

## Ewelina KRUSZCZAK<sup>1</sup> and Hanna KIERZKOWSKA-PAWLAK<sup>1\*</sup>

# CO<sub>2</sub> CAPTURE BY ABSORPTION IN ACTIVATED AQUEOUS SOLUTIONS OF N,N-DIETHYLETHANOLOAMINE

# ABSORPCYJNE USUWANIE CO<sub>2</sub> W AKTYWOWANYCH WODNYCH ROZTWORACH N,N-DIETYLETANOLOAMINY

**Abstract:** The CO<sub>2</sub> absorption process using aqueous amine solutions has been the most promising technique used for the removal of CO<sub>2</sub> from gas streams in energy sector. In recent years, many researchers tested solutions which are composed of several compounds: a slow reacting tertiary amine- and a fast amine acting as an activator. In this paper, the CO<sub>2</sub> absorption rate in an aqueous solution of N,N-diethylethanoloamine (DEEA) and activated solutions DEEA is investigated experimentally. The activators considered are sterically hindered amines: 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol (AMP), 2-amino-2-methyl-1,3-propanediol (AMPD) and N-methyl-1,3-propanediamine (MAPA) from the group of polyamines. The experiments were conducted over the temperature range of 303-333 K and the total amine concentration of 2 M. From the CO<sub>2</sub> absorption experiments into mixed aqueous solutions has a significant effect on the enhancement of the CO<sub>2</sub> absorption rate. The application of hindered amines: AMP or AMP as activators resulted in a marginally improvement of the absorption rate of CO<sub>2</sub>.

Keywords: CO2 capture, absorption kinetics, N,N-diethylethanolamine, amine blends

# Introduction

The absorption of  $CO_2$  in the amine solutions is one of the most effective method of capturing carbon dioxide from flue gases produced during the combustion of conventional fuels in the energy sector [1]. Nowadays, aqueous monoethanolamine (MEA), which is a primary amine, has been most commonly used for gas purification [2-4]. Primary and secondary amines react to form carbamates via a fast reaction with  $CO_2$ . However, the heat of absorption associated with the carbamate formation is high. Consequently, this results in high solvent regeneration costs [5-7]. Numerous studies are performed to replace the MEA solution with new solvents which are relatively easy to regenerate and have a high capacity to absorb  $CO_2$ . These requirements are met by tertiary amines which do not form carbamates by reaction with  $CO_2$ , have a low heat of absorption, and greater absorption capacity than the primary and secondary amines. Their disadvantage is a low  $CO_2$  reaction rate. There is a growing interest in recent times to use blended amine solvents, which

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Faculty of Process and Environmental Engineering, Lodz University of Technology, ul. Wólczańska 213, 90-924 Łódź, Poland, phone +48 42 631 37 74

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: hanna.kierzkowska-pawlak@p.lodz.pl

consist of a mixture of an activator with a tertiary amine. Some of the hindered amines proposed for  $CO_2$  absorption are 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol (AMP) and amino-2-methyl-1,3-propanediol (AMPD), which can be used as additives to reduce the cost of regeneration of absorbing solution or N-methyl-1,3-propane-diamine (MAPA), a reactive polyamine, in order to accelerate the overall absorption rate, while taking advantage of a tertiary amine as a base solvent [8].

The aim of this paper was to measure the  $CO_2$  absorption rate in aqueous N,N-diethylethanoloamine (DEEA) solutions and determine the kinetics of the reaction of  $CO_2$  with DEEA. Additionally, a quantitative assessment of the impact of activators: AMP, AMPD and MAPA on the overall  $CO_2$  absorption rate into DEEA blended solutions was made. The other authors [9] proved that the concentrated solution of DEEA+MAPA forms a two-phase system under certain conditions and may be a preferred alternative to the commonly used MEA in terms of the energy demand for desorption. The performance of DEEA+AMP and DEEA+AMPD blends has not been investigated so far.

The selected literature data on the kinetics of the  $CO_2$  reaction with DEEA, which is a tertiary amine are given in Table 1. The  $k_1$  presented in Table 1 is expressed according to the reaction mechanism described by Eq. (6).

Table 1

The kinetic rate constants of CO2 in aqueous DEEA at 303 K

$k_1 [\mathrm{m}^3/(\mathrm{mol}\cdot\mathrm{s})]$	Experimental technique	Literature	
0.070	Stirred cell reactor	[10]	
0.059	Stirred cell reactor	[11]	
0.115	Stopped flow	[12]	

 $CO_2$  absorption in aqueous amine solution is accompanied by several chemical reactions which are responsible for the  $CO_2$  capacity and the mass transfer rate.

The following reactions occur when CO<sub>2</sub> is absorbed in aqueous solutions:

$$\operatorname{CO}_2(\operatorname{gas}) \leftrightarrow \operatorname{CO}_2$$
 (1)

$$\operatorname{CO}_2 + \operatorname{H}_2 \operatorname{O} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{H}^+ + \operatorname{HCO}_3^-$$
 (2)

$$\operatorname{CO}_2 + \operatorname{OH}^- \leftrightarrow \operatorname{HCO}_3^-$$
 (3)

$$2H_2O \leftrightarrow OH^- + H_3O^+ \tag{4}$$

Equation (1) describes the physical dissolution of gaseous  $CO_2$  into a liquid. All amines undergo the protonation reaction:

$$\mathbf{R}_{1}\mathbf{R}_{2}\mathbf{R}_{3}\mathbf{N} + \mathbf{H}^{+} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{1}\mathbf{R}_{2}\mathbf{R}_{3}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{H}^{+}$$
(5)

Other reactions are distinct for different kind of amines (tertiary, primary and secondary, polyamines and sterically hindered amines).

Tertiary amines  $(R_1R_2R_3N)$  participate in a base-catalyzed CO<sub>2</sub> hydration leading to formation of bicarbonate ions and the protonated amine [8]:

$$CO_2 + H_2O + R_1R_2R_3N \leftrightarrow R_1R_2R_3NH^+ + HCO_3^-$$
(6)

The main advantages of tertiary amines are their relatively  $CO_2$  loading capacity of about 1 (mol of  $CO_2$ /mol of amine) and a small enthalpy of reaction with  $CO_2$ . However, the formation of bicarbonate ion is relatively slow which limits the  $CO_2$  absorption rate.

The primary and secondary alkanolamines ( $R_1NH_2$ ;  $R_1R_2NH$ ) react directly and reversibly with CO<sub>2</sub> forming stable carbamates ( $R_1NHCOO^-$ ;  $R_1R_2NCOO^-$ ):

$$2R_1R_2NH + CO_2 \leftrightarrow R_1R_2NCOO^- + R_1R_2NH_2^+$$
(7)

The formation of carbamate increases the reaction rate but limits the loading to 0.5 (mol of  $CO_2$ /mol of amine). The formation of carbamate is associated with a high heat of reaction, contributing to higher energy consumption for reversing the  $CO_2$  reaction with amine.

A sterically hindered amine is defined structurally as:

- a primary amine in which the amino group is attached to a tertiary carbon atom;
- a secondary amine in which the amino group is attached to a secondary or a tertiary carbon atom [13, 14].

In the present work, two sterically amines *i.e.* 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol (AMP) and amino-2-methyl-1,3-propanediol (AMPD) are tested as activators of tertiary DEEA.

The major reactions between a sterically hindered amine and  $CO_2$  are the formation of carbamate and bicarbonate, as decribed in Eqs. (8) and (9) [15]:

$$\mathbf{R}_{1}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{H}_{2} + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{O}_{2} + \mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{O} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{1}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{O}\mathbf{O}^{-} + \mathbf{H}_{3}\mathbf{O}^{+}$$
(8)

$$R_1 \text{NHCOO}^- + H_3 \text{O}^+ \leftrightarrow R_1 \text{NH}_3^+ + \text{HCO}_3^- \tag{9}$$

Formation of carbamate is unfavorable and relatively slow due to the increased hindrance around the amino group. This finding was supported by several authors [15-17]. The authors indicated that hindered carbamate is thermodynamically unstable and in the  $CO_2$  loaded amine solution there is much less carbamate formed than bicarbonate and carbonate species. Therefore, the reaction mainly proceeds via bicarbonate production according to:

$$\mathbf{R}_{1}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{H}_{2} + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{O}_{2} + \mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{O} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{1}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{H}_{3}^{+} + \mathbf{H}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{O}_{3}^{-}$$
(10)

As a result, the overall reaction rate for sterically hindered amines is usually smaller than for primary and secondary amines, and higher than for tertiary amines.

Polyamines have at least two amine functionalities and can be expected to have a high capacity for binding  $CO_2$  and a high reaction rate. The mechanism of the  $CO_2$  reaction with polyamines cannot be generalized as it depends on the structure of the specific amine. N-methyl-1,3-propane-diamine (MAPA) used in this study has got two amine groups. In the present case,  $CO_2$  reacts simultaneously with both amine groups, forming primary (MAPACOO<sup>-</sup><sub>p</sub>) and secondary (MAPACOO<sup>-</sup><sub>s</sub>) carbamates.

#### Experimental set-up and methodology

In this work, the absorption kinetics of  $CO_2$  in N,N-diethylethanoloamine (DEEA) aqueous solutions and in DEEA mixed with hindered amines containing a primary amino group (AMPD, AMP) and a polyamine (MAPA) was studied. The molecular structures of the amines investigated in this work are shown in Figure 1.

The chemicals used were: DEEA (Alfa, > 99%), AMPD (Sigma-Aldrich, > 99%), AMP (Sigma-Aldrich, > 97%), MAPA (Sigma-Aldrich, > 98%). Experimental conditions are presented in Table 2.



Fig. 1. Chemical structures of studied amines

Parameters of CO2 absorption experiments

Table 2

The composition of the aqueous solutions	Concentration [M]	<i>T</i> [K]	
DEEA	2	303, 318, 333	
DEEA+AMP	1.5+0.5	303	
DEEA+AMD	1.5+0.5	303	
DEEA+MAPA	1.8+0.2	303	



Fig. 2. The CPA 201 reactor (Syrris Ltd)

The measurements of the kinetics of  $CO_2$  in standalone DEEA aqueous solutions and activated DEEA solutions were carried out in a fully automated reaction calorimeter (CPA 201, SyrrisLdt), which is a cylindrical, double-walled glass vessel with a plane, horizontal gas-liquid interface (Fig. 2). The reactor was equipped with baffles, gas and liquid phase stirrers that are mounted on the same shaft. A Peltier element that is mounted inside the bottom of the reactor enables effective heating and cooling of the system with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C. All operating conditions including a gas pressure, a reactor temperature, a stirring speed and the heat flow rate were recorded every 2 seconds. The present reaction calorimeter is analogous to the measurement system used in our previous studies [10].

Studies of the absorption kinetics of  $CO_2$  in aqueous DEEA solutions and DEEA blends with AMP, AMPD and MAPA are based on the fall-in-pressure technique during the batch absorption of  $CO_2$ . The measurements were carried out in isothermal conditions. The experiments for 2 M DEEA solutions were conducted at the temperature range of 303-333 K. The solution concentrations for activated amine were the following: 1.8 M DEEA + 0.2 M MAPA, 1.5 M DEEA + 0.5 M AMP and 1.5 M DEEA + 0.5 AMPD. Measurements for activated amine solutions were carried out at 303 K.

The procedure was the same as described in detail in other works [10, 18]. The pure CO<sub>2</sub> was introduced into the reactor in a very short time. The liquid was previously loaded, degassed and thermostated at the desired temperature. The absorption was initiated by switching on the stirrers. The process was monitored by recording the pressure decrease vs time. The CO<sub>2</sub> absorption rate ( $N_{CO_2}$  [mol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>]) was calculated from the experimental data by the mass balance in the reactor gas phase according to:

$$N_{CO_2} = -\frac{v_G}{R} \frac{dp_{CO_2}}{dt}$$
(11)

where  $v_G$  is a gas phase volume in the reactor [m<sup>3</sup>], *A* is a gas-liquid interfacial area [m<sup>2</sup>], *R* is a gas constant [J/(mol·K)], *T* is a temperature [K],  $p_{CO_2}$  is a CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure [Pa], *t* is a time [s].



Fig. 3. Experimental procedure (fall in pressure technique) - for batchwise mode of operation with respect to the gas phase

In the present experiments, the initial  $CO_2$  partial pressure was below 60 kPa. All experimental runs were performed at a constant stirring speed of 120 rpm. The typical run of the absorption experiment is shown in Figure 3. As can be seen, after switching on the stirrer, the pressure in the reactor begun to decrease due to absorption of  $CO_2$  into the solvent. We analyzed the first 30 seconds of the run since we calculated the  $CO_2$  absorption rate at the initial stage of the process, when the liquid bulk was not loaded with  $CO_2$ .

### **Results and discussion**

Studies of the absorption kinetics of  $CO_2$  in 2 M DEEA solutions were conducted at the temperature range of 303-333 K in order to determine the kinetics of a chemical reaction in the liquid phase. The reaction of  $CO_2$  in aqueous solutions of N,N-diethylethanolamine proceeds according to equation (12)

$$\operatorname{CO}_2 + \operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O} + \operatorname{DEEA} \xleftarrow{} \operatorname{DEEAH}^+ + \operatorname{HCO}_3^-$$
 (12)

DEEA promotes the  $CO_2$  hydrolysis reaction, which results in bicarbonate formation under the mechanism of base-catalyzed hydration as described in the Theory section.

According to Kierzkowska-Pawlak and Chacuk [19], the total rate of the forward reaction of  $CO_2$  in aqueous tertiary amines solutions is mostly interpreted using a second order rate expression:

$$r_{CO_2:DEEA} = k_1 [DEEA] [CO_2] = k_{ov} [CO_2]$$
(13)

where  $r_{CO_2-DEEA}$  is a reaction rate [mol·m<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>],  $k_{ov}$  denotes the overall reaction rate constant (observed pseudo-first order [s<sup>-1</sup>]) which is expressed by Eq. (14), [CO<sub>2</sub>] is a molar concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> [kmol/m<sup>3</sup>], [DEEA] is a molar concentration of N,N-diethylethanoloamine [kmol/m<sup>3</sup>].

$$k_{ov} = k_1 [DEEA] \tag{14}$$

where  $k_1$  is a second order rate constant  $[m^3mol^{-1}s^{-1}]$ .

The rate of  $CO_2$  mass transfer in the conducted experiments can be expressed as [10, 11]:

$$N_{CO_2} = \sqrt{k_{ov} D_{CO_2}} [CO_2]_i$$
(15)

where  $D_{CO_2}$  is a CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion coefficient in the liquid phase  $[m^2 s^{-1}]$ ,  $[CO_2]_i$  is the interfacial concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> and can be obtained from Henry's law:

$$[CO_2]_i = \frac{p_{CO_2}}{He_{CO_2}}$$
(16)

where  $He_{CO_2}$  is a Henry's laws constant of CO<sub>2</sub> in the solvent [kPa·m<sup>3</sup>/mol].

It should be noted that Eq. (15) can be only applied for the fast, pseudo-first-order reaction regime where the absorption rate does not depend on the hydrodynamic conditions [10].

Hence, the rate of mass transfer can be expressed as follows:

$$N_{CO_2} = \frac{\sqrt{k_{ov} D_{CO_2}}}{He_{CO_2}} p_{CO_2}$$
(17)

The solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> can be estimated indirectly from the so-called "CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>O analogy" [10]. The diffusion coefficient of CO<sub>2</sub> ( $D_{CO_2}$ ) and the values of Henry's law constant of CO<sub>2</sub> ( $He_{CO_2}$ ) in an amine aqueous solution were estimated from the correlations previously used by Kierzkowska-Pawlak [10].

The results of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption rate in 2 M DEEA solutions measured under different partial pressures of CO<sub>2</sub> at the temperature range of 303-333 K allowed to determine the observed reaction rate constants  $(k_{ov})$  from Eq. (17). The second order kinetic rate constants  $(k_1)$  were calculated from Eq. (14) using the estimated values of  $k_{ov}$ .

Regression of  $k_1$  values obtained at different temperatures gave the following Arrhenius equation:

$$k_1 = 9.66 \cdot 10^5 \exp\left(\frac{-4953}{T}\right)$$
(18)

The  $k_1$  rate constants evaluated in the present study were compared with the literature data in Figure 4.



Fig. 4. Comparison of the obtained  $k_1$  [m<sup>3</sup>mol<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>] rate constants for the CO<sub>2</sub> reaction in aqueous DEEA with literature data

As can be seen, the kinetics results vary between different researchers. The large discrepancy is observed when comparing the obtained  $k_1$  with the values of Li et al. [12], determined by using a different measurement technique (the stopped flow method). This method differs from the commonly used ones since the mass transfer from gas to liquid was not considered in it. The values of  $k_{ov}$  were determined automatically by fitting the

exponential function to the measured conductance of the solution over the whole time span of the reaction taking place entirely in the liquid phase. Such a simplified interpretation may be one of the reasons for the observed inconsistency. However, the second order rate constants determined in this study show good agreement with the data of Littel et al. [11], who performed the measurements by using the similar experimental technique as in this work. Furthermore, the present results are also very similar to our previous data determined in the analogous measurement system [10]. The good compatibility of literature data [10, 11] with the current study confirmed the correctness of the performed experiments and data analysis.

Table 3

Amine	Concentration [M]	k <sub>ov</sub> [1/s]	Experimental technique	References
AMP	0.5	364	Stirred-cell reactor	[20]
AMPD	0.5	73	Wetted wall column	[2]
MAPA	0.2	9451	String of discs contactor	[21]
DEEA	2 1.8 1.5	154 138 115	Stirred-cell reactor	this work

The pseudo-first-order reaction rate constant ( $k_{ov}$ ) for AMP, AMPD, MAPA and DEEA at 303 K

The results of the experimental CO<sub>2</sub> absorption rates in the blended DEEA solutions with MAPA, AMP and AMPD are shown in Figure 5. In order to interpret the obtained data, a summary of a literature review for the observed reaction rate constants ( $k_{ov}$ ) for all investigated amines is given in Table 3.



Fig. 5.  $CO_2$  absorption rate into aqueous DEEA solutions activated with AMP, AMPD and MAPA at 303 K

According to the experimental data presented in Figure 5, the  $CO_2$  absorption rates in DEEA activated solutions are higher than that in the standalone DEEA for the same overall amine concentration. Furthermore, the enhancement of MAPA on mass transfer is much larger than that of AMP and AMPD. The absorption rate determined in the mixture of 1.8 M DEEA+0.2 M MAPA was about 5-fold higher than the rate for the 2 M DEEA. Activating a tertiary amine solution with AMP at a concentration of 0.5 M, which reacts quickly with  $CO_2$  forming unstable carbamates, resulted in a 1.4-fold enhancement of  $CO_2$  absorption rates, while the effect of addition of AMPD was less pronounced.

The observed trends can be explained by comparing the individual overall rate constants for DEEA, MAPA, AMP and AMPD at 303 K, which were estimated for the applied concentrations. Obviously, the effect of MAPA resulted in a substantially enhancement of the CO<sub>2</sub> absorption rate since the  $k_{ov}$  of MAPA with CO<sub>2</sub> is considerably higher than that of AMP and AMPD. The overall reaction rate constant of AMP is over twice as that of AMPD, and therefore, the activated DEEA+AMP solution induced a larger enhancement as compared with the DEEA+AMPD activated solution.

The analysis of the activated mechanism was proposed by Lu et al. [22]. According to the so-called "shuttle mechanism", a fast reacting activator rapidly reacts with  $CO_2$  near the gas-liquid interface. The reaction product (*e.g.* the carbamate) diffuses into the liquid bulk and it dissociates, converting its  $CO_2$  into carbonate and releasing H<sup>+</sup>, and the activator is regenerated. The H<sup>+</sup> ion reacts with DEEA in the liquid bulk, and the regenerated activator returns to the liquid film near the gas-liquid interface to react with freshly-absorbed  $CO_2$ . The action of the activator is as a carrier of  $CO_2$ , which accelerates the  $CO_2$  mass transfer rate.

### Conclusions

The kinetics of  $CO_2$  reaction with DEEA and activated solutions DEEA were studied in this work. The present results of the second-order rate constant of  $CO_2$  reaction in DEEA aqueous solutions showed good agreement with the data of Littel et al. [11] and Kierzkowska-Pawlak [10] who determined the kinetics by using a similar experimental technique. However, the second-order rate constants that were measured by Li et al. [12] by using the stopped flow technique are higher than the present values.

The rates of  $CO_2$  absorption in different amine blends were examined and it was found that MAPA is the best activator for DEEA solutions. The absorption rate of  $CO_2$  in a solution of 1.8 M DEEA + 0.2 M MAPA was about 5-fold higher than in the 2 M DEEA solution. By using AMP and AMPD as activators, no significant acceleration of the  $CO_2$ mass transfer was observed. The present work shows that DEEA+MAPA blend can be an attractive solvent for  $CO_2$  capture from the flue gases.

### References

- Rao AB, Rubin ES. A technical, economic, and environmental assessment of amine-based CO<sub>2</sub> capture technology for power plant greenhouse gas control. Environ Sci Technol. 2002;36(20):4467-4475. DOI: 10.1021/es0158861.
- [2] Yoon JH, Baek JI, Yamamoto Y, Komai T, Kawamura T. Kinetics of removal of carbon dioxide by aqueous 2-amino-2-methyl-1,3-propanediol. Chem Eng Sci. 2003;58(23-24):5229-5237. DOI: 10.1016/j.ces.2003.08.019.
- [3] Nagy T, Mizsey P. Model verification and analysis of the CO<sub>2</sub>-MEA absorber-desorber system. Int J Greenhouse Gas Control. 2015;39:236-244. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2015.05.017.

- [4] Sutar PN, Jha A, Vaidya PD, Kenig EY. Secondary amines for CO<sub>2</sub> capture: A kinetic investigation using N-ethylmonoethanolamine. Chem Eng J. 2012;207-208:718-724. DOI: 10.1016/j.cej.2012.07.042.
- [5] Putta KR, Pinto DDD, Svendsen HF, Knuutila HK. CO<sub>2</sub> absorption into loaded aqueous MEA solutions: Kinetics assessment using penetration theory. Int J Greenhouse Gas Control. 2016;53:338-353. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2016.08.009.
- [6] Choi JH, Kim YE, Nam SC, Yun SH, Yoon Y II, Lee J-H. CO<sub>2</sub> absorption characteristics of a piperazine derivative with primary, secondary, and tertiary amino groups. Korean J Chem Eng. 2016;33(11):3222-3230. DOI: 10.1007/s11814-016-0180-9.
- [7] Filburn T, Helble JJ, Weiss RA. Development of supported ethanolamines and modified ethanolamines for CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Indust Eng Chem Res. 2005;44(5):1542-1546. DOI: 10.1021/ie0495527.
- [8] Chowdhury FA, Yamada H, Higashii T, Goto K, Onoda M. CO<sub>2</sub> capture by tertiary amine absorbents: A performance comparison study. Indust Eng Chem Res. 2013;52(24):8323-8331. DOI: 10.1021/ie400825u.
- [9] Monteiro JGMS, Majeed H, Knuutila H, Svendsen HF. Kinetics of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption in aqueous blends of N,N-diethylethanolamine (DEEA) and N-methyl-1,3-propane-diamine (MAPA). Chem Eng Sci. 2015;129:145-155. DOI: 10.1016/j.ces.2015.02.001.
- [10] Kierzkowska-Pawlak H. Kinetics of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption in aqueous N,N-diethylethanolamine and its blend with N-(2-aminoethyl)ethanolamine using a stirred cell reactor. Int J Greenhouse Gas Control. 2015;37:76-84. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2015.03.002.
- [11] Littel RJ, Van Swaaij WPM, Versteeg GF. Kinetics of carbon dioxide with tertiary amines in aqueous solution. AIChE J. 1990;36(11):1633-1640. DOI: 10.1002/aic.690361103.
- [12] Li J, Henni A, Tontiwachwuthikul P. Reaction kinetics of CO<sub>2</sub> in aqueous ethylenediamine, ethyl ethanolamine, and diethyl monoethanolamine solutions in the temperature range of 298-313 K, using the stopped-flow technique. Indust Eng Chem Res. 2007;46(13):4426-4434. DOI: 10.1021/ie0614982.
- [13] Yih SM, Shen KP. Kinetics of carbon dioxide reaction with sterically hindered 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol aqueous solutions. Indust Eng Chem Res. 1988;27(12):2237-2241. DOI: 10.1021/ie00084a008.
- [14] Bougie F, Iliuta MC. Sterically hindered amine-based absorbents for the removal of CO<sub>2</sub> from gas streams. J Chem Eng Data. 2012;57(3):635-669. DOI: 10.1021/je200731v.
- [15] Choi JH, Oh SG, Yoon YI, Jeong SK, Jang KR, Nam SC. A study of species formation of aqueous tertiary and hindered amines using quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy. J Ind Eng Chem. 2012;18(1):568-573. DOI: 10.1016/j.jiec.2011.11.053.
- [16] Saha AK, Bandyopadhyay SS, Biswas AK. Kinetics of absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> into aqueous solutions of 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol. Chem Eng Sci. 1995;50(22):3587-3598. DOI: 10.1016/0009-2509(95)00187-A.
- [17] Ume CS, Ozturk MC, Alper E. Kinetics of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption by a blended aqueous amine solution. Chem Eng Technol. 2012;35(3):464-468. DOI: 10.1002/ceat.201100394.
- [18] Vaidya PD, Kenig EY. Absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> into aqueous blends of alkanolamines prepared from renewable resources. Chem Eng Sci. 2007;62(24):7344-7350. DOI: 10.1016/j.ces.2007.08.015.
- [19] Kierzkowska-Pawlak H, Chacuk A. Numerical simulation of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption into aqueous methyldiethanolamine solutions. Korean J Chem Eng. 2012;29(6):707-715. DOI: 10.1007/s11814-011-0244-9.
- [20] Choi W, Min B, Seo J, Park S, Oh K. Effect of ammonia on the absorption kinetics of carbon dioxide into aqueous 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol solutions. Ind Chem Eng Res. 2009;48(8):4022-4029. DOI: 10.1021/ie8018438.
- [21] Majeed H. Reactive Absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> in Single and Blended Amine Systems[PhD Thesis]. Trondheim: Norwegian University of Science and Technology;2013.
- [22] Lu JG, Zheng YF, Cheng MD, Wang LJ. Effects of activators on mass-transfer enhancement in a hollow fiber contactor using activated alkanolamine solutions. J Membr Sci. 2007;289(1):138-149. DOI: 10.1016/j.memsci.2006.11.042.