

Trauma-induced Skin Lesions in Newborns – an Overlooked Problem

Anca Chiriac^{1,2,3}, Piotr Brzezinski⁴, Meda Bradeanu⁵, Adrian Năznean⁶, Cristian Podoleanu⁷, Simona Stolnicu⁸

¹ Apollonia University, Iași, Romania

² Nicolina Medical Center, Iași, Romania

³ “P. Poni” Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry, Iași, Romania

⁴ Dermatological Clinic, 6th Military Support Unit, Ustka, Poland

⁵ Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital “Elena Doamna”, Department of Neonatology, Iași, Romania

⁶ Department of Foreign Languages, University of Medicine, Pharmacy, Sciences and Technology, Tîrgu Mureș, Romania

⁷ Department of Cardiology, University of Medicine, Pharmacy, Sciences and Technology, Tîrgu Mureș, Romania

⁸ Department of Pathology, University of Medicine, Pharmacy, Sciences and Technology, Tîrgu Mureș, Romania

CORRESPONDENCE

Adrian Năznean

Str. Gheorghe Marinescu nr. 38
540139 Tîrgu Mureș, Romania
Tel: +40 265 215 551
E-mail: adinaznean@yahoo.com

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: October 14, 2018
Accepted: November 10, 2018

Anca Chiriac • Str. Hatman Șendrea nr. 2, 700613 Iași, Romania. Tel: +40 332 808 703

Piotr Brzezinski • Department of Dermatology, 6th Military Support Unit, os. Ledowo 1N, 76-270 Ustka, Poland. Tel: +48 692 121 516

Meda Bradeanu • Str. Elena Doamna nr. 49, 700398 Iași, Romania. Tel: +40 232 210 390

Cristian Podoleanu • Str. Gheorghe Marinescu nr. 38, 540139 Tîrgu Mureș, Romania. Tel: +40 0265 215 551

Simona Stolnicu • Str. Gheorghe Marinescu nr. 38, 540139 Tîrgu Mureș, Romania. Tel: +40 0265 215 551

ABSTRACT

Newborns are more likely to develop bruises due to mechanical trauma during birth. Establishing the correct diagnosis in newborns presenting with different skin lesions is not an easy task, and besides the well-known pathology, one must not forget simple posttraumatic injuries. We present three cases that raised questions before establishing that the lesions had been induced by simple mechanical trauma during birth. Trauma-induced skin lesions in newborns may represent an overlooked problem. The three cases presented here are meant to draw attention to the possibility of trauma-induced lesions in newborns, which require only close follow-up and surveillance instead of exhaustive clinical and laboratory investigations, which are inevitably accompanied by anxiety.

Keywords: skin lesions, trauma, newborns

INTRODUCTION

Establishing the correct diagnosis in newborns presenting with different skin lesions is not an easy task, and, besides well-known conditions, one must not forget simple posttraumatic injuries.¹ Newborns are highly likely to develop bruises due to mechanical trauma during the birth process.²

We present three cases that raised questions before establishing that the lesions had been induced by simple mechanical trauma during birth.

CASE 1

A 24-hour-old male infant was seen in the Neonatology Department for two lesions on the right upper limb. He was born on term, from a non-consanguineous



FIGURE 1. **A** – Two bluish plaques on the right shoulder and arm (case 1); **B** – Small blister on the dorsa of the left hand (case 2); **C, D** – The aspect of the lesion on day 4 and the same lesion on day 10 (case 3)

marriage, mother 29 years old, primigravida, with a normal course of pregnancy, but with premature rupture of membrane that forced a cesarean birth. The newborn was delivered with an Apgar score of 7, fetal sufferance, and a weight of 3200 g.

On dermatological examination, two small areas of discoloration, similar to bruises, were noted on the external side of the right upper limb, not well-delineated, not infiltrated. One of the macular areas was centered by a linear excoriation, more pigmented than the rest of the plaque. Nothing else was mentioned as pathological (Figure 1A).

Many diagnoses were taken into consideration, but the disappearance of the lesions in 10 days as a result of emollient application proved the traumatic origin of the injuries.

CASE 2

A female newborn was seen immediately after birth for a ruptured vesicle on the dorsa of the left hand. Dermatological examination was requested in the Emergency Depart-

ment for a suspicion of epidermolysis bullosa presumed by the neonatologist (Figure 1B). Apart from a small sanguine blister, the clinical examination failed to highlight other skin problems. All regular laboratory investigations were within normal limits, swab culture from the fluid extracted from the vesicle was negative, and no other lesions appeared during the 7 days of hospitalization. Healing was complete under normal hygiene recommendations; no follow-up was necessary.

CASE 3

A 4-day-old preterm female infant presented for a superficial erosion, covered by scales on the lateral side of the left arm (Figure 1C and 1D). The lesion was described by the neonatologist as being observed in the first hours after birth, more erythematous, looking as a simple excoriation. Our diagnosis was also post-traumatic lesion; emollients were prescribed, and the clinical aspect of the tegument returned to normal in just a few days.

The three cases presented here are meant to draw attention to the possibility of trauma-induced lesions in newborns, which require only close follow-up and surveillance instead of exhaustive clinical and laboratory investigations, which are inevitably accompanied by anxiety.

The examination of patients was conducted according to the principles stated in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Written informed consent was obtained from the patients' parents for publication of this article.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Bielekova B. Daclizumab therapy for multiple sclerosis. *Neurotherapeutics*. 2013;10:55-67.
2. Krueger JG, Kircik L, Hougeir F, et al. Cutaneous Adverse Events in the Randomized, Double-Blind, Active-Comparator DECIDE Study of Daclizumab High-Yield Process Versus Intramuscular Interferon Beta-1a in Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis. *Adv Ther*. 2016;33:1231-1245.
3. Cortese I, Ohayon J, Fenton K, et al. Cutaneous adverse events in multiple sclerosis patients treated with daclizumab. *Neurology*. 2016;86:847-855.
4. Kircik L, Krueger J, Lebwohl M, et al. Incidence, severity, duration, and treatment of cutaneous adverse events in the DECIDE study of daclizumab HYP versus intramuscular interferon beta-1a in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler*. 2015;21:251-252.
5. Gold R, Giovannoni G, Selmaj K, et al. Daclizumab high-yield process in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (SELECT): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2013;381:2167-2175.
6. Diao L, Hang Y, Othman AA, et al. Population PK-PD analyses of CD25 occupancy, CD56bright NK cell expansion, and regulatory T cell reduction by daclizumab HYP in subjects with multiple sclerosis. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2016;82:1333-1342.
7. Michel T, Poli A, Cuapio A et al. Human CD56bright NK Cells: An Update. *J Immunol*. 2016; 196:2923-2931.