

# Product Pre-Measure

## Noboru Endou Gifu National College of Technology Gifu, Japan

**Summary.** In this article we formalize in Mizar [5] product pre-measure on product sets of measurable sets. Although there are some approaches to construct product measure [22], [6], [9], [21], [25], we start it from  $\sigma$ -measure because existence of  $\sigma$ -measure on any semialgebras has been proved in [15]. In this approach, we use some theorems for integrals.

MSC: 28A35 03B35

Keywords: product measure; pre-measure

MML identifier: MEASUR10, version: 8.1.04 5.36.1267

#### 1. Preliminaries

Now we state the proposition:

(1) Let us consider non empty sets A,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , B,  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ . Then  $A_1 \times B_1$  misses  $A_2 \times B_2$  and  $A \times B = A_1 \times B_1 \cup A_2 \times B_2$  if and only if  $A_1$  misses  $A_2$  and  $A = A_1 \cup A_2$  and  $B = B_1$  and  $B = B_2$  or  $B_1$  misses  $B_2$  and  $B = B_1 \cup B_2$  and  $A = A_1$  and  $A = A_2$ .

Let C, D be non empty sets, F be a sequence of  $D^C$ , and n be a natural number. One can check that the functor F(n) yields a function from C into D.

- (2) Let us consider sets X, Y, A, B, and objects x, y. Suppose  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ . Then  $\chi_{A,X}(x) \cdot \chi_{B,Y}(y) = \chi_{A \times B, X \times Y}(x,y)$ .
  - Let A, B be sets. One can verify that  $\chi_{A,B}$  is non-negative.
- (3) Let us consider a non empty set X, a semialgebra S of sets of X, a premeasure P of S, an induced measure m of S and P, and an induced  $\sigma$ -measure M of S and m. Then COM(M) is complete on  $COM(\sigma(the field generated by <math>S), M)$ .

The functor  $\mathrm{Intervals}_{\mathbb{R}}$  yielding a semialgebra of sets of  $\mathbb{R}$  is defined by the term

(Def. 1) the set of all I where I is an interval.

Now we state the propositions:

- (4) Halflines  $\subseteq$  Intervals<sub> $\mathbb{R}$ </sub>.
- (5) Let us consider a subset I of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If I is an interval, then  $I \in$  the Borel sets.
- (6) (i)  $\sigma(\text{Intervals}_{\mathbb{R}}) = \text{the Borel sets, and}$ 
  - (ii)  $\sigma$ (the field generated by Intervals<sub>R</sub>) = the Borel sets.

The theorem is a consequence of (4) and (5).

## 2. Family of Semialgebras, Fields and Measures

Now we state the propositions:

- (7) Let us consider sets  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , a non empty family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , and a non empty family  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ . Then the set of all  $a \times b$  where a is an element of  $S_1$ , b is an element of  $S_2$  is a non empty family of subsets of  $X_1 \times X_2$ .
- (8) Let us consider sets X, Y, a family M of subsets of X with the empty element, and a family N of subsets of Y with the empty element. Then the set of all  $A \times B$  where A is an element of M, B is an element of N is a family of subsets of  $X \times Y$  with the empty element. The theorem is a consequence of (7).
- (9) Let us consider a set X, and disjoint valued finite sequences O, T of elements of X. Suppose  $\bigcup \operatorname{rng} O$  misses  $\bigcup \operatorname{rng} T$ . Then  $O \cap T$  is a disjoint valued finite sequence of elements of X.
- (10) Let us consider sets  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , a semiring  $S_1$  of  $X_1$ , and a semiring  $S_2$  of  $X_2$ . Then the set of all  $A \times B$  where A is an element of  $S_1$ , B is an element of  $S_2$  is a semiring of  $X_1 \times X_2$ .
- (11) Let us consider sets  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , a semialgebra  $S_1$  of sets of  $X_1$ , and a semialgebra  $S_2$  of sets of  $X_2$ . Then the set of all  $A \times B$  where A is an element of  $S_1$ , B is an element of  $S_2$  is a semialgebra of sets of  $X_1 \times X_2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (10).
- (12) Let us consider sets  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , a field O of subsets of  $X_1$ , and a field T of subsets of  $X_2$ . Then the set of all  $A \times B$  where A is an element of O, B is an element of T is a semialgebra of sets of  $X_1 \times X_2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (11).

Let n be a non-zero natural number and X be a non-empty, n-element finite sequence.

A family of semialgebras of X is an n-element finite sequence and is defined by

(Def. 2) for every natural number i such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  holds it(i) is a semialgebra of sets of X(i).

Let us observe that a family of semialgebras of X is a  $\cap$ -closed yielding family of semirings of X. Now we state the proposition:

(13) Let us consider a non zero natural number n, a non-empty, n-element finite sequence X, a family S of semialgebras of X, and a natural number i. If  $i \in \text{Seg } n$ , then  $X(i) \in S(i)$ .

Let us consider a non-empty, 1-element finite sequence X and a family S of semialgebras of X. Now we state the propositions:

- (14) the set of all  $\prod \langle s \rangle$  where s is an element of S(1) is a semialgebra of sets of the set of all  $\langle x \rangle$  where x is an element of X(1). The theorem is a consequence of (13).
- (15) SemiringProduct(S) is a semialgebra of sets of  $\prod X$ . The theorem is a consequence of (14).
- (16) Let us consider sets  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , a semialgebra  $S_1$  of sets of  $X_1$ , and a semialgebra  $S_2$  of sets of  $X_2$ . Then the set of all  $s_1 \times s_2$  where  $s_1$  is an element of  $S_1$ ,  $s_2$  is an element of  $S_2$  is a semialgebra of sets of  $X_1 \times X_2$ .
- (17) Let us consider a non zero natural number n, a non-empty, n-element finite sequence X, and a family S of semialgebras of X. Then SemiringProduct (S) is a semialgebra of sets of  $\prod X$ .

  PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{non zero natural number}] \equiv \text{for every non-empty, } \$_1$ -element finite sequence X for every family S of semialgebras of X, SemiringProduct(S) is a semialgebra of sets of  $\prod X$ .  $\mathcal{P}[1]$ . For every non zero natural number k,  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  from [3, Sch. 10].  $\square$
- (18) Let us consider a non zero natural number n, a non-empty, n-element finite sequence  $X_8$ , a non-empty, 1-element finite sequence  $X_1$ , a family  $S_4$  of semialgebras of  $X_8$ , and a family  $S_1$  of semialgebras of  $X_1$ . Then SemiringProduct( $S_4 \cap S_1$ ) is a semialgebra of sets of  $\prod (X_8 \cap X_1)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (17), (16), and (13).

Let n be a non-zero natural number and X be a non-empty, n-element finite sequence.

A family of fields of X is an n-element finite sequence and is defined by

(Def. 3) for every natural number i such that  $i \in \operatorname{Seg} n$  holds it(i) is a field of subsets of X(i).

Let S be a family of fields of X and i be a natural number. Assume  $i \in \text{Seg } n$ . Observe that the functor S(i) yields a field of subsets of X(i).

Observe that a family of fields of X is a family of semialgebras of X.

Let us consider a non-empty, 1-element finite sequence X and a family S of fields of X. Now we state the propositions:

- (19) the set of all  $\prod \langle s \rangle$  where s is an element of S(1) is a field of subsets of the set of all  $\langle x \rangle$  where x is an element of X(1). The theorem is a consequence of (14).
- (20) SemiringProduct(S) is a field of subsets of  $\prod X$ . The theorem is a consequence of (19).

Let n be a non-zero natural number, X be a non-empty, n-element finite sequence, and S be a family of fields of X.

A family of measures of S is an n-element finite sequence and is defined by (Def. 4)—for every natural number i such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  holds it(i) is a measure on S(i).

#### 3. Product of Two Measures

Let  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  be sets,  $S_1$  be a field of subsets of  $X_1$ , and  $S_2$  be a field of subsets of  $X_2$ . The functor MeasRect $(S_1, S_2)$  yielding a semialgebra of sets of  $X_1 \times X_2$  is defined by the term

- (Def. 5) the set of all  $A \times B$  where A is an element of  $S_1$ , B is an element of  $S_2$ . Now we state the proposition:
  - (21) Let us consider a set X, and a field F of subsets of X. Then there exists a semialgebra S of sets of X such that
    - (i) F = S, and
    - (ii) F =the field generated by S.

Let  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  be sets,  $S_1$  be a field of subsets of  $X_1$ ,  $S_2$  be a field of subsets of  $X_2$ ,  $m_1$  be a measure on  $S_1$ , and  $m_2$  be a measure on  $S_2$ . The functor ProdpreMeas $(m_1, m_2)$  yielding a non-negative, zeroed function from MeasRect  $(S_1, S_2)$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is defined by

(Def. 6) for every element C of MeasRect $(S_1, S_2)$ , there exists an element A of  $S_1$  and there exists an element B of  $S_2$  such that  $C = A \times B$  and  $it(C) = m_1(A) \cdot m_2(B)$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(22) Let us consider sets  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , a field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a measure  $m_1$  on  $S_1$ , a measure  $m_2$  on  $S_2$ , and sets A, B.

Suppose  $A \in S_1$  and  $B \in S_2$ . Then  $(ProdpreMeas(m_1, m_2))(A \times B) = m_1(A) \cdot m_2(B)$ .

(23) Let us consider sets  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , a non empty family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a non empty family  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a non empty family  $S_1$  of subsets of  $S_2$ , and a finite sequence  $S_2$  of elements of  $S_2$ . Suppose  $S_3$  the set of all  $S_2$  where  $S_3$  is an element of  $S_4$ ,  $S_4$  is an element of  $S_4$ . Then there exists a finite sequence  $S_3$  of elements of  $S_4$  and there exists a finite sequence  $S_4$  of elements of  $S_4$  such that len  $S_4$  and len  $S_4$  len  $S_4$  and for every natural number  $S_4$  such that  $S_4$  decomposed in  $S_4$  and  $S_4$  and  $S_4$  decomposed in  $S_4$  decomp

PROOF: For every natural number k such that  $k \in \text{dom } H$  there exists an element A of  $S_1$  and there exists an element B of  $S_2$  such that  $H(k) = A \times B$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number, set}] \equiv \text{there exists}$  an element B of  $S_2$  such that  $H(\$_1) = \$_2 \times B$ . Consider F being a finite sequence of elements of  $S_1$  such that dom F = Seg len H and for every natural number k such that  $k \in \text{Seg len } H$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[k, F(k)]$  from [4, Sch. 5]. Define  $\mathcal{Q}[\text{natural number, set}] \equiv \text{there exists}$  an element A of  $S_1$  such that  $H(\$_1) = A \times \$_2$ . For every natural number k such that  $k \in \text{Seg len } H$  there exists an element  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  of  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that dom  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that dom  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that dom  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  finite sequence of elements of  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that dom  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that dom  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that dom  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that dom  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that dom  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that dom  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that dom  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that dom  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that dom  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such th

- (24) Let us consider a set X, a non empty, semi-diff-closed,  $\cap$ -closed family S of subsets of X, and elements  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$  of S. Then there exist disjoint valued finite sequences O, T, F of elements of S such that
  - (i)  $\bigcup \operatorname{rng} O = E_1 \setminus E_2$ , and
  - (ii)  $\bigcup \operatorname{rng} T = E_2 \setminus E_1$ , and
  - (iii)  $\bigcup \operatorname{rng} F = E_1 \cap E_2$ , and
  - (iv)  $(O \cap T) \cap F$  is a disjoint valued finite sequence of elements of S.

The theorem is a consequence of (9).

- (25) Let us consider sets  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , a field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a measure  $m_1$  on  $S_1$ , a measure  $m_2$  on  $S_2$ , and elements  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$  of MeasRect $(S_1, S_2)$ . Suppose  $E_1$  misses  $E_2$  and  $E_1 \cup E_2 \in \text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ . Then  $(\text{ProdpreMeas}(m_1, m_2))(E_1 \cup E_2) = (\text{ProdpreMeas}(m_1, m_2))(E_1) + (\text{ProdpreMeas}(m_1, m_2))(E_2)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (1) and (22).
- (26) Let us consider a non empty set X, a non empty family S of subsets of X, a function f from  $\mathbb{N}$  into S, and a sequence F of partial functions from X into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose f is disjoint valued and for every natural number

- $n, F(n) = \chi_{f(n),X}$ . Let us consider an object x. Suppose  $x \in X$ . Then  $\chi_{\bigcup f,X}(x) = (\lim(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})(x)$ .
- (27) Let us consider a non empty set X, a  $\sigma$ -field S of subsets of X, a  $\sigma$ -measure M on S, a partial function f from X to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and a real number r. Suppose dom  $f \in S$  and  $0 \le r$  and for every object x such that  $x \in \text{dom } f$  holds f(x) = r. Then  $\int f \, dM = r \cdot M(\text{dom } f)$ .

Let us consider a non empty set X, a  $\sigma$ -field S of subsets of X, a  $\sigma$ -measure M on S, a partial function f from X to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and an element A of S. Now we state the propositions:

- (28) Suppose there exists an element E of S such that E = dom f and f is measurable on E and for every object x such that  $x \in \text{dom } f \setminus A$  holds f(x) = 0 and f is non-negative. Then  $\int f \, dM = \int f \upharpoonright A \, dM$ . The theorem is a consequence of (27).
- (29) If f is integrable on M and for every object x such that  $x \in \text{dom } f \setminus A$  holds f(x) = 0, then  $\int f \, dM = \int f \! \upharpoonright \! A \, dM$ . The theorem is a consequence of (27).
- (30) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , a function D from  $\mathbb N$  into  $S_1$ , a function E from  $\mathbb N$  into  $S_2$ , an element A of  $S_1$ , an element B of  $S_2$ , a sequence E of partial functions from E into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , a sequence E of  $\mathbb{R}^{X_1}$ , and an element E of E of a suppose for every natural number E of E of every natural number E of every natural number E of extended reals such that
  - (i) for every natural number n,  $I(n) = M_2(E(n)) \cdot \chi_{D(n),X_1}(x)$ , and
  - (ii) I is summable, and
  - (iii)  $\int \lim (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} dM_2 = \sum I$ .

PROOF: For every natural number n,  $dom(F(n)) = X_2$ . Reconsider  $S_3 = X_2$  as an element of  $S_2$ . For every natural number n and for every set y such that  $y \in E(n)$  holds F(n)(y) = 0 or F(n)(y) = 1 by [10, (3)], [18, (1)], [12, (39)]. For every natural number n and for every set y such that  $y \notin E(n)$  holds F(n)(y) = 0. For every natural number n, F(n) is nonnegative and F(n) is measurable on B by [8, (51)], [17, (37)], [18, (29)]. For every element y of  $X_2$  such that  $y \in B$  holds F # y is summable by [8, (51), (39)], [19, (16)], [29, (37)].

Consider I being a sequence of extended reals such that for every natural number n,  $I(n) = \int F(n) \upharpoonright B \, dM_2$  and I is summable and  $\int \lim_{\alpha \to 0} F(\alpha) \upharpoonright_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \upharpoonright B \, dM_2 = \sum I$ . For every natural number n,  $I(n) = \sum_{\alpha \to 0} I$ 

 $M_2(E(n)) \cdot \chi_{D(n),X_1}(x)$  by [28, (61)], [10, (47), (49)], [18, (29)]. For every natural number n, F(n) is measurable on  $S_3$  by [18, (29)], [17, (37)]. For every natural number n, F(n) is without  $-\infty$ . For every element y of  $X_2$  such that  $y \in S_3$  holds  $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \# y$  is convergent by [19, (38)]. For every object y such that  $y \in \text{dom} \lim(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \setminus B$  holds  $(\lim(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})(y) = 0$  by [19, (43)], [16, (52)]. For every object y such that  $y \in \text{dom} \lim(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$  holds  $(\lim(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})(y) \geq 0$  by [19, (36)], [8, (51)], [19, (10), (38)].  $\square$ 

(31) Let us consider a non empty set X, a  $\sigma$ -field S of subsets of X, an element A of S, and an extended real number p. Then  $X \longmapsto p$  is measurable on A. PROOF: For every real number r,  $A \cap \text{GTE-dom}(X \longmapsto p, r) \in S$  by [26, (7)], [7, (7)].  $\square$ 

Let A, X be sets. The functor  $\overline{\chi}_{A,X}$  yielding a function from X into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is defined by

(Def. 7) for every object x such that  $x \in X$  holds if  $x \in A$ , then  $it(x) = +\infty$  and if  $x \notin A$ , then it(x) = 0.

Now we state the proposition:

(32) Let us consider a non empty set X, a  $\sigma$ -field S of subsets of X, and elements A, B of S. Then  $\overline{\chi}_{A,X}$  is measurable on B.

Let X, A be sets. Let us observe that  $\overline{\chi}_{A,X}$  is non-negative.

- (33) Let us consider a non empty set X, a  $\sigma$ -field S of subsets of X, a  $\sigma$ -measure M on S, and an element A of S. Then
  - (i) if  $M(A) \neq 0$ , then  $\int \overline{\chi}_{A,X} dM = +\infty$ , and
  - (ii) if M(A) = 0, then  $\int \overline{\chi}_{A,X} dM = 0$ .

PROOF: Reconsider  $X_3 = X$  as an element of S. Reconsider  $X_2 = X_3 \setminus A$  as an element of S. Reconsider  $F = \overline{\chi}_{A,X} \upharpoonright A$  as a partial function from X to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Reconsider  $O = \overline{\chi}_{A,X} \upharpoonright X_2$  as a partial function from X to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Reconsider  $T = \overline{\chi}_{A,X} \upharpoonright (X_2 \cup A)$  as a partial function from X to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .  $\int F \, \mathrm{d}M = 0$ . O is measurable on  $X_2$ . For every element x of X such that  $x \in \mathrm{dom}(\overline{\chi}_{A,X} \upharpoonright X_2)$  holds  $(\overline{\chi}_{A,X} \upharpoonright X_2)(x) = 0$  by [10, (47)].  $\int T \, \mathrm{d}M = \int O \, \mathrm{d}M + 0$ .  $\square$ 

(34) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , and a disjoint valued function K from  $\mathbb{N}$  into MeasRect $(S_1, S_2)$ . Suppose  $\bigcup K \in \text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ . Then  $(\text{ProdpreMeas}(M_1, M_2))(\bigcup K) = \overline{\sum}(\text{ProdpreMeas}(M_1, M_2) \cdot K)$ .

PROOF: Consider A being an element of  $S_1$ , B being an element of  $S_2$  such that  $\bigcup K = A \times B$ . Consider P being an element of  $S_1$ , Q being an element of  $S_2$  such that  $\bigcup K = P \times Q$  and  $(\operatorname{ProdpreMeas}(M_1, M_2))(\bigcup K) = M_1(P) \cdot$ 

 $M_2(Q)$ . Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{object}) = \chi_{K(\$_1), X_1 \times X_2}$ . Consider  $X_6$  being a sequence of partial functions from  $X_1 \times X_2$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for every natural number  $n, X_6(n) = \mathcal{F}(n)$  from [24, Sch. 1]. Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number, object}] \equiv \$_2 = \pi_1(K(\$_1))$ . For every element i of  $\mathbb{N}$ , there exists an element A of  $S_1$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[i, A]$  by [2, (9)], [7, (7)]. Consider D being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $S_1$  such that for every element i of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[i, D(i)]$  from [11, Sch. 3]. Define  $\mathcal{Q}[\text{natural number, object}] \equiv \$_2 = \pi_2(K(\$_1))$ . For every element i of  $\mathbb{N}$ , there exists an element B of  $S_2$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}[i, B]$  by [2, (9)], [7, (7)].

Consider E being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $S_2$  such that for every element i of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}[i, E(i)]$  from [11, Sch. 3]. Define  $\mathcal{O}(\text{object}) = \chi_{D(\$_1), X_1}$ . Consider  $X_7$  being a sequence of partial functions from  $X_1$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for every natural number  $n, X_7(n) = \mathcal{O}(n)$  from [24, Sch. 1]. Define  $\mathcal{T}(\text{object}) = \chi_{E(\$_1), X_2}$ . Consider  $X_4$  being a sequence of partial functions from  $X_2$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for every natural number  $n, X_4(n) = \mathcal{T}(n)$  from [24, Sch. 1]. For every natural number n and for every objects x, y such that  $x \in X_1$  and  $y \in X_2$  holds  $X_6(n)(x,y) = X_7(n)(x) \cdot X_4(n)(y)$  by [14, (87), [2, (9)], (2). (ProdpreMeas $(M_1, M_2)$ ) $(\bigcup K) = M_1(A) \cdot M_2(B)$  by [14, (87)](110)]. Reconsider  $C_1 = \chi_{A \times B, X_1 \times X_2}$  as a function from  $X_1 \times X_2$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . For every element x of  $X_1$ ,  $M_2(B) \cdot \chi_{A,X_1}(x) = \int \operatorname{curry}(C_1,x) dM_2$  by (2), [13, (5)], [19, (14)], [23, (4)]. For every object n such that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  holds  $X_7(n) \in \mathbb{R}^{X_1}$  by [12, (39)]. Reconsider  $R_1 = X_7$  as a sequence of  $\mathbb{R}^{X_1}$ . For every natural number  $n, D(n) \subseteq A$  and  $E(n) \subseteq B$  by [2, (10)], [1, (1)].For every element x of  $X_1$ , there exists a sequence  $X_5$  of partial functions from  $X_2$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  and there exists a sequence I of extended reals such that for every natural number  $n, X_5(n) = R_1(n)(x) \cdot \chi_{E(n),X_2}$  and for every natural number  $n, I(n) = M_2(E(n)) \cdot \chi_{D(n),X_1}(x)$  and I is summable and  $\int \lim_{\alpha \to 0} (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} X_5(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} dM_2 = \sum_{\alpha \to 0} I \text{ by } [13, (45)], (30).$ 

Reconsider  $L_1 = \lim(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} X_6(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}$  as a function from  $X_1 \times X_2$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . For every element x of  $X_1$  and for every element y of  $X_2$ ,  $(\operatorname{curry}(C_1,x))(y) = (\operatorname{curry}(L_1,x))(y)$ . For every element x of  $X_1$ ,  $\operatorname{curry}(C_1,x) = \operatorname{curry}(L_1,x)$ . For every element x of  $X_1$ ,  $M_2(B) \cdot \chi_{A,X_1}(x) = \int \operatorname{curry}(L_1,x) \, dM_2$ . For every element x of  $X_1$ , there exists a sequence I of extended reals such that for every natural number n,  $I(n) = M_2(E(n)) \cdot \chi_{D(n),X_1}(x)$  and  $M_2(B) \cdot \chi_{A,X_1}(x) = \sum I$  by [8, (51)], [19, (38), (29), (30)]. Define  $\mathcal{R}[\text{natural number, object}] \equiv \text{if } M_2(E(\$_1)) = +\infty$ , then  $\$_2 = \overline{\chi}_{D(\$_1),X_1}$  and if  $M_2(E(\$_1)) \neq +\infty$ , then there exists a real number  $m_2$  such that  $m_2 = M_2(E(\$_1))$  and  $\$_2 = m_2 \cdot \chi_{D(\$_1),X_1}$ . For every element n of  $\mathbb{N}$ , there exists an element y of  $X_1 \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\mathcal{R}[n,y]$  by [13, (45)], [8, (51)]. Consider  $F_1$  being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $X_1 \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that for every element n of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}[n,F_1(n)]$  from  $[11, \operatorname{Sch. 3}]$ . For every natural number

 $n, \operatorname{dom}(F_1(n)) = X_1$ . For every natural number  $n, F_1(n)$  is non-negative by [8, (51)]. For every natural numbers  $n, m, \operatorname{dom}(F_1(n)) = \operatorname{dom}(F_1(m))$ .

Reconsider  $X_3 = X_1$  as an element of  $S_1$ . For every natural number  $n, F_1(n)$  is non-negative and  $F_1(n)$  is measurable on A and  $F_1(n)$ is measurable on  $X_3$  by (32), [18, (29)], [17, (37)]. For every element xof  $X_1$  such that  $x \in A$  holds  $F_1 \# x$  is summable by [8, (51), (39)], [20,(2). Consider J being a sequence of extended reals such that for every natural number  $n, J(n) = \int F_1(n) A dM_1$  and J is summable and  $\int \lim_{\alpha=0} F_1(\alpha)|_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}} dM_1 = \sum_{\alpha=0} J$ . For every natural number  $n, J(n) = \int \lim_{\alpha=0} F_1(\alpha)|_{\kappa\in\mathbb{N}} dM_1 = \sum_{\alpha=0} J$ .  $\int F_1(n) dM_1$ . Reconsider  $X_3 = X_1$  as an element of  $S_1$ . For every element  $n \text{ of } \mathbb{N}, J(n) = (\text{ProdpreMeas}(M_1, M_2) \cdot K)(n) \text{ by } (33), [8, (51)], [18, (29)],$ [16, (86), (88)]. For every element x of  $X_1$ ,  $(\lim(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F_1(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})(x) \ge 0$ by [19, (38)], [29, (37), (23)], [8, (51)]. For every natural number  $n, F_1(n)$ is measurable on  $X_3$  and  $F_1(n)$  is without  $-\infty$ . For every object x such that  $x \in \operatorname{dom} \lim (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F_1(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \setminus A \text{ holds } (\lim (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F_1(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}})(x) = 0$ by [19, (30), (32)], [16, (52)].  $\int \lim_{\alpha=0} F_1(\alpha) \kappa \in \mathbb{N} dM_1 = 0$ by  $[11, (63)], [19, (30), (32)], [8, (51)]. \square$ 

 $\int \lim \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F_1(\alpha)\right)_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \uparrow A \, dM_1. \int \lim \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} F_1(\alpha)\right)_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \, dM_1 = M_1(A) \cdot M_2(B)$ 

(35) Let us consider a without  $-\infty$  finite sequence f of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and a without  $-\infty$  sequence s of extended reals. Suppose for every object n such that  $n \in \text{dom } f \text{ holds } f(n) = s(n)$ .

Then  $\sum f + s(0) = (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} s(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} (\operatorname{len} f).$ 

PROOF: Consider F being a sequence of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\sum f = F(\operatorname{len} f)$ and F(0) = 0 and for every natural number i such that i < len f holds F(i+1) = F(i) + f(i+1). Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv \text{if } \$_1 \leqslant \text{len } f$ , then  $F(\$_1) + s(0) = (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} s(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}}(\$_1)$  and  $F(\$_1) \neq -\infty$ . For every natural number k such that  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[k+1]$  by [3, (11)], [27, (25)], [16, (10)], [3, (13)]. For every natural number k,  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  from [3, Sch. 2].  $\square$ 

- (36) Let us consider a non-negative finite sequence f of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and a sequence s of extended reals. Suppose for every object n such that  $n \in$ dom f holds f(n) = s(n) and for every element n of N such that  $n \notin \text{dom } f$ holds s(n) = 0. Then
  - (i)  $\sum f = \sum s$ , and
  - (ii)  $\sum f = \overline{\sum} s$ .

PROOF: For every object n such that  $n \in \text{dom } s \text{ holds } 0 \leq s(n)$  by [8, (51)].  $\sum f + s(0) = (\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} s(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} (\operatorname{len} f)$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\operatorname{natural number}] \equiv$  $(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} s(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} (\operatorname{len} f) = ((\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\kappa} s(\alpha))_{\kappa \in \mathbb{N}} \uparrow \operatorname{len} f)(\$_1).$  For every natural number k such that  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[k+1]$  by [27, (25)]. For every natural number k,  $\mathcal{P}[k]$  from [3, Sch. 2].  $\square$ 

- (37) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ , and a disjoint valued finite sequence F of elements of MeasRect $(S_1, S_2)$ . Suppose  $\bigcup F \in \text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ . Then  $(\text{ProdpreMeas}(M_1, M_2))(\bigcup F) = \sum (\text{ProdpreMeas}(M_1, M_2) \cdot F)$ . PROOF: Set  $S = \text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{object}, \text{object}] \equiv \text{if } \$_1 \in$ 
  - PROOF: Set  $S = \text{MeasRect}(S_1, S_2)$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{object}, \text{object}] \equiv \text{if } \$_1 \in \text{dom } F$ , then  $\$_2 = F(\$_1)$  and if  $\$_1 \notin \text{dom } F$ , then  $\$_2 = \emptyset$ . For every element n of  $\mathbb{N}$ , there exists an element y of S such that  $\mathcal{P}[n,y]$  by [10,(3)]. Consider G being a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  into S such that for every element n of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[n,G(n)]$  from [11, Sch. 3]. For every object x such that  $x \notin \text{dom } F$  holds  $G(x) = \emptyset$ . For every objects x,y such that  $x \neq y$  holds G(x) misses G(y). (ProdpreMeas $(M_1,M_2)$ )  $(\bigcup F) = \overline{\sum}(\text{ProdpreMeas}(M_1,M_2) \cdot G)$ . For every object n such that  $n \in \text{dom}(\text{ProdpreMeas}(M_1,M_2) \cdot F)$  holds (ProdpreMeas $(M_1,M_2) \cdot F)(n) = (\text{ProdpreMeas}(M_1,M_2) \cdot G)(n)$  by [10,(11),(12),(13)]. For every element n of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \notin \text{dom}(\text{ProdpreMeas}(M_1,M_2) \cdot F)$  holds (ProdpreMeas $(M_1,M_2) \cdot G)(n) = 0$  by [10,(3),(11),(13)].  $\square$
- (38) Let us consider non empty sets  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_1$  of subsets of  $X_1$ , a  $\sigma$ -field  $S_2$  of subsets of  $X_2$ , a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_1$  on  $S_1$ , and a  $\sigma$ -measure  $M_2$  on  $S_2$ . Then ProdpreMeas $(M_1, M_2)$  is a pre-measure of MeasRect $(S_1, S_2)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (37) and (34).

Let  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  be non empty sets,  $S_1$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X_1$ ,  $S_2$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $X_2$ ,  $M_1$  be a  $\sigma$ -measure on  $S_1$ , and  $M_2$  be a  $\sigma$ -measure on  $S_2$ . Let us observe that the functor ProdpreMeas $(M_1, M_2)$  yields a pre-measure of MeasRect $(S_1, S_2)$ .

### References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Towards the construction of a model of Mizar concepts. Formalized Mathematics, 16(2):207–230, 2008. doi:10.2478/v10037-008-0027-x.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Curried and uncurried functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3): 537-541, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek, Czesław Byliński, Adam Grabowski, Artur Korniłowicz, Roman Matuszewski, Adam Naumowicz, Karol Pak, and Josef Urban. Mizar: State-of-the-art and beyond. In Manfred Kerber, Jacques Carette, Cezary Kaliszyk, Florian Rabe, and Volker Sorge, editors, Intelligent Computer Mathematics, volume 9150 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 261–279. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 978-3-319-20614-1. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-20615-8\_17.
- [6] Heinz Bauer. Measure and Integration Theory. Walter de Gruyter Inc.
- [7] Józef Białas. The  $\sigma$ -additive measure theory. Formalized Mathematics, 2(2):263–270, 1991.

- [8] Józef Białas. Series of positive real numbers. Measure theory. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):173–183, 1991.
- [9] Vladimir Igorevich Bogachev and Maria Aparecida Soares Ruas. Measure theory, volume 1. Springer, 2007.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1): 55-65, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Basic functions and operations on functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):245–254, 1990.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [14] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47-53, 1990.
- [15] Noboru Endou. Construction of measure from semialgebra of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 23(4):309–323, 2015. doi:10.1515/forma-2015-0025.
- [16] Noboru Endou and Yasunari Shidama. Integral of measurable function. Formalized Mathematics, 14(2):53-70, 2006. doi:10.2478/v10037-006-0008-x.
- [17] Noboru Endou, Katsumi Wasaki, and Yasunari Shidama. Definitions and basic properties of measurable functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):495–500, 2001.
- [18] Noboru Endou, Katsumi Wasaki, and Yasunari Shidama. The measurability of extended real valued functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):525–529, 2001.
- [19] Noboru Endou, Keiko Narita, and Yasunari Shidama. The Lebesgue monotone convergence theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 16(2):167–175, 2008. doi:10.2478/v10037-008-0023-1.
- [20] Noboru Endou, Hiroyuki Okazaki, and Yasunari Shidama. Hopf extension theorem of measure. Formalized Mathematics, 17(2):157–162, 2009. doi:10.2478/v10037-009-0018-6.
- [21] Gerald B. Folland. Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications. Wiley, 2 edition, 1999.
- [22] P. R. Halmos. Measure Theory. Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [23] Andrzej Nedzusiak.  $\sigma$ -fields and probability. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):401–407, 1990.
- [24] Beata Perkowska. Functional sequence from a domain to a domain. Formalized Mathematics, 3(1):17–21, 1992.
- [25] M.M. Rao. Measure Theory and Integration. Marcel Dekker, 2nd edition, 2004.
- [26] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1 (2):329–334, 1990.
- [27] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Non-contiguous substrings and one-to-one finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):569–573, 1990.
- [28] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1 (1):73–83, 1990.
- [29] Hiroshi Yamazaki, Noboru Endou, Yasunari Shidama, and Hiroyuki Okazaki. Inferior limit, superior limit and convergence of sequences of extended real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 15(4):231–236, 2007. doi:10.2478/v10037-007-0026-3.

Received December 31, 2015