STRENGTH TESTING AND ANALYSIS OF FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH IN SELECTED AIRCRAFT MATERIALS

Sylwester Kłysz, Janusz Lisiecki

Air Force Institute of Technology, Warsaw, Poland

Abstract

The study has been intended to determine the most essential mechanical and fatigue properties as well as impact strength of the 30HGSNA steel, to gain own data on the above-mentioned characteristics of materials to be used further on in numerical analyses of life estimates of aeronautical structural components. The scope of the study comprised the following assignments:

- determination of the most fundamental mechanical properties and impact strength of materials,

- low-cycle fatigue testing and evaluation of the Manson-Coffin curves,

- high-cycle fatigue testing and evaluation of the Wöhler curves,

- investigation into fatigue crack growth rates at constant and variable load-cycle amplitudes (determination of curves $da/dN = f(\Delta K, R)$, coefficients in Paris and NASGRO equations, coefficients in the Wheeler models of delay, the value of $K_{th}(R)$),

- crack toughness testing under the plane-state-of-strain conditions at room temperature (determination of the $K_{Ic}(R)$).

The strength/fatigue testing was carried out in the Laboratory for Materials Strength Testing of the AFIT's Division for Aeronautical Systems Reliability and Safety, the lab being accredited by the Polish Centre for Accreditation (Accreditation Certificate No.: AB 430).



Preparing for the testing work

The 30HGSNA is a constructional alloy steel intended for the manufacturing of structural components exposed to exceptionally high loads. The steel purchased was in the form of a bar of the diameter $\emptyset = 60$ mm. Table 1 shows properties of the material of the bar manufactured at the Batory Steelworks according to the Engineering Acceptance Report No. 212/P/89, and specifications for the steel following the Polish Standard PN-72/H-84035.

Chemical composition [%]										
	C Mn		Si	Si P _{max}		Cr	Ni			
	0.28÷0.30	1.16	1.08	0.024	0.011	0.93	1.58			
PN-72/H-84035	0.27÷0.34	1.00÷1.30	0.90÷1.20	0.030	0.025	0.90÷1.20	1.40÷1.80			
Mechanical properties										
(after thermal treatment: quench hardening 900^{0} C 20' oil, tempering 250^{0} C 3 h air)										
	R_m R_e A Z $KCU2$ HB									
	[MPa] [MPa] [%] [%] [J/cm ²]									
	1725÷1775	1505÷153	35 13÷14	45-	÷47	90÷95	2.8			
PN-72/H-84035	min. 1620	min. 137	0 min. 9) mir	n. 45	min. 60	_			

Tab. 1. Properties of the material of the bar according to the Engineering Acceptance Report, and specifications following the Polish Standard PN-72/H-84035

The following specimens were prepared for the tests:

- cylindrical ones for strength and static tensile testing,
- sand-clock ones for low-cycle fatigue (LCF) testing,
- cylindrical ones for high-cycle fatigue (HCF) testing,
- round compact tension (RCT) ones for testing both fatigue-crack propagation rate and crack toughness.

Shapes and dimensions of the specimens have been shown in Figs $1 \div 4$.

The quench hardening was carried out in oil, starting from 900^{0} C; the tempering was carried out at temperature ranging from 240 to 250^{0} C for three hours. After that, the specimens were cooled in steady air. What followed the thermal treatment was grinding of the specimens.



Fig. 1. Shape and dimensions of an exemplary specimen for strength testing



Fig. 2. Shapes and dimensions of specimens to examine fatigue-crack propagation rates and crack toughness



Fig. 3. Shapes and dimensions of specimens for low-cycle fatigue (LCF) testing



Fig. 4. Shapes and dimensions of specimens for high-cycle fatigue (HCF) testing

Findings on mechar	ical properties	of the 30HGSNA steel
--------------------	-----------------	----------------------

Nr	d_0	L_{θ}	$R_{0,05}$	$R_{0,2}$	R_m	$R_{m rz}$	A	п	K	Ε
próbki	[mm]	[mm]	[MPa]	[MPa]	[MPa]	[MPa]	[%]	[]	[MPa]	[MPa]
2/05/1	6.95	50	420	450	795	910	-	0.190	1374	200600
2/05/2	6.78	50	1160	1340	1655	1695	-	0.110	2700	202300
2/05/3	6.85	50	1145	1325	1655	1700	-	0.110	2658	201100
2/05/4	6.83	50	1150	1315	1640	1685	-	0.105	2544	194000
2/05/5	6.80	50	1150	1320	1640	1685	-	0.105	2554	200200
2/05/36	7.92	30	1200	1360	1670	1730	13.7*	0.100	2552	197300
2/05/61	4.95	25	1230	1380	1700	1755	11.1	0.090	2467	199700
2/05/62	4.96	25	1230	1390	1700	1750	-	0.090	2475	197500
2/05/63	4.99	25	1240	1400	1715	1765	11.2	0.090	2484	198800
2/05/64	4.99	25	1175	1365	1715	1800	13.0	0.100	2508	198700
2/05/132	7.87	30	1175	1345	1670	1705	-	0.100	2483	206800
2/05/133	5.96	50	1235	1415	1750	1805	7.6**	0.105	2694	201600
2/05/134	5.98	50	1280	1430	1735	1785	7.5**	0.090	2497	198900
2/05/135	5.99	50	1290	1425	1730	1785	7.6**	0.085	2500	195500
2/05/136	5.98	50	1330	1450	1720	1760	7.4**	0.080	2441	197300
2/05/137	6.93	50	1220	1395	1730	1800	9.5**	0.100	2638	201800
2/05/138	6.79	50	1225	1400	1735	1800	9.0**	0.090	2526	194500
2/05/139	6.90	50	1235	1405	1730	1800	7.0**	0.095	2521	197700
2/05/140	5.76	50	1240	1400	1725	1780	8.1**	0.105	2690	207300
2/05/141	6.03	50	1225	1400	1720	1770	7.6**	0.100	2630	198000
2/05/142	5.84	50	1250	1410	1715	1770	7.7**	0.090	2511	203200

*A_{30mm}; **A_{50mm};

On the grounds of the conducted testing work it has been found that the mechanical properties of the 30HGSNA steel are as follows:

- offset yield strength	$R_{0,05} = 1220 \text{ MPa} \pm 1.82\%$
- offset yield strength	$R_{0,2} = 1385 \text{ MPa} \pm 1.24\%$
- rated tensile strength	$R_m = 1700 \text{ MPa} \pm 0.90\%$
- percentage elongation	$A = 12.2\% \pm 10.64\%$
- Young's modulus	$E = 199600 \text{ MPa} \pm 0.80\%$
- true tensile strength	$R_{m,rz} = 1755 \text{ MPa} \pm 0.34\%$
- static strain-hardening exponent	$n = 0.100 \pm 3.60\%$
- static strength coefficient	$K = 2554 \text{ MPa} \pm 1.46\%$

All the values found are average values calculated with the expanded uncertainty of the average at the level of confidence 95%.

Results of strength tests carried out at AFIT have been verified under the Excellence Testing Project, with approximately 100 laboratories from all over Europe participating. The below-presented figures show the position of test results gained at AFIT against those obtained by other laboratories – AFIT has been encoded as Laboratory No.172.



Fig.5. The Excellence Testing results.

Results of low-cycle fatigue tests

Tests that consist in the evaluation of fatigue life $(2N_f)$ against deformation range $(\Delta \varepsilon)$ have allowed of the determination of the curve $\Delta \varepsilon$ -2 N_f (Fig.6) using the Manson-Coffin equation of the following form:



Results of high-cycle fatigue tests

Tests that consist in the evaluation of fatigue life $(2N_f)$ against the stress amplitude (σ_{α}) have allowed of the determination of the curve $\sigma_{\alpha} 2N_f$ (Fig.7) using the Morrow equation of the following form:



Fig. 7. High-cycle fatigue results

Results of constant-load-amplitude fatigue crack growth rate (FCGR) testing

Precracks of length up to a = 12.5 mm were produced in the specimens at $K_{konc} = 30 MPa\sqrt{m}$. Determined were coefficients in the Paris and NASGRO equations that describe the propagation curves. The Paris equation has the following form: $\frac{da}{dN} = C(\Delta K)^m$

Coefficients included therein allow of describing propagation curves for different stress intensity factor ranges ΔK (threshold, steady, critical ones) for individual specimens are presented in Table 4.

Specimon	D	111	C	Correlation coefficient	Regression range		
specimen	Λ	m	C	Correlation coefficient	da/dN _{min}	da/dN _{max}	
2-05-71	0.10	2.856	1.8395E-08	0.9679	1.00E-05	1.00E-04	
2-05-71	0.10	2.814	1.8719E-08	0.9948	6.00E-04	1.00E-05	
2-05-66	0.05	2.750	2.1755E-08	0.9969	1.00E-06	3.00E-04	
2-05-66	0.05	2.803	1.7778E-08	0.9664	2.00E-06	5.00E-04	
2-05-65	0.05	2.661	2.8916E-08	0.9936	2.40E-06	5.20E-04	
2-05-124	0.10	2.816	1.9258E-08	0.9927	7.00E-06	2.00E-04	
2-05-119	0.10	3.015	9.3170E-09	0.9963	2.00E-06	3.50E-04	
2-05-77	0.10	2.804	1.9788E-08	0.9871	1.50E-05	1.00E-03	
2-05-119	0.10	2.921	1.1330E-08	0.9969	2.00E-05	7.00E-04	
2-05-120	0.09	3.321	2.4786E-09	0.9970	1.00E-06	1.10E-04	
2-05-76	0.10	3.019	8.5387E-09	0.9905	5.00E-06	3.00E-04	
2-05-124	0.10	2.741	2.1695E-08	0.9901	7.00E-05	1.00E-03	
2-05-77	0.10	8.048	4.7262E-17	0.9359	7.00E-04	2.30E-02	
2-05-119	0.10	8.490	9.4914E-18	0.9037	7.00E-04	1.00E-02	
2-05-77	0.10	9.371	2.5037E-19	0.9522	1.00E-03	2.30E-02	
2-05-124	0.10	7.113	9.4344E-16	0.9565	1.00E-03	4.00E-02	
2-05-76	0.10	9.212	3.3835E-19	0.8817	1.00E-03	1.00E-02	
2-05-119	0.50	2.771	2.7158E-08	0.9891	1.00E-06	7.00E-05	
2-05-72	0.50	2.915	2.2405E-08	0.9788	4.30E-06	1.00E-04	
2-05-73	0.50	2.659	3.8849E-08	0.9777	1.00E-06	3.00E-05	
2-05-120	0.50	3.346	4.2326E-09	0.9912	1.00E-06	6.00E-05	
2-05-124	0.50	2.828	2.4071E-08	0.9912	2.60E-06	1.00E-04	
2-05-73	0.50	3.185	1.0225E-08	0.9936	1.00E-05	3.00E-04	
2-05-84	0.50	3.517	4.0724E-09	0.9556	5.00E-04	1.00E-02	
2-05-72	0.50	3.040	1.5690E-08	0.9918	4.00E-04	4.00E-03	
2-05-84	0.50	9.128	3.1555E-17	0.4794	5.00E-04	1.00E-02	
2-05-72	0.50	8.397	4.0201E-16	0.7342	4.30E-06	4.00E-04	
2-05-74	0.80	3.215	2.1108E-08	0.9380	1.00E-06	1.00E-05	
2-05-74	0.80	2.848	3.6587E-08	0.9563	1.20E-06	1.50E-05	
2-05-120	0.80	3.995	2.1848E-09	0.9237	1.60E-06	8.00E-05	
2-05-67	0.80	2.910	3.2668E-08	0.9456	2.40E-06	4.00E-05	
2-05-78	0.80	3.310	1.5736E-08	0.9406	3.60E-06	1.00E-04	
2-05-79	0.80	4.165	2.3139E-09	0.9341	3.80E-06	1.00E-04	
2-05-124	0.80	3.017	2.5941E-08	0.9151	9.00E-06	4.60E-05	
2-05-67	0.80	11.886	1.2537E-17	0.8216	4.00E-05	7.00E-03	
2-05-78	0.80	9.644	5.0882E-15	0.6991	5.25E-05	4.00E-03	
2-05-78	0.80	8.333	1.7890E-13	0.4519	1.00E-04	4.00E-03	
2-05-79	0.80	10.984	1.9669E-16	0.6991	1.00E-04	4.20E-03	
2-05-79	0.80	9.587	6.8176E-15	0.7344	5.25E-05	4.20E-03	

Table 4. Results of computations of Paris equation coefficients for respective specimens

Since the Paris equation takes no account of the dependence of crack propagation curves on the stress ratio R, a relationship between values of coefficients C and m for particular curves was found, i.e. for test results gained at R = 0.1; 0.5; and 0.8 – see Fig. 8.



Fig. 8. Relationship between values of coefficients C and m for particular curves (i.e. for test results gained at R = 0.1; 0.5; and 0.8)

The relationships between the C and m coefficients and R (for tests conducted at different stress ratios R) in the semi-log coordinate system are linear and described with equations of the following type:

$$C = a_{1,R} e^{a_{2,R}m}$$

where coefficients $a_{I,R}$ and $a_{2,R}$ take values as shown in Fig. 8.

In specific cases the Paris equation takes the following forms:

- for R = 0.1 $\frac{da}{dN} = (8.438 \cdot 10^{-4}) e^{(-3.818)m} (\Delta K)^m$
- for R = 0.5 $\frac{da}{dN} = (2.612 \cdot 10^{-4}) e^{(-3.236)m} (\Delta K)^m$
- for R = 0.8 $\frac{da}{dN} = (3.637 \cdot 10^{-5}) e^{(-2.363)m} (\Delta K)^m$

Since there are linear relationship between both the coefficients, i.e. $a_{I,R}$ and $a_{2,R}$, and the stress ratio *R*, a generalized form of the Paris equation for a given material can be written down:

$$\frac{da}{dN} = (9.26 \cdot 10^{-4} - 1.17 \cdot 10^{-3} R) e^{(-4.093 + 2.045 R)m} (\Delta K)^{m}$$

It means that if some pre-set value of the *m* coefficient in the Paris equation is taken from some specific range of ΔK , the value of the *C* coefficient can be calculated from the above written equation – within the range of the stress ratio *R* changing from 0.1 to 0.8.



Fig. 9. How values of $a_{1,R}$ and $a_{2,R}$ coefficients depend on stress ratio R

The NASGRO equation (by Forman & Newman from NASA, de Koning from NLR, and Henriksen from ESA) takes the following form:

$$\frac{da}{dN} = C \left[\left(\frac{1-f}{1-R} \right) \Delta K \right]^n \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\Delta K_{th}}{\Delta K} \right)^{\rho}}{\left(1 - \frac{K_{max}}{K_{cnt}} \right)^q}$$

where:

$$f = \frac{K_{op}}{K_{max}} = \begin{cases} \max(R, A_0 + A_1R + A_2R^2 + A_3R^3) & R \ge 0\\ A_0 + A_1R & -2 \le R < 0\\ A_0 - 2A_1 & R < -2 \end{cases}$$
$$A_0 = (0.825 - 0.34\alpha + 0.05\alpha^2) [\cos(\frac{\alpha}{2}S_{max}/\sigma_0)]^{1/\alpha}$$
$$A_1 = (0.415 - 0.071\alpha) S_{max}/\sigma_0$$
$$A_2 = 1 - A_0 - A_1 - A_3$$
$$A_3 = 2A_0 + A_1 - 1$$

and

$$\Delta K_{th} = \Delta K_0 \left(\frac{a}{a+a_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \left(\frac{1-f}{(1-A_0)(1-R)}\right)^{(1+C_{th}R)}$$

- a initial crack length,
- a_o detectable crack length (0.0015" or 0.0000381m),
- α stress-state-dependent constraint factor,
- S_{max}/σ_o the maximum-applied-load–to–yield-stress ratio,
- C_{th} slope of the propagation curve within threshold range,
- K_{Ic} crack toughness (mode I),
- ΔK_o threshold stress intensity factor for R = 0 (ΔK_{th} for R = 0),
- t thickness of the specimen,
- t_o reference thickness of the specimen for the plane state of strain
- A_k , B_k matching parameters,

with the plane-state-of-strain condition satisfied:

$$t_0 = 2.5 \left(K_k / \sigma_{ys} \right)^2$$

and with the asymptotic convergence of K_{crit} and K_{Ic} , if the specimen thickness exceeds the t_0 :

$$K_{crit} / K_{Ic} = 1 + B_k e^{-(A_k t_0)^2}$$

Coefficients in the NASGRO equation, which describe the plotted propagation curves, have the following values:

K crit	α	S_{max}/σ_o	ΔK_o	a	C _{th}	С	п	р	q
79	2.5	0.3	4.4	0.0125	0.2	4.9E-11	2.54	0.25	1

Fig. 10. shows degrees of matching these propagation curves to experimental data.



Fig. 10. Fatigue crack growth rates as plotted for different stress ratios R = 0.1; 0.5; 0.8

Results of testing crack resistance under plane-state-of-strain conditions

Test samples to examine the material's crack resistance were cut in the direction transverse to the bar axis (Fig.11). The testing of crack resistance under plane-state-of-strain conditions were carried out using the testing machine MTS 810.23. Round compact tension (RCT) specimens of W = 40 mm and B = 7 mm were subjected to tests. Precracks of lengths up to a = 20 mm were produced in the specimens at $K_{konc} = 30$ MPa \sqrt{m} .



Fig. 11. An exemplary curve resulting from testing the crack resistance under plane-state-of-strain conditions

The average found from test results is 69.8 $MPa\sqrt{m}$. Uncertainty of the average is U = ±4.36% at the level of confidence 95% and coverage factor $k_p = 2.87$.

The test results satisfy all the criteria provided in the ASTM E 399 standard.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Values of the yield strength and crack resistance gained from the tests on cylindrical specimens made of the 30HGSNA steel satisfy requirements of the PN-72/H-84035 standard and exceed the required ones by approximately 1.5% and 5 %, respectively. The Young's modulus found for this steel is E = 199300 MPa.
- 2. The low-cycle fatigue (LCF) tests carried out using sand-clock specimens made of the 30HGSNA steel, 7 mm in diameter, with the PN-84/H-04334 and ASTM E-606 standards followed, allow of the determination of the Manson-Coffin curve for this steel, which takes the following form:

$$\frac{\Delta\varepsilon}{2} = \frac{\Delta\varepsilon_{spr}}{2} + \frac{\Delta\varepsilon_{pl}}{2} = 0.0791 \cdot (2N_f)^{-0.24855} + 477.07 (2N_f)^{-1.584855}$$

Because of the sizes of pre-set (in the tests) ranges of strain $\Delta \varepsilon$, and experimentally gained strength of specimens $2N_f$, the above-mentioned relationship can be applied for the number of cycles N_f ranging from $3 \cdot 10^2$ up to 10^6 .

For strength below the number of cycles $3 \cdot 10^2$, values of the σ_f and *b* coefficients will change considerably. Evaluation thereof requires additional testing work at very large plastic ranges.

3. The crack resistance of the 30HGSNA steel K_{lc} is 69.8 $MPa\sqrt{m}$.

REFERENCES

- 1. American Society fot Testing and Materials. (2004). Standard Practice for Strain-Controlled Testing. E 606. West Conshonocken, PA: ASTM International. (DOI 10.1520/E0606-04E01)
- 2. PN-ISO (1996) Metals Sheets and Tapes Determination of Tensile Strain-Hardening Exponent. 10275. Polish Committee for Standardization, Warsaw, Poland.