# HELMINTHOLOGIA, 54, 3: 231 - 239, 2017

# New host, geographical records, and factors affecting the prevalence of helminths infection from synanthropic rodents in Yucatán, Mexico

J. A. PANTI-MAY<sup>1\*</sup>, E. PALOMO-ARJONA<sup>2</sup>, Y. GURUBEL-GONZÁLEZ<sup>2</sup>, M. A. TORRES-CASTRO<sup>3</sup>, V. M. VIDAL-MARTÍNEZ<sup>4</sup>, C. MACHAIN-WILLIAMS<sup>5</sup>, S. F. HERNÁNDEZ-BETANCOURT<sup>2</sup>, M. DEL ROSARIO ROBLES<sup>6</sup>

1\*PhD in Agricultural Science, Campus of Biological and Agricultural Science, Autonomous University of Yucatán, Mérida, México, E-mail: panti.alonso@gmail.com; <sup>2</sup>Department of Zoology, Campus of Biological and Agricultural Science, Autonomous University of Yucatán, Mérida, México; <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Emerging and Re-emerging Diseases, "Dr. Hideyo Noguchi" Regional Research Center, Autonomous University of Yucatán, Mérida, México; <sup>4</sup>Laboratory of Aquatic Pathology, Center for Research and Advanced Studies of the National Polytechnic Institute-Mérida Unit, Mérida, México; <sup>5</sup>Laboratory of Arbovirology, "Dr. Hideyo Noguchi" Regional Research Center, Autonomous University of Yucatán, Mérida, México; <sup>6</sup>Center of Parasitological Studies and Vectors, CONICET- National University of La Plata, La Plata, Argentina

## Article info

## Summary

Received January 21, 2017 Accepted April 27, 2017 The aim of this paper was to study the occurrence of helminths in *Mus musculus* and *Rattus rattus* from urban, suburban and rural settlements in Yucatán, Mexico; and to analyse the host factors (e.g. sex) related to helminths' distribution. Helminths in a total of 279 rodents were surveyed by visual examination of the liver for metacestodes and faecal examination for helminth eggs using the formalin-ethyl acetate sedimentation technique. The cestodes *Hydatigera taeniaeformis* (metacestodes detected in the liver) and *Hymenolepis diminuta*, and the nematodes *Aspiculuris* sp., *Nippostrongy-lus brasiliensis*, *Syphacia muris*, *Syphacia obvelata*, and *Trichuris muris* were identified. In *M. musculus*, the prevalence of infection with *T. muris* and *H. taeniaeformis* was higher in the rural village compared to those in the suburban neighbourhood. For *R. rattus*, a higher prevalence of infection with *H. diminuta* was found in the urban site compared to that in the suburban site. This study reports the occurrence of *H. diminuta* among rodents living in close proximity to humans, representing a potential public health risk. In addition, this survey increases our understanding of dynamic transmission among intestinal helminths recorded in Yucatán, Mexico.

**Keywords:** Mus musculus; Rattus rattus; helminths; zoonoses; Mexico

## Introduction

Mus musculus Linnaeus 1758 and Rattus rattus Linnaeus 1758 are two of the most important synanthropic rodents in the world (Battersby et al., 2008). These species are the cause of extensive economic damage to stored food, farms, industries, and households (Drummond, 2001). Also, they represent an important health risk due to the zoonotic pathogens they harbour and spread, such as viruses, bacteria, protozoa and helminths (Meerburg et al., 2009).

Synanthropic rodents inhabit non-commensal (e.g., islands, forests) and commensal habitats (e.g., households, farms) (Batters-

by et al., 2008). In urban areas, these rodents are usually abundant in low-income neighbourhoods with precarious households and lack of basic services such as garbage disposal, piped water, and electricity (Langton et al., 2001; de Masi et al., 2009). In rural settlements, the presence of extensive areas of ground, vegetation coverage, and livestock, are factors favouring the abundance of synanthropic rodents (Langton et al., 2001; Promkerd et al., 2008). All these factors favour the close contact with inhabitants which could increase the risk for pathogen transmission (Costa et al., 2014).

The helminth fauna of the synanthropic *M. musculus* and *R. rattus* has been studied in both urban and rural areas from several coun-

<sup>\* -</sup> corresponding author

tries of the world (e.g. de León, 1964, Waugh *et al.*, 2006, Rafique *et al.*, 2009, Mohd Zain *et al.*, 2012, Panti-May *et al.*, 2015). However, in Mexico, few studies have examined the intestinal parasites of synanthropic rodents (Coronel-Guevara, 1953; García-Prieto, 1986; Falcón-Ordaz *et al.*, 2010; García-Prieto *et al.*, 2012; Panti-May *et al.*, 2015), and only one of them has been carried out in an urban area (Tay Zavala *et al.*, 1999). This study reported *Hymenolepis diminuta* Rudolphi 1819 and *Rodentolepis nana* Von Siebold 1852 among *R. rattus* and *R. norvegicus* populations.

On other hand, in Mexico, the majority of scientific publications on the helminths in synanthropic rodents have been reported from less than 50 specimens, and without analysing the rodent population characteristics such as sex, age, sexual activity or related to the type of environment therefore limiting the scope of those studies (Tay Zavala *et al.*, 1999; Pulido-Flores *et al.*, 2005; Falcón-Ordaz *et al.*, 2010).

In south-eastern Mexico, only the species *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* Travassos 1914, *Hydatigera taeniaeformis* (larvae) Batsch 1786, *Trichuris muris* Schrank 1788, and *Syphacia muris* Yamaguti 1935 have been reported from populations of *M. musculus* and *R. rattus* in a rural community in the state of Yucatán (Panti-May et al., 2015).

The aim of this study was to identify helminths from *M. musculus* and *R. rattus* trapped in urban, suburban and rural settlements in Yucatán, Mexico; including demographic factors such as sex, age, and maturity in relationship to the distribution of helminths, so that we could determine the potential health risk of zoonotic parasites carried by rodents.

## **Materials and Methods**

## Study sites

This study was carried out within the residential neighbourhoods of San Jose Tecoh (20° 53' 16.0" N, 89° 37' 19.9" W) and Plan de Ayala Sur (20° 54' 54.0" N, 89° 37' 22.8" W) in the city of Mérida, and the village of Opichen (20° 33' 05.26" N, 89° 51' 21.76" W), in Yucatán, Mexico. The urban studied site (San Jose Tecoh) is an area of 1,112,984 m<sup>2</sup> and ~ 6001 inhabitants (Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía, 2012). Its infrastructure is characterized by having paved streets, small businesses, several households in poor conditions showing cracks or holes in doors or windows, and a few vegetated areas. In the backyard and premises, it is common to find some weeds, shrubs, and unserviceable domestic appliances. The suburban studied site (Plan de Ayala Sur) is located within the 'Cuxtal' Ecological Reserve, where the vegetation is representative of a low deciduous tropical forest. It is an area of 1,336,707 m² and has ~ 3037 inhabitants (Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía, 2012). This neighbourhood is growing and extending the coverage of basic service such as piped water, electricity and paved roads. It is characterized by having many small households in poor conditions, small businesses, vacant lots, farms, and secondary forest patches at the periphery of the neighbourhood. In the majority of the backyards, it is common to find weeds, shrubs, fruit trees, hen houses, unserviceable domestic appliances and construction rubbish. The rural studied site (Opichen) is an area of 1,460,466  $\rm m^2$  and has  $\sim$  4761 inhabitants (Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía, 2012). It is located at the western part of Yucatán. The majority of inhabitants live in houses constructed with limestone, wooden poles and thatched with palm leaves adjacent to small rooms constructed with concrete blocks, and it is common to find backyard animals such as chickens, pigs, and cattle. Weeds, shrubs, fruit trees, and vegetable patch plots are also commonly present in the yards.

#### Rodent trapping

In the neighbourhoods, rodents were trapped from May to October 2013, whereas in the rural village, the trapping was conducted in August and September 2013. Weekly, Sherman traps (two sizes were used, 8 x 9 x 23 cm and 8 x 9.5 x 30.5 cm; HB Sherman Traps Inc., Tallahassee, Florida, USA) were set in each household during three consecutive nights (Panti-May *et al.*, 2016). Traps were baited with a mixture of oatmeal and vanilla essence and were distributed inside the house and yard, where signs of rodent activity and potential sources of food or shelter were identified. The rodent trapping was conducted under license from the Mexican Ministry of Environment (SGPA/ DGVS/02528/13).

## **Ethics**

Trapped rodents were transported to the laboratory, anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital, and euthanized by overdose of the same product. Handling of rodents in the field and laboratory, was following the guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in research (Sikes *et al.*, 2011), the guidelines of the American Veterinary Medical Association for the euthanasia of animals (Leary *et al.*, 2013), and national specifications for the transportation and euthanasia of animals (Secretaría de Agricultura, Ganadería, Desarrollo Rural, Pesca y Alimentación, 1999).

#### Parasitological examination

The faeces of 173 M. musculus and 106 R. rattus were examined from three sites (see Table 1). Out of 173 M. musculus examined, 81 (46.8%) were females and 141 (81.5%) were adults, while 106 R. rattus examined, 59 (55.7%) were females and 55 adults (51.9%). Liver was examined for larval cestodes in fresh. The faecal and caecum contents were examined for helminth eggs using the formalin-ethyl acetate sedimentation technique (World Health Organization, 2012). Briefly,  $\sim$  1 g of the content was homogenized in a centrifuge tube containing 10 mL of 10% formalin. After homogenization 3 mL of ethyl acetate were added to the suspension in the tube. The resulting mix was centrifuged at 450 g for 3 min. Subsequently, the fatty pug was removed and the supernatant discarded. Finally,  $\sim$  1 mL of saline solution (0.9%) was added to the sediment and three drops were transferred to a glass slide for

Table 1. Prevalence (P) of helminth species by rodent host species and environment in Yucatán, Mexico.

|                               |                    | Mus musculus       |                    |                    | Rattus rattus      |                    |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                               | Urban (n = 66)     | Suburban (n = 55)  | Rural (n = 52)     | Urban (n = 29)     | Suburban (n = 55)  | Rural (n = 13)     |
| Helminth species              | P (CI)             |
| Aspiculuris sp.               | (-) 0              | (-) 0              | (–) 0              | 3.4 (0.1 – 17.8)   | 10.9 (4.1 – 22.2)  | ( <del>-</del> ) 0 |
| Nippostrongylus brasiliensis  | 21.2 (12.1 – 33.0) | 36.4 (23.8 – 50.4) | 21.2 (11.1 – 34.7) | 3.4 (0.1 – 17.8)   | 5.5 (1.1 – 15.1)   | 7.7 (0.2 – 36.0)   |
| Syphacia obvelata             | (-) 0              | (-) 0              | 9.6 (3.2 – 21.0)   | (–) 0              | (–) 0              | (-) 0              |
| Syphacia muris                | (-) 0              | (-) 0              | (–) 0              | 10.3 (2.2 – 27.4)  | 21.8 (11.8 – 35.0) | 15.4 (1.9 – 45.4)  |
| Trichuris muris               | 16.7 (8.6 – 27.9)  | 9.1 (3.0 - 19.9)   | 32.7 (20.3 – 47.1) | (–) 0              | (–) 0              | (-) 0              |
| Hymenolepis diminuta          | (-) 0              | (-) 0              | 1.9 (0.01 – 10.3)  | 31.0 (15.3 – 50.1) | 5.5 (1.1 – 15.1)   | 23.1 (5.0 – 53.8)  |
| Hydatigera taeniaeformis      | 6.1 (1.7 – 14.8)   | 3.6 (0.4 – 12.5)   | 17.3 (8.2 – 30.3)  | 20.7 (7.9 – 45.5)  | 10.9 (4.1 – 22.2)  | 15.4 (1.9 – 45.4)  |
| CI – 95 % confidence interval |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |

examination. In helminth eggs' positive animals, a subset of adult worms was collected to verify the species of helminths by the use of light microscope.

## Data analysis

Prevalence (percentage of infected animals from faecal o liver examination) was analysed with the software Quantitative Parasitology 3.0 according to Rózsa  $et\ al.$  (2000). The prevalence of helminth infection was compared between mice and rats using the Chi-square test. The relationship between the prevalence of infection of each helminth species relative to demographic characteristics of rodent population, which comprised the host sex, age (mice: subadults  $\leq$  8 g, adults > 8 g; rats: subadults  $\leq$  66 g, adults > 66 g), maturity (mature: if females were pregnant, lactating or with vagina open, and if males had scrotal testicles; immature, if females were non-pregnant, lactating or with vagina closed, and if males had inguinal/abdominal testicles), and type of environment (urban, suburban or rural) was tested by Chi-squared and Fisher's exact test. These analyses were confined to parasite with an overall prevalence greater than 10 %.

#### Results

The overall prevalence of intestinal helminths in synanthropic rodents was 42.7 % (119/279). The prevalence of helminth infection was similar between *M. musculus* (42.8 %, 74/173) and *R. rattus* (42.4 %, 45/106) ( $\chi^2$  = 0.003, df = 1, P = 0.96). Among 74 infected *M. musculus*, 70.3 % harboured one species of helminth, 25.7 % of specimens had two and 4.0 % of individuals had three different species. Among 45 infected *R. rattus*, 80.0 % harboured one species of helminth, 15.6 % of animals had two and 4.4 % of specimens were infected by three different species.

Seven species of helminths were identified in synanthropic rodents: the cestodes *H. diminuta* and *H. taeniaeformis* larvae; and the nematodes *Aspiculuris* sp. Schulz 1927, *N. brasiliensis*, *S. muris*, *Syphacia obvelata* Rudolphi 1802, and *T. muris*. *Hymenolepis diminuta*, *H. taeniaeformis*, and *N. brasiliensis* were found in both *M. musculus* and *R. rattus*, while *S. obvelata* and *T. muris* were found exclusively in *M. musculus* and *Aspiculuris* sp. and *S. muris* only in *R. rattus*. In *M. musculus*, *N. brasiliensis* was the most prevalent helminth (26 %), followed by *T. muris* (19.1 %). In *R. rat-*

Table 2. Relationship between prevalence of infection of Mus musculus relative to host sex, age, maturity, and environment from Yucatán, Mexico.

|          | Prevalence of helminth species |                                 |                    |                             |  |  |
|----------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
|          | No. of mice examined           | Nippostrongylus<br>brasiliensis | Trichuris<br>muris | Hydatigera<br>taeniaeformis |  |  |
|          |                                | n (%)                           | n (%)              | n (%)                       |  |  |
| Sex      |                                |                                 |                    |                             |  |  |
| Male     | 92                             | 24 (26.1)                       | 17 (18.5)          | 6 (6.5)                     |  |  |
| Female   | 81                             | 21 (25.9)                       | 16 (19.7)          | 9 (11.1)                    |  |  |
|          |                                | P = 1.000                       | P = 0.985          | P = 0.284                   |  |  |
| Age      |                                |                                 |                    |                             |  |  |
| Subadult | 15                             | 2 (13.3)                        | 2 (13.3)           | 1 (6.7)                     |  |  |
| Adult    | 158                            | 43 (27.2)                       | 31 (19.6)          | 14 (8.9)                    |  |  |
|          |                                | P = 0.359                       | P = 0.739          | <i>P</i> = 1.000            |  |  |
| Maturity |                                |                                 |                    |                             |  |  |
| No       | 53                             | 10 (18.9)                       | 23 (19.8)          | 5 (9.7)                     |  |  |
| Yes      | 116                            | 34 (29.3)                       | 10 (18.9)          | 9 (7.8)                     |  |  |
|          |                                | P = 0.213                       | P = 1.000          | P = 0.766                   |  |  |
| Site     |                                |                                 |                    |                             |  |  |
| Urban    | 66                             | 14 (21.2)                       | 11 (16.7)          | 4 (6.1)                     |  |  |
| Suburban | 55                             | 20 (36.4)                       | 5 (9.1)            | 2 (3.6)                     |  |  |
| Rural    | 52                             | 11 (21.1)                       | 17 (32.7)          | 9 (17.3)                    |  |  |
|          |                                | P = 0.106                       | P = 0.007          | P = 0.037                   |  |  |

Chi-square exact significance (two-sided test) or Fisher's exact test where >25% of cells have an expected count < 5

Table 3. Relationship between prevalence of infection of Rattus relative to host sex, age, maturity, and environment from Yucatán, Mexico.

|          | No. of rats examined | Hymenolepis<br>diminuta<br>n (%) | Hydatigera<br>taeniaeformis<br>n (%) | Syphacia<br>muris<br>n (%) |
|----------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Sex      |                      |                                  |                                      |                            |
| Male     | 59                   | 9 (15.2)                         | 6 (10.2)                             | 8 (13.6)                   |
| Female   | 47                   | 6 (12.8)                         | 8 (17.0)                             | 9 (19.1)                   |
|          |                      | P = 0.932                        | P = 0.455                            | P = 0.608                  |
| Age      |                      |                                  |                                      |                            |
| Subadult | 44                   | 4 (9.1)                          | 5 (11.4)                             | 8 (18.2)                   |
| Adult    | 62                   | 11 (17.7)                        | 9 (14.5)                             | 9 (14.5)                   |
|          |                      | P = 0.329                        | P = 0.856                            | P = 0.812                  |
| Maturity |                      |                                  |                                      |                            |
| No       | 32                   | 1 (3.1)                          | 4 (12.5)                             | 6 (18.8)                   |
| Yes      | 72                   | 13 (18.1)                        | 10 (13.9)                            | 10 (13.9)                  |
|          |                      | P = 0.059                        | <i>P</i> = 1.000                     | P = 0.562                  |
| Site     |                      |                                  |                                      |                            |
| Urban    | 29                   | 9 (31.0)                         | 6 (20.7)                             | 3 (10.3)                   |
| Suburban | 64                   | 3 (4.7)                          | 6 (9.4)                              | 17 (26.6)                  |
| Rural    | 13                   | 3 (23.1)                         | 2 (15.4)                             | 2 (15.4)                   |
|          |                      | P = 0.001                        | P = 0.283                            | P = 0.166                  |

 $Chi-square\ exact\ significance\ (two-sided\ test)\ or\ Fisher's\ exact\ test\ where > 25\%\ of\ cells\ have\ an\ expected\ count < 5$ 

by *H. diminuta* (14.2 %). In relation to the trapping site, *S. obvelata* was found only in the rural site, *Aspiculuris* sp. in both urban and suburban sites while *N. brasiliensis*, *S. muris*, *T. muris*, *H. diminuta* and *H. taeniaeformis* were found in the three sites (Table 1). *Mus musculus* had higher prevalence (26.0 %) of infection with *N. brasiliensis* than *R. rattus* (4.7 %;  $\chi^2$  = 18.4, df = 1, P < 0.001), whereas *R. rattus* had higher infection with *H. diminuta* (14.1 %) than *M. musculus* (0.6 %;  $\chi^2$  = 22.4, df = 1, P < 0.001). On the other hand, both rodent species had similar prevalence of *H. taeniaeformis* (*M. musculus* 8.7 %, *R. rattus* 13.2 %;  $\chi^2$  = 1.0, df = 1, R = 0.316).

tus, S. muris was the most prevalent helminth (16.0 %), followed

The associations of the prevalence of *N. brasiliensis*, *T. muris*, and *H. taeniaeformis* in *M. musculus* with demographic and environmental variables are shown in the Table 2. The prevalence of *T. muris* was higher in rural rodents (32.7 %) compared to that from the suburban neighbourhood (9.1 %;  $\chi^2$  = 7.7, df = 1, P = 0.005), but there was no association with the urban site or with demographic variables (sex, age and maturity). The prevalence of infection with *H. taeniaeformis* was higher in the rural site (17.3 %) compared to the suburban neighbourhood (3.6 %), but no statistical difference

was found with that in the urban site or demographic variables. No significant associations were found between *N. brasiliensis* and any of the explanatory variables. For *R. rattus*, the association of prevalence of *H. diminuta*, *S. muris* and *H. taeniaeformis* with demographic and environmental variables are shown in the Table 3. A higher prevalence of infection with *H. diminuta* was found in the urban site (31.0 %) compared to the suburban site (4.7 %; Fisher exact test, P = 0.001), but there was no association with the rural site or with the demographic variables (sex, age and maturity). No statistical differences were found between *S. muris* and *H. taeniaeformis* and any of the explanatory variables.

## Discussion

In Mexico, most of the parasitological studies on synanthropic rodents have been conducted in rural environments such as farms or villages (Gutierrez-González, 1980; Pulido-Flores *et al.*, 2005; Falcón-Ordaz *et al.*, 2010; Panti-May *et al.*, 2015). These studies have reported a total of nine species of helminths in both *M. musculus* and *R. rattus*, whereas the only study conducted in an urban settlement reported *H. diminuta* and *R. nana* among *R. rat-*

Table 4. List of intestinal helminth species recorded in *Mus musculus* and *Rattus rattus* from Mexico.

| Helminth species               | Host (s)                  | TE  | State            | Reference                 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----|------------------|---------------------------|
| CESTODA                        |                           |     |                  |                           |
| Monoecocestus sigmodontis      | M. musculus and R. rattus | R   | Nuevo León       | Gutierrez-González 1980   |
| Hymenolepis diminuta           | R. rattus                 | U   | Michoacán        | Tay Zavala et al. 1999    |
|                                | M. musculus and R. rattus | USR | Yucatán          | Present study             |
| Rodentolepis nana              | M. musculus               | _   | Distrito Federal | García-Prieto 1986        |
|                                | M. musculus               | R   | Hidalgo          | Pulido-Flores et al. 2005 |
|                                | R. rattus                 | U   | Michoacán        | Tay Zavala et al. 1999    |
| Hydatigera taeniaeformis larva | M. musculus               | _   | Distrito Federal | García-Prieto et al. 2012 |
|                                | R. rattus                 | R   | Hidalgo          | Pulido-Flores et al. 2005 |
|                                | M. musculus and R. rattus | R   | Yucatán          | Panti-May et al. 2015     |
|                                | M. musculus and R. rattus | USR | Yucatán          | Present study             |
| ACANTHOCEPHALA                 |                           |     |                  |                           |
| Moniliformis monoliformis      | R. rattus                 | _   | Distrito Federal | Coronel-Guevara 1953      |
| NEMATODA                       |                           |     |                  |                           |
| Gongylonema sp.                | R. rattus                 | R   | Hidalgo          | Pulido-Flores et al. 2005 |
| Longistriata sp.               | M. musculus and R. rattus | _   | Nuevo León       | Gutierrez-González 1980   |
| Aspiculuris huascaensis        | M. musculus               | R   | Hidalgo          | Falcón-Ordaz et al. 2010  |
| Aspiculuris cf. lahorica       | M. musculus               | R   | Hidalgo          | Pulido-Flores et al. 2005 |
| Aspiculuris sp.                | R. rattus                 | U   | Yucatán          | Present study             |
| Nippostrongylus brasiliensis   | R. rattus                 | _   | Michoacán        | Hierro-Huerta 1992        |
|                                | M. musculus and R. rattus | R   | Yucatán          | Panti-May et al. 2015     |
|                                | M. musculus and R. rattus | USR | Yucatán          | Present study             |
| Syphacia muris                 | R. rattus                 | R   | Hidalgo          | Pulido-Flores et al. 2005 |
|                                | R. rattus                 | R   | Yucatán          | Panti-May et al. 2015     |
|                                | R. rattus                 | USR | Yucatán          | Present study             |
| Syphacia obvelata              | M. musculus               | R   | Hidalgo          | Pulido-Flores et al. 2005 |
|                                | M. musculus               | R   | Yucatán          | Present study             |
| Trichuris muris                | M. musculus               | R   | Hidalgo          | Pulido-Flores et al. 2005 |
|                                | M. musculus               | R   | Yucatán          | Panti-May et al. 2015     |
|                                | M. musculus               | USR | Yucatán          | Present study             |

U, urban; R, rural; USR, urban, suburban, and rural

A dash (–) indicates unavailability of data

tus populations (Tay Zavala et al., 1999) (Table 4). In this study, seven species of helminths were found in rodents from urban, suburban, and rural environments, *H. diminuta*, *H. taeniaeformis*, *Aspiculuris* sp., *N. brasiliensis*, *S. muris*, *S. obvelata*, and *T. muris*. Urban and suburban *M. musculus* harboured three species of helminths whereas rural *M. musculus* harboured five species. For *R. rattus*, urban and suburban populations harboured five species of helminths whereas rural rats were infected by four species.

Hymenolepis diminuta, a cestode capable to parasitize rodents, can also infect humans, mainly children living in areas of low socioeconomic status and without adequate sanitation (Tena et al., 1998; Marangi et al., 2003; Martínez-Barbabosa et al., 2012). In this study, H. diminuta showed to be more prevalent in R. rattus (14.1 %) than in M. musculus (0.6 %), and has been reported previously as example in Iran (Pakdel et al., 2013), Italy (Milazzo et al., 2010) and Pakistan (Ahmad et al., 2014). Although, this cestode has an indirect life cycle, its presence in trapped rodents inside households indicates its circulation in the studied sites, which could represent a limited health risk by accidental ingestion of insects.

Rodents serve as intermediate hosts for *H. taeniaeformis* and cats act as final hosts (Lavikainen *et al.*, 2016). This study showed a prevalence of infection in *M. musculus* of 8.7 % and 13.2 % in *R. rattus*. Similar data has been previously reported by Panti-May *et al.* (2015) in a rural community of Mérida, Yucatán (*M. musculus* 9.7 %, *R. rattus* 4.3 %). In the sampled sites for this study, and, in general in Yucatán, the uncontrolled reproduction of stray cats is a serious problem; they forage for food between households laying *H. taeniaeformis* proglottids to the environment. Its occurrence in rodents indicates that it could be enzootic in cat populations in the studied sites, and may thus represent a veterinary interest.

Nippostrongylus brasiliensis and T. muris were the most prevalent nematodes in M. musculus, demonstrating the high adaptation to this parasite to its host. Infection with N. brasiliensis occurs mainly through larval penetration of the host' skin by the infective larvae, therefore tolerating high temperatures and humidity, making this parasite very common in synanthropic rodents of the tropical and Caribbean region (de Leon, 1964; Waugh et al., 2006; Panti-May et al., 2015). It has been reported previously in Yucatán, but with higher prevalences in rodents (M. musculus 81.2 %, R. rattus 43.5 %) (Panti-May et al., 2015). On the other hand, infection with T. muris occurs through ingestion of embryonated eggs laid in the environment, and therefore the low survival in extreme weather conditions could explain the low prevalence (< 20 %) found in this study and in other surveys (see Milazzo et al., 2003; Rafique et al., 2009; Pakdel et al., 2013; Panti-May et al., 2015).

Three oxyuroids were identified, *S. muris* and *Aspiculuris* sp. in *R. rattus* and *S. obvelata* in *M. musculus*. Infection with *S. muris* occurs through the ingestion of infective eggs or retrofection (Stahl, 1963), suggesting that the grooming behaviour of rodents could favour its maintenance among rodent populations (Anderson, 2000). The prevalence of *S. muris* in *R. rattus* was similar

to that previously reported in Yucatán (17.4%). By other means, retrofection appears an unlikely mode of transmission for *S. obvelata* (Chan, 1952), which could explain its occurrence only in the rural village(Opichen) with low prevalence. Parasites of the genus *Aspiculuris* are common in *M. musculus*, although *R. rattus* is also susceptible to infection. Field studies have shown that these parasites are uncommon in rat populations (de Leon, 1964; Waugh *et al.*, 2006; Rafique *et al.*, 2009; Mohd Zain *et al.*, 2012; Pakdel *et al.*, 2013; Panti-May *et al.*, 2015) but our data indicates that infection may be feasible.

In this study, the prevalence of infection with *N. brasiliensis* was higher in *M. musculus* (26 %) than in *R. rattus* (4.7 %), whereas *R. rattus* had a higher infection with *H. diminuta* (14.1 %) than *M. musculus* (0.6 %). The higher prevalence with *N. brasiliensis* in *M. musculus* than in *R. rattus* could be related with the terrestrial habits of *M. musculus*, which can favour a prolonged exposure to larval infective stages of *N. brasiliensis* in the ground, whereas the arboreal habits of *R. rattus* can reduce the contact with larvae (Wells *et al.*, 2007). As intermediate host for *H. diminuta* are arthropods (e.g. beetles), the difference on the prevalence with *H. diminuta* between mice and rats could be related to the feeding behaviour of rodents. *Rattus rattus* is a selective consumer and prefers to eat more arthropods than *M. musculus* (Clark, 1982; Riofrío-Lazo & Páez-Rosas, 2015).

The prevalence of infection and the occurrence of some helminths varied between environments. The prevalence of *T. muris* and *H.* taeniaeformis was higher in rural M. musculus compared with the suburban population, and S. obvelata occurred only in the rural site. In addition, urban R. rattus had a higher prevalence of infection with *H. diminuta* compared with suburban rats. This shows that climatic and micro-environmental conditions prevalent in the rural site (e.g. ground, vegetation) could favour the viability of infective eggs. In addition, in the case of parasite with direct life cycle, host density can affect the contact rate with infective stages (Arneberg, 2001). For parasites with indirect life cycle (i.e. H. taeniaeformis and H. diminuta), the dispersion increases with the probability of intermediate host being captured by a suitable predator which reduce the time of exposure of larval stages to the adversity of the external environment (Choisy et al., 2003). This suggests that, the suitability and availability of intermediate hosts also varied between environments.

The results indicate that micro-environmental factors prevalent in each site could alter the dynamic of transmission of helminths present in rodents from the diverse studied sites. To our knowledge, this study is the first to report the presence of *H. diminuta* in *M. musculus* and the infection with *Aspiculuris* sp. in *R. rattus* from Mexico. Although this study increases the total number of helminth species known in synanthropic rodents from Yucatán to seven, the number of species is still lower than that reported in other regions in Mexico and Latin America (de Leon, 1964; Pulido-Flores *et al.*, 2005; Waugh *et al.*, 2006). In addition, we report the occurrence of *H. diminuta* among rodent populations foraging in close proximity

to humans, indicating a limited public health risk, especially to the most vulnerable such as children, the elderly and the immunocompromised. The results present here increase our understanding of the dynamic transmission of intestinal helminths observed in Yucatán, Mexico.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the families from San Jose Tecoh, Plan de Ayala Sur and Opichen for their participation and cooperation in this research. We are also grateful to Lorenzo Sodá and Josue Meza for their support in the field work.

## **Financial support**

This work was funded by Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (grants 2014-247005, 2008-108929. J.A. Panti-May was supported by a doctoral grant from Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (grant 259164).

#### References

Ahmad, M.S., Maobool, A., Anjum, A.A., Ahmad, N., Khan, M.R., Sultana, R., Ali, M.A. (2014): Occurance of *Hymenolepis diminuta* in rats and mice captured from urban localities of Lahore, Pakistan. *J. Anim. Plant Sci.*, 24: 392 – 396

Anderson, R.C. (2000): *Nematode parasites of vertebrates: Their development and tranmission*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Wallingford, UK, CAB International, 650 pp.

ARNEBERG, P. (2001): An ecological law and its macroecological consequences as revealed by studies of relationships between host densities and parasite prevalence. *Ecography*, 24: 352 – 358. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0587.2001.tb00208.x

Battersby, S., Hirschhorn, R.B., Amman, B.R. (2008): Commensal rodents. In: Bonnefoy, X., Kampen, H., Sweeney, K. (Eds), *Public Health Significance of Urban Pests*. Copenhagen, Denmark: World Health Organization, pp. 387 – 419

CHAN, K.F. (1952): Life cycle studies on the nematode *Syphacia* obvelata. Am. J. Hyg., 56: 14 – 21

Choisy, M., Brown, S.P., Lafferty, K.D., Thomas, F. (2003): Evolution of trophic transmission in parasites: Why add intermediate hosts? *Am. Nat.*, 162: 172 – 181. DOI: 10.1086/375681

CLARK, D.A. (1982): Foraging behavior of a vertebrate omnivore (*Rattus rattus*): Meal structure, sampling, and diet breadth. *Ecology*, 63: 763 – 772. DOI: 10.2307/1936797

CORONEL-GUEVARA, M. (1953): Observaciones sobre el ciclo biológico de Moniliformis moniliformis (Bremser, 1811). [Observations on the life cycle of Moniliformis moniliformis (Bremser, 1811)]. B.S. thesis, Mexico City, Mexico: Instituto Politécnico Nacional (In Spanish) Costa, F., Ribeiro, G.S., Felzemburgh, R.D.M., Santos, N., Reis, R.B., Santos, A.C., Fraga, D.B.M., Araujo, W.N., Santana, C., Childs, J.E., et al. (2014): Influence of household rat infestation

on *Leptospira* transmission in the urban slum environment. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.*, 8: e3338. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0003338 DE LEON, D.D. (1964): Helminth parasites of rats in San Juan, Puerto Rico. *J. Parasitol.*, 50: 478. DOI: 10.2307/3275862

DE MASI, E., VILAÇA, P.,RAZZOLINI, M.T.P. (2009): Environmental conditions and rodent infestation in Campo Limpo district, Sao Paulo municipality, Brazil. *Int. J. Environ. Health Res.*, 19: 1 – 16. DOI: 10.1080/09603120802126670

DRUMMOND, D.C. (2001): Rodents and biodeterioration. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegradation*, 48: 105 – 111. DOI: 10.1016/S0964-8305(01)00073-7

Falcón-Ordaz, J., Pulido-Flores, G., Monks, S. (2010): New species of *Aspiculuris* (Nematoda: Heteroxynematidae), parasite of *Mus musculus* (Rodentia: Muridae), from Hidalgo, Mexico. *Rev. Mex. Biodivers.*, 81: 669 – 676

García-Prieto, L. (1986): (Estudio taxonómico de algunos céstodos de vertebrados de México). [Taxonomic study of some cestodes in Mexican vertebrates]. B.S. thesis, Mexico City, Mexico: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (In Spanish)

GARCÍA-PRIETO, L., FALCÓN-ORDAZ, J., GUZMÁN-CORNEJO, C. (2012): Helminth parasites of wild Mexican mammals: List of species, hosts and geographical distribution. *Zootaxa*, 92: 1 – 92.

Gutierrez-González, J. (1980): (Algunos helmintos parásitos en ratas silvestres de Apodaca, Nuevo León, México). [Some helminth parasites in wild rats from Apodaca, Nuevo Leon, Mexico]. B.S. thesis, Nuevo León, México: Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León (In Spanish)

HIERRO-HUERTA, P. (1992): (Helmintofauna de la "rata de alcantarilla" Rattus norvegicus Erxleben, 1777, de la Ciudad de Morelia, Michoacán, México). [Helminthfauna of the sewer rat Rattus norvegicus Erxleben, 1777, from the city of Morelia, Michoacan, Mexico]. B.S. thesis, Michoacán, México: Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo (In Spanish)

Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (2012): Inventario nacional de viviendas. [National inventory of households]. Retrieved May 25, 2013 from http://www3.inegi.org.mx/sistemas/mapa/inv/Default.aspx?bi=1 (In Spanish)

Langton, S.D., Cowan, D.P., Meyer, A.N. (2001): The occurrence of commensal rodents in dwellings as revealed by the 1996 English House Condition Survey. *J. Appl. Ecol.*, 38: 699 – 709. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2664.2001.00631.x

LAVIKAINEN, A., IWAKI, T., HAUKISALMI, V., KONYAEV, S. V., CASIRAGHI, M., DOKUCHAEV, N.E., GALIMBERTI, A., HALAJIAN, A., HENTTONEN, H., ICHIKAWA-SEKI, M., ET AL. (2016): Reappraisal of *Hydatigera taeniaeformis* (Batsch, 1786) (Cestoda: Taeniidae) sensu lato with description of *Hydatigera kamiyai* n. sp. *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 46: 361 – 374. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2016.01.009

LEARY, S., UNDERWOOD, W., LILLY, E., ANTHONY, R., CARTNER, S., COREY, D., GRANDIN, T., GREENACRE, C., COLLINS, F., GREENACRE, C., ET AL. (2013): *AVMA guidelines for the euthanasia of animals: 2013 Edition*. Illinois, USA, American Veterinary Medical Association, 102 pp.

MARANGI, M., ZECHINI, B., FILETI, A., QUARANTA, G., ACETI, A. (2003): *Hymenolepis diminuta* infection in a child living in the urban area of Rome, Italy. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, 41: 3994 – 3995. DOI: 10.1128/JCM.41.8.3994

Martínez-Barbabosa, I., Gutiérrez-Cárdenas, M.E., Aguilar-Venegas, J.M., Shea, M., Gutiérrez-Quiroz, M., Ruíz-González, L.A. (2012): Infección por *Hymenolepis diminuta* en una estudiante universitaria. [*Investigation of Hymenolepis diminuta infection in a collage student*]. *Rev. Biomédica*, 23: 61 – 64 (In Spanish)

MEERBURG, B.G., SINGLETON, G.R., KIJLSTRA, A. (2009): Rodent-borne diseases and their risks for public health. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.*, 35: 221 – 270. DOI: 10.1080/10408410902989837

MILAZZO, C., DE BELLOCQ, J.G., CAGNIN, M., CASANOVA, J.C., DI BELLA, C., FELIU, C., FONS, R., MORAND, S., SANTALLA, F. (2003): Helminths and Ectoparasites of *Rattus rattus* and *Mus musculus* from Sicily, Italy. *Comp. Parasitol.*, 70: 199 – 204. DOI: 10.1654/4109.1

MILAZZO, C., CAGNIN, M., DI BELLA, C., GERACI, F., RIBAS, A. (2010): Helminth fauna of commensal rodents, *Mus musculus* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *Rattus rattus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Rodentia, Muridae) in Sicily (Italy). *Rev. Ibero-Latinoamericana Parasitol.*, 69: 194 – 198 MOHD ZAIN, S.N., BEHNKE, J.M., LEWIS, J.W. (2012): Helminth communities from two urban rat populations in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. *Parasit. Vectors*, 5: 47. DOI: 10.1186/1756-3305-5-47

Pakdel, N., Naem, S., Rezaei, F., Chalehchaleh, A.A. (2013): A survey on helminthic infection in mice (*Mus musculus*) and rats (*Rattus norvegicus* and *Rattus rattus*) in Kermanshah, Iran. *Vet. Res. Forum*, 4: 105 – 109

Panti-May, J.A., Hernández-Betancourt, S.F., Rodríguez-Vivas, R.I., Robles, M.R. (2015): Infection levels of intestinal helminths in two commensal rodent species from rural households in Yucatan, Mexico. *J. Helminthol.*, 89: 42 – 48. DOI: 10.1017/S0022149X13000576

Panti-May, J.A., Hernández-Betancourt, S.F., Torres-Castro, M.A., Machaín-Williams, C., Cigarroa-Toledo, N., Sodá, L., López-Manzanero, G., Meza-Sulú, J.R., Vidal-Martínez, V.M. (2016): Population characteristics of human-commensal rodents present in households from Mérida, Yucatán, México. *Manter J. Parasite Biodivers.*, Occas paper 6: 1 – 6

PROMKERD, P., KHOPRASERT, Y., VIRATHAVONE, P., THOUMMABOUTH, M., SIRISAK, O., JÄKEL, T. (2008): Factors explaining the abundance of rodents in the city of Luang Prabang, Lao PDR, as revealed by field and household surveys. *Integr. Zool.*, 3: 11 – 20. DOI: 10.1111/j.1749-4877.2008.00069.x

Pulido-Flores, G., Moreno-Flores, S., Monks, S. (2005): Helminths of rodents (Rodentia: Muridae) from Metztitlán, San Crsito-

bal, and Rancho Santa Elena, Hidalgo, Mexico. *Comp. Parasitol.*, 72: 186 – 192. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1654/4146

RAFIQUE, A., RANA, S.A., KHAN, H.A., SOHAIL, A. (2009): Prevalence of some helminths in rodents captured from different city structures including poultry farms and human population of Faisalabad, Pakistan. *Pak. Vet. J.*, 29: 141 – 144

RIOFRÍO-LAZO, M., PÁEZ-ROSAS, D. (2015): Feeding habits of introduced black rats, *Rattus rattus*, in nesting colonies of Galapagos petrel on San Cristóbal Island, Galapagos. *PLoS One*, 10: e0127901. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0127901

Rózsa, L., Reiczigel, J., Majoros, G. (2000): Quantifying parasites in samples of hosts. *J. Parasitol.*, 86: 228 – 232. DOI: 10.1645/0022-3395(2000)086[0228:QPISOH]2.0.CO;2

Secretaría de Agricultura, Ganadería, Desarrollo Rural, Pesca y Alimentación (1999): Especificaciones técnicas para la producción, cuidado y uso de los animales de laboratorio. [*Technical specifications for the production, care, and use of laboratory animals*]. NOM-062-ZOO-1999. Diario Ofical de la Federación (In Spanish) Sikes, R.S., Gannon, W.L., Animal Care and Use Committee of the American Society of Mammalogists (2011): Guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in research. *J. Mammal.*, 92: 235 – 253. DOI: 10.1644/10-MAMM-F-355.1

STAHL, W. (1963): Studies on the life cycle of Syphacia muris, the rat pinworm. Keio J. Med., 12: 55-60

Tay Zavala, J., Alvarez, T., Gutiérrez Quiroz, M., Sánchez Vega, J., Fenández Presas, A. (1999): Zoonosis por helmintos en mamíferos de Morelia, Michoacán, República Mexicana. [Zoonoses by helminths in mammals from Morelia, Michoacan, Mexico]. Rev. Fac. Med. UNAM, 42: 64 – 65 (In Spanish)

Tena, D., Pérez Simón, M., Gimeno, C., Pérez Pomata, M.T., Illescas, S., Amondarain, I., González, A., Domínguez, J., Bisquert, J. (1998): Human infection with *Hymenolepis diminuta*: case report from Spain. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, 36: 2375 – 2376

WAUGH, C.A., LINDO, J.F., FORONDA, P., ANGELES-SANTANA, M., LORENZO-MORALES, J., ROBINSON, R.D. (2006): Population distribution and zoonotic potential of gastrointestinal helminths of wild rats *Rattus rattus* and *R. norvegicus* from Jamaica. *J. Parasitol.*, 92: 1014 – 1018. DOI: 10.1645/GE-795R1.1

Wells, K., Smales, L.R., Kalko, E.K. V., Pfeiffer, M. (2007): Impact of rain-forest logging on helminth assemblages in small mammals (Muridae, Tupaiidae) from Borneo. *J. Trop. Ecol.*, 23: 35 – 43. DOI: 10.1017/S0266467406003804

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (2012): Bench aids for the diagnosis of intestinal parasites. 4th Edition, Geneva, Switzerland, World Health Organization, 20 pp.