# Fatou's Lemma and the Lebesgue's Convergence Theorem

Noboru Endou Gifu National College of Technology Japan

Keiko Narita Hirosaki-city Aomori, Japan

Yasunari Shidama Shinshu University Nagano, Japan

**Summary.** In this article we prove the Fatou's Lemma and Lebesgue's Convergence Theorem [10].

MML identifier: MESFUN10, version: 7.9.01 4.101.1015

The articles [15], [1], [16], [14], [11], [5], [12], [2], [3], [4], [8], [9], [13], [6], [7], and [17] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

## 1. FATOU'S LEMMA

For simplicity, we adopt the following rules: X denotes a non empty set, F denotes a sequence of partial functions from X into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  with the same dom,  $s_1, s_2, s_3$  denote sequences of extended reals, x denotes an element of X, a, r denote extended real numbers, and n, m, k denote natural numbers.

We now state several propositions:

- (1) If for every natural number n holds  $s_2(n) \leq s_3(n)$ , then  $\inf \operatorname{rng} s_2 \leq \inf \operatorname{rng} s_3$ .
- (2) Suppose that for every natural number n holds  $s_2(n) \leq s_3(n)$ . Then
- (i) (the inferior real sequence of  $s_2$ ) $(k) \leq$  (the inferior real sequence of  $s_3$ )(k), and
- (ii) (the superior real sequence of  $s_2$ ) $(k) \le$  (the superior real sequence of  $s_3$ )(k).

C 2008 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630(p), 1898-9934(e)

#### NOBORU ENDOU et al.

- (3) If for every natural number n holds  $s_2(n) \leq s_3(n)$ , then  $\liminf s_2 \leq \liminf s_3$  and  $\limsup s_2 \leq \limsup s_3$ .
- (4) If for every natural number n holds  $s_1(n) \ge a$ , then  $\inf s_1 \ge a$ .
- (5) If for every natural number n holds  $s_1(n) \le a$ , then  $\sup s_1 \le a$ .
- (6) For every element n of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in \text{dom}\inf(F \uparrow n)$  holds  $(\inf(F \uparrow n))(x) = \inf((F \# x) \uparrow n).$

In the sequel S is a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of X, M is a  $\sigma$ -measure on S, and E is an element of S.

We now state the proposition

(7) Suppose  $E = \operatorname{dom} F(0)$  and for every n holds F(n) is non-negative and F(n) is measurable on E. Then there exists a sequence I of extended reals such that for every n holds  $I(n) = \int F(n) \, \mathrm{d}M$  and  $\int \liminf F \, \mathrm{d}M \leq \liminf I$ .

## 2. Lebesgue's Convergence Theorem

We now state three propositions:

- (8) For all non empty subsets X, Y of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and for every extended real number r such that  $X = \{r\}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  holds  $\sup(X + Y) = \sup X + \sup Y$ .
- (9) For all non empty subsets X, Y of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and for every extended real number r such that  $X = \{r\}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  holds  $\inf(X + Y) = \inf X + \inf Y$ .
- (10) If  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and for every natural number n holds  $s_2(n) = r + s_3(n)$ , then  $\liminf s_2 = r + \liminf s_3$  and  $\limsup s_2 = r + \limsup s_3$ .

We follow the rules:  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  are sequences of partial functions from X into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and f, g, P are partial functions from X to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .

We now state several propositions:

- (11) Suppose that
  - (i)  $\operatorname{dom} F_1(0) = \operatorname{dom} F_2(0),$
- (ii)  $F_1$  has the same dom,
- (iii)  $F_2$  has the same dom,
- (iv)  $f^{-1}(\{+\infty\}) = \emptyset$ ,
- (v)  $f^{-1}(\{-\infty\}) = \emptyset$ , and
- (vi) for every natural number n holds  $F_1(n) = f + F_2(n)$ . Then  $\liminf F_1 = f + \liminf F_2$  and  $\limsup F_1 = f + \limsup F_2$ .
- (12)  $s_1 \uparrow 0 = s_1$ .
- (13) If f is integrable on M and g is integrable on M, then f g is integrable on M.
- (14) Suppose f is integrable on M and g is integrable on M. Then there exists an element E of S such that  $E = \operatorname{dom} f \cap \operatorname{dom} g$  and  $\int f g \, \mathrm{d}M = \int f |E \, \mathrm{d}M + \int (-g) |E \, \mathrm{d}M$ .

306

- (15) If for every natural number n holds  $s_2(n) = -s_3(n)$ , then  $\liminf s_3 = -\limsup s_2$  and  $\limsup s_3 = -\liminf s_2$ .
- (16) Suppose dom  $F_1(0) = \text{dom } F_2(0)$  and  $F_1$  has the same dom and  $F_2$  has the same dom and for every natural number n holds  $F_1(n) = -F_2(n)$ . Then  $\liminf F_1 = -\limsup F_2$  and  $\limsup F_1 = -\liminf F_2$ .
- (17) Suppose that
  - (i)  $E = \operatorname{dom} F(0),$
  - (ii)  $E = \operatorname{dom} P$ ,
- (iii) for every natural number n holds F(n) is measurable on E,
- (iv) P is integrable on M,
- (v) P is non-negative, and
- (vi) for every element x of X and for every natural number n such that  $x \in E$  holds  $|F(n)|(x) \leq P(x)$ .

Then

- (vii) for every natural number n holds |F(n)| is integrable on M,
- (viii)  $|\liminf F|$  is integrable on M, and
- (ix)  $|\limsup F|$  is integrable on M.
- (18) Suppose that
  - (i)  $E = \operatorname{dom} F(0),$
  - (ii)  $E = \operatorname{dom} P$ ,
- (iii) for every natural number n holds F(n) is measurable on E,
- (iv) P is integrable on M,
- (v) P is non-negative, and
- (vi) for every element x of X and for every natural number n such that  $x \in E$  holds  $|F(n)|(x) \leq P(x)$ .

Then there exists a sequence I of extended reals such that

- (vii) for every natural number n holds  $I(n) = \int F(n) \, dM$ ,
- (viii)  $\liminf I \ge \int \liminf F \, \mathrm{d}M$ ,
- (ix)  $\limsup I \leq \int \limsup F \, \mathrm{d}M$ , and
- (x) if for every element x of X such that  $x \in E$  holds F # x is convergent, then I is convergent and  $\lim I = \int \lim F \, dM$ .
- (19) Suppose that
  - (i)  $E = \operatorname{dom} F(0),$
  - (ii) for every n holds F(n) is non-negative and F(n) is measurable on E,
- (iii) for all x, n, m such that  $x \in E$  and  $n \le m$  holds  $F(n)(x) \ge F(m)(x)$ , and
- (iv)  $\int F(0) \upharpoonright E \, \mathrm{d}M < +\infty.$

Then there exists a sequence I of extended reals such that for every natural number n holds  $I(n) = \int F(n) dM$  and I is convergent and  $\lim I = \int \lim F dM$ .

Let X be a set and let F be a sequence of partial functions from X into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . We say that F is uniformly bounded if and only if:

(Def. 1) There exists a real number K such that for every natural number n and for every set x such that  $x \in \text{dom } F(0)$  holds  $|F(n)(x)| \leq K$ .

Next we state the proposition

- (20) Suppose that
  - (i)  $M(E) < +\infty$ ,
  - (ii)  $E = \operatorname{dom} F(0),$
- (iii) for every natural number n holds F(n) is measurable on E,
- (iv) F is uniformly bounded, and
- (v) for every element x of X such that  $x \in E$  holds F # x is convergent. Then
- (vi) for every natural number n holds F(n) is integrable on M,
- (vii)  $\lim F$  is integrable on M, and
- (viii) there exists a sequence I of extended reals such that for every natural number n holds  $I(n) = \int F(n) dM$  and I is convergent and  $\lim I = \int \lim F dM$ .

Let X be a set, let F be a sequence of partial functions from X into  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and let f be a partial function from X to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . We say that F is uniformly convergent to f if and only if the conditions (Def. 2) are satisfied.

### (Def. 2)(i) F has the same dom,

- (ii)  $\operatorname{dom} F(0) = \operatorname{dom} f$ , and
- (iii) for every real number e such that e > 0 there exists a natural number N such that for every natural number n and for every set x such that  $n \ge N$  and  $x \in \text{dom } F(0)$  holds |F(n)(x) f(x)| < e.

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (21) Suppose  $F_1$  is uniformly convergent to f. Let x be an element of X. If  $x \in \text{dom } F_1(0)$ , then  $F_1 \# x$  is convergent and  $\lim(F_1 \# x) = f(x)$ .
- (22) Suppose that
  - (i)  $M(E) < +\infty$ ,
- (ii)  $E = \operatorname{dom} F(0),$
- (iii) for every natural number n holds F(n) is integrable on M, and
- (iv) F is uniformly convergent to f.

Then

- (v) f is integrable on M, and
- (vi) there exists a sequence I of extended reals such that for every natural number n holds  $I(n) = \int F(n) dM$  and I is convergent and  $\lim I = \int f dM$ .

#### References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [2] Józef Białas. Infimum and supremum of the set of real numbers. Measure theory. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):163–171, 1991.
- [3] Józef Białas. Series of positive real numbers. Measure theory. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):173-183, 1991.
- [4] Józef Białas. The  $\sigma$ -additive measure theory. Formalized Mathematics, 2(2):263–270, 1991. Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [5]
- Noboru Endou and Yasunari Shidama. Integral of measurable function. Formalized Ma-[6]*thematics*, 14(**2**):53–70, 2006.
- [7] Noboru Endou, Yasunari Shidama, and Keiko Narita. Egoroff's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 16(1):57-63, 2008.
- [8] Noboru Endou, Katsumi Wasaki, and Yasunari Shidama. Basic properties of extended real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 9(3):491-494, 2001.
- [9] Noboru Endou, Katsumi Wasaki, and Yasunari Shidama. Definitions and basic properties of measurable functions. Formalized Mathematics, 9(3):495-500, 2001.
- [10] P. R. Halmos. *Measure Theory*. Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [11] Jarosław Kotowicz. Monotone real sequences. Subsequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(**3**):471–475, 1990.
- [12] Andrzej Nedzusiak.  $\sigma$ -fields and probability. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):401–407, 1990.
- [13] Beata Perkowska. Functional sequence from a domain to a domain. Formalized Mathematics, 3(1):17-21, 1992.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):115-122, 1990.
- [15] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [16] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [17] Hiroshi Yamazaki, Noboru Endou, Yasunari Shidama, and Hiroyuki Okazaki. Inferior limit, superior limit and convergence of sequences of extended real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 15(4):231–236, 2007.

Received July 22, 2008