

# Term Context

Grzegorz Bancerek  
 Association of Mizar Users  
 Białystok, Poland

**Summary.** Two construction functors: simple term with a variable and compound term with an operation and argument terms and schemes of term induction are introduced. The degree of construction as a number of used operation symbols is defined. Next, the term context is investigated. An  $x$ -context is a term which includes a variable  $x$  once only. The compound term is  $x$ -context iff the argument terms include an  $x$ -context once only. The context induction is shown and used many times. As a key concept, the context substitution is introduced. Finally, the translations and endomorphisms are expressed by context substitution.

MSC: 08A35 03B35

Keywords: construction degree; context; translation; endomorphism

MML identifier: MSAFREE5, version: 8.1.03 5.23.1210

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [1], [2], [3], [4], [6], [43], [24], [22], [26], [53], [33], [45], [27], [28], [29], [8], [25], [9], [51], [39], [46], [47], [41], [48], [23], [10], [11], [49], [36], [37], [12], [13], [14], [15], [31], [50], [34], [55], [56], [16], [38], [54], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], [35], and [32].

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\Sigma$  be a non empty non void many sorted signature,  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ , and  $\sigma$  be a sort symbol of  $\Sigma$ .

An element of  $\mathfrak{A}$  from  $\sigma$  is an element of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{A}$ )( $\sigma$ ). From now on  $a, b$  denote objects,  $I, J$  denote sets,  $f$  denotes a function,  $R$  denotes a binary relation,  $i, j, n$  denote natural numbers,  $m$  denotes an element of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Sigma$  denotes a non empty non void many sorted signature,  $\sigma, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$  denote sort symbols of  $\Sigma$ ,  $o$  denotes an operation symbol of  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$  denotes a non-empty many sorted set

indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ ,  $x, x_1, x_2$  denote elements of  $X(\sigma)$ ,  $x_{11}$  denotes an element of  $X(\sigma_1)$ ,  $T$  denotes a free in itself including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $X$  algebra over  $\Sigma$  with all variables and inheriting operations,  $g$  denotes a translation in  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  from  $\sigma_1$  into  $\sigma_2$ , and  $h$  denotes an endomorphism of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$  and  $X$ . Let  $T$  be an including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $X$  algebra over  $\Sigma$  with all variables and  $\rho$  be an element of  $T$ . The functor  ${}^{\circledast}\rho$  yielding an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  is defined by the term

(Def. 1)  $\rho$ .

Let us consider  $T$ . Observe that every element of  $T$  is finite and every set which is natural-membered is also  $\subseteq$ -linear.

In the sequel  $\rho, \rho_1, \rho_2$  denote elements of  $T$  and  $\tau, \tau_1, \tau_2$  denote elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an algebra over  $\Sigma$ . Let us consider  $a$ . We say that  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$  if and only if

(Def. 2)  $a \in \bigcup(\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})$ .

Let us consider  $b$ . We say that  $b$  is  $a$ -different if and only if

(Def. 3)  $b \neq a$ .

Let  $I$  be a non trivial set. Note that there exists an element of  $I$  which is  $a$ -different.

Now we state the proposition:

- (1) Let us consider trees  $\tau, \tau_1$  and finite sequences  $p, q$  of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Suppose
- (i)  $p \in \tau$ , and
  - (ii)  $q \in \tau$  with-replacement( $p, \tau_1$ ).

Then

- (iii) if  $p \not\leq q$ , then  $q \in \tau$ , and
- (iv) for every finite sequence  $\rho$  of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $q = p \wedge \rho$  holds  $\rho \in \tau_1$ .

PROOF: If  $p \not\leq q$ , then  $q \in \tau$  by [17, (1)].  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a finite binary relation. Let us consider  $a$ . Let us note that  $\text{Coim}(R, a)$  is finite.

Let us consider finite sequences  $p, q, \rho$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (2) If  $p \wedge q \preceq \rho$ , then  $p \preceq \rho$ .
- (3) If  $p \wedge q \preceq p \wedge \rho$ , then  $q \preceq \rho$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (4) Let us consider finite sequences  $p, q$ . Suppose  $i \leq \text{len } p$ . Then  $(p \wedge q) \upharpoonright \text{Seg } i = p \upharpoonright \text{Seg } i$ .
- (5) Let us consider finite sequences  $p, q, \rho$ . If  $q \preceq p \wedge \rho$ , then  $q \preceq p$  or  $p \preceq q$ . The theorem is a consequence of (4).

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ . We say that  $\Sigma$  is sufficiently rich if and only if

(Def. 4) There exists  $o$  such that  $\sigma \in \text{rng Arity}(o)$ .

We say that  $\Sigma$  is growable if and only if

(Def. 5) There exists  $\tau$  such that  $\text{height dom } \tau = n$ .

Let us consider  $n$ . We say that  $\Sigma$  is  $n$ -ary operation including if and only if

(Def. 6) There exists  $o$  such that  $\text{len Arity}(o) = n$ .

Let us note that there exists a non empty non void many sorted signature which is  $n$ -ary operation including and there exists a non empty non void many sorted signature which is sufficiently rich.

Let us consider  $R$ . We say that  $R$  is nontrivial if and only if

(Def. 7) If  $I \in \text{rng } R$ , then  $I$  is not trivial.

We say that  $R$  is infinite-yielding if and only if

(Def. 8) If  $I \in \text{rng } R$ , then  $I$  is infinite.

Let us observe that every binary relation which is nontrivial is also non-empty and every binary relation which is infinite-yielding is also nontrivial.

Let  $I$  be a set. Observe that there exists a many sorted set indexed by  $I$  which is infinite-yielding and there exists a finite sequence which is infinite-yielding.

Let  $I$  be a non empty set,  $f$  be a nontrivial many sorted set indexed by  $I$ , and  $a$  be an element of  $I$ . Let us note that  $f(a)$  is non trivial.

Let  $f$  be an infinite-yielding many sorted set indexed by  $I$ . Note that  $f(a)$  is infinite.

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ , and  $o$ . Let us note that every element of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X))$  is decorated tree yielding.

In the sequel  $Y$  denotes an infinite-yielding many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ ,  $y, y_1$  denote elements of  $Y(\sigma)$ ,  $y_{11}$  denotes an element of  $Y(\sigma_1)$ ,  $Q$  denotes a free in itself including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $Y$  algebra over  $\Sigma$  with all variables and inheriting operations,  $q, q_1$  denote elements of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Y))$ ,  $u, u_1, u_2$  denote elements of  $Q$ ,  $v, v_1, v_2$  denote elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Y)$ ,  $Z$  denotes a nontrivial many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ ,  $z, z_1$  denote elements of  $Z(\sigma)$ ,  $l, l_1$  denote elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Z)$ ,  $R$  denotes a free in itself including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $Z$  algebra over  $\Sigma$  with all variables and inheriting operations, and  $k, k_1$  denote elements of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Z))$ .

Let  $p$  be a finite sequence. Note that  $p \hat{\ } \emptyset$  reduces to  $p$  and  $\emptyset \hat{\ } p$  reduces to  $p$ .

Let  $I$  be a finite sequence-membered set. The functor  $p \hat{\ } I$  yielding a set is defined by the term

(Def. 9)  $\{p \hat{\ } q, \text{ where } q \text{ is an element of } I : q \in I\}$ .

Let us observe that  $p \hat{\ } I$  is finite sequence-membered.

Let  $f$  be a finite sequence and  $E$  be an empty set. One can verify that  $f \hat{\ } E$  reduces to  $E$ .

Let  $p$  be a decorated tree yielding finite sequence. Let us consider  $a$ . Let us note that  $p(a)$  is relation-like and every set which is tree-like is also finite sequence-membered.

Let  $p$  be a decorated tree yielding finite sequence. Let us consider  $a$ . One can check that  $\text{dom}(p(a))$  is finite sequence-membered.

Let  $\tau, \tau_1$  be trees. One can check that  $\tau_1$  with-replacement $(\varepsilon_{\mathbb{N}}, \tau)$  reduces to  $\tau$ .

Let  $d, d_1$  be decorated trees. One can check that  $d_1$  with-replacement $(\varepsilon_{\mathbb{N}}, d)$  reduces to  $d$ .

Now we state the proposition:

- (6) Let us consider finite sequences  $\xi, w$  of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ , tree yielding finite sequences  $p, q$ , and trees  $d, \tau$ . Suppose
- (i)  $i < \text{len } p$ , and
  - (ii)  $\xi = \langle i \rangle \frown w$ , and
  - (iii)  $d = p(i + 1)$ , and
  - (iv)  $q = p + \cdot (i + 1, d \text{ with-replacement}(w, \tau))$ , and
  - (v)  $\xi \in \widehat{p}$ .

Then  $\widehat{p}$  with-replacement $(\xi, \tau) = \widehat{q}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (2).

Let  $F$  be a function yielding function and  $f$  be a function. Let us consider  $a$ . Note that  $F + \cdot (a, f)$  is function yielding.

Now we state the propositions:

- (7) Let us consider a function yielding function  $F$  and a function  $f$ . Then  $\text{dom}_{\kappa}(F + \cdot (a, f))(\kappa) = \text{dom}_{\kappa} F(\kappa) + \cdot (a, \text{dom } f)$ .
- (8) Let us consider finite sequences  $\xi, w$  of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ , decorated tree yielding finite sequences  $p, q$ , and decorated trees  $d, \tau$ . Suppose
- (i)  $i < \text{len } p$ , and
  - (ii)  $\xi = \langle i \rangle \frown w$ , and
  - (iii)  $d = p(i + 1)$ , and
  - (iv)  $q = p + \cdot (i + 1, d \text{ with-replacement}(w, \tau))$ , and
  - (v)  $\xi \in \widehat{\text{dom}_{\kappa} p(\kappa)}$ .

Then  $(a\text{-tree}(p))$  with-replacement $(\xi, \tau) = a\text{-tree}(q)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (7), (6), (2), and (3).

- (9) Let us consider a set  $a$  and a decorated tree yielding finite sequence  $w$ . Then  $\text{dom}(a\text{-tree}(w)) = \{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup \{\langle i \rangle \frown \text{dom}(w(i + 1)) : i < \text{len } w\}$ . PROOF: Set  $\mathfrak{A} = \{\langle i \rangle \frown \text{dom}(w(i + 1)) : i < \text{len } w\}$ .  $\text{dom}(a\text{-tree}(w)) \subseteq \{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup \mathfrak{A}$  by [20, (11)].  $\square$

Let  $p$  be a decorated tree yielding finite sequence. Let us consider  $a$  and  $I$ . Note that  $p(a)^{-1}(I)$  is finite sequence-membered.

Now we state the proposition:

- (10) Let us consider a finite sequence-membered set  $I$  and a finite sequence  $p$ . Then  $\overline{p \frown I} = \overline{I}$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{element of } I) = p \frown \$_1$ . Consider  $f$  such that  $\text{dom } f = I$  and for every element  $q$  of  $I$  such that  $q \in I$  holds  $f(q) = \mathcal{F}(q)$  from [7, Sch. 2].  $\text{rng } f = p \frown I$ .  $f$  is one-to-one by [22, (33)].  
□

Let  $I$  be a finite finite sequence-membered set and  $p$  be a finite sequence. Note that  $p \frown I$  is finite.

Now we state the proposition:

- (11) Let us consider finite sequence-membered sets  $I, J$  and finite sequences  $p, q$ . Suppose
- (i)  $\text{len } p = \text{len } q$ , and
  - (ii)  $p \neq q$ .

Then  $p \frown I$  misses  $q \frown J$ .

Let us consider  $i$ . Let us note that  $\overline{i}$  reduces to  $i$ . Let us consider  $j$ . We identify  $i + j$  with  $i + j$ .

The scheme *CardUnion* deals with a unary functor  $\mathcal{I}$  yielding a set and a finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  and states that

(Sch. 1)  $\overline{\bigcup\{\mathcal{I}(i) : i < \text{len } f\}} = \sum f$   
provided

- for every  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $i < \text{len } f$  and  $j < \text{len } f$  and  $i \neq j$  holds  $\mathcal{I}(i)$  misses  $\mathcal{I}(j)$  and
- for every  $i$  such that  $i < \text{len } f$  holds  $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}(i)}} = f(i + 1)$ .

Let  $f$  be a finite sequence. Note that  $\{f\}$  is finite sequence-membered.

Now we state the propositions:

- (12) Let us consider finite sequences  $f, g$ . Then  $f \frown \{g\} = \{f \frown g\}$ .  
 (13) Let us consider finite sequence-membered sets  $I, J$  and a finite sequence  $f$ . Then  $I \subseteq J$  if and only if  $f \frown I \subseteq f \frown J$ .

In the sequel  $c, c_1, c_2$  denote sets and  $d, d_1$  denote decorated trees.

Now we state the proposition:

- (14)  $\text{Leaves}(\text{the elementary tree of } 0) = \{\emptyset\}$ .

Let us note that sethood property holds for trees.

Now we state the propositions:

- (15) Let us consider a non empty tree yielding finite sequence  $p$ .  
 Then  $\text{Leaves}(\widehat{p}) = \{\langle i \rangle \frown q, \text{ where } q \text{ is a finite sequence of elements of } \mathbb{N}, d \text{ is a tree} : q \in \text{Leaves}(d) \text{ and } i + 1 \in \text{dom } p \text{ and } d = p(i + 1)\}$ .

PROOF: Set  $i_0 =$  the element of  $\text{dom } p$ .  $\text{Leaves}(\widehat{p}) \subseteq \{\langle i \rangle \wedge q$ , where  $q$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $d$  is a tree :  $q \in \text{Leaves}(d)$  and  $i + 1 \in \text{dom } p$  and  $d = p(i + 1)\}$  by [13, (11), (13)], [52, (25)], [17, (1)].  $\square$

(16)  $\text{Leaves}(\text{the root tree of } c) = \{c\}$ .

(17)  $\text{dom } d \subseteq \text{dom } d_{c \leftarrow d_1}$ .

Let us consider  $c$  and  $d$ . Observe that (the root tree of  $c$ ) $_{c \leftarrow d}$  reduces to  $d$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(18) Suppose  $c_1 \neq c_2$ . Then (the root tree of  $c_1$ ) $_{c_2 \leftarrow d} =$  the root tree of  $c_1$ .

PROOF:  $\text{dom}(\text{the root tree of } c_1)_{c_2 \leftarrow d} = \text{dom}(\text{the root tree of } c_1)$  by [20, (3)], [17, (29)], [40, (15)].  $\square$

Let  $f$  be a non empty function yielding function. Note that  $\text{dom}_\kappa f(\kappa)$  is non empty and  $\text{rng}_\kappa f(\kappa)$  is non empty.

Now we state the proposition:

(19) Let us consider non empty decorated tree yielding finite sequences  $p, q$ .

Suppose

(i)  $\text{dom } q = \text{dom } p$ , and

(ii) for every  $i$  and  $d_1$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } p$  and  $d_1 = p(i)$  holds  $q(i) = d_{1c \leftarrow d}$ .

Then  $(b\text{-tree}(p))_{c \leftarrow d} = b\text{-tree}(q)$ . PROOF:  $\text{Leaves}(\widehat{\text{dom}_\kappa p(\kappa)}) = \{\langle i \rangle \wedge q$ , where  $q$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $d$  is a tree :  $q \in \text{Leaves}(d)$  and  $i + 1 \in \text{dom}(\text{dom}_\kappa p(\kappa))$  and  $d = (\text{dom}_\kappa p(\kappa))(i + 1)\}$ .  $\text{dom}(b\text{-tree}(p))_{c \leftarrow d} = \text{dom}(b\text{-tree}(q))$  by [17, (22)], [13, (11), (13)], [52, (25)].  $\square$

Let us consider  $\Sigma$  and  $\sigma$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a non empty algebra over  $\Sigma$  and  $a$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We say that  $a$  is  $\sigma$ -sort if and only if

(Def. 10)  $a \in (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(\sigma)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a non-empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ . One can verify that there exists an element of  $\mathfrak{A}$  which is  $\sigma$ -sort and every element of  $(\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(\sigma)$  is  $\sigma$ -sort.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a non empty algebra over  $\Sigma$ . Assume  $\mathfrak{A}$  is disjoint valued. Let  $a$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The functor the sort of  $a$  yielding a sort symbol of  $\Sigma$  is defined by

(Def. 11)  $a \in (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(it)$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(20) Let us consider a disjoint valued non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$  and a  $\sigma$ -sort element  $a$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then the sort of  $a = \sigma$ .

(21) Let us consider a disjoint valued non empty algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$ . Then every element of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is (the sort of  $a$ )-sort.

(22) The sort of  ${}^{\circledast}p =$  the sort of  $p$ .

(23) Let us consider an element  $\rho$  of  $(\text{the sorts of } T)(\sigma)$ . Then the sort of  $\rho = \sigma$ .

(24) Let us consider a term  $u$  of  $\Sigma$  over  $X$ . Suppose  $\tau = u$ . Then the sort of  $\tau = \text{the sort of } u$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ ,  $o$ , and  $T$ . One can verify that every element of  $\text{Args}(o, T)$  is  $(\bigcup(\text{the sorts of } T))$ -valued.

Now we state the proposition:

(25) Let us consider an element  $q$  of  $\text{Args}(o, T)$ . Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } q$ . Then the sort of  $q_i = \text{Arity}(o)_i$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$  be non-empty algebras over  $\Sigma$  and  $f$  be a many sorted function from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Assume  $\mathfrak{A}$  is disjoint valued. Let  $a$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The functor  $f(a)$  yielding an element of  $\mathfrak{B}$  is defined by the term

(Def. 12)  $f(\text{the sort of } a)(a)$ .

Let us consider a disjoint valued non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a many sorted function  $f$  from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and an element  $a$  of  $(\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{A})(\sigma)$ . Now we state the propositions:

(26)  $f(a) = f(\sigma)(a)$ .

(27)  $f(a)$  is an element of  $(\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{B})(\sigma)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (26).

Now we state the propositions:

(28) Let us consider disjoint valued non-empty algebras  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a many sorted function  $f$  from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and an element  $a$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then the sort of  $f(a) = \text{the sort of } a$ .

(29) Let us consider disjoint valued non-empty algebras  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{C}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a many sorted function  $f$  from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ , a many sorted function  $g$  from  $\mathfrak{B}$  into  $\mathfrak{C}$ , and an element  $a$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $(g \circ f)(a) = g(f(a))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (28).

(30) Let us consider a disjoint valued non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  over  $\Sigma$ , and many sorted functions  $f_1, f_2$  from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ . If for every element  $a$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $f_1(a) = f_2(a)$ , then  $f_1 = f_2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (26).

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$  be algebras over  $\Sigma$ . Assume there exists a many sorted function  $h$  from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that  $h$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

A homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{A}$  to  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a many sorted function from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$  and is defined by

(Def. 13) *it* is a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(31) Let us consider a many sorted function  $h$  from  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  into  $T$ . Then  $h$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  to  $T$  if and only if  $h$  is a homomorphism of

$\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  into  $T$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ , and  $T$ . Observe that the functor the canonical homomorphism of  $T$  yields a homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  to  $T$ . Let us consider  $\rho$ . One can check that (the canonical homomorphism of  $T$ )( $\rho$ ) reduces to  $\rho$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(32) Suppose  $\tau_2 = (\text{the canonical homomorphism of } T)(\tau_1)$ .

Then (the canonical homomorphism of  $T$ )( $\tau_1$ ) = (the canonical homomorphism of  $T$ )( $\tau_2$ ). The theorem is a consequence of (22) and (28).

## 2. CONSTRUCTING TERMS

In the sequel  $w$  denotes an element of  $\text{Args}(o, T)$  and  $p, p_1$  denote elements of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X))$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ ,  $\sigma$ , and  $x$ . The functor  $x$ -term yielding an element of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$ )( $\sigma$ ) is defined by the term

(Def. 14) The root tree of  $\langle x, \sigma \rangle$ .

Let us consider  $o$  and  $p$ . The functor  $o$ -term  $p$  yielding an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  from the result sort of  $o$  is defined by the term

(Def. 15)  $\langle o, \text{the carrier of } \Sigma \rangle\text{-tree}(p)$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(33) The sort of  $x$ -term =  $\sigma$ .

(34) The sort of  $o$ -term  $p$  = the result sort of  $o$ . The theorem is a consequence of (24).

(35) Let us consider an object  $i$ . Then  $i \in (\text{FreeGenerator}(T))(\sigma)$  if and only if there exists  $x$  such that  $i = x$ -term.

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ ,  $\sigma$ , and  $x$ . Let us note that  $x$ -term is non compound.

Let us consider  $o$  and  $p$ . One can check that  $o$ -term  $p$  is compound and (the result sort of  $o$ )-sort.

Now we state the propositions:

(36) (i) there exists  $\sigma$  and there exists  $x$  such that  $\tau = x$ -term, or

(ii) there exists  $o$  and there exists  $p$  such that  $\tau = o$ -term  $p$ .

(37) If  $\tau$  is not compound, then there exists  $\sigma$  and there exists  $x$  such that  $\tau = x$ -term.

(38) If  $\tau$  is compound, then there exists  $o$  and there exists  $p$  such that  $\tau = o$ -term  $p$ .

(39)  $x$ -term  $\neq o$ -term  $p$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ . Let  $X$  be a non-empty many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$ . Note that there exists an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  which is compound.

Let us consider  $X$ . Let  $e$  be a compound element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$ . Let us note that the functor  $\text{main-constr } e$  yields an operation symbol of  $\Sigma$ . One can check that the functor  $\text{args } e$  yields an element of  $\text{Args}(\text{main-constr } e, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X))$ . Now we state the propositions:

$$(40) \quad \text{args}(x\text{-term}) = \emptyset.$$

(41) Let us consider a compound element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$ .

Then  $\tau = \text{main-constr } \tau\text{-term } \text{args } \tau$ . The theorem is a consequence of (38).

$$(42) \quad x\text{-term} \in T.$$

Let us consider  $\Sigma, X, T, \sigma$ , and  $x$ . Note that (the canonical homomorphism of  $T$ )( $x$ -term) reduces to  $x$ -term.

The scheme *TermInd* deals with a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$  and a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$  and a non-empty many sorted set  $\mathcal{X}$  indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(\mathcal{X})$  and states that

(Sch. 2)  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$

provided

- for every sort symbol  $\sigma$  of  $\Sigma$  and for every element  $x$  of  $\mathcal{X}(\sigma)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$  and
- for every operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$  and for every element  $p$  of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(\mathcal{X}))$  such that for every element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } p]$ .

The scheme *TermAlgebraInd* deals with a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$  and a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$  and a non-empty many sorted set  $\mathcal{X}$  indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and a free in itself including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $\mathcal{X}$  algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$  with all variables and inheriting operations and an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and states that

(Sch. 3)  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$

provided

- for every sort symbol  $\sigma$  of  $\Sigma$  and for every element  $x$  of  $\mathcal{X}(\sigma)$  and for every element  $\rho$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\rho = x\text{-term}$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$  and
- for every operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$  and for every element  $p$  of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(\mathcal{X}))$  and for every element  $\rho$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\rho = o\text{-term } p$  and for every element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$ .

## 3. CONSTRUCTION DEGREE

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ ,  $T$ , and  $\rho$ . The functors: the construction degree of  $\rho$  and height  $\rho$  yielding natural numbers are defined by terms,

(Def. 16)  $\overline{\rho^{-1}(\alpha \times \{\beta\})}$ , where  $\alpha$  is the carrier' of  $\Sigma$  and  $\beta$  is the carrier of  $\Sigma$ ,

(Def. 17) height dom  $\rho$ ,

respectively. We introduce  $\deg \rho$  as a synonym of the construction degree of  $\rho$ .

Now we state the propositions:

$$(43) \quad \deg^{\textcircled{a}} \rho = \deg \rho.$$

$$(44) \quad \text{height}^{\textcircled{a}} \rho = \text{height } \rho.$$

$$(45) \quad \text{height}(x\text{-term}) = 0.$$

One can verify that every set which is natural-membered is also ordinal-membered and finite-membered.

Let  $I$  be a finite natural-membered set. One can verify that  $\bigcup I$  is natural.

Let  $I$  be a non empty finite natural-membered set. We identify  $\bigcup I$  with  $\max I$ . Now we state the propositions:

$$(46) \quad (\text{i}) \quad \{\text{height } \tau_1 : \tau_1 \in \text{rng } p\} \text{ is natural-membered and finite, and}$$

$$(\text{ii}) \quad \bigcup \{\text{height } \tau : \tau \in \text{rng } p\} \text{ is a natural number.}$$

PROOF: Set  $I = \{\text{height } \tau : \tau \in \text{rng } p\}$ .  $I$  is natural-membered. Define  $\mathcal{F}$ (element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$ ) = height  $\$1$ .  $\{\mathcal{F}(\tau_1) : \tau_1 \in \text{rng } p\}$  is finite from [44, Sch. 21].  $\square$

$$(47) \quad \text{Suppose } \text{Arity}(o) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } n = \bigcup \{\text{height } \tau_1 : \tau_1 \in \text{rng } p\}.$$

Then  $\text{height}(o\text{-term } p) = n + 1$ . PROOF: Set  $I = \{\text{height } \tau_1 : \tau_1 \in \text{rng } p\}$ .  $I$  is natural-membered. Define  $\mathcal{F}$ (element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$ ) = height  $\$1$ .  $\{\mathcal{F}(\tau_1) : \tau_1 \in \text{rng } p\}$  is finite from [44, Sch. 21].  $\square$

$$(48) \quad \text{If } \text{Arity}(o) = \emptyset, \text{ then } \text{height}(o\text{-term } p) = 0.$$

$$(49) \quad \deg(x\text{-term}) = 0.$$

$$(50) \quad \deg \tau \neq 0 \text{ if and only if there exists } o \text{ and there exists } p \text{ such that } \tau = o\text{-term } p. \text{ PROOF: Define } \mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)] \equiv \deg \$1 \neq 0 \text{ iff there exists } o \text{ and there exists } p \text{ such that } \$1 = o\text{-term } p. \mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]. \mathcal{P}[\tau] \text{ from } \textit{TermInd}. \square$$

Let  $\tau$  be a decorated tree. Let us consider  $I$ . Observe that  $\tau^{-1}(I)$  is finite sequence-membered.

Let us consider  $a$ . Let  $J, K$  be sets. Let us observe that the functor  $\text{IFIN}(a, I, J, K)$  yields a set. Now we state the propositions:

$$(51) \quad \text{Suppose } J = \langle o, \text{ the carrier of } \Sigma \rangle. \text{ Then } (o\text{-term } p)^{-1}(I) = \text{IFIN}(J, I, \{\emptyset\}, \emptyset) \cup \bigcup \{\langle i \rangle \frown p(i+1)^{-1}(I) : i < \text{len } p\}. \text{ PROOF: Set } X = \{\langle i \rangle \frown p(i+1)^{-1}(I) : i < \text{len } p\}. (o\text{-term } p)^{-1}(I) \subseteq \text{IFIN}(J, I, \{\emptyset\}, \emptyset) \cup \bigcup X \text{ by [20, (10)], [13, (11), (13)], [52, (25)]. } \square$$

- (52) Suppose there exists a finite sequence  $f$  of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $i = \sum f$  and  $\text{dom } f = \text{dom Arity}(o)$  and for every  $i$  and  $\tau$  such that  $i \in \text{dom Arity}(o)$  and  $\tau = p(i)$  holds  $f(i) = \text{deg } \tau$ . Then  $\text{deg}(o\text{-term } p) = i + 1$ .  
 PROOF: Set  $\tau = o\text{-term } p$ . Set  $I = (\text{the carrier' of } \Sigma) \times \{\text{the carrier of } \Sigma\}$ . Set  $\mathfrak{A} = \{\langle i \rangle \frown p(i + 1)^{-1}(I) : i < \text{len } p\}$ .  $\emptyset \notin \bigcup \mathfrak{A}$ .  $\tau^{-1}(I) = \{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup \mathfrak{A}$ . Define  $\mathcal{J}(\text{natural number}) = \langle \$1 \rangle \frown p(\$1 + 1)^{-1}(I)$ . For every  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $i < \text{len } f$  and  $j < \text{len } f$  and  $i \neq j$  holds  $\mathcal{J}(i)$  misses  $\mathcal{J}(j)$  by [22, (40)], (11). For every  $i$  such that  $i < \text{len } f$  holds  $\overline{\mathcal{J}(i)} = f(i + 1)$  by [13, (12), (13)], [52, (25)], [12, (2)].  $\overline{\bigcup \{\mathcal{J}(i) : i < \text{len } f\}} = \sum f$  from *CardUnion*.  $\square$

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ ,  $T$ , and  $i$ . The functor  $T \text{ deg}_{\leq} i$  yielding a subset of  $T$  is defined by the term

- (Def. 18)  $\{\rho : \text{deg } \rho \leq i\}$ .

The functor  $T \text{ height}_{\leq} i$  yielding a subset of  $T$  is defined by the term

- (Def. 19)  $\{\tau : \tau \in T \text{ and height } \tau \leq i\}$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (53)  $\rho \in T \text{ deg}_{\leq} i$  if and only if  $\text{deg } \rho \leq i$ .  
 (54)  $T \text{ deg}_{\leq} 0 =$  the set of all  $x$ -term. PROOF:  $T \text{ deg}_{\leq} 0 \subseteq$  the set of all  $x$ -term by [10, (39)], (36), (50). Consider  $\sigma$ ,  $x$  such that  $a = x$ -term.  $\text{deg}(x\text{-term}) = 0 \leq 0$  and  $x\text{-term} \in T$ . Reconsider  $\rho = x$ -term as an element of  $T$ .  $\text{deg } \rho = \text{deg}^{\textcircled{a}} \rho = 0$ .  $\square$   
 (55)  $T \text{ height}_{\leq} 0 =$  the set of all  $x$ -term  $\cup \{o\text{-term } p : o\text{-term } p \in T \text{ and Arity}(o) = \emptyset\}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (36), (46), (47), (42), and (48).  
 (56)  $T \text{ deg}_{\leq} 0 = \bigcup \text{FreeGenerator}(T)$ . PROOF:  $T \text{ deg}_{\leq} 0 =$  the set of all  $x$ -term.  $T \text{ deg}_{\leq} 0 \subseteq \bigcup \text{FreeGenerator}(T)$  by [5, (2)]. Consider  $b$  such that  $b \in \text{dom FreeGenerator}(T)$  and  $a \in (\text{FreeGenerator}(T))(b)$ . Consider  $y$  being a set such that  $y \in X(b)$  and  $a =$  the root tree of  $\langle y, b \rangle$ .  $\square$   
 (57)  $\rho \in T \text{ height}_{\leq} i$  if and only if  $\text{height } \rho \leq i$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ ,  $T$ , and  $i$ . One can check that  $T \text{ deg}_{\leq} i$  is non empty and  $T \text{ height}_{\leq} i$  is non empty.

Let us assume that  $i \leq j$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (58)  $T \text{ deg}_{\leq} i \subseteq T \text{ deg}_{\leq} j$ .  
 (59)  $T \text{ height}_{\leq} i \subseteq T \text{ height}_{\leq} j$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (60)  $T \text{ deg}_{\leq}(i + 1) = (T \text{ deg}_{\leq} 0) \cup \{o\text{-term } p : \text{there exists a finite sequence } f \text{ of elements of } \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } i \geq \sum f \text{ and } \text{dom } f = \text{dom Arity}(o) \text{ and for every } i \text{ and } \tau \text{ such that } i \in \text{dom Arity}(o) \text{ and } \tau = p(i) \text{ holds } f(i) = \text{deg } \tau\} \cap \bigcup (\text{the sorts of } T)$ . PROOF: Set  $I = \{o\text{-term } p : \text{there exists a finite sequence } f \text{ of elements of } \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } i \geq \sum f \text{ and } \text{dom } f =$

$\text{dom Arity}(o)$  and for every  $i$  and  $\tau$  such that  $i \in \text{dom Arity}(o)$  and  $\tau = p(i)$  holds  $f(i) = \text{deg } \tau$ .  $T \text{ deg}_{\leq}(i+1) \subseteq (T \text{ deg}_{\leq} 0) \cup I \cap \bigcup(\text{the sorts of } T)$  by [10, (39)], (36), (54), [36, (6)].  $T \text{ deg}_{\leq} 0 \subseteq T \text{ deg}_{\leq}(i+1)$ .  $I \cap \bigcup(\text{the sorts of } T) \subseteq T \text{ deg}_{\leq}(i+1)$ .  $\square$

- (61)  $T \text{ height}_{\leq}(i+1) = (T \text{ height}_{\leq} 0) \cup \{o\text{-term } p : \bigcup\{\text{height } \tau : \tau \in \text{rng } p\} \subseteq i\} \cap \bigcup(\text{the sorts of } T)$ . PROOF: Set  $I = \{o\text{-term } p : \bigcup\{\text{height } \tau : \tau \in \text{rng } p\} \subseteq i\}$ .  $T \text{ height}_{\leq}(i+1) \subseteq (T \text{ height}_{\leq} 0) \cup I \cap \bigcup(\text{the sorts of } T)$  by (36), (55), (46), (47).  $T \text{ height}_{\leq} 0 \subseteq T \text{ height}_{\leq}(i+1)$ .  $I \cap \bigcup(\text{the sorts of } T) \subseteq T \text{ height}_{\leq}(i+1)$  by (46), (47), [13, (39)], (48).  $\square$
- (62)  $\text{deg } \tau \geq \text{height } \tau$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)] \equiv \text{deg } \$_1 \geq \text{height } \$_1$ . For every operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$  and for every element  $p$  of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))$  such that for every element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } p]$  by (48), [36, (6)], (46), [42, (9)].  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from *TermInd.*  $\square$
- (63)  $\bigcup(\text{the sorts of } T) = \bigcup\{T \text{ deg}_{\leq} i : \text{not contradiction}\}$ .
- (64)  $\bigcup(\text{the sorts of } T) = \bigcup\{T \text{ height}_{\leq} i : \text{not contradiction}\}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (57).
- (65)  $T \text{ deg}_{\leq} i \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X) \text{ deg}_{\leq} i$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv T \text{ deg}_{\leq} \$_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X) \text{ deg}_{\leq} \$_1$ .  $T \text{ deg}_{\leq} 0 = \bigcup \text{FreeGenerator}(T)$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X) \text{ deg}_{\leq} 0 = \bigcup \text{FreeGenerator}(\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))$ . For every  $i$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[i]$  from [13, Sch. 2].  $\square$

#### 4. CONTEXT

Let us consider  $\Sigma, X, T, \sigma, x$ , and  $\rho$ . We say that  $\rho$  is  $x$ -context if and only if

(Def. 20)  $\overline{\text{Coim}(\rho, \langle x, \sigma \rangle)} = 1$ .

We say that  $\rho$  is  $x$ -omitting if and only if

(Def. 21)  $\text{Coim}(\rho, \langle x, \sigma \rangle) = \emptyset$ .

The functor  $\text{vf } \rho$  yielding a set is defined by the term

(Def. 22)  $\pi_1(\text{rng } \rho \cap (\bigcup X \times (\text{the carrier of } \Sigma)))$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (66)  $\text{vf } \rho = \bigcup \text{Var}_X \rho$ . PROOF:  $\text{vf } \rho \subseteq \bigcup \text{Var}_X \rho$  by [32, (87)], [5, (2)], [10, (44)], [23, (9)].  $\square$
- (67)  $\text{vf}(x\text{-term}) = \{x\}$ .
- (68)  $\text{vf}(o\text{-term } p) = \bigcup\{\text{vf } \tau : \tau \in \text{rng } p\}$ . PROOF:  $\text{vf}(o\text{-term } p) \subseteq \bigcup\{\text{vf } \tau : \tau \in \text{rng } p\}$  by (66), [5, (2)], [23, (13)], [55, (167)].  $\square$

Let us consider  $\Sigma, X, T$ , and  $\rho$ . Note that  $\text{vf } \rho$  is finite.

Now we state the proposition:

- (69) If  $x \notin \text{vf } \rho$ , then  $\rho$  is  $x$ -omitting.

Let us consider  $\Sigma, X, \sigma$ , and  $\tau$ . We say that  $\tau$  is  $\sigma$ -context if and only if

(Def. 23) There exists  $x$  such that  $\tau$  is  $x$ -context.

Let us consider  $x$ . Let us observe that every element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  which is  $x$ -context is also  $\sigma$ -context.

One can verify that  $x$ -term is  $x$ -context.

One can check that there exists an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  which is  $x$ -context and non compound and every element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  which is  $x$ -omitting is also non  $x$ -context.

Now we state the proposition:

(70) Let us consider sort symbols  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  of  $\Sigma$ , an element  $x_1$  of  $X(\sigma_1)$ , and an element  $x_2$  of  $X(\sigma_2)$ . Then  $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$  or  $x_1 \neq x_2$  if and only if  $x_1$ -term is  $x_2$ -omitting.

Let us consider  $\Sigma, \sigma, \sigma_1, Z$ , and  $z$ . Let  $z'$  be a  $z$ -different element of  $Z(\sigma_1)$ . One can check that  $z'$ -term is  $z$ -omitting.

One can check that there exists an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Z)$  which is  $z$ -omitting.

Let us consider  $\sigma_1$ . Let  $z_1$  be a  $z$ -different element of  $Z(\sigma_1)$ . Observe that there exists an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Z)$  which is  $z$ -omitting and  $z_1$ -context.

Let us consider  $X$ . Let us consider  $x$ .

A context of  $x$  is an  $x$ -context element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$ . Now we state the proposition:

(71) Let us consider a sort symbol  $\rho$  of  $\Sigma$  and an element  $y$  of  $X(\rho)$ . Then  $x$ -term is a context of  $y$  if and only if  $\rho = \sigma$  and  $x = y$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma, X$ , and  $\sigma$ .

A context of  $\sigma$  and  $X$  is a  $\sigma$ -context element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$ . In the sequel  $\mathcal{C}$  denotes a context of  $x$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_1$  denotes a context of  $y$ ,  $\mathcal{C}'$  denotes a context of  $z$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{11}$  denotes a context of  $x_{11}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{12}$  denotes a context of  $y_{11}$ , and  $D$  denotes a context of  $\sigma$  and  $X$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(72)  $\mathcal{C}$  is a context of  $\sigma$  and  $X$ .

(73)  $x \in \text{vf } \mathcal{C}$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma, o, \sigma, X, x$ , and  $p$ . We say that  $p$  is  $x$ -context including once only if and only if

(Def. 24) There exists  $i$  such that

(i)  $i \in \text{dom } p$ , and

(ii)  $p(i)$  is a context of  $x$ , and

(iii) for every  $j$  and  $\tau$  such that  $j \in \text{dom } p$  and  $j \neq i$  and  $\tau = p(j)$  holds  $\tau$  is  $x$ -omitting.

Let us note that every element of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X))$  which is  $x$ -context including once only is also non empty.

Now we state the propositions:

- (74)  $p$  is  $x$ -context including once only if and only if  $o$ -term  $p$  is a context of  $x$ . PROOF: Set  $I = \{\langle x, \sigma \rangle\}$ . Set  $k = p$ .  $(o\text{-term } k)^{-1}(I) = \emptyset \cup \bigcup \{\langle i \rangle \frown k(i+1)^{-1}(I) : i < \text{len } k\}$ . If  $k$  is  $x$ -context including once only, then  $o$ -term  $k$  is a context of  $x$  by [3, (42)], [52, (25)], [13, (10), (13), (11)].  $\square$
- (75) for every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } p$  holds  $p_i$  is  $x$ -omitting if and only if  $o$ -term  $p$  is  $x$ -omitting. The theorem is a consequence of (51) and (13).
- (76) for every  $\tau$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\tau$  is  $x$ -omitting if and only if  $o$ -term  $p$  is  $x$ -omitting. The theorem is a consequence of (75).

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $\sigma$ , and  $o$ . We say that  $o$  is  $\sigma$ -dependent if and only if

(Def. 25)  $\sigma \in \text{rng Arity}(o)$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be a sufficiently rich non void non empty many sorted signature and  $\sigma$  be a sort symbol of  $\Sigma$ . Let us note that there exists an operation symbol of  $\Sigma$  which is  $\sigma$ -dependent.

In the sequel  $\Sigma'$  denotes a sufficiently rich non empty non void many sorted signature,  $\sigma'$  denotes a sort symbol of  $\Sigma'$ ,  $o'$  denotes a  $\sigma'$ -dependent operation symbol of  $\Sigma'$ ,  $X'$  denotes a nontrivial many sorted set indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma'$ , and  $x'$  denotes an element of  $X'(\sigma')$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma'$ ,  $\sigma'$ ,  $o'$ ,  $X'$ , and  $x'$ . Let us observe that there exists an element of  $\text{Args}(o', \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma'}(X'))$  which is  $x'$ -context including once only.

Let  $p'$  be an  $x'$ -context including once only element of  $\text{Args}(o', \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma'}(X'))$ . One can check that  $o'$ -term  $p'$  is  $x'$ -context.

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $o$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $X$ ,  $x$ , and  $p$ . Assume  $p$  is  $x$ -context including once only. The functor the  $x$ -context position in  $p$  yielding a natural number is defined by

(Def. 26)  $p(it)$  is a context of  $x$ .

The functor the  $x$ -context in  $p$  yielding a context of  $x$  is defined by

(Def. 27)  $it \in \text{rng } p$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (77) Suppose  $p$  is  $x$ -context including once only. Then
- (i) the  $x$ -context position in  $p \in \text{dom } p$ , and
  - (ii) the  $x$ -context in  $p = p(\text{the } x\text{-context position in } p)$ .
- (78) Suppose  $p$  is  $x$ -context including once only and the  $x$ -context position in  $p \neq i \in \text{dom } p$ . Then  $p_i$  is  $x$ -omitting.

Let us assume that  $p$  is  $x$ -context including once only. Now we state the propositions:

- (79)  $p$  yields the  $x$ -context in  $p$  just once. The theorem is a consequence of (77).
- (80)  $p \leftarrow (\text{the } x\text{-context in } p) = \text{the } x\text{-context position in } p$ . The theorem is a consequence of (79).

Now we state the proposition:

- (81) (i)  $\mathcal{C} = x$ -term, or  
 (ii) there exists  $o$  and there exists  $p$  such that  $p$  is  $x$ -context including once only and  $\mathcal{C} = o$ -term  $p$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (36), (71), and (74).

Let us consider  $\Sigma'$ ,  $X'$ ,  $\sigma'$ , and  $x'$ . One can verify that there exists an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma'}(X')$  which is  $x'$ -context and compound.

The scheme *ContextInd* deals with a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$  and a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$  and a sort symbol  $\sigma$  of  $\Sigma$  and a non-empty many sorted set  $\mathcal{X}$  indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and an element  $x$  of  $\mathcal{X}(\sigma)$  and a context  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $x$  and states that

(Sch. 4)  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}]$

provided

- $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$  and
- for every operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$  and for every element  $w$  of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{X}))$  such that  $w$  is  $x$ -context including once only holds if  $\mathcal{P}[\text{the } x\text{-context in } w]$ , then for every context  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $x$  such that  $\mathcal{C} = o\text{-term } w$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}]$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(82) If  $\tau$  is  $x$ -omitting, then  $\tau_{\langle x, \sigma \rangle \leftarrow \tau_1} = \tau$ .

(83) Suppose the sort of  $\tau_1 = \sigma$ . Then  $\tau_{\langle x, \sigma \rangle \leftarrow \tau_1} \in (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))(\text{the sort of } \tau)$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)] \equiv \$_1_{\langle x, \sigma \rangle \leftarrow \tau_1} \in (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X))(\text{the sort of } \$_1)$ . For every  $\sigma_1$  and for every element  $y$  of  $X(\sigma_1)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[y\text{-term}]$ . For every  $o$  and  $p$  such that for every  $\tau_2$  such that  $\tau_2 \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau_2]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } p]$  by [20, (20)], (18), [52, (29)], [12, (2)].  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from *TermInd*.  $\square$

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $x$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\tau$ . Assume the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ . The functor  $\mathcal{C}[\tau]$  yielding an element of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$ )(the sort of  $\mathcal{C}$ ) is defined by the term

(Def. 28)  $\mathcal{C}_{\langle x, \sigma \rangle \leftarrow \tau}$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(84) If the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ , then  $x\text{-term}[\tau] = \tau$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $x$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{C}[x\text{-term}]$  reduces to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (85) Let us consider an element  $w$  of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Z))$  and an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Z)$ . Suppose
- (i)  $w$  is  $z$ -context including once only, and
  - (ii) the sort of  $\tau = \text{Arity}(o)$ (the  $z$ -context position in  $w$ ).

Then  $w + \cdot$  (the  $z$ -context position in  $w, \tau \in \text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Z))$ ).

(86) Suppose the sort of  $\mathcal{C}' = \sigma_1$ . Let us consider a  $z$ -different element  $z_1$  of  $Z(\sigma_1)$  and a  $z$ -omitting context  $\mathcal{C}_1$  of  $z_1$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}_1[\mathcal{C}']$  is a context of  $z$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Z)] \equiv$  if  $\$1$  is  $z$ -omitting, then  $\$1_{\langle z_1, \sigma_1 \rangle \leftarrow \mathcal{C}'}$  is a context of  $z$ . For every  $o$  and  $k$  such that  $k$  is  $z_1$ -context including once only holds if  $\mathcal{P}[\text{the } z_1\text{-context in } k]$ , then for every context  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $z_1$  such that  $\mathcal{C} = o\text{-term } k$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}]$ .  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}_1]$  from *ContextInd*.  $\square$

(87) Let us consider elements  $w, p$  of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Z))$  and an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Z)$ . Suppose

- (i)  $w$  is  $z$ -context including once only, and
- (ii)  $\mathcal{C}' = o\text{-term } w$ , and
- (iii)  $p = w + \cdot$  (the  $z$ -context position in  $w, (\text{the } z\text{-context in } w)[\tau]$ ), and
- (iv) the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ .

Then  $\mathcal{C}'[\tau] = o\text{-term } p$ . The theorem is a consequence of (77), (78), (82), and (19).

(88) The sort of  $\mathcal{C}[\tau] =$  the sort of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

(89) If  $\tau(a) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$ , then  $a \in \text{Leaves}(\text{dom } \tau)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (36).

(90) Let us consider a sort symbol  $\sigma_0$  of  $\Sigma$  and an element  $x_0$  of  $X(\sigma_0)$ . Suppose

- (i) the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ , and
- (ii)  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $x_0$ -omitting, and
- (iii)  $\tau$  is  $x_0$ -omitting.

Then  $\mathcal{C}[\tau]$  is  $x_0$ -omitting. The theorem is a consequence of (89).

(91) Suppose  $p$  is  $x$ -context including once only. Then the sort of the  $x$ -context in  $p = \text{Arity}(o)$ (the  $x$ -context position in  $p$ ). The theorem is a consequence of (77).

(92) Let us consider a disjoint valued non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over  $\Sigma$ , a non-empty algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  over  $\Sigma$ , an operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$ , elements  $p, q$  of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{A})$ , a many sorted function  $h$  from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ , an element  $a$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and  $i$ . Suppose

- (i)  $i \in \text{dom } p$ , and
- (ii)  $q = p + \cdot (i, a)$ .

Then  $h\#q = h\#p + \cdot (i, h(a))$ .

(93) Let us consider an element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Z)$ . Suppose the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ . Then (the canonical homomorphism of  $R$ )( $\mathcal{C}'[\tau]$ ) = (the canonical homomorphism of  $R$ )( $\mathcal{C}'[\text{the canonical homomorphism of } R](\tau)$ ). PROOF: Set  $H =$

the canonical homomorphism of  $R$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{context of } z] \equiv H(\$_1[\tau]) = H(\$_1[{}^{\textcircled{a}}(H(\tau))])$ . The sort of  ${}^{\textcircled{a}}(H(\tau)) =$  the sort of  $H(\tau)$ .  $\mathcal{P}[z\text{-term}]$  by (84), [10, (48)], [28, (15)].  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}']$  from *ContextInd*.  $\square$

Let us consider  $\Sigma, X, T, \sigma$ , and  $x$ . Let  $h$  be a many sorted function from  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  into  $T$ . We say that  $h$  is  $x$ -constant if and only if

- (Def. 29) (i)  $h(x\text{-term}) = x\text{-term}$ , and  
 (ii) for every  $\sigma_1$  and for every element  $x_1$  of  $X(\sigma_1)$  such that  $x_1 \neq x$  or  $\sigma \neq \sigma_1$  holds  $h(x_1\text{-term})$  is  $x$ -omitting.

Now we state the proposition:

- (94) The canonical homomorphism of  $T$  is  $x$ -constant. The theorem is a consequence of (70).

Let us consider  $\Sigma, X, T, \sigma$ , and  $x$ . Note that there exists a homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  to  $T$  which is  $x$ -constant.

From now on  $h_1$  denotes an  $x$ -constant homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(X)$  to  $T$  and  $h_2$  denotes a  $y$ -constant homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$  to  $Q$ .

Let  $x, y$  be objects. The functor  $x \leftrightarrow y$  yielding a function is defined by the term

- (Def. 30)  $\{\langle x, y \rangle, \langle y, x \rangle\}$ .

Let us observe that the functor is commutative.

Now we state the proposition:

- (95) (i)  $\text{dom}(a \leftrightarrow b) = \{a, b\}$ , and  
 (ii)  $(a \leftrightarrow b)(a) = b$ , and  
 (iii)  $(a \leftrightarrow b)(b) = a$ , and  
 (iv)  $\text{rng}(a \leftrightarrow b) = \{a, b\}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a non empty set and  $a, b$  be elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . One can verify that  $a \leftrightarrow b$  is  $\mathfrak{A}$ -valued and  $\mathfrak{A}$ -defined.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a set,  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a non empty set,  $f$  be a function from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and  $g$  be a  $\mathfrak{A}$ -defined  $\mathfrak{B}$ -valued function. Let us note that the functor  $f + \cdot g$  yields a function from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Let  $I$  be a non empty set,  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$  be many sorted sets indexed by  $I$ ,  $f$  be a many sorted function from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $x$  be an element of  $I$ , and  $g$  be a function from  $\mathfrak{A}(x)$  into  $\mathfrak{B}(x)$ . One can verify that the functor  $f + \cdot (x, g)$  yields a many sorted function from  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Let us consider  $\Sigma, X, T, \sigma, x_1$ , and  $x_2$ . The functor  $\text{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2)$  yielding an endomorphism of  $T$  is defined by

- (Def. 31) (i)  $it(\sigma)(x_1\text{-term}) = x_2\text{-term}$ , and  
 (ii)  $it(\sigma)(x_2\text{-term}) = x_1\text{-term}$ , and  
 (iii) for every  $\sigma_1$  and for every element  $y$  of  $X(\sigma_1)$  such that  $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma$  or  $y \neq x_1$  and  $y \neq x_2$  holds  $it(\sigma_1)(y\text{-term}) = y\text{-term}$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (96) Let us consider an endomorphism  $h$  of  $T$ . Suppose  $h(\sigma)(x\text{-term}) = x\text{-term}$ . Then  $h = \text{id}_\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is the sorts of  $T$ . PROOF:  $h \upharpoonright \text{FreeGenerator}(T) = \text{id}_\alpha \upharpoonright \text{FreeGenerator}(T)$ , where  $\alpha$  is the sorts of  $T$  by [27, (49), (18)].  $\square$
- (97)  $\text{Hom}(T, x, x) = \text{id}_\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is the sorts of  $T$ . The theorem is a consequence of (96).
- (98)  $\text{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2) = \text{Hom}(T, x_2, x_1)$ .
- (99)  $\text{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2) \circ \text{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2) = \text{id}_\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is the sorts of  $T$ . PROOF: Set  $h = \text{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2)$ . For every  $\sigma$  and  $x$ ,  $(h \circ h)(\sigma)(x\text{-term}) = x\text{-term}$  by [28, (15)], [36, (2)].  $\square$
- (100) If  $\rho$  is  $x_1$ -omitting and  $x_2$ -omitting, then  $(\text{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2))(\rho) = \rho$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } T] \equiv$  if  $\$1$  is  $x_1$ -omitting and  $x_2$ -omitting, then  $(\text{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2))(\text{the sort of } \$1)(\$1) = \$1$ . For every  $\sigma$ ,  $x$ , and  $\rho$  such that  $\rho = x\text{-term}$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$ . For every  $o$ ,  $p$ , and  $\rho$  such that  $\rho = o\text{-term } p$  and for every element  $\tau$  of  $T$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$  by (22), (34), [10, (13)], [36, (6)].  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$  from *TermAlgebraInd*.  $\square$

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ ,  $T$ ,  $\sigma$ , and  $x$ . Let us observe that (the canonical homomorphism of  $T$ )( $\sigma$ )( $x\text{-term}$ ) reduces to  $x\text{-term}$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (101)  $(\text{The canonical homomorphism of } T) \circ \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X), x, x_1) = \text{Hom}(T, x, x_1) \circ (\text{the canonical homomorphism of } T)$ . PROOF: Set  $H =$  the canonical homomorphism of  $T$ . Set  $h = \text{Hom}(T, x, x_1)$ . Set  $g = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X), x, x_1)$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)] \equiv (H \circ g)(\$1) = (h \circ H)(\$1)$ . For every  $\sigma$  and  $x$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$  by [36, (2)], [28, (15)]. For every operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$  and for every element  $p$  of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X))$  such that for every element  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } p]$  by [10, (13)], (34), [36, (6)], [52, (29), (25)].  $(H \circ g)(\sigma) = (h \circ H)(\sigma)$ .  $\square$
- (102) Let us consider an element  $\rho$  of  $T$  from  $\sigma$ . Then  $(\text{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2))(\sigma)(\rho) = ((\text{the canonical homomorphism of } T) \circ \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X), x_1, x_2))(\sigma)(\rho)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (101).
- (103) If  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and  $\tau$  is  $x_2$ -omitting, then  $(\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X), x_1, x_2))(\tau)$  is  $x_1$ -omitting. PROOF: Set  $T = \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$ . Set  $h = \text{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2)$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } T] \equiv$  if  $\$1$  is  $x_2$ -omitting, then  $h(\$1)$  is  $x_1$ -omitting. For every  $\sigma$  and  $x$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$ . For every  $o$  and  $p$  such that for every element  $\tau$  of  $T$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } p]$  by (34), [10, (13)], [36, (6)], [12, (2)].  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from *TermInd*.  $\square$
- (104) Let us consider a finite subset  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $\cup(\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Y))$ . Then there exists  $y$  such that for every  $v$  such that  $v \in \mathfrak{A}$  holds  $v$  is  $y$ -omitting. PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Y)) = \text{vf } \$1$ .  $\{\mathcal{F}(v) : v \in \mathfrak{A}\}$  is finite from [44, Sch. 21].  $\square$

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ , and  $T$ . We say that  $T$  is structure-invariant if and only if

- (Def. 32) Let us consider an element  $p$  of  $\text{Args}(o, T)$ . Suppose  $(\text{Den}(o, T))(p) = (\text{Den}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)))(p)$ .  $(\text{Den}(o, T))(\text{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2)\#p) = (\text{Den}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)))(\text{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2)\#p)$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (105) Suppose  $T$  is structure-invariant. Let us consider an element  $\rho$  of  $T$  from  $\sigma$ . Then  $(\text{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2))(\sigma)(\rho) = (\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X), x_1, x_2))(\sigma)(\rho)$ . PROOF: Set  $h = \text{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2)$ . Set  $g = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X), x_1, x_2)$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } T] \equiv h(\text{the sort of } \$_1)(\$_1) = g(\text{the sort of } \$_1)(\$_1)$ . For every  $\sigma$ ,  $x$ , and  $\rho$  such that  $\rho = x$ -term holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$ . For every  $o$ ,  $p$ , and  $\rho$  such that  $\rho = o$ -term  $p$  and for every element  $\tau$  of  $T$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$  by [10, (13)], (22), [36, (6)], [52, (29), (25)].  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$  from *TermAlgebraInd.*  $\square$
- (106) If  $T$  is structure-invariant and  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and  $\rho$  is  $x_2$ -omitting, then  $(\text{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2))(\rho)$  is  $x_1$ -omitting. PROOF: Set  $h = \text{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2)$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } T] \equiv$  if  $\$_1$  is  $x_2$ -omitting, then  $h(\$_1)$  is  $x_1$ -omitting. For every  $\sigma$ ,  $x$ , and  $\rho$  such that  $\rho = x$ -term holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$ . For every  $o$ ,  $p$ , and  $\rho$  such that  $\rho = o$ -term  $p$  and for every element  $\tau$  of  $T$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$  by (22), (34), [10, (13), (41)].  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$  from *TermAlgebraInd.*  $\square$
- (107) Suppose  $Q$  is structure-invariant and  $v$  is  $y$ -omitting. Then (the canonical homomorphism of  $Q$ )( $v$ ) is  $y$ -omitting. The theorem is a consequence of (104), (29), (101), (100), (98), and (106).
- (108) Suppose  $Q$  is structure-invariant. Let us consider an element  $p$  of  $\text{Args}(o, Q)$ . Suppose an element  $\tau$  of  $Q$ . If  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$ , then  $\tau$  is  $y$ -omitting. Let us consider an element  $\tau$  of  $Q$ . If  $\tau = (\text{Den}(o, Q))(p)$ , then  $\tau$  is  $y$ -omitting. The theorem is a consequence of (76), (34), and (107).
- (109) If  $Q$  is structure-invariant and  $v$  is  $y$ -omitting, then  $h_2(v)$  is  $y$ -omitting. PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Y)] \equiv$  if  $\$_1$  is  $y$ -omitting, then  $h_2(\$_1)$  is  $y$ -omitting. For every  $\sigma$  and  $y$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[y\text{-term}]$ . For every  $o$  and  $q$  such that for every  $v$  such that  $v \in \text{rng } q$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[v]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } q]$  by (34), [10, (13)], [36, (6)], [12, (2)].  $\mathcal{P}[v]$  from *TermInd.*  $\square$

Let us consider a terminating invariant stable many sorted relation  $R$  indexed by  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  with NF-variables and unique normal form property. Now we state the propositions:

- (110) (i) for every element  $\tau$  of the algebra of normal forms of  $R$ ,  $(\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X), x_1, x_2))(\text{the sort of } \tau)(\tau) = (\text{Hom}(\text{the algebra of normal forms of } R, x_1, x_2))(\tau)$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X), x_1, x_2) \upharpoonright \text{NForms}(R) = \text{Hom}(\text{the algebra of normal$

forms of  $R, x_1, x_2$ ).

PROOF: Set  $F = \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$ . Set  $T =$  the algebra of normal forms of  $R$ . Set  $H_3 = \text{Hom}(F, x_1, x_2)$ . Set  $H_2 = \text{Hom}(T, x_1, x_2)$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } T] \equiv H_3(\text{the sort of } \$_1)(\$_1) = H_2(\$_1)$ . For every sort symbol  $\sigma$  of  $\Sigma$  and for every element  $x$  of  $X(\sigma)$  and for every element  $\rho$  of  $T$  such that  $\rho = x$ -term holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$ . For every operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$  and for every element  $p$  of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X))$  and for every element  $\rho$  of  $T$  such that  $\rho = o$ -term  $p$  and for every element  $\tau$  of  $T$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\rho]$  by (22), (34), [10, (13)], [16, (54)].  $(\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X), x_1, x_2) \upharpoonright \text{NForms}(R))(\sigma) = (\text{Hom}(\text{the algebra of normal forms of } R, x_1, x_2))(\sigma)$  by [27, (49)].  $\square$

- (111) Suppose  $i \in \text{dom } p$  and  $R(\text{Arity}(o)_i)$  reduces  $\tau_1$  to  $\tau_2$ . Then  $R(\text{the result sort of } o)$  reduces  $(\text{Den}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)))(p + \cdot (i, \tau_1))$  to  $(\text{Den}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)))(p + \cdot (i, \tau_2))$ . PROOF: Consider  $\rho$  being a reduction sequence w.r.t.  $R(\text{Arity}(o)_i)$  such that  $\rho(1) = \tau_1$  and  $\rho(\text{len } \rho) = \tau_2$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$  if  $\$_1 \leq \text{len } \rho$ , then  $R(\text{the result sort of } o)$  reduces  $(\text{Den}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)))(p + \cdot (i, \tau_1))$  to  $(\text{Den}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)))(p + \cdot (i, \rho(\$_1)))$ . For every  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i$  and  $\mathcal{P}[i]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[i + 1]$  by [13, (13)], [52, (25)], [32, (87)], [12, (7), (2)]. For every  $i$  such that  $i \geq 1$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[i]$  from [13, Sch. 8].  $\square$

Now we state the propositions:

- (112) Let us consider a terminating invariant stable many sorted relation  $R$  indexed by  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  with NF-variables and unique normal form property and  $\tau$ . Then  $R(\text{the sort of } \tau)$  reduces  $\tau$  to (the canonical homomorphism of the algebra of normal forms of  $R$ )( $\tau$ ). PROOF: Set  $T =$  the algebra of normal forms of  $R$ . Set  $H =$  the canonical homomorphism of  $T$ . Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)] \equiv R(\text{the sort of } \$_1)$  reduces  $\$_1$  to  $H(\$_1)$ . For every  $o$  and  $p$  such that for every  $\tau$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } p]$  by [10, (13)], (34), [16, (54)], [12, (2)].  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from *TermInd*.  $\square$
- (113) Let us consider a terminating invariant stable many sorted relation  $R$  indexed by  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  with NF-variables and unique normal form property,  $o$ , and  $p$ . Then  $R(\text{the result sort of } o)$  reduces  $o$ -term  $p$  to  $(\text{Den}(o, \text{the algebra of normal forms of } R))((\text{the canonical homomorphism of the algebra of normal forms of } R)\#p)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (34) and (112).
- (114) Let us consider a terminating invariant stable many sorted relation  $R$  indexed by  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  with NF-variables and unique normal form property,  $o$ ,  $p$ , and an element  $q$  of  $\text{Args}(o, \text{the algebra of normal forms of } R)$ . Suppose  $p = q$ . Then  $R(\text{the result sort of } o)$  reduces  $o$ -term  $p$  to  $(\text{Den}(o, \text{the algebra of normal forms of } R))(q)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (113).

Let us consider  $\Sigma$  and  $X$ . Let  $R$  be a terminating invariant stable many sorted relation indexed by  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  with NF-variables and unique normal form property. Observe that the algebra of normal forms of  $R$  is structure-invariant.

Let us note that there exists a free in itself including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $X$  algebra

over  $\Sigma$  with all variables and inheriting operations which is structure-invariant.

## 5. CONTEXT VS. TRANSLATIONS

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $\sigma_1$ , and  $\sigma_2$ . We say that  $\sigma_2$  is  $\sigma_1$ -reachable if and only if

(Def. 33)  $\text{TranslRel}(\Sigma)$  reduces  $\sigma_1$  to  $\sigma_2$ .

One can verify that there exists a sort symbol of  $\Sigma$  which is  $\sigma_1$ -reachable.

From now on  $\sigma_2$  denotes a  $\sigma_1$ -reachable sort symbol of  $\Sigma$  and  $g_1$  denotes a translation in  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Y)$  from  $\sigma_1$  into  $\sigma_2$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(115)  $\text{TranslRel}(\Sigma)$  reduces  $\sigma$  to the sort of  $\mathcal{C}'$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}$ [element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Z)] \equiv \text{TranslRel}(\Sigma)$  reduces  $\sigma$  to the sort of  $\mathcal{C}'$ .  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}']$  from *ContextInd*.  $\square$

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ ,  $X$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $x$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$ . Observe that the sort of  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\sigma$ -reachable.

Let us consider  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ , and  $g$ . Let  $\tau$  be an element of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X))(\sigma_1)$ .

One can check that the functor  $g(\tau)$  yields an element of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X))(\sigma_2)$ .

Let us consider  $\sigma$ ,  $x$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is basic if and only if

(Def. 34) There exists  $o$  and there exists  $p$  such that  $\mathcal{C} = o\text{-term } p$  and the  $x$ -context in  $p = x\text{-term}$ .

The functor  $\text{transl}\mathcal{C}$  yielding a function from (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X))(\sigma)$  into (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X))(\text{the sort of } \mathcal{C})$  is defined by

(Def. 35) If the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ , then  $it(\tau) = \mathcal{C}[\tau]$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(116) If  $\mathcal{C} = x\text{-term}$ , then  $\text{transl}\mathcal{C} = \text{id}_{\alpha(\sigma)}$ , where  $\alpha$  is the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (84).

(117) Suppose  $\mathcal{C}' = o\text{-term } k$  and the  $z$ -context in  $k = z\text{-term}$  and  $k1 = k + \cdot$  (the  $z$ -context position in  $k, l$ ). Then  $\mathcal{C}'[l] = o\text{-term } k1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (74), (77), (84), and (87).

(118) If  $\mathcal{C}'$  is basic, then  $\text{transl}\mathcal{C}'$  is an elementary translation in  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Z)$  from  $\sigma$  into the sort of  $\mathcal{C}'$ . The theorem is a consequence of (34), (74), (77), and (117).

(119) Let us consider a finite set  $V$ . Suppose

(i)  $m \in \text{dom } q$ , and

(ii)  $\text{Arity}(o)_m = \sigma$ .

Then there exists  $y$  and there exists  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and there exists  $q_1$  such that  $y \notin V$  and  $\mathcal{C}_1 = o\text{-term } q_1$  and  $q_1 = q + \cdot (m, y\text{-term})$  and  $q_1$  is  $y$ -context including once only and  $m = \text{the } y\text{-context position in } q_1$  and the  $y$ -context in  $q_1 = y\text{-term}$ . PROOF: Set  $y = \text{the element of } Y(\sigma) \setminus (V \cup \pi_1(\text{rng}(o\text{-term } q)))$ .

Reconsider  $q_1 = q + \cdot (m, y\text{-term})$  as an element of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Y))$ .  $q_1$  is  $y$ -context including once only by [25, (30), (31), (32)], [52, (25)].  $\square$

(120) Let us consider sort symbols  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  of  $\Sigma$  and a finite set  $V$ . Suppose

- (i)  $m \in \text{dom } q$ , and
- (ii)  $\sigma_1 = \text{Arity}(o)_m$ .

Then there exists an element  $y$  of  $Y(\sigma_1)$  and there exists a context  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $y$  and there exists  $q_1$  such that  $y \notin V$  and  $q_1 = q + \cdot (m, y\text{-term})$  and  $q_1$  is  $y$ -context including once only and the  $y$ -context in  $q_1 = y\text{-term}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = o\text{-term } q_1$  and  $m =$  the  $y$ -context position in  $q_1$  and  $\text{transl } \mathcal{C} = o_m^{\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Y)}(q, -)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (119) and (117).

Let us consider  $\Sigma, X, \tau$ , and  $a$ . One can verify that  $\text{Coim}(\tau, a)$  is finite sequence-membered.

Now we state the propositions:

(121) Suppose  $X$  is nontrivial and the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ . Then  $\overline{\overline{\text{Coim}(\tau, a)}} \subseteq \overline{\overline{\text{Coim}(\mathcal{C}[\tau], a)}}$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{context of } x] \equiv$  for every  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{C} = \$_1$  holds  $\overline{\overline{\text{Coim}(\tau, a)}} \subseteq \overline{\overline{\text{Coim}(\mathcal{C}[\tau], a)}}$ .  $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$ . For every  $o$  and  $p$  such that  $p$  is  $x$ -context including once only holds if  $\mathcal{P}[\text{the } x\text{-context in } p]$ , then for every context  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $x$  such that  $\mathcal{C} = o\text{-term } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}]$  by (77), [36, (6)], [13, (10)], [52, (25)].  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}]$  from *ContextInd*.  $\square$

(122) If  $p$  is  $x$ -context including once only and  $i \in \text{dom } p$ , then  $p_i$  is not  $x$ -omitting iff  $p_i$  is  $x$ -context.

Let us assume that  $X$  is nontrivial and the sort of  $\mathcal{C} = \sigma_1$ . Now we state the propositions:

(123) Let us consider an element  $x_1$  of  $X(\sigma_1)$ , a context  $\mathcal{C}_1$  of  $x_1$ , and a context  $\mathcal{C}_2$  of  $x$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{C}_1[\mathcal{C}]$ . If the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_2[\tau] = \mathcal{C}_1[\mathcal{C}[\tau]]$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{context of } x_1] \equiv$  for every context  $\mathcal{C}_1$  of  $x_1$  for every context  $\mathcal{C}_2$  of  $x$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_1 = \$_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{C}_1[\mathcal{C}]$  holds  $\mathcal{C}_2[\tau] = \mathcal{C}_1[\mathcal{C}[\tau]]$ .  $\mathcal{P}[x_1\text{-term}]$ . For every  $o$  and for every element  $w$  of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X))$  such that  $w$  is  $x_1$ -context including once only holds if  $\mathcal{P}[\text{the } x_1\text{-context in } w]$ , then for every context  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $x_1$  such that  $\mathcal{C} = o\text{-term } w$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}]$  by (77), [36, (6)], [12, (2), (7)].  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}_1]$  from *ContextInd*.  $\square$

(124) Let us consider an element  $x_1$  of  $X(\sigma_1)$ , a context  $\mathcal{C}_1$  of  $x_1$ , and a context  $\mathcal{C}_2$  of  $x$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{C}_1[\mathcal{C}]$ . Then  $\text{transl } \mathcal{C}_2 = \text{transl } \mathcal{C}_1 \cdot \text{transl } \mathcal{C}$ . PROOF: Reconsider  $f = \text{transl } \mathcal{C}$  as a function from (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X))(\sigma)$  into (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X))(\sigma_1)$ .  $\text{transl } \mathcal{C}_2 = \text{transl } \mathcal{C}_1 \cdot f$  by [28, (15)], (123).  $\square$

Now we state the proposition:

(125) There exists  $y_{11}$  and there exists  $\mathcal{C}_{12}$  such that the sort of  $\mathcal{C}_{12} = \sigma_2$  and  $g_1 = \text{transl } \mathcal{C}_{12}$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{function, sort symbol of } \Sigma, \text{ sort symbol of } \Sigma] \equiv$  for every finite set  $V$ , there exists an element  $x$  of  $Y(\$_2)$  and

there exists a context  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $x$  such that  $x \notin V$  and the sort of  $\mathcal{C} = \$_3$  and  $\$1 = \text{transl}\mathcal{C}$ . For every  $\sigma$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[\text{id}_{\alpha(\sigma)}, \sigma, \sigma]$ , where  $\alpha$  is the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$ . For every sort symbols  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $\text{TranslRel}(\Sigma)$  reduces  $\sigma_1$  to  $\sigma_2$  for every translation  $\tau$  in  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$  from  $\sigma_1$  into  $\sigma_2$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[\tau, \sigma_1, \sigma_2]$  for every function  $f$  such that  $f$  is an elementary translation in  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$  from  $\sigma_2$  into  $\sigma_3$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[f \cdot \tau, \sigma_1, \sigma_3]$  by [12, (2)], (120), (73), (69). For every sort symbols  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $\text{TranslRel}(\Sigma)$  reduces  $\sigma_1$  to  $\sigma_2$  for every translation  $\tau$  in  $\mathfrak{F}_{\Sigma}(Y)$  from  $\sigma_1$  into  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\mathcal{P}[\tau, \sigma_1, \sigma_2]$  from [12, Sch. 1].  $\square$

The scheme *LambdaTerm* deals with a non empty non void many sorted signature  $\Sigma$  and a non-empty many sorted set  $\mathcal{X}$  indexed by the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and including  $\Sigma$ -terms over  $\mathcal{X}$  algebras  $T_1, T_2$  over  $\Sigma$  with all variables and inheriting operations and a unary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding an element of  $T_2$  and states that

(Sch. 5) There exists a many sorted function  $f$  from  $T_1$  into  $T_2$  such that for every element  $\tau$  of  $T_1$ ,  $f(\tau) = \mathcal{F}(\tau)$

provided

- for every element  $\tau$  of  $T_1$ , the sort of  $\tau =$  the sort of  $\mathcal{F}(\tau)$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(126) There exists an endomorphism  $g$  of  $T$  such that

- (i) (the canonical homomorphism of  $T$ )  $\circ h = g \circ$  (the canonical homomorphism of  $T$ ), and
- (ii) for every element  $\tau$  of  $T$ ,  $g(\tau) =$  (the canonical homomorphism of  $T$ )( $h(\tau)$ ).

The theorem is a consequence of (29).

(127) (The canonical homomorphism of  $T$ )( $h(\tau)$ ) = (the canonical homomorphism of  $T$ )( $h(\tau)$ )). The theorem is a consequence of (126) and (29).

## 6. CONTEXT VS. ENDOMORPHISM

Let us consider  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a non empty finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and  $i$  be an element of  $\text{dom}\mathcal{B}$ . Note that the functor  $\mathcal{B}(i)$  yields a sort symbol of  $\Sigma$ . Let us consider  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and  $V$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup X$ . We say that  $V$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting if and only if

(Def. 36) (i)  $\text{dom} V = \text{dom} \mathcal{B}$ , and

- (ii) for every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom} \mathcal{B}$  holds  $V(i) \in X(\mathcal{B}(i))$ .

Let us observe that there exists a finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup X$  which is  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a non empty finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$ . One can check that every finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup X$  which is  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting is also non empty.

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup X$  and  $i$  be an element of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{B}$ . Note that the functor  $V(i)$  yields an element of  $X(\mathcal{B}(i))$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and  $D$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$ . We say that  $D$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting if and only if

- (Def. 37) (i)  $\text{dom } D = \text{dom } \mathcal{B}$ , and  
(ii) for every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } \mathcal{B}$  holds  $D(i) \in (\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X))(\mathcal{B}(i))$ .

Note that there exists a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  which is  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a non empty finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$ . One can verify that every finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  which is  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting is also non empty.

Let  $D$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  and  $i$  be an element of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{B}$ . Let us note that the functor  $D(i)$  yields an element of (the sorts of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X))(\mathcal{B}(i))$ . Let  $V$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup X$  and  $F$  be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$ . We say that  $F$  is  $V$ -context sequence if and only if

- (Def. 38) (i)  $\text{dom } F = \text{dom } \mathcal{B}$ , and  
(ii) for every element  $i$  of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{B}$ ,  $F(i)$  is a context of  $V(i)$ .

Let us observe that every finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  which is  $V$ -context sequence is also non empty.

The scheme *FinSeqLambda* deals with a non empty finite sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  and a unary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding an object and states that

- (Sch. 6) There exists a non empty finite sequence  $p$  such that  $\text{dom } p = \text{dom } \mathcal{B}$  and for every element  $i$  of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{B}$ ,  $p(i) = \mathcal{F}(i)$ .

The scheme *FinSeqRecLambda* deals with a non empty finite sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  and an object  $\mathfrak{A}$  and a binary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding a set and states that

- (Sch. 7) There exists a non empty finite sequence  $p$  such that  $\text{dom } p = \text{dom } \mathcal{B}$  and  $p(1) = \mathfrak{A}$  and for every elements  $i, j$  of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{B}$  such that  $j = i + 1$  holds  $p(j) = \mathcal{F}(i, p(i))$ .

The scheme *FinSeqRec2Lambda* deals with a non empty finite sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  and a decorated tree  $\mathfrak{A}$  and a binary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding a decorated tree and states that

- (Sch. 8) There exists a non empty decorated tree yielding finite sequence  $p$  such that  $\text{dom } p = \text{dom } \mathcal{B}$  and  $p(1) = \mathfrak{A}$  and for every elements  $i, j$  of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{B}$

such that  $j = i + 1$  for every decorated tree  $d$  such that  $d = p(i)$  holds  $p(j) = \mathcal{F}(i, d)$ .

Let us consider  $\Sigma$  and  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a non empty finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and  $V$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup X$ . One can check that there exists a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  which is  $V$ -context sequence.

Let  $F$  be a  $V$ -context sequence finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  and  $i$  be an element of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{B}$ . One can verify that the functor  $F(i)$  yields a context of  $V(i)$ . Let  $V_1, V_2$  be  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequences of elements of  $\bigcup X$ . We say that  $V_2$  is  $V_1$ -omitting if and only if

(Def. 39)  $\text{rng } V_1$  misses  $\text{rng } V_2$ .

Let  $D$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  and  $F$  be a  $V_2$ -context sequence finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$ . We say that  $F$  is  $(V_1, V_2, D)$ -consequent context sequence if and only if

(Def. 40) Let us consider elements  $i, j$  of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{B}$ . If  $i+1 = j$ , then  $F(j)[V_1(j)\text{-term}] = F(i)[D(i)]$ .

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup X$ . We say that  $V$  is  $D$ -omitting if and only if

(Def. 41) If  $\tau \in \text{rng } D$ , then  $\text{vf } \tau$  misses  $\text{rng } V$ .

Now we state the proposition:

(128) Let us consider a non empty finite sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$  a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence  $D$  of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence  $V$  of elements of  $\bigcup X$ . Suppose  $V$  is  $D$ -omitting. Let us consider elements  $b_1, b_2$  of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $D(b_1)$  is  $(V(b_2))$ -omitting. The theorem is a consequence of (69).

Let us consider  $\Sigma$  and  $Y$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a non empty finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$ ,  $V$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup Y$ , and  $D$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Y)$ . Let us observe that there exists a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup Y$  which is one-to-one,  $V$ -omitting, and  $D$ -omitting.

Let us consider  $X$  and  $\tau$ .

A  $\text{vf}$ -sequence of  $\tau$  is a finite sequence and is defined by

(Def. 42) There exists a one-to-one finite sequence  $f$  such that

- (i)  $\text{rng } f = \{\xi, \text{ where } \xi \text{ is an element of } \text{dom } \tau : \text{ there exists } \sigma \text{ and there exists } x \text{ such that } \tau(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle\}$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{dom } it = \text{dom } f$ , and
- (iii) for every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } it$  holds  $it(i) = \tau(f(i))$ .

Let  $f$  be a finite sequence. Let us observe that  $\text{pr1}(f)$  is finite sequence-like and  $\text{pr2}(f)$  is finite sequence-like.

Now we state the propositions:

- (129) Let us consider a vf-sequence  $f$  of  $\tau$ . Then  $\text{pr2}(f)$  is a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$ .
- (130) Let us consider a vf-sequence  $f$  of  $\tau$  and a finite sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{B} = \text{pr2}(f)$ . Then  $\text{pr1}(f)$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup X$ .

Let  $f$  be a non empty finite sequence. One can verify that  $1(\in \text{dom } f)$  reduces to 1 and  $(\text{len } f)(\in \text{dom } f)$  reduces to  $\text{len } f$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (131) Let us consider an element  $\xi$  of  $\text{dom } \tau$ . Suppose  $\tau(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$ . Suppose the sort of  $\tau_1 = \sigma$ . Then  $\tau$  with-replacement( $\xi, \tau_1$ ) is an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  from the sort of  $\tau$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)] \equiv$  for every element  $\xi$  of  $\text{dom } \$_1$  for every  $x_1$  and  $\tau$  such that  $\$_1(\xi) = \langle x_1, \sigma \rangle$  and  $\tau = \$_1$  holds  $\$_1$  with-replacement( $\xi, \tau_1$ ) is an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$  from the sort of  $\tau$ .  $\mathcal{P}[x_{11}$ -term] by [20, (3)], [17, (29)]. For every  $o$  and  $p$  such that for every  $\tau$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } p]$  by [20, (10)], [13, (12), (13)], [52, (25)].  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from *TermInd.*  $\square$
- (132) Suppose  $X$  is nontrivial. Let us consider an element  $\xi$  of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{C}$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{C}(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$ . If the sort of  $\tau = \sigma$ , then  $\mathcal{C}[\tau] = \mathcal{C}$  with-replacement( $\xi, \tau$ ). PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)] \equiv$  for every context  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $x$  such that  $\mathcal{C} = \$_1$  for every element  $\xi$  of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$  holds  $\mathcal{C}[\tau] = \mathcal{C}$  with-replacement( $\xi, \tau$ ).  $\mathcal{P}[x$ -term] by [17, (29)], [20, (3)], (84). For every operation symbol  $o$  of  $\Sigma$  and for every element  $w$  of  $\text{Args}(o, \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X))$  such that  $w$  is  $x$ -context including once only holds if  $\mathcal{P}[\text{the } x\text{-context in } w]$ , then for every context  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $x$  such that  $\mathcal{C} = o\text{-term } w$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}]$  by [20, (10)], [19, (38)], [13, (12), (13)].  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{C}]$  from *ContextInd.*  $\square$
- (133) Let us consider finite sequences  $\xi_1, \xi_2$ . Suppose
- (i)  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$ , and
  - (ii)  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \text{dom } \tau$ .

Let us consider sort symbols  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  of  $\Sigma$ , an element  $x_1$  of  $X(\sigma_1)$ , and an element  $x_2$  of  $X(\sigma_2)$ . Suppose  $\tau(\xi_1) = \langle x_1, \sigma_1 \rangle$ . Then  $\xi_1 \not\leq \xi_2$ . The theorem is a consequence of (36).

Let us consider  $\tau, \tau_1$ , and an element  $\xi$  of  $\text{dom } \tau$ . Now we state the propositions:

- (134) If  $\tau_1 = \tau$  with-replacement( $\xi, x\text{-term}$ ) and  $\tau$  is  $x$ -omitting, then  $\tau_1$  is a context of  $x$ . PROOF:  $\text{Coim}(\tau_1, \langle x, \sigma \rangle) = \{\xi\}$  by [17, (1), (29)], [20, (3)], [22, (87)].  $\square$
- (135) If  $\tau(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$ , then  $\text{dom } \tau \subseteq \text{dom}(\tau \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, \tau_1))$ . The theorem is a consequence of (89).

Now we state the propositions:

(136) Let us consider an element  $\xi$  of  $\text{dom } \tau$ . Suppose  $\tau(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$ . Then  $\text{dom } \tau = \text{dom}(\tau \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, x_1\text{-term}))$ . PROOF:  $\text{dom } \tau \subseteq \text{dom}(\tau \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, x_1\text{-term}))$ .  $\text{dom}(\tau \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, x_1\text{-term})) \subseteq \text{dom } \tau$  by [17, (29)], [20, (3)].  $\square$

(137) Let us consider trees  $\tau, \tau_1$  and an element  $\xi$  of  $\tau$ . Then  $(\tau \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, \tau_1)) \upharpoonright \xi = \tau_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (1).

(138) Let us consider decorated trees  $\tau, \tau_1$  and a node  $\xi$  of  $\tau$ . Then  $(\tau \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, \tau_1)) \upharpoonright \xi = \tau_1$ . The theorem is a consequence of (137).

Let us consider a node  $\xi$  of  $\tau$ . Now we state the propositions:

(139) If  $\tau_1 = \tau \upharpoonright \xi$ , then  $h(\tau) \upharpoonright \xi = h(\tau_1)$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)] \equiv$  for every node  $\xi$  of  $\mathbb{S}_1$  for every  $\tau_1$  such that  $\tau_1 = \mathbb{S}_1 \upharpoonright \xi$  holds  $h(\mathbb{S}_1) \upharpoonright \xi = h(\tau_1)$  and  $\xi \in \text{dom}(h(\mathbb{S}_1))$ .  $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$  by [17, (29)], [20, (3)], [21, (1)], [17, (22)]. For every  $o$  and  $p$  such that for every  $\tau$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } p]$  by [20, (11)], [21, (1)], [17, (22)], [21, (3)].  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from *TermInd.*  $\square$

(140) If  $\tau(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$ , then  $\tau \upharpoonright \xi = x\text{-term}$ . The theorem is a consequence of (36).

Now we state the propositions:

(141) Let us consider trees  $\tau, \tau_1$  and elements  $\xi, \nu$  of  $\tau$ . Suppose

- (i)  $\xi \not\subseteq \nu$ , and
- (ii)  $\nu \not\subseteq \xi$ .

Then  $(\tau \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, \tau_1)) \upharpoonright \nu = \tau \upharpoonright \nu$ . The theorem is a consequence of (2) and (5).

(142) Let us consider decorated trees  $\tau, \tau_1$  and nodes  $\xi, \nu$  of  $\tau$ . Suppose

- (i)  $\xi \not\subseteq \nu$ , and
- (ii)  $\nu \not\subseteq \xi$ .

Then  $(\tau \text{ with-replacement}(\xi, \tau_1)) \upharpoonright \nu = \tau \upharpoonright \nu$ . The theorem is a consequence of (141) and (5).

(143) If  $\tau \subseteq \tau_1$ , then  $\tau = \tau_1$ . PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)] \equiv$  for every  $\tau_1$  such that  $\mathbb{S}_1 \subseteq \tau_1$  holds  $\mathbb{S}_1 = \tau_1$ .  $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$  by [17, (22)], [30, (2)], [20, (3)], (36). For every  $o$  and  $p$  such that for every  $\tau$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } p]$  by [17, (22)], [30, (2)], (36), [20, (3)].  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from *TermInd.*  $\square$

(144) Let us consider an endomorphism  $h$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)$ . Then

- (i)  $\text{dom } \tau \subseteq \text{dom}(h(\tau))$ , and

- (ii) for every  $I$  such that  $I = \{\xi$ , where  $\xi$  is an element of  $\text{dom } \tau$  : there exists  $\sigma$  and there exists  $x$  such that  $\tau(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$  holds  $\tau \upharpoonright (\text{dom } \tau \setminus I) = h(\tau) \upharpoonright (\text{dom } \tau \setminus I)$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)] \equiv \text{dom } \$_1 \subseteq \text{dom}(h(\$_1))$  and for every  $I$  such that  $I = \{\xi$ , where  $\xi$  is an element of  $\text{dom } \$_1$  : there exists  $\sigma$  and there exists  $x$  such that  $\$_1(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$  holds  $\$_1 \upharpoonright (\text{dom } \$_1 \setminus I) = h(\$_1) \upharpoonright (\text{dom } \$_1 \setminus I)$ .  $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$  by [17, (22)], [20, (3)], [17, (29)]. For every  $o$  and  $p$  such that for every  $\tau$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } p]$  by (34), [10, (13)], [20, (11)], [17, (22)].  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from *TermInd.*  $\square$

- (145) Suppose  $I = \{\xi$ , where  $\xi$  is an element of  $\text{dom } \tau$  : there exists  $\sigma$  and there exists  $x$  such that  $\tau(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$ . Let us consider a node  $\xi$  of  $h(\tau)$ . Then

- (i)  $\xi \in \text{dom } \tau \setminus I$ , or  
(ii) there exists an element  $\nu$  of  $\text{dom } \tau$  such that  $\nu \in I$  and there exists a node  $\mu$  of  $h(\tau) \upharpoonright \nu$  such that  $\xi = \nu \wedge \mu$ .

PROOF: Define  $\mathcal{P}[\text{element of } \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(X)] \equiv$  for every  $I$  such that  $I = \{\xi$ , where  $\xi$  is an element of  $\text{dom } \$_1$  : there exists  $\sigma$  and there exists  $x$  such that  $\$_1(\xi) = \langle x, \sigma \rangle$  for every node  $\xi$  of  $h(\$_1)$ ,  $\xi \in \text{dom } \$_1 \setminus I$  or there exists an element  $\nu$  of  $\text{dom } \$_1$  such that  $\nu \in I$  and there exists a node  $\mu$  of  $h(\$_1) \upharpoonright \nu$  such that  $\xi = \nu \wedge \mu$ .  $\mathcal{P}[x\text{-term}]$  by [17, (22)], [20, (3)], [21, (1)]. For every  $o$  and  $p$  such that for every  $\tau$  such that  $\tau \in \text{rng } p$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[o\text{-term } p]$  by (34), [10, (13)], [20, (11)], [17, (22)].  $\mathcal{P}[\tau]$  from *TermInd.*  $\square$

- (146) Let us consider an endomorphism  $h$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Y)$  a one-to-one finite sequence  $g$  of elements of  $\text{dom } v$ . Suppose

- (i)  $\text{rng } g = \{\xi$ , where  $\xi$  is an element of  $\text{dom } v$  : there exists  $\sigma$  and there exists  $y$  such that  $v(\xi) = \langle y, \sigma \rangle$ , and  
(ii)  $\text{dom } v \subseteq \text{dom } v_1$ , and  
(iii)  $v \upharpoonright (\text{dom } v \setminus \text{rng } g) = v_1 \upharpoonright (\text{dom } v \setminus \text{rng } g)$ , and  
(iv) for every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } g$  holds  $h(v) \upharpoonright (g_i \text{ qua node of } v) = v_1 \upharpoonright (g_i \text{ qua node of } v)$ .

Then  $h(v) = v_1$ . PROOF:  $h(v) \upharpoonright (\text{dom } v \setminus \text{rng } g) = v_1 \upharpoonright (\text{dom } v \setminus \text{rng } g)$ .  $h(v) \subseteq v_1$  by [27, (1)], (145), [27, (49)], (144).  $\square$

- (147) Let us consider an endomorphism  $h$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Y)$  and a vf-sequence  $f$  of  $v$ . Suppose  $f \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists a non empty finite sequence  $\mathcal{B}$  of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$  and there exists a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence  $V_1$  of elements of  $\bigcup Y$  such that  $\text{dom } \mathcal{B} = \text{dom } f$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \text{pr2}(f)$  and  $V_1 = \text{pr1}(f)$  and there exists a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence  $D$  of elements

of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Y)$  and there exists a  $V_1$ -omitting  $D$ -omitting  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence  $V_2$  of elements of  $\bigcup Y$  such that for every element  $i$  of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{B}$ ,  $D(i) = h(V_1(i)\text{-term})$  and there exists a  $V_2$ -context sequence finite sequence  $F$  of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Y)$  such that  $F$  is  $(V_1, V_2, D)$ -consequent context sequence and  $F(1(\in \text{dom } \mathcal{B}))[V_1(1(\in \text{dom } \mathcal{B}))\text{-term}] = v$  and  $h(v) = F((\text{len } \mathcal{B})(\in \text{dom } \mathcal{B}))[D((\text{len } \mathcal{B})(\in \text{dom } \mathcal{B}))]$ . PROOF: Reconsider  $\mathcal{B} = \text{pr2}(f)$  as a non empty finite sequence of elements of the carrier of  $\Sigma$ . Consider  $g$  being a one-to-one finite sequence such that  $\text{rng } g = \{\xi\}$ , where  $\xi$  is an element of  $\text{dom } v$  : there exists  $\sigma$  and there exists  $y$  such that  $v(\xi) = \langle y, \sigma \rangle$  and  $\text{dom } f = \text{dom } g$  and for every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $f(i) = v(g(i))$ .  $\text{rng } g \subseteq \text{dom } v$ . Reconsider  $V_1 = \text{pr1}(f)$  as a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup Y$ . Define  $\mathcal{F}(\text{element of } \text{dom } \mathcal{B}) = h(V_1(\$_1)\text{-term})$ . Consider  $D$  being a non empty finite sequence such that  $\text{dom } D = \text{dom } \mathcal{B}$  and for every element  $i$  of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{B}$ ,  $D(i) = \mathcal{F}(i)$  from *FinSeqLambda*.  $D$  is a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Y)$ .  $D$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting. Set  $V_2 =$  the one-to-one  $V_1$ -omitting  $D$ -omitting  $\mathcal{B}$ -sorting finite sequence of elements of  $\bigcup Y$ . Define  $\mathcal{H}(\text{element of } \text{dom } \mathcal{B}, \text{decorated tree}) = (\$_2 \text{ with-replacement}(((g_{\$_1} \text{ qua element of } \text{dom } v) \text{ qua finite sequence of elements of } \mathbb{N}), D(\$_1))) \text{ with-replacement}(((g_{\$_1+1} \text{ qua element of } \text{dom } v) \text{ qua finite sequence of elements of } \mathbb{N}), \text{the root tree of } \langle V_2(\$_1 + 1), \mathcal{B}(\$_1 + 1) \rangle)$ . Consider  $F$  being a non empty decorated tree yielding finite sequence such that  $\text{dom } F = \text{dom } \mathcal{B}$  and  $F(1) = v$  with-replacement((( $g_1$  qua element of  $\text{dom } v$ ) qua finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ ), the root tree of  $\langle V_2(1), \mathcal{B}(1) \rangle$ ) and for every elements  $i, j$  of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{B}$  such that  $j = i + 1$  for every decorated tree  $d$  such that  $d = F(i)$  holds  $F(j) = \mathcal{H}(i, d)$  from *FinSeqRec2Lambda*.  $\text{rng } F \subseteq \bigcup(\text{the sorts of } \mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Y))$  by (131), [22, (87)], [20, (3)], (133). Define  $\mathcal{Q}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$  for every element  $b$  of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\$_1 = b$  holds  $F(b)$  is a context of  $V_2(b)$  and  $\text{dom } v \subseteq \text{dom}(F(b))$  and  $F(b)(g_b) = \langle V_2(b), \mathcal{B}(b) \rangle$  and for every element  $b_1$  of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{B}$  such that  $b_1 > b$  holds  $F_{b_1}$  is  $(V_2(b_1))$ -omitting and  $F(b)(g_{b_1}) = \langle V_1(b_1), \mathcal{B}(b_1) \rangle$ .  $\mathcal{Q}[1]$  by [27, (102)], (134), (135), [22, (87)]. For every  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i$  and  $\mathcal{Q}[i]$  holds  $\mathcal{Q}[i + 1]$  by [52, (25)], [13, (13)], [27, (102)], (132). For every  $i$  such that  $i \geq 1$  holds  $\mathcal{Q}[i]$  from [13, Sch. 8].  $F$  is  $V_2$ -context sequence by [52, (25)].  $F$  is  $(V_1, V_2, D)$ -consequent context sequence by [52, (25)], [13, (12), (13)], (132). Set  $b = 1(\in \text{dom } \mathcal{B})$ . Reconsider  $\nu = g_b$ ,  $\xi = g_{\text{len } \mathcal{B}}$  as a node of  $v$ . Consider  $\mu$  being a node of  $v$  such that  $\nu = \mu$  and there exists  $\sigma$  and there exists  $y$  such that  $v(\mu) = \langle y, \sigma \rangle$ .  $\text{dom}(F(b)) = \text{dom } v$ . Reconsider  $\tau = V_1(b)\text{-term}$  as an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_\Sigma(Y)$ . Consider  $\mu$  being a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu \in \text{dom}(V_2(b)\text{-term})$  and  $\nu = \nu \hat{\ } \mu$  and  $F(b)(\nu) = V_2(b)\text{-term}(\mu)$ .  $F(b)[\tau] = F(b)$  with-replacement( $\nu, \tau$ ). Define  $\Sigma[\text{natural number}] \equiv$  for every elements  $b, b_1$  of  $\text{dom } \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\$_1 = b$  and  $b_1 \leq b$  holds  $(F(b)[D(b)]) \upharpoonright (g_{b_1} \text{ qua node of } v) = h(v) \upharpoonright (g_{b_1} \text{ qua node of } v)$

$v$ ) and  $(F(b)[D(b)]) \upharpoonright (\text{dom } v \setminus \text{rng } g) = v \upharpoonright (\text{dom } v \setminus \text{rng } g)$ .  $\Sigma[1]$  by [52, (25)], (132), (138), (140). For every  $i$  such that  $i \geq 1$  and  $\Sigma[i]$  holds  $\Sigma[i+1]$  by [52, (25)], [13, (13)], (132), (135). Set  $b = (\text{len } \mathcal{B})(\in \text{dom } \mathcal{B})$ . Set  $v_1 = F(b)[D(b)]$ . For every  $i$  such that  $i \geq 1$  holds  $\Sigma[i]$  from [13, Sch. 8].  $v_1 = F(b)$  with-replacement( $(g_b$  **qua** node of  $v$ ),  $D(b)$ ).  $\text{dom}(F(b)) \subseteq \text{dom } v_1$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Towards the construction of a model of Mizar concepts. *Formalized Mathematics*, 16(2):207–230, 2008. doi:10.2478/v10037-008-0027-x.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal arithmetics. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):543–547, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. König’s theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. On powers of cardinals. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(1):89–93, 1992.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Algebra of morphisms. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):303–310, 1997.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Tarski’s classes and ranks. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):563–567, 1990.
- [8] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cartesian product of functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):547–552, 1991.
- [9] Grzegorz Bancerek. Institution of many sorted algebras. Part I: Signature reduct of an algebra. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):279–287, 1997.
- [10] Grzegorz Bancerek. Free term algebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 20(3):239–256, 2012. doi:10.2478/v10037-012-0029-6.
- [11] Grzegorz Bancerek. Terms over many sorted universal algebra. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):191–198, 1996.
- [12] Grzegorz Bancerek. Translations, endomorphisms, and stable equational theories. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):553–564, 1996.
- [13] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [14] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [15] Grzegorz Bancerek. Veblen hierarchy. *Formalized Mathematics*, 19(2):83–92, 2011. doi:10.2478/v10037-011-0014-5.
- [16] Grzegorz Bancerek. Reduction relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):469–478, 1996.
- [17] Grzegorz Bancerek. Introduction to trees. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):421–427, 1990.
- [18] Grzegorz Bancerek. König’s lemma. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(3):397–402, 1991.
- [19] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sets and functions of trees and joining operations of trees. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(2):195–204, 1992.
- [20] Grzegorz Bancerek. Joining of decorated trees. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):77–82, 1993.
- [21] Grzegorz Bancerek. Subtrees. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):185–190, 1996.
- [22] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [23] Grzegorz Bancerek and Artur Korniłowicz. Yet another construction of free algebra. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(4):779–785, 2001.
- [24] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. On defining functions on trees. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):91–101, 1993.
- [25] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [26] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [27] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [28] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.

- [29] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [30] Czesław Byliński. Graphs of functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):169–173, 1990.
- [31] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [32] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [33] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [34] Andrzej Kondracki. Basic properties of rational numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):841–845, 1990.
- [35] Andrzej Kondracki. The Chinese Remainder Theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):573–577, 1997.
- [36] Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Homomorphisms of many sorted algebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):61–65, 1996.
- [37] Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Many sorted quotient algebra. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):79–84, 1996.
- [38] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent real sequences. Upper and lower bound of sets of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):477–481, 1990.
- [39] Yatsuka Nakamura. Determinant of some matrices of field elements. *Formalized Mathematics*, 14(1):1–5, 2006. doi:10.2478/v10037-006-0001-4.
- [40] Hiroyuki Okazaki, Yuichi Futa, and Yasunari Shidama. Constructing binary Huffman tree. *Formalized Mathematics*, 21(2):133–143, 2013. doi:10.2478/forma-2013-0015.
- [41] Beata Perkowska. Free many sorted universal algebra. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):67–74, 1996.
- [42] Karol Pąk. Abstract simplicial complexes. *Formalized Mathematics*, 18(1):95–106, 2010. doi:10.2478/v10037-010-0013-y.
- [43] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [44] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Frænkel operator. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):495–500, 1990.
- [45] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [46] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [47] Andrzej Trybulec. On the sets inhabited by numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(4):341–347, 2003.
- [48] Andrzej Trybulec. A scheme for extensions of homomorphisms of many sorted algebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(2):205–209, 1996.
- [49] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted algebras. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):37–42, 1996.
- [50] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [51] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [52] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Non-contiguous substrings and one-to-one finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):569–573, 1990.
- [53] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [54] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [55] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [56] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received June 13, 2014

---