

On Monomorphisms and Subfields

Christoph Schwarzweiler 
Institute of Informatics
University of Gdańsk
Poland

Summary. This is the second part of a four-article series containing a Mizar [2], [1] formalization of Kronecker’s construction about roots of polynomials in field extensions, i.e. that for every field F and every polynomial $p \in F[X] \setminus F$ there exists a field extension E of F such that p has a root over E . The formalization follows Kronecker’s classical proof using $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ as the desired field extension E [5], [3], [4].

In the first part we show that an irreducible polynomial $p \in F[X] \setminus F$ has a root over $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$. Note, however, that this statement cannot be true in a rigid formal sense: We do not have $F \subseteq F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ as sets, so F is not a subfield of $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$, and hence formally p is not even a polynomial over $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$. Consequently, we translate p along the canonical monomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ and show that the translated polynomial $\phi(p)$ has a root over $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$.

Because F is not a subfield of $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ we construct in this second part the field $(E \setminus \phi F) \cup F$ for a given monomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow E$ and show that this field both is isomorphic to F and includes F as a subfield. In the literature this part of the proof usually consists of saying that “one can identify F with its image ϕF in $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ and therefore consider F as a subfield of $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ ”. Interestingly, to do so we need to assume that $F \cap E = \emptyset$, in particular Kronecker’s construction can be formalized for fields F with $F \cap F[X] = \emptyset$.

Surprisingly, as we show in the third part, this condition is not automatically true for arbitrary fields F : With the exception of \mathbb{Z}_2 we construct for every field F an isomorphic copy F' of F with $F' \cap F'[X] \neq \emptyset$. We also prove that for Mizar’s representations of \mathbb{Z}_n , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} we have $\mathbb{Z}_n \cap \mathbb{Z}_n[X] = \emptyset$, $\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Q}[X] = \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{R}[X] = \emptyset$, respectively.

In the fourth part we finally define field extensions: E is a field extension of F iff F is a subfield of E . Note, that in this case we have $F \subseteq E$ as sets, and thus a polynomial p over F is also a polynomial over E . We then apply the construction of the second part to $F[X]/\langle p \rangle$ with the canonical monomorphism

$\phi : F \longrightarrow F[X]/\langle p \rangle$. Together with the first part this gives - for fields F with $F \cap F[X] = \emptyset$ - a field extension E of F in which $p \in F[X] \setminus F$ has a root.

MSC: 12E05 12F05 68T99 03B35

Keywords: roots of polynomials; field extensions; Kronecker's construction

MML identifier: FIELD_2, version: 8.1.09 5.57.1355

From now on R denotes a ring, S denotes an R -monomorphic ring, K denotes a field, F denotes a K -monomorphic field, and T denotes a K -monomorphic commutative ring.

Let us consider R and S . Let f be a monomorphism of R and S . Let us observe that the functor f^{-1} yields a function from $\text{rng } f$ into R . Now we state the propositions:

- (1) Let us consider a monomorphism f of R and S , and elements a, b of $\text{rng } f$. Then
 - (i) $(f^{-1})(a + b) = (f^{-1})(a) + (f^{-1})(b)$, and
 - (ii) $(f^{-1})(a \cdot b) = (f^{-1})(a) \cdot (f^{-1})(b)$.
- (2) Let us consider a monomorphism f of R and S , and an element a of $\text{rng } f$. Then $(f^{-1})(a) = 0_R$ if and only if $a = 0_S$.

Let us consider a monomorphism f of R and S . Now we state the propositions:

- (3) (i) $(f^{-1})(1_S) = 1_R$, and
- (ii) $(f^{-1})(0_S) = 0_R$.

The theorem is a consequence of (1).

- (4) f^{-1} is one-to-one and onto.
- (5) Let us consider a monomorphism f of R and S , and an element a of R . Then $f(a) = 0_S$ if and only if $a = 0_R$.
- (6) Let us consider a monomorphism f of K and F , and an element a of K . If $a \neq 0_K$, then $f(a^{-1}) = f(a)^{-1}$. The theorem is a consequence of (5).

Let R, S be rings. We introduce the notation R and S are disjoint as a synonym of R misses S .

One can check that R and S are disjoint if and only if the condition (Def. 1) is satisfied.

(Def. 1) $\Omega_R \cap \Omega_S = \emptyset$.

Let us consider R and S . Let f be a monomorphism of R and S . The functor \bar{f} yielding a non empty set is defined by the term

(Def. 2) $(\Omega_S \setminus \text{rng } f) \cup \Omega_R$.

Let R be a ring, S be an R -monomorphic ring, and a, b be elements of \bar{f} . The functor $\text{addemb}(f, a, b)$ yielding an element of \bar{f} is defined by the term

$$(\text{Def. 3}) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{(the addition of } R)(a, b), & \text{if } a, b \in \Omega_R, \\ \text{(the addition of } S)(f(a), b), & \text{if } a \in \Omega_R \text{ and } b \notin \Omega_R, \\ \text{(the addition of } S)(a, f(b)), & \text{if } b \in \Omega_R \text{ and } a \notin \Omega_R, \\ (f^{-1})((\text{the addition of } S)(a, b)), & \text{if } a \notin \Omega_R \text{ and } b \notin \Omega_R \text{ and} \\ & \text{(the addition of } S)(a, b) \in \text{rng } f, \\ \text{(the addition of } S)(a, b), & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

The functor $\text{addemb}(f)$ yielding a binary operation on \bar{f} is defined by
 (Def. 4) for every elements a, b of \bar{f} , $it(a, b) = \text{addemb}(f, a, b)$.

Let K be a field, T be a K -monomorphic commutative ring, f be a monomorphism of K and T , and a, b be elements of \bar{f} . The functor $\text{multemb}(f, a, b)$ yielding an element of \bar{f} is defined by the term

$$(\text{Def. 5}) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{(the multiplication of } K)(a, b), & \text{if } a, b \in \Omega_K, \\ 0_K, & \text{if } a = 0_K \text{ or } b = 0_K, \\ \text{(the multiplication of } T)(f(a), b), & \text{if } a \in \Omega_K \text{ and } a \neq 0_K \text{ and} \\ & b \notin \Omega_K, \\ \text{(the multiplication of } T)(a, f(b)), & \text{if } b \in \Omega_K \text{ and } b \neq 0_K \text{ and} \\ & a \notin \Omega_K, \\ (f^{-1})((\text{the multiplication of } T)(a, b)), & \text{if } a \notin \Omega_K \text{ and } b \notin \Omega_K \text{ and} \\ & \text{(the multiplication of } T) \\ & (a, b) \in \text{rng } f, \\ \text{(the multiplication of } T)(a, b), & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

The functor $\text{multemb}(f)$ yielding a binary operation on \bar{f} is defined by
 (Def. 6) for every elements a, b of \bar{f} , $it(a, b) = \text{multemb}(f, a, b)$.

The functor $\text{embField}(f)$ yielding a strict double loop structure is defined by

(Def. 7) the carrier of $it = \bar{f}$ and the addition of $it = \text{addemb}(f)$ and the multiplication of $it = \text{multemb}(f)$ and the one of $it = 1_K$ and the zero of $it = 0_K$.

One can verify that $\text{embField}(f)$ is non degenerated and $\text{embField}(f)$ is Abelian and right zeroed.

Let us consider a monomorphism f of K and T . Now we state the propositions:

- (7) If K and T are disjoint, then $\text{embField}(f)$ is add-associative. The theorem is a consequence of (1).
- (8) If K and T are disjoint, then $\text{embField}(f)$ is right complementable.

Let K be a field, T be a K -monomorphic commutative ring, and f be a monomorphism of K and T . Note that $\text{embField}(f)$ is commutative and well unital.

- (9) Let us consider a monomorphism f of K and F . If K and F are disjoint, then $\text{embField}(f)$ is associative. The theorem is a consequence of (1), (2), and (6).
- (10) Let us consider a monomorphism f of K and T . If K and T are disjoint, then $\text{embField}(f)$ is distributive. The theorem is a consequence of (3), (2), and (1).

Let us consider a monomorphism f of K and F . Now we state the propositions:

- (11) If K and F are disjoint, then $\text{embField}(f)$ is almost left invertible. The theorem is a consequence of (3).
- (12) If K and F are disjoint, then $\text{embField}(f)$ is a field.

Let K be a field, F be a K -monomorphic field, and f be a monomorphism of K and F . The functor $\text{emb-iso}(f)$ yielding a function from $\text{embField}(f)$ into F is defined by

- (Def. 8) for every element a of $\text{embField}(f)$ such that $a \notin K$ holds $it(a) = a$ and for every element a of $\text{embField}(f)$ such that $a \in K$ holds $it(a) = f(a)$.

One can verify that $\text{emb-iso}(f)$ is unity-preserving.

Let us consider a monomorphism f of K and F . Now we state the propositions:

- (13) If K and F are disjoint, then $\text{emb-iso}(f)$ is additive.
- (14) If K and F are disjoint, then $\text{emb-iso}(f)$ is multiplicative.

Let K be a field, F be a K -monomorphic field, and f be a monomorphism of K and F . Note that $\text{emb-iso}(f)$ is one-to-one.

Let us consider a monomorphism f of K and F . Now we state the propositions:

- (15) If K and F are disjoint, then $\text{emb-iso}(f)$ is onto.
- (16) If K and F are disjoint, then F and $\text{embField}(f)$ are isomorphic. The theorem is a consequence of (13), (14), and (15).
- (17) Let us consider a monomorphism f of K and F , and a field E . If $E = \text{embField}(f)$, then K is a subfield of E .
- (18) If K and F are disjoint, then there exists a field E such that E and F are isomorphic and K is a subfield of E . The theorem is a consequence of (7), (9), (10), (8), (11), (16), and (17).
- (19) Let us consider fields K, F . Suppose K and F are disjoint. Then F is K -monomorphic if and only if there exists a field E such that E and F are isomorphic and K is a subfield of E . The theorem is a consequence of (18).

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, and Karol Pąk. The role of the Mizar Mathematical Library for interactive proof development in Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 61(1):9–32, 2018. doi:10.1007/s10817-017-9440-6.
- [2] Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, and Adam Naumowicz. Four decades of Mizar. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 55(3):191–198, 2015. doi:10.1007/s10817-015-9345-1.
- [3] Nathan Jacobson. *Basic Algebra I*. Dover Books on Mathematics, 1985.
- [4] Heinz Lüneburg. *Gruppen, Ringe, Körper: Die grundlegenden Strukturen der Algebra*. Oldenbourg Verlag, 1999.
- [5] Knut Radbruch. *Algebra I*. Lecture Notes, University of Kaiserslautern, Germany, 1991.

Accepted May 27, 2019
