao S., Young S.R., Tong E., Abbott J.W., Womack N., Friedman S.L., McDermott P.F.: Antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter* isolates from retail meat in the United States between 2002 and 2007. Appl Environ Microbiol 2010, 76, 7949–7956.
- 34. Zirnstein G., Helsel L., Li Y., Swaminathan B., Besser J.: Characterization of *gyrA* mutations associated with fluoroquinolone resistance in *Campylobacter coli* by DNA sequence analysis and MAMA PCR. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2000, 100, 17
- Zirnstein G., Li Y., Swaminathan B., Angulo F.: Ciprofloxacin resistance in *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates: detection of *gyrA* resistance mutations by mismatch amplification mutation assay PCR and DNA sequence analysis. J Clin Microbiol 1999, 37, 3276–3280.