Interdisc Toxicol. 2008; Vol. 1(3-4): 203-205. doi: 10.2478/v10102-010-0047-6 Published online in:

www.intertox.sav.sk & www.versita.com/science/medicine/it/

Copyright © 2010 Slovak Toxicology Society SETOX This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Coxicology

Degradation dynamics and dissipation kinetics of an imidazole fungicide (Prochloraz) in aqueous medium of varying pH

Md. Wasim AKTAR¹, Dwaipayan SENGUPTA², Swarnali PURKAIT², Madhumita GANGULY², M. PARAMASIVAM¹

¹ Pesticide Residue Laboratory, Department of Agricultural Chemicals, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur-741252, Nadia, West Bengal, INDIA ² Department of Agricultural Chemistry and Soil Science, Institute of Agricultural Science, University of Calcutta, Kolkata, West Bengal, INDIA

ITX013408A01 • Received: 10 April 2008 • Revised: 16 June 2008 • Accepted: 18 June 2008

ABSTRACT

Laboratory degradation studies were performed in water at pH 4.0, 7.0 and 9.2 using Prochloraz (450 EC) formulation at the concentration of 1.0 (T_1) and 2.0 (T_2) µg/mL. Water samples collected on 0 (2 h), 3, 7, 15, 30, 45, 60 and 90 days after treatments were processed for residue analysis of Prochloraz by HPLC-UV detector. In 60 days, dissipation was 89.1–90.5% at pH 4.0, 84.1–88.2% at pH 7.0, and 92.4–93.8% at pH 9.2 in both treatments. The results indicate that at pH 7.0 the degradation of Prochloraz was much slower as compared to other two. Between pH 4.0 and 9.2 the degradation of compound is little faster at pH 9.2. The half-life periods observed were 18.35 and 19.17 days at pH 4.0, 22.6 and 25.1 days at pH 7.0 and 15.8 and 16.6 days at pH 9.2 at T_1 and T_2 doses respectively.

KEY WORDS: Prochloraz; pH; dissipation; kinetics; fungicides

Introduction

Prochloraz (N-propyl-N-[2-(2, 4, 6-trichlorophenoxy) ethyl] -1*H*-imidazole-1-carboxamide) $C_{15}H_{16}Cl_3N_3O_2$ is a broadspectrum fungicide (Li et al., 2000; Vinggaard et al., 2006). It controls several species of *Fusarium* cause head blight; the most common in our country are Fusarium graminearum (Schwabe), F. culmorum (Smith) Sacc., F. avenaceum (Corda ex Fr.) Sacc. and F. poae (Peck) Wollenw. Another causal agent is Microdochium nivale (Fr.) Samuels & Hallet var. majus and var. nivale, synonym of F. nivale (Fr.) (Pancaldi et al., 1996; Balmas et al., 1999; Corazza et al., 2001). It acts by inhibiting ergosterol biosynthesis Needham & Challis, 1991). The percentage of kernels infected with F.graminearum and *F. culmorum* was significantly reduced, compared with the untreated kernels by 56 to 76%, with prochloraz, and by 44 to 69% with tebuconazole (Davide et al., 2004). Two model water pollutants, the imidazole fungicide prochloraz and the alkylphenolic compound nonylphenol diethoxylate (NP2EO), were investigated in a comparative in vitro/in vivo approach. The patterns of biochemical responses to the

Dr. Dwaipayan Sengupta

model pollutants were generally similar between in vitro and in vivo investigations. Levels of cytochrome P4501A (CYP1A) protein and the catalytic activity of the CYP1Adependent enzyme 7-ethoxyresorufin-O-deethylase (EROD) were induced in vitro after 24 h of exposure to 1.0 microM prochloraz. In vitro, higher prochloraz concentrations induced only the levels of CYP1A above control levels, but not EROD activity. In vivo exposure of juvenile trout to 0.27 microM prochloraz resulted in an induction of CYP1A and EROD after 7 and 14 days, while 0.027 microM prochloraz had no effects (Sturm et al., 2001; Rivière, 1983). It has some effect with multiple modes of action on reproductive endocrine function in the fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas) (Ankley et al., 2005; 2007). No water quality standards or criteria have been established for this chemical by the U.S. or Canadian governments. Its toxicology was first evaluated by the Meeting in 1983 (Annex 1, reference 40), when an ADI of 0-0.01 mg/kg bw was established on the basis of a NOAEL of 0.9 mg/kg bw per day in a 2-year study in dogs and a NOAEL of 1.3 mg/kg bw per day in a 2-year study in rats (Kato et al., 1998; Laignelet et al., 1992). When used on crops, the residues of Prochloraz in standing water may harm beneficial flora and fauna in general and fish in particular where pisiculture is practiced in paddy crop. As there is no in formation available on this aspect of Prochloraz, it was thought imperative to investigate dissipation behaviour of this fungicide in water at different pH levels under laboratory simulated condition.

Correspondence address:

Department of Agricultural Chemistry and Soil Science, Institute of Agricultural Science, University of Calcutta, Kolkata, West Bengal, India, E-MAIL: sengupta_d@yahoo.co.in

204 | Degradation dynamics and dissipation kinetics of an imidazole fungicide (Prochloraz) in aqueous medium of varying pH W. Aktar, D. Sengupta, S. Purkait, M. Ganguly, M. Paramasivam

Materials and methods

Analytical grade of Prochloraz (99.7%) was obtained from M/S Sigma-Aldrich, USA. All the solvents like dichloromethane, acetone and ethyl acetate were glass distilled before use. Sodium sulphate was washed repeatedly with distilled acetone and activated at 110 °C for 2h before use. Stock solution ($100 \mu g/mL$) was prepared in ethyl acetate and working solution was prepared by diluting it.

The pH of aqueous solution was adjusted using buffer. Buffer capsules of pH4.0, 7.0 and 9.2 from E.Merck were used for the purpose of preparing buffer solution. One capsule was required for 100 mL of distilled water to maintain the above mentioned pH. In a series of Winchester bottles (10 L capacity) 6 L distilled water was kept and sixty capsules were added to each of the bottle. The bottles were then left in room temperature for overnight to homogenize the buffer solutions. For carrying out laboratory experiment, water (6L) of each pH triplicate was spiked at 1.0 (T_1) and 2.0 (T₂) μ g/mL with Prochloraz formulation and was stored in Winchester bottles from October to February 2006-07 under room temperature (15-31.5 °C). Untreated control was also carried out simultaneously. Samples were drawn periodically on 0 (2h), 3, 7, 15, 30, 45, 60, and 90 days after treatments and analyzed for Prochloraz residues.

Representative 200 mL water sample was taken in 1L separating funnel and 5–10g sodium chloride was added to it. It was extracted thrice (100, 50, 50 mL) with dichloromethane by liquid-liquid partitioning. Organic phases were combined, passed through anhydrous sodium sulphate and concentrated on a rotary vacuum evaporator under reduced pressure at 40 °C followed by a gas manifold evaporator till near dryness. Final solution was made to 5 mL in acetonitrile and subjected to HPLC analysis. No clean-up was required as no interference peaks were observed during analysis. The residues of Prochloraz were analyzed on HPLC (Agilent Technologies 1200 Series) equipped with variable wavelength detector (VWD) using column (Shandon Hypersil 250 X 4.6 mm ODS 5 (RPC₁₈). The wavelength (λ_{max}), mobile phase and flow rate was 212 nm, methanolwater (85:15, v/v) and 1mL/min, respectively. The retention time, limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were 5.13 min, 0.01 µg/g and 0.05 µg/g, respectively.

Results and discussion

Average recoveries of Prochloraz from water fortified at 0.25 and $1 \mu g/mL$ varied from 90–96% at pH 4.0, 88–98% at pH 7.0 and 88–97% at pH 9.2.

Residues of Prochloraz in water at different pH levels are presented in Table 1. As evident from the data, at pH4.0, initial residues of $0.95 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ in treatment T₁ dissipated to $0.85 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ in 3 days, 0.42 and $0.09 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ in 30 and 60 days, respectively. Corresponding dissipation were 10.5, 55.8 and 90.5%, respectively. In treatment T₂, initial residues of 1.93 μ g/mL dissipated to 1.64, 0.69 and 0.21 μ g/mL in 3, 30 and 60 days after application with corresponding dissipation of 15.0, 64.3 and 89.1%, respectively.

At pH7.0, initial residues of $0.93 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ in T₁ treatment, dissipated to 0.88, 0.45, 0.11 and 0.07 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ in 3, 30, 60 and 90 days after treatment with corresponding dissipation of 5.38, 51.61, 88.17 and 92.5% respectively, whereas in T₂ initial residues of 1.95 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ dissipated to 1.68, 0.79, 0.31 and 0.17 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ in 3, 30, 60 and 90 days after treatment showing dissipation of 13.85, 59.49, 84.10 and 91.3%, respectively.

At pH 9.2, from treatment T₁ initial residues of 0.97 μ g/mL dissipated to 0.73, 0.28 and 0.06 μ g/mL in 3, 30 and 60 days after treatment with corresponding dissipation of 24.74, 71.13 and 93.8%, respectively. In T₂ residues of 1.96 μ g/mL

Table 1

Dissipation of Prochloraz residues in water at different pH.

Residues (µg/mL) ± SD (% of Dissipation)							
Time (in days)	pH 4.0		pH 7.0		рН 9.2		
	1.0 μg/mL	2.0 μg/mL	1.0 μg/mL	2.0 μg/mL	1.0 μg/mL	2.0 μg/mL	
0	0.95±0.09	1.93±0.01	0.93±0.03	1.95±0.07	0.97±0.06	1.96±0.02	
	(–)	(–)	(–)	(–)	(–)	(–)	
3	0.85±0.03	1.64±0.03	0.88±0.06	1.68±0.01	0.73±0.09	1.54±0.11	
	(10.5)	(15.0)	(5.4)	(13.9)	(24.7)	(21.4)	
7	0.73±0.05	1.47±0.09	0.76±0.13	1.51±0.02	0.62±0.01	1.39±0.04	
	(23.2)	(23.8)	(18.3)	(22.6)	(36.1)	(29.1)	
15	0.62±0.01	1.24±0.01	0.64±0.04	1.27±0.05	0.45±0.08	1.13±0.03	
	(34.7)	(35.8)	(31.2)	(34.9)	(53.6)	(42.4)	
30	0.42±0.04	0.69±0.06	0.45±0.08	0.79±0.01	0.28±0.02	0.59±0.06	
	(55.8)	(64.3)	(51.6)	(59.5)	(71.1)	(69.9)	
45	0.19±0.02	0.39±0.03	0.27±0.06	0.48±0.04	0.13±0.03	0.29±0.02	
	(80.0)	(78.0)	(71.0)	(75.4)	(86.6)	(85.2)	
60	0.09±0.05	0.21±0.02	0.11±0.04	0.31±0.07	0.06±0.09	0.15±0.05	
	(90.5)	(89.1)	(88.2)	(84.1)	(93.8)	(92.4)	
90	BDL	BDL	0.07±0.07 (92.5)	0.17±0.02 (91.3)	BDL	BDL	

BDL=Below Detectable Limit

on 0 (2h) day dissipated to 1.54, 0.59 and 0.15 in 3, 30 and 60 days after treatment and corresponding dissipation was 21.4, 69.9 and 92.4%, respectively.

It is clear that Prochloraz residues in water dissipated more than 80% in 60 days at pH levels of 4.0, 7.0 and 9.2 in both treatments under laboratory conditions under temperature ranging from 15 to 31.5 °C. The degradation was slow during first 3 days followed by relatively faster degradation from 3rd day onward to 45 days after which it became slow.

Almost identical degradation ranging from 89.1 to 93.8% at two pH levels (viz. pH 4.0 and 9.2) during 60 days study indicate that there was no significant effect of these two pH on degradation, although dissipation was a little faster at pH 9.2 throughout the studies. More than 91% degradation at 90 days after treatment indicates that degradation was much slower at pH 7.0 than that of other two. Half-life values at pH levels of 4.0, 7.0 and 9.2 varied from 18.4 to 19.2, 22.6 to 25.1 and 15.8 to 16.6 days, respectively (Table 2). At all pH levels degradation was observed to be faster in T₁ than T₂. The slow dissipation at higher rate could attribute to inhibition of microbial activity.

Similar observations have been reported in degradation of fenoxanil in water where dissipation was independent of pH and was subject to photodegradation following pseudo first order kinetics. Dissipation of Nonylphenol Diethoxylate residues has also been reported following pseudo first order kinetics in river waters (Cravedi *et al.*, 2001). Whereas in another studies, decomposition of Nonylphenol Ethoxylates and bixafen in water as pH and dose dependent, resulted in faster dissipation under alkaline conditions and at low dose of application (Debrauwer *et al.*, 2001; Gac *et al.*, 2001). Slightly faster dissipation of Prochloraz at alkaline pH has been observed in our studies also.

Conclusions

Considering rapid dissipation of Prochloraz at the tested doses in water system, its much faster degradation can be expected under field condition. Consumption of vegetables from Prochloraz treated field could not be harmful for health as no residues of the herbicide would be found in the harvested plant samples as the dissipation of Prochloraz would be higher in plant system due to higher enzymatic activity. Hence, the tested doses can be considered safe from the point of view of health hazards, environmental pollution and ground water contamination due to its residual effects.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Head, Dept. of Bio-Chemistry, Institute of Agricultural Science, University of Calcutta, Kolkata, West Bengal, India for providing the necessary instrumental facilities.

Table 2.

Regression equation, Correlation Co-efficient and half-life for the dissipation of Prochloraz in water at different pH.

рН	Treatments (μg/mL)	Regression Equation	Correlation co-efficient	Half-life (days)
4.0	1.0	y = 2.007 - 0.0164x	0.977	18.35
	2.0	y=2.29-0.0157x	0.995	19.17
7.0	1.0	y=1.9863-0.0133x	0.975	22.64
	2.0	y = 2.2641 - 0.0121x	0.992	25.08
9.2	1.0	y = 1.953 - 0.019x	0.992	15.84
	2.0	y=2.2824-0.0181x	0.995	16.63

REFERENCES

- Ankley GT, Jensen KM, Durhan EJ, Makynen EA, Butterworth BC, Kahl MD, Villeneuve DL, Linnum A, Gray LE, Cardon M, Wilson VS. (2005). Effects of two fungicides with multiple modes of action on reproductive endocrine function in the fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas). *Toxicological Sciences* 86(2): 300–308.
- Ankley GT, Jenson KM, Kahl MD, Makynen EA, Blake LS, Greene KJ, Johnson RD, Villeneuve DL. (2007). Ketoconazole in the fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas): Reproductive Toxicity and Biological Compensation. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 26(6): 1214–1223.
- Balmas V, Maccaroni M, Santori A, Corazza L. (1999). Fusariosi della spiga di cereali autunno-vernini: indagine epidemiologica e valutazione della resistenza varietale di frumento duro nell'Italia centrale. *Informatore Fitopatologico* **49**(12): 37–42.
- Corazza L, Balmas V, Santori A, Vitale SL, Maccaroni M. (2001). Head blight and foot rot of wheat in Italy. In: *Proceedings of the Conference 'Sustainable Systems* of Cereal Crop Protection against Fungal Diseases as the Way of Reduction of Toxin Occurence in Food Webs' Kromeriz, Czech Republic: 25–36.
- Cravedi JP, Boudry G, Baradat M, Rao D, Debrauwer L. (2001). Metabolic Fate of 2,4-Dichloroaniline, Prochloraz and Nonylphenol Diethoxylate in Rainbow Trout: A Comparative In vivo/In vitro Approach. Aquat Toxicol **53**(3/4): 159–172.
- Davide P, Annamaria P, Ilaria A, Santina R. (2004). Control of Fusarium head blight and accumulation of deoxynivalenol in durum wheat grain, semolina and bran. *Phytopathol Mediterr* **43**: 351–359.
- Debrauwer L, Rathahao E, Boudry G, Baradat M, Cravedi JP. (2001). Identification of the major metabolites of prochloraz in rainbow trout by liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry. *J Agric Food Chem* **49**(8): 3821–6.
- Gac LF, Thomas JL, Mourot B, Loir M. (2001). *In vivo* and *In vitro* Effects of Prochloraz and Nonylphenol Ethoxylates on Trout Spermatogenesis. *Aquat Toxicol* **53**(3/4): 187–200.
- Kato Y, Flodström S, Wärngard L. (1998). Initiation and promotion of altered hepatic foci in female rats and inhibition of cell–cell communication by the imidazole fungicide prochloraz. *Chemosphere* **37**: 393–403.
- Laignelet L, Rivière JL, Lhuguenot JC. (1992). Metabolism of an imidazole fungicide (prochloraz) in the rat after oral administration. *Food Chem Toxicol* **30**: 575–583.
- Li DW, Hsiang T, Ross JB. (2000). The Effects of Various Fungicides on the Control of Overwintering Diseases. Prairie Turfgrass Research Centre Annual Report, 17–19.
- Needham D, Challis IR. (1991). The metabolism and excretion of prochloraz, an imidazole-based fungicide, in the rat. *Xenobiotica* **21**: 1473–1482.
- Pancaldi D, Grazzi G, Alberti I. (1996). La fusariosi della spiga di frumento in Emilia Romagna nel 1995. *Informatore Fitopatologico* **46**(4): 16–20.
- Rivière JL. (1983). Prochloraz, a potent inducer of the microsomal cytochrome P450 system. *Pestic Biochem Physiol* **19**: 44–52.
- Sturm A, Cravedi JP, Perdu E, Baradat M, Segner H. (2001). Effects of prochloraz and nonylphenol diethoxylate on hepatic biotransformation enzymes in trout: a comparative *in vitro/in vivo*-assessment using cultured hepatocytes. *Aquat Toxicol* **53**(3/4): 215–228
- Vinggaard AM, Hass U, Dalgaard M, Andersen HR, Bonefeld-Jørgensen E, Christiansen S, Laier P, Poulsen ME. (2006). Prochloraz: an imidazole fungicide with multiple mechanisms of action. *Int J Androl* **29**(1): 186–92.