

# Tietze Extension Theorem for $n$ -dimensional Spaces<sup>1</sup>

Karol Pąk  
Institute of Informatics  
University of Białystok  
Sosnowa 64, 15-887 Białystok  
Poland

**Summary.** In this article we prove the Tietze extension theorem for an arbitrary convex compact subset of  $\mathcal{E}^n$  with a non-empty interior. This theorem states that, if  $T$  is a normal topological space,  $X$  is a closed subset of  $T$ , and  $A$  is a convex compact subset of  $\mathcal{E}^n$  with a non-empty interior, then a continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow A$  can be extended to a continuous function  $g : T \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^n$ . Additionally we show that a subset  $A$  is replaceable by an arbitrary subset of a topological space that is homeomorphic with a convex compact subset of  $\mathcal{E}^n$  with a non-empty interior. This article is based on [20]; [23] and [22] can also serve as reference books.

MSC: 54A05 03B35

Keywords: Tietze extension; hypercube

MML identifier: TIETZE\_2, version: 8.1.02 5.22.1199

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [8], [36], [24], [30], [1], [15], [21], [16], [25], [6], [9], [17], [37], [10], [11], [3], [34], [5], [12], [26], [33], [35], [41], [42], [13], [40], [19], [31], [28], [43], [18], [44], [29], and [14].

## 1. CLOSED HYPERCUBE

From now on  $n, m, i$  denote natural numbers,  $p, q$  denote points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ ,  $r, s$  denote real numbers, and  $R$  denotes a real-valued finite sequence.

Note that every finite sequence which is empty is also non-negative yielding.

---

<sup>1</sup>The paper has been financed by the resources of the Polish National Science Centre granted by decision no DEC-2012/07/N/ST6/02147.

Let  $n$  be a non zero natural number,  $X$  be a set, and  $F$  be an element of  $((\text{the carrier of } \mathbb{R}^1)^X)^n$ . Let us note that the functor  $\prod^* F$  yields a function from  $X$  into  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . Now we state the proposition:

- (1) Let us consider sets  $X, Y$ , a function yielding function  $F$ , and objects  $x, y$ . Suppose
  - (i)  $F$  is  $(Y^X)$ -valued, or
  - (ii)  $y \in \text{dom } \prod^* F$ .

Then  $F(x)(y) = (\prod^* F)(y)(x)$ .

Let us consider  $n, p$ , and  $r$ . The functor  $\text{OpenHypercube}(p, r)$  yielding an open subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  is defined by

(Def. 1) There exists a point  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}^n$  such that

- (i)  $p = e$ , and
- (ii)  $it = \text{OpenHypercube}(e, r)$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (2) If  $q \in \text{OpenHypercube}(p, r)$  and  $s \in ]p(i) - r, p(i) + r[$ , then  $q + \cdot (i, s) \in \text{OpenHypercube}(p, r)$ . PROOF: Consider  $e$  being a point of  $\mathcal{E}^n$  such that  $p = e$  and  $\text{OpenHypercube}(p, r) = \text{OpenHypercube}(e, r)$ . Set  $I = \text{Intervals}(e, r)$ . Set  $q_3 = q + \cdot (i, s)$ . For every object  $x$  such that  $x \in \text{dom } I$  holds  $q_3(x) \in I(x)$  by [2, (9)], [7, (31), (32)].  $\square$
- (3) If  $i \in \text{Seg } n$ , then  $(\text{PROJ}(n, i))^\circ(\text{OpenHypercube}(p, r)) = ]p(i) - r, p(i) + r[$ . The theorem is a consequence of (2).
- (4)  $q \in \text{OpenHypercube}(p, r)$  if and only if for every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  holds  $q(i) \in ]p(i) - r, p(i) + r[$ . The theorem is a consequence of (3).

Let us consider  $n, p$ , and  $R$ . The functor  $\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$  yielding a subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  is defined by

(Def. 2)  $q \in it$  if and only if for every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  holds  $q(i) \in [p(i) - R(i), p(i) + R(i)]$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (5) If there exists  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n \cap \text{dom } R$  and  $R(i) < 0$ , then  $\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$  is empty.
- (6) If for every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n \cap \text{dom } R$  holds  $R(i) \geq 0$ , then  $p \in \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$ .

Let us consider  $n$  and  $p$ . Let  $R$  be a non-negative yielding real-valued finite sequence. One can check that  $\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$  is non empty.

Let us consider  $R$ . Let us observe that  $\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$  is convex and compact.

Now we state the propositions:

- (7) If  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  and  $q \in \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$  and  $r \in [p(i) - R(i), p(i) + R(i)]$ , then  $q + \cdot(i, r) \in \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$ . PROOF: Set  $p_4 = q + \cdot(i, r)$ . For every natural number  $j$  such that  $j \in \text{Seg } n$  holds  $p_4(j) \in [p(j) - R(j), p(j) + R(j)]$  by [7, (32), (31)].  $\square$
- (8) Suppose  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  and  $\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$  is not empty. Then  $(\text{PROJ}(n, i))^\circ(\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)) = [p(i) - R(i), p(i) + R(i)]$ . The theorem is a consequence of (5), (7), and (6).
- (9) If  $n \leq \text{len } R$  and  $r \leq \inf \text{rng } R$ , then  $\text{OpenHypercube}(p, r) \subseteq \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$ .
- (10)  $q \in \text{Fr } \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$  if and only if  $q \in \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$  and there exists  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  and  $q(i) = p(i) - R(i)$  or  $q(i) = p(i) + R(i)$ . PROOF: Set  $T_4 = \mathcal{E}_T^n$ . If  $q \in \text{Fr } \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$ , then  $q \in \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$  and there exists  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  and  $q(i) = p(i) - R(i)$  or  $q(i) = p(i) + R(i)$  by [16, (22)], [32, (105)], [14, (33)], [6, (3)]. For every subset  $S$  of  $T_4$  such that  $S$  is open and  $q \in S$  holds  $\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R)$  meets  $S$  and  $(\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, R))^c$  meets  $S$  by [16, (67)], [43, (23)], [38, (5)], [31, (13)].  $\square$
- (11) If  $r \geq 0$ , then  $p \in \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, n \mapsto r)$ .
- (12) If  $r > 0$ , then  $\text{Int } \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, n \mapsto r) = \text{OpenHypercube}(p, r)$ . PROOF: Set  $O = \text{OpenHypercube}(p, r)$ . Set  $C = \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, n \mapsto r)$ . Set  $T_4 = \mathcal{E}_T^n$ . Set  $R = n \mapsto r$ . Consider  $e$  being a point of  $\mathcal{E}^n$  such that  $p = e$  and  $\text{OpenHypercube}(p, r) = \text{OpenHypercube}(e, r)$ .  $\text{Int } C \subseteq O$  by [43, (39)], [9, (57)], (10), [39, (29)]. Reconsider  $q = x$  as a point of  $T_4$ . For every  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  holds  $q(i) \in [p(i) - R(i), p(i) + R(i)]$  by [9, (57)], (3). Consider  $i$  such that  $i \in \text{Seg } n$  and  $q(i) = p(i) - R(i)$  or  $q(i) = p(i) + R(i)$ .  $(\text{PROJ}(n, i))^\circ O = ]e(i) - r, e(i) + r[$ .  $\square$
- (13)  $\text{OpenHypercube}(p, r) \subseteq \text{ClosedHypercube}(p, n \mapsto r)$ .
- (14) If  $r < s$ , then  $\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, n \mapsto r) \subseteq \text{OpenHypercube}(p, s)$ . The theorem is a consequence of (4).

Let us consider  $n$  and  $p$ . Let  $r$  be a positive real number. Let us note that  $\text{ClosedHypercube}(p, n \mapsto r)$  is non boundary.

## 2. PROPERTIES OF THE PRODUCT OF CLOSED HYPERCUBE

From now on  $T_1, T_2, S_1, S_2$  denote non empty topological spaces,  $t_1$  denotes a point of  $T_1$ ,  $t_2$  denotes a point of  $T_2$ ,  $p_2, q_2$  denote points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ , and  $p_1, q_1$  denote points of  $\mathcal{E}_T^m$ .

Now we state the propositions:

- (15) Let us consider a function  $f$  from  $T_1$  into  $T_2$  and a function  $g$  from  $S_1$  into  $S_2$ . Suppose

- (i)  $f$  is a homeomorphism, and
- (ii)  $g$  is a homeomorphism.

Then  $f \times g$  is a homeomorphism.

- (16) Suppose  $r > 0$  and  $s > 0$ . Then there exists a function  $h$  from  $(\mathcal{E}_T^n \upharpoonright \text{ClosedHypercube}(p_2, n \mapsto r)) \times (\mathcal{E}_T^m \upharpoonright \text{ClosedHypercube}(p_1, m \mapsto s))$  into  $\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m} \upharpoonright \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m}}, (n+m) \mapsto 1)$  such that

- (i)  $h$  is a homeomorphism, and
- (ii)  $h^\circ(\text{OpenHypercube}(p_2, r) \times \text{OpenHypercube}(p_1, s)) = \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m}}, 1)$ .

PROOF: Set  $T_6 = \mathcal{E}_T^n$ . Set  $T_5 = \mathcal{E}_T^m$ . Set  $n_1 = n+m$ . Set  $T_7 = \mathcal{E}_T^{n_1}$ . Set  $R_2 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_6}, n \mapsto 1)$ . Set  $R_4 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(p_2, n \mapsto r)$ . Set  $R_5 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(p_1, m \mapsto s)$ . Set  $R_1 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_5}, m \mapsto 1)$ . Set  $R_3 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_7}, n_1 \mapsto 1)$ . Reconsider  $R_{10} = R_5$ ,  $R_6 = R_1$  as a non empty subset of  $T_5$ . Consider  $h_3$  being a function from  $T_5 \upharpoonright R_{10}$  into  $T_5 \upharpoonright R_6$  such that  $h_3$  is a homeomorphism and  $h_3^\circ(\text{Fr } R_{10}) = \text{Fr } R_6$ . Reconsider  $R_9 = R_4$ ,  $R_7 = R_2$  as a non empty subset of  $T_6$ . Consider  $h_4$  being a function from  $T_6 \upharpoonright R_9$  into  $T_6 \upharpoonright R_7$  such that  $h_4$  is a homeomorphism and  $h_4^\circ(\text{Fr } R_9) = \text{Fr } R_7$ . Set  $O_8 = \text{OpenHypercube}(p_2, r)$ . Set  $O_9 = \text{OpenHypercube}(p_1, s)$ . Set  $O_6 = \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{T_7}, 1)$ . Int  $R_{10} = O_9$ . Set  $O_5 = \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{T_6}, 1)$ . Set  $O_7 = \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{T_5}, 1)$ . Reconsider  $R_8 = R_3$  as a non empty subset of  $T_7$ . Consider  $f$  being a function from  $T_6 \times T_5$  into  $T_7$  such that  $f$  is a homeomorphism and for every element  $f_5$  of  $T_6$  and for every element  $f_6$  of  $T_5$ ,  $f(f_5, f_6) = f_5 \wedge f_6$ .  $f^\circ(R_7 \times R_6) \subseteq R_8$  by [14, (87)], [9, (57)], [6, (25)].  $R_8 \subseteq f^\circ(R_7 \times R_6)$  by [9, (23)], [27, (17)], [4, (11)], [6, (5)]. Set  $h_5 = h_4 \times h_3$ .  $h_5$  is a homeomorphism. Int  $R_7 = O_5$ . Reconsider  $f_1 = f \upharpoonright (R_7 \times R_6)$  as a function from  $(T_6 \upharpoonright R_7) \times (T_5 \upharpoonright R_6)$  into  $T_7 \upharpoonright R_8$ . Reconsider  $h = f_1 \cdot h_5$  as a function from  $(T_6 \upharpoonright R_4) \times (T_5 \upharpoonright R_5)$  into  $T_7 \upharpoonright R_3$ . Int  $R_6 = O_7$ . Int  $R_9 = O_8$ .  $h^\circ(O_8 \times O_9) \subseteq O_6$  by [14, (87)], [10, (12)], [43, (40)], [10, (49)]. Reconsider  $p_3 = y$  as a point of  $T_7$ . Consider  $p, q$  being finite sequences of elements of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\text{len } p = n$  and  $\text{len } q = m$  and  $p_3 = p \wedge q$ .  $q \in O_7$ .  $q \in R_6$ . Consider  $x_2$  being an object such that  $x_2 \in \text{dom } h_3$  and  $h_3(x_2) = q$ .  $p \in O_5$ .  $p \in R_7$ . Consider  $x_1$  being an object such that  $x_1 \in \text{dom } h_4$  and  $h_4(x_1) = p$ .  $\square$

- (17) Suppose  $r > 0$  and  $s > 0$ . Let us consider a function  $f$  from  $T_1$  into  $\mathcal{E}_T^n \upharpoonright \text{ClosedHypercube}(p_2, n \mapsto r)$  and a function  $g$  from  $T_2$  into  $\mathcal{E}_T^m \upharpoonright \text{ClosedHypercube}(p_1, m \mapsto s)$ . Suppose

- (i)  $f$  is a homeomorphism, and
- (ii)  $g$  is a homeomorphism.

Then there exists a function  $h$  from  $T_1 \times T_2$  into

$\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m} \upharpoonright \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m}}, (n+m) \mapsto 1)$  such that

(iii)  $h$  is a homeomorphism, and

(iv) for every  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ ,  $f(t_1) \in \text{OpenHypercube}(p_2, r)$  and  $g(t_2) \in \text{OpenHypercube}(p_1, s)$  iff  $h(t_1, t_2) \in \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m}}, 1)$ .

PROOF: Set  $n_1 = n + m$ . Set  $T_6 = \mathcal{E}_T^n$ . Set  $T_5 = \mathcal{E}_T^m$ . Set  $T_7 = \mathcal{E}_T^{n_1}$ . Set  $R_7 = n \mapsto r$ . Set  $R_6 = m \mapsto s$ . Set  $R_8 = n_1 \mapsto 1$ . Set  $R_4 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(p_2, R_7)$ . Set  $R_5 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(p_1, R_6)$ . Set  $C_2 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_7}, R_8)$ . Reconsider  $R_{10} = R_5$  as a non empty subset of  $T_5$ . Reconsider  $R_9 = R_4$  as a non empty subset of  $T_6$ . Set  $O_8 = \text{OpenHypercube}(p_2, r)$ . Set  $O_9 = \text{OpenHypercube}(p_1, s)$ . Set  $O = \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{T_7}, 1)$ . Consider  $h$  being a function from  $(T_6 \upharpoonright R_9) \times (T_5 \upharpoonright R_{10})$  into  $T_7 \upharpoonright C_2$  such that  $h$  is a homeomorphism and  $h^\circ(O_8 \times O_9) = O$ . Reconsider  $G = g$  as a function from  $T_2$  into  $T_5 \upharpoonright R_{10}$ . Reconsider  $F = f$  as a function from  $T_1$  into  $T_6 \upharpoonright R_9$ . Reconsider  $f_4 = h \cdot (F \times G)$  as a function from  $T_1 \times T_2$  into  $T_7 \upharpoonright C_2$ .  $F \times G$  is a homeomorphism.  $O_9 \subseteq R_{10}$ .  $O_8 \subseteq R_9$ . If  $f(t_1) \in O_8$  and  $g(t_2) \in O_9$ , then  $f_4(t_1, t_2) \in O$  by [14, (87)], [10, (12)]. Consider  $x_3$  being an object such that  $x_3 \in \text{dom } h$  and  $x_3 \in O_8 \times O_9$  and  $h(x_3) = h(\langle f(t_1), g(t_2) \rangle)$ .  $\square$

Let us consider  $n$ . One can check that there exists a subset of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  which is non boundary, convex, and compact.

Now we state the propositions:

- (18) Let us consider a non boundary convex compact subset  $A$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ , a non boundary convex compact subset  $B$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^m$ , a non boundary convex compact subset  $C$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m}$ , a function  $f$  from  $T_1$  into  $\mathcal{E}_T^n \upharpoonright A$ , and a function  $g$  from  $T_2$  into  $\mathcal{E}_T^m \upharpoonright B$ . Suppose

(i)  $f$  is a homeomorphism, and

(ii)  $g$  is a homeomorphism.

Then there exists a function  $h$  from  $T_1 \times T_2$  into  $\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m} \upharpoonright C$  such that

(iii)  $h$  is a homeomorphism, and

(iv) for every  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ ,  $f(t_1) \in \text{Int } A$  and  $g(t_2) \in \text{Int } B$  iff  $h(t_1, t_2) \in \text{Int } C$ .

PROOF: Set  $T_6 = \mathcal{E}_T^n$ . Set  $T_5 = \mathcal{E}_T^m$ . Set  $n_1 = n + m$ . Set  $T_7 = \mathcal{E}_T^{n_1}$ . Set  $R_7 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_6}, n \mapsto 1)$ . Set  $R_6 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_5}, m \mapsto 1)$ . Set  $R_8 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_7}, n_1 \mapsto 1)$ . Consider  $g_1$  being a function from  $T_5 \upharpoonright B$  into  $T_5 \upharpoonright R_6$  such that  $g_1$  is a homeomorphism and  $g_1^\circ(\text{Fr } B) = \text{Fr } R_6$ . Reconsider  $g_2 = g_1 \cdot g$  as a function from  $T_2$  into  $T_5 \upharpoonright R_6$ . Consider  $f_7$  being a function from  $T_6 \upharpoonright A$  into  $T_6 \upharpoonright R_7$  such that  $f_7$  is a homeomorphism and  $f_7^\circ(\text{Fr } A) = \text{Fr } R_7$ . Reconsider  $f_8 = f_7 \cdot f$  as a function from  $T_1$  into  $T_6 \upharpoonright R_7$ . Set  $O_3 = \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{T_6}, 1)$ . Set  $O_2 = \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{T_5}, 1)$ . Set  $O_4 = \text{OpenHypercube}(0_{T_7}, 1)$ . Consider  $H$

being a function from  $T_7 \upharpoonright R_8$  into  $T_7 \upharpoonright C$  such that  $H$  is a homeomorphism and  $H^\circ(\text{Fr } R_8) = \text{Fr } C$ .  $\text{Int } R_6 = O_2$ . Consider  $P$  being a function from  $T_1 \times T_2$  into  $T_7 \upharpoonright R_8$  such that  $P$  is a homeomorphism and for every  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ ,  $f_8(t_1) \in O_3$  and  $g_2(t_2) \in O_2$  iff  $P(t_1, t_2) \in O_4$ . Reconsider  $H_1 = H \cdot P$  as a function from  $T_1 \times T_2$  into  $T_7 \upharpoonright C$ .  $\text{Int } R_8 = O_4$ . If  $f(t_1) \in \text{Int } A$  and  $g(t_2) \in \text{Int } B$ , then  $H_1(t_1, t_2) \in \text{Int } C$  by [10, (11), (12)], (12).  $P(\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle) \in \text{Int } R_8$ .  $P(t_1, t_2) \in O_4$ .  $\text{Int } R_7 = O_3$ .  $f(t_1) \in \text{Int } A$  by [43, (40)].  $\square$

(19) Let us consider a point  $p_2$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ , a point  $p_1$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^m$ ,  $r$ , and  $s$ . Suppose

- (i)  $r > 0$ , and
- (ii)  $s > 0$ .

Then there exists a function  $h$  from  $\text{Tdisk}(p_2, r) \times \text{Tdisk}(p_1, s)$  into  $\text{Tdisk}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m}}, 1)$  such that

- (iii)  $h$  is a homeomorphism, and
- (iv)  $h^\circ(\text{Ball}(p_2, r) \times \text{Ball}(p_1, s)) = \text{Ball}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m}}, 1)$ .

PROOF: Set  $T_6 = \mathcal{E}_T^n$ . Set  $T_5 = \mathcal{E}_T^m$ . Set  $n_1 = n + m$ . Set  $T_7 = \mathcal{E}_T^{n_1}$ . Reconsider  $C_4 = \overline{\text{Ball}}(p_2, r)$  as a non empty subset of  $T_6$ . Reconsider  $C_3 = \overline{\text{Ball}}(p_1, s)$  as a non empty subset of  $T_5$ . Reconsider  $C_5 = \overline{\text{Ball}}(0_{T_7}, 1)$  as a non empty subset of  $T_7$ . Set  $R_7 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_6}, n \mapsto 1)$ . Set  $R_6 = \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{T_5}, m \mapsto 1)$ . Consider  $f_7$  being a function from  $T_6 \upharpoonright C_4$  into  $T_6 \upharpoonright R_7$  such that  $f_7$  is a homeomorphism and  $f_7^\circ(\text{Fr } C_4) = \text{Fr } R_7$ . Consider  $g_1$  being a function from  $T_5 \upharpoonright C_3$  into  $T_5 \upharpoonright R_6$  such that  $g_1$  is a homeomorphism and  $g_1^\circ(\text{Fr } C_3) = \text{Fr } R_6$ . Consider  $P$  being a function from  $\text{Tdisk}(p_2, r) \times \text{Tdisk}(p_1, s)$  into  $\text{Tdisk}(0_{T_7}, 1)$  such that  $P$  is a homeomorphism and for every point  $t_1$  of  $T_6 \upharpoonright C_4$  and for every point  $t_2$  of  $T_5 \upharpoonright C_3$ ,  $f_7(t_1) \in \text{Int } R_7$  and  $g_1(t_2) \in \text{Int } R_6$  iff  $P(t_1, t_2) \in \text{Int } C_5$ .  $P^\circ(\text{Ball}(p_2, r) \times \text{Ball}(p_1, s)) \subseteq \text{Ball}(0_{T_7}, 1)$  by [30, (3)], [43, (40)]. Consider  $x$  being an object such that  $x \in \text{dom } P$  and  $P(x) = y$ . Consider  $y_1, y_2$  being objects such that  $y_1 \in C_4$  and  $y_2 \in C_3$  and  $x = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$ .  $\square$

(20) Suppose  $r > 0$  and  $s > 0$  and  $T_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_T^n \upharpoonright \text{Ball}(p_2, r)$  are homeomorphic and  $T_2$  and  $\mathcal{E}_T^m \upharpoonright \text{Ball}(p_1, s)$  are homeomorphic. Then  $T_1 \times T_2$  and  $\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m} \upharpoonright \text{Ball}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^{n+m}}, 1)$  are homeomorphic.

### 3. TIETZE EXTENSION THEOREM

In the sequel  $T, S$  denote topological spaces,  $A$  denotes a closed subset of  $T$ , and  $B$  denotes a subset of  $S$ .

Now we state the propositions:

(21) Let us consider a non zero natural number  $n$  and an element  $F$  of  $((\text{the carrier of } \mathbb{R}^1)^\alpha)^n$ . Suppose If  $i \in \text{dom } F$ , then for every function

$h$  from  $T$  into  $\mathbb{R}^1$  such that  $h = F(i)$  holds  $h$  is continuous. Then  $\prod^* F$  is continuous, where  $\alpha$  is the carrier of  $T$ . PROOF: Set  $T_4 = \mathcal{E}_T^n$ . Set  $F_1 = \prod^* F$ . For every subset  $Y$  of  $T_4$  such that  $Y$  is open holds  $F_1^{-1}(Y)$  is open by [16, (67)], [11, (2)], (1), [19, (17)].  $\square$

- (22) Suppose  $T$  is normal. Let us consider a function  $f$  from  $T \upharpoonright A$  into  $\mathcal{E}_T^n \upharpoonright \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^n}, n \mapsto 1)$ . Suppose  $f$  is continuous. Then there exists a function  $g$  from  $T$  into  $\mathcal{E}_T^n \upharpoonright \text{ClosedHypercube}(0_{\mathcal{E}_T^n}, n \mapsto 1)$  such that

- (i)  $g$  is continuous, and
- (ii)  $g \upharpoonright A = f$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (8), (1), and (21).

- (23) Suppose  $T$  is normal. Let us consider a subset  $X$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . Suppose  $X$  is compact, non boundary, and convex. Let us consider a function  $f$  from  $T \upharpoonright A$  into  $\mathcal{E}_T^n \upharpoonright X$ . Suppose  $f$  is continuous. Then there exists a function  $g$  from  $T$  into  $\mathcal{E}_T^n \upharpoonright X$  such that

- (i)  $g$  is continuous, and
- (ii)  $g \upharpoonright A = f$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (22).

Now we state the proposition:

- (24) THE FIRST IMPLICATION OF TIETZE EXTENSION THEOREM FOR  $n$ -DIMENSIONAL SPACES:

Suppose  $T$  is normal. Let us consider a subset  $X$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . Suppose

- (i)  $X$  is compact, non boundary, and convex, and
- (ii)  $B$  and  $X$  are homeomorphic.

Let us consider a function  $f$  from  $T \upharpoonright A$  into  $S \upharpoonright B$ . Suppose  $f$  is continuous. Then there exists a function  $g$  from  $T$  into  $S \upharpoonright B$  such that

- (iii)  $g$  is continuous, and
- (iv)  $g \upharpoonright A = f$ .

The theorem is a consequence of (23).

Now we state the proposition:

- (25) THE SECOND IMPLICATION OF TIETZE EXTENSION THEOREM FOR  $n$ -DIMENSIONAL SPACES:

Let us consider a non empty topological space  $T$  and  $n$ . Suppose

- (i)  $n \geq 1$ , and
- (ii) for every topological space  $S$  and for every non empty closed subset  $A$  of  $T$  and for every subset  $B$  of  $S$  such that there exists a subset  $X$  of  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$  such that  $X$  is compact, non boundary, and convex and  $B$  and

$X$  are homeomorphic for every function  $f$  from  $T \restriction A$  into  $S \restriction B$  such that  $f$  is continuous there exists a function  $g$  from  $T$  into  $S \restriction B$  such that  $g$  is continuous and  $g \restriction A = f$ .

Then  $T$  is normal. PROOF: Set  $C_1 = [-1, 1]_T$ . For every non empty closed subset  $A$  of  $T$  and for every continuous function  $f$  from  $T \restriction A$  into  $C_1$ , there exists a continuous function  $g$  from  $T$  into  $[-1, 1]_T$  such that  $g \restriction A = f$  by [19, (18), (17)], [11, (2)], [33, (26)].  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. König’s theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cartesian product of functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):547–552, 1991.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek and Andrzej Trybulec. Miscellaneous facts about functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):485–492, 1996.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [13] Czesław Byliński. The sum and product of finite sequences of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):661–668, 1990.
- [14] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [15] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [16] Agata Darmochwał. The Euclidean space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):599–603, 1991.
- [17] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [18] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [19] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces – fundamental concepts. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):605–608, 1991.
- [20] Roman Duda. *Wprowadzenie do topologii*. PWN, 1986.
- [21] Noboru Endou, Takashi Mitsuishi, and Yasunari Shidama. Convex sets and convex combinations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(1):53–58, 2003.
- [22] Ryszard Engelking. *Dimension Theory*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [23] Ryszard Engelking. *General Topology*. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [24] Adam Grabowski. Introduction to the homotopy theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(4):449–454, 1997.
- [25] Artur Kornilowicz. The correspondence between  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space and the product of  $n$  real lines. *Formalized Mathematics*, 18(1):81–85, 2010. doi:10.2478/v10037-010-0011-0.
- [26] Artur Kornilowicz. On the real valued functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(1):181–187, 2005.
- [27] Artur Kornilowicz. Homeomorphism between  $[\mathcal{E}_T^i, \mathcal{E}_T^j]$  and  $\mathcal{E}_T^{i+j}$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(1):181–187, 2005.



- tics*, 8(1):73–76, 1999.
- [28] Artur Korniłowicz. On the continuity of some functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 18(3):175–183, 2010. doi:10.2478/v10037-010-0020-z.
  - [29] Artur Korniłowicz. Arithmetic operations on functions from sets into functional sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 17(1):43–60, 2009. doi:10.2478/v10037-009-0005-y.
  - [30] Artur Korniłowicz and Yasunari Shidama. Brouwer fixed point theorem for disks on the plane. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):333–336, 2005.
  - [31] Artur Korniłowicz and Yasunari Shidama. Intersections of intervals and balls in  $\mathcal{E}_T^n$ . *Formalized Mathematics*, 12(3):301–306, 2004.
  - [32] Yatsuka Nakamura, Andrzej Trybulec, and Czesław Byliński. Bounded domains and unbounded domains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):1–13, 1999.
  - [33] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
  - [34] Karol Pāk. Basic properties of metrizable topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 17(3):201–205, 2009. doi:10.2478/v10037-009-0024-8.
  - [35] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Topological properties of subsets in real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(4):777–780, 1990.
  - [36] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):535–545, 1991.
  - [37] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
  - [38] Andrzej Trybulec. On the geometry of a Go-Board. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):347–352, 1996.
  - [39] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Non-contiguous substrings and one-to-one finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):569–573, 1990.
  - [40] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
  - [41] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
  - [42] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
  - [43] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):231–237, 1990.
  - [44] Mariusz Żynel and Adam Guzowski.  $T_0$  topological spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(1):75–77, 1996.

*Received February 11, 2014*

---