

Artur PAWŁOWSKI^{1*}, Małgorzata PAWŁOWSKA¹ and Lucjan PAWŁOWSKI¹

MITIGATION OF GREENHOUSE GASES EMISSIONS BY MANAGEMENT OF TERRESTRIAL ECOSYSTEM

ABSORPCJA CO₂ PRZEZ EKOSYSTEMY LĄDOWE JAKO SPOSÓB NA PRZECIWDZIAŁANIE WZROSTOWI JEGO STĘŻENIA W ATMOSFERZE

Abstract: Carbon dioxide fluxes between ecosystems of the Earth are presented. It was shown that intensifying its absorption of terrestrial ecosystems by 3.2% would prove sufficient to neutralize carbon dioxide emissions from the combustion of fossil fuels and cement production. It was shown that Polish forests absorb 84.6 million tons of CO₂/year, that is 26% of emissions from fossil fuel combustion and cement production, while agricultural crops absorb 103 million tons of CO₂/year. Total carbon dioxide sequestration by forests and agricultural crops amounts to 187.5 million tons of CO₂/year, which is tantamount to 59% of emissions from fossil fuel combustion and cement production. Forestation of marginal soils would further increase carbon dioxide absorption in Poland by 20.6 million tons of CO₂/year. Moreover, if plants were sown in order to produce green manure - instead of leaving soil fallow - sequestration could still be boosted by another 6.2 million tons of CO₂/year.

Keywords: climate change, CO₂ sequestration, CO₂ absorption by terrestrial ecosystems, global CO₂ fluxes

Introduction

According to Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change, one of the greatest threats to the development of modern world is the climate warming caused by increased concentration of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, which retain the heat radiated from the surface of the Earth.

It should be noted that the greenhouse effect per se is a beneficial phenomenon, were the heat not retained by the greenhouse gases and water vapour, the mean temperature on the surface of the Earth would amount approximately to -14°C . The problem consists in an exceeding amount of greenhouse gases emitted by the present-day civilization, mainly due to fossil fuel combustion, cement production, and changes in land use, which lead to a further increase of temperature on Earth.

According to the reports of Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change, the rise of temperature on Earth leads to disastrous climatic changes.

Although most scientists concur with this viewpoint, it should be mentioned that there are also contradictory opinions. For instance, Richard Lindzen - an outstanding American

¹ Faculty of Environmental Engineering, Lublin University of Technology, ul. Nadbystrzycka 40B, 20-618 Lublin, Poland, phone +48 51 538 44 02, fax +48 81 538 19 97

* Corresponding author: a.pawlowski@wis.pol.lublin.pl

climatologist - does not negate the existence of greenhouse effect; however, he expects that the magnitude of climatic changes will be far milder [1]. According to Lindzen, taking decisive actions aimed at curbing CO₂ emissions, achieved mainly through limiting fossil fuels combustion, is unjustified; especially as they are disadvantageous for the economies of numerous countries.

Excessively one-sided approach of mitigating CO₂ emission from anthropogenic sources, focusing on limiting fossil fuel combustion, may slow down the economic development of many countries [2, 3]. Generation of energy from renewable sources - especially advocated in the EU - which aims at the mitigation of CO₂ emissions, often leads to the creation of socio-economic problems [2, 3] and is coupled with negligible efficiency in CO₂ reduction. Negative examples include the production of biodiesel fuel from the oil obtained from coconut palms, grown in Indonesia on the land acquired by burning off tropical forests or the production of ethanol from corn [4, 5]. Promotion of biofuels was based on a simplified analysis and the assumption that the amount of CO₂ produced during biofuels combustion is equal to the amount absorbed from the atmosphere in photosynthesis.

Although this statement is true, it does not account for additional energy costs connected with the cultivation, harvest, and processing the plants into biofuel. Moreover, such assumption omits the fact that in order to create a plantation, another ecosystem was destroyed - such as a tropical forest or peatland - which would absorb greater amounts of CO₂ from the atmosphere. These losses in CO₂ adsorption are known as CO₂ absorption losses caused by changes in land use [6].

Therefore, introducing renewable energy sources requires an in-depth analysis of both socio-economic and environmental effects. Brazil is a country which successfully introduced ethanol for fuelling cars on a large scale. A comprehensive programme of utilizing sugar cane for ethanol production was developed, which also took into consideration the socio-economic and environmental conditions [7].

While the use of plants as a source of energy is widely advocated as a remedy for CO₂ emissions, the role of terrestrial ecosystems, including agriculture, in mitigating the increase of CO₂ concentration in the atmosphere remains underappreciated. It should be noted that the emissions from fossil fuels combustion and cement production constitutes only about 4.7% of CO₂ emissions from the natural sources, *i.e.* terrestrial ecosystems and oceans. The CO₂ absorption of these two main ecosystems equals 253 Gt C/year (10^9 tons of carbon per year = 10^{15} g C/year). Therefore, in order to neutralize the emission from anthropogenic sources, which in 2011 amounted to 9.8 ± 0.5 Gt C/year, it would be enough to intensify the CO₂ absorption of the afore-mentioned ecosystems by 4.8%.

Hence, there is the question of whether adopting the strategy of focusing on mitigating CO₂ emission from anthropogenic sources - through extensive, costly changes in energy acquisition with geological CO₂ sequestration - was reasonable, if small, about five-percent changes in CO₂ absorption by terrestrial ecosystems can achieve a similar effect.

Therefore, simultaneously increasing CO₂ absorption and reducing its emission by terrestrial ecosystems can significantly contribute towards the mitigation of the greenhouse effect.

Characteristic of CO₂ fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems

According to the data published by le Quere [8], the average emissions of CO₂ into the atmosphere originating from the combustion of fossil fuels and cement production are constantly on the rise - Table 1 (also Fig. 1 in [8]).

Table 1

CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel combustion and cement production [8]

Emission \ Years	1960-1969	1970-1979	1980-1989	1990-1999	2000-2009	2005-2014	2014
Emission [Gt C/year]	3.1 ±0.2	4.7 ±0.2	5.5 ±0.3	6.4 ±0.3	7.8 ±0.4	9.0 ±0.5	9.8 ±0.5
Emission [Gt CO ₂ /year]	11.4 ±0.7	17.2 ±0.7	20.2 ±1.1	23.5 ±1.1	28.6 ±1.5	33 ±1.8	35.9 ±1.8

Apart from the industrial emissions, changes in land use constitute another source of anthropologic emissions, and mainly involve deforestation and drying of marshes (Table 2) [9].

Table 2

CO₂ emissions caused by changes in land use, mainly including deforestation and drying of marshes [8]

Years	1960-1969	1970-1979	1980-1989	1990-1999	2000-2009	2005-2014	2014
Emission [Gt C/year]	1.5 ±0.5	1.3 ±0.5	1.4 ±0.5	1.6 ±0.5	1.0 ±0.5	0.9 ±0.5	1.1 ±0.5
Emission [Gt CO ₂ /year]	5.5 ±1.8	4.8 ±1.8	5.1 ±1.8	5.9 ±1.8	3.7 ±1.8	3.3 ±1.8	4.0 ±1.8

As it turns out, the natural fluxes of CO₂ are much bigger. Terrestrial systems absorb 123 ±8 Gt C/year, converting it to biomass [10, 11] and emit 119 ±1 Gt C/year (Table 3).

Table 3

Net CO₂ absorption by terrestrial ecosystems [8]

Years	1960-1969	1970-1979	1980-1989	1990-1999	2000-2009	2005-2014	2014
Absorption [Gt C/year]	1.7 ±0.7	1.7 ±0.8	1.6 ±0.8	2.6 ±0.8	2.4 ±0.8	3.0 ±0.8	4.1 ±0.9
Absorption [Gt CO ₂ /year]	6.2 ±2.6	6.2 ±2.9	5.9 ±2.9	9.5 ±2.9	8.8 ±2.9	11 ±2.9	15 ±3.3

The observed increase of CO₂ absorption by terrestrial ecosystems is caused by the fertilising effect of rising atmospheric concentration of CO₂ on plant growth, as well as the fertilising effect of nitrogen compounds, mostly nitrogen oxides emitted from industrial plants. To a certain extent, lengthening of the growing season in northern temperate and boreal areas also affects the process.

Table 4

Net CO₂ absorption by oceans [8]

Years	1960-1969	1970-1979	1980-1989	1990-1999	2000-2009	2005-2014	2014
Absorption [GtC/year]	1.1 ±0.5	1.5 ±0.5	2.0 ±0.5	2.2 ±0.5	2.3 ±0.5	2.6 ±0.5	2.9 ±0.5
Absorption [GtCO ₂ /year]	4.0 ±1.8	5.5 ±1.8	7.3 ±1.8	8.1 ±1.8	8.4 ±1.8	9.5 ±1.8	10.6 ±1.8

Simultaneously, CO₂ is absorbed by oceans. According to IPCC assessment [12], oceans absorb 92 Gt C/year and release 90 Gt C/year at the same time. The increase of CO₂ concentration in the atmosphere shifts the balance in favour of CO₂ absorption by oceans (Table 4).

As a result, the content of CO₂ in the atmosphere has been increasing at a slower rate than it would seem from the anthropogenic emission (Table 5).

Table 5

Increase of CO₂ content in the atmosphere [8]

Years	1960-1969	1970-1979	1980-1989	1990-1999	2000-2009	2005-2014	2014
CO ₂ content in the atmosphere [Gt C/year]	-	1.7 ±0.1	3.4 ±0.1	3.1 ±0.1	4.0 ±0.1	4.4 ±0.1	3.9 ±0.2
CO ₂ content in the atmosphere [Gt CO ₂ /year]	-	6.2 ±0.4	12.5 ±0.4	11.4 ±0.4	14.7 ±0.4	16.1 ±0.4	14.3 ±0.8

It resulted in an increase of its concentration in the atmosphere (*e.g.* Fig. 2 in [8]).

While comparing the net CO₂ emissions from anthropogenic sources, amounting to 3.9 ±0.2 Gt C/year in 2014, with the CO₂ absorption by terrestrial ecosystems, equal to 123 Gt C/year, it can be easily seen that raising CO₂ absorption of terrestrial plants merely by 3.2% could inhibit the increase of CO₂ in the atmosphere.

Therefore, it seems reasonable to check whether it is possible to stop the increase of CO₂ concentration in the atmosphere by appropriate management of CO₂ absorption in terrestrial ecosystems.

Role of terrestrial ecosystems in the regulation of CO₂ cycle

Terrestrial ecosystems are essential to the regulation of CO₂ fluxes. The greatest amount of CO₂, *i.e.* 547.8 Gt C, is found in tropical and subtropical forests, as well as peatlands [13-20]. Out of this amount, 406 Gt C is contained in tropical forests (190 Gt C in plant biomass on the surface and 226 Gt C in soil). Tropical forests are one of the most important terrestrial ecosystems, which absorb approximately net 1.3 Gt C/year: 0.6 Gt C/year in Central and South America, 0.4 Gt C/year in Africa and 0.25 Gt C/year in Asia [21, 22]. One hectare of tropical forests contains 90 to 200 tons of carbon.

It is estimated that 6.5 to 14.8 million ha of tropical forests is cut down in this area, increasing the annual emission by additional 0.8-2.2 Gt C [23, 24].

Peatlands play an extremely important role in the absorption of CO₂. It is estimated that approximately peatlands contain 550 Gt C, and 1 ha holds 1450 t of carbon. Unfortunately, drying of peatlands to create agricultural lands, often for the purpose of cultivating biofuel plants, leads to their rapid degradation and release of CO₂ into the atmosphere. It was found that elimination of 6 million ha of peatlands contributes to the annual emission with additional 0.5-0.8 Gt C [25-27].

It is worth noting that biodiesel produced from coconut palm grown on peatlands converted to coconut farms does not fulfill the essential role of biofuels, *i.e.* decreasing CO₂ emissions. On the contrary, it increases the emission three- to nine-fold [9, 10].

The third important ecosystem includes tropical and subtropical meadows, savannahs and shrubs, which contain 463.6 Gt C. They are vulnerable to fires that increase the annual

CO₂ emission by 0.5-4.2 Gt C. Nevertheless, these ecosystems have an annual net absorption of 0.5 Gt C [18, 27-30].

In a temperate climate, meadows play a vital role in the regulation of CO₂ fluxes in the Earth's ecosystem. They contain 183.1 Gt C, with 133 Gt C/ha accumulated in soil [18].

Forests in temperate zones constitute another essential ecosystem. They contain 314.9 Gt of carbon. These forests are characterized by high carbon content, ranging from 150 to 320 tons of carbon per hectare. The area of forests in North America and Europe constantly increases. Currently, the European temperate forests absorb 7-12% of CO₂ emitted from anthropogenic sources [31, 32]. It should be expected that further forestation and appropriate management of forestry may become one of the main CO₂ sequestration mechanisms. Boreal forests in Russia, Alaska, and Scandinavian countries also contain huge amounts of carbon (approximately 384.2 Gt). Due to low temperatures, decomposition of dead biomass in these forests occurs slowly; thus, soil is rich in carbon (116-343 t/ha). They are an important element of CO₂ sequestration. One should bear in mind that net CO₂ absorption occurs only in young forests. It is suspected that mature forests balance the absorption and emission of CO₂, which means that old forests do not contribute to the net CO₂ absorption.

Tundra constitutes yet another ecosystem; it contains 155.4 Gt C [33, 34]. Tundra is found in the arctic areas in the Northern Canada, Scandinavian countries and Russia, as well as Greenland and Iceland. In this zone, both growth and decomposition occur slowly; hence, under a relatively thin layer of soil there is a permanently frozen layer called permafrost. It is estimated that the latter contains 1600 Gt C [31, 35-37].

There are concerns that CO₂ and CH₄ trapped in permafrost will be released due to the global warming. It is estimated [38] that this ecosystem may release 100 Gt C in the form of CO₂ and CH₄, which would increase the concentration of carbon in the atmosphere by as much as 47 ppm.

This means that the natural terrestrial ecosystems are enormous reservoirs of carbon. They contain approximately 2000 Gt C, and the annual net absorption equals about 1.5 Gt C. Tropical forests play an especially important role in carbon balance. It is estimated that till the year 2100, sequestration of carbon by natural ecosystems will prevent the increase of CO₂ in the atmosphere by 40-70 ppm.

Agriculture is a separate issue [39]. Deforestation and drying of peatlands - performed in order to convert them into agricultural lands - not only leads to a decrease of CO₂ absorption by plants, but also further increases the CO₂ emission due to the oxidation of organic carbon in soil, which is one of the biggest reservoirs of carbon [40]. IPCC report [18] estimates that the amount of carbon in organic compounds found in soil equals 1580 Gt. Lal [41] provides similar figures: 1550 Gt of organic carbon and 950 Gt of inorganic carbon.

This data shows that terrestrial ecosystems, especially forests are vital for the regulation of CO₂ content in the atmosphere, which was pointed out by J. Szyszko, Polish Minister of Environment.

Assessment of CO₂ sequestration by terrestrial ecosystems in Poland

The analysis of CO₂ fluxes between main ecosystems of the Earth shows that we should pay more attention to CO₂ sequestration in natural processes.

In Poland, the emission from the combustion of fossil fuel and cement production gradually decreases, mainly due to the elimination of industry resulting from so-called *Balcerowicz Plan*. In 2014, the total CO₂ emission in Poland amounted to 316.8 million t of CO₂ [40].

As far as mitigation of CO₂ emissions is concerned, forests are one of the most important ecosystems. In Poland, they cover an approximate area of 9.4 million ha [40]. Depending on the species and age of trees, 1 ha of forest absorbs 30-35 t CO₂/year. Thus, the total absorption of CO₂ by forests ranges from 283 to 329 million t CO₂. Simultaneously, forests emit CO₂ in the processes of respiration and organic matter decomposition.

Gaj [41], using data of Veroustrale and Sabie, reports that the net CO₂ absorption of Polish forests equals 9 t/ha per year, on average. This means that Polish forests absorb the net amount of 84.6 million t CO₂ annually, *i.e.* 26% of emission from anthropogenic sources.

Similar intensity of CO₂ absorption characterizes orchards, which cover 341.8 thousand ha in Poland and absorb 3.1 million tons of CO₂/year.

Significant degree of CO₂ absorption is displayed by pastures (4.8 t CO₂/ha per year) and grasslands (2.6 t CO₂/ha per year) [42-44]. Pastures in Poland extend over an area of 486 thousand ha. Hence, the annual CO₂ sequestration equals 1.9 million t CO₂/year. On the other hand, the area covered by grasslands is far greater and amounts to 2.6 million ha. Thus, the annual CO₂ sequestration in this case equals 6.8 million t CO₂/year.

By far, the greatest area of land - 7.48 million ha - is occupied by cereal crops [40, 41, 45-47]. According to the available data on CO₂ sequestration for Spain [48], wheat absorbs 13.9 t CO₂/ha per year, while oat - 11.7 t CO₂/ha per year. However, sequestration in the climatic zone of Poland is less efficient. With this data, it is possible to estimate that the CO₂ sequestration by cereal crops is about 74.8 million t CO₂/year. The total CO₂ sequestration by orchards, cereal crops, pastures, and grasslands amounts to about 86.6 million t of CO₂/year, *i.e.* about 25% of annual anthropogenic emission in Poland. Moreover, industrial crops cover 1.16 million ha, whereas potatoes occupy 267 thousand ha [40]. There is no data on CO₂ sequestration for these plants. It can be assumed that it will be similar to the sequestration of such vegetables as cauliflower, broccoli, artichoke, and tomato. According to [42, 49], these vegetables are characterized by the following CO₂ sequestration values: cauliflower - 36 t CO₂/ha per year, broccoli - 22 t CO₂/ha per year, artichoke - 13 t CO₂/ha per year, tomato - 24 t CO₂/ha per year. Assuming that the CO₂ sequestration of potatoes is similar to artichokes, it can be calculated that they are absorbing approximately 3.5 million t CO₂/year. For industrial crops, assuming the sequestration level of cereal crops, *i.e.* 11 t CO₂/ha per year, absorption of CO₂ is equal to 12.8 million t CO₂/year.

The above-mentioned calculations show that CO₂ sequestration of agricultural crops equals 103 million t CO₂/year. If we combine this with forests, the sum will total 187.5 million t CO₂/year, which roughly corresponds to 59% of emissions from fossil fuel combustion and cement production. In other words, 59% of CO₂ emissions from these two sources is absorbed by agricultural crops and forests.

Potentially, it would be possible to increase CO₂ sequestration of terrestrial ecosystems in Poland. Sajnog and Wojcik [43] estimate that in Poland there is 2.3 million ha of marginal soils. Forestation of these areas would increase CO₂ sequestration in the future by 20.5 million t CO₂/year.

Moreover, 475 thousand ha is left fallow. These lands could be used for CO₂ sequestration, which could be done by growing plants for green manure. Benefits of this solution are twofold. It would enable to increase CO₂ sequestration in the country by 6.2 million t CO₂/year and improve soil fertility by increasing humus and nutrient content. Undoubtedly, it would reduce the demand for mineral fertilizers, especially if nitrogen-fixing plant were to be grown. As a result, the emission of greenhouse gases connected with the production of mineral fertilizers would be cut.

Conclusions

CO₂ sequestration by terrestrial ecosystems is an essential, albeit not fully utilized method of mitigating CO₂ emission to the atmosphere. The authors of this work are not experts on plant cultivation and hence the data presented should be treated as approximations. It would make sense to perform an in-depth analysis of the existing and prospective possibilities of CO₂ sequestration by terrestrial ecosystems in Poland. It is all the more important, considering that the work presented possible methods of improving CO₂ sequestration through further forestation and utilization of fallow land for the production of green manure, which would absorb at least 27 million t CO₂/year. One of possible way to increase CO₂ sequestration by forests is its fertilisation [44]. It would allow for a further reduction of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions in Poland by additional 8.5%.

References

- [1] Lindzen R. Global warming: The origin and nature of the alleged scientific consensus. *Problemy Ekorozwoju/Problems Sust Develop.* 2010;5(2):13-28. <http://ekorozwoj.pollub.pl>.
- [2] Bucher S. Sustainable development in the world from the aspect of environmental health and human development index: Regional variations and patterns. *Problemy Ekorozwoju/Problems Sust Develop.* 2016; 12(1):117-124. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/291832736_Sustainable_Development_in_the_World_from_the_Aspect_of_Environmental_Health_and_Human_Development_Index_Regional_Variation_s_and_Patterns.
- [3] Cel W, Czechowska-Kosacka A, Zhang T. Sustainable mitigation of greenhouse gases emissions. *Problemy Ekorozwoju/Problems Sust Develop.* 2016;11(1):173-176. <http://ekorozwoj.pol.lublin.pl/no21/w.pdf>.
- [4] Fargione J, Hill J, Tilman D, Polasky S, Hawthorne P. Land clearing and the biofuel carbon debt. *Science.* 2008;319(5867):1235-1238. DOI: 10.1126/science.1152747.
- [5] Searchinger T, Heimlich R, Houghton RA, Dong F, Elobeid A, Fabiosa J, et al. Use of U.S. croplands for biofuels increases greenhouse gases through emissions from land-use change. *Science.* 2008;319:1238-1240. DOI: 10.1126/science.1151861.
- [6] Cao Y, Cel W. Sustainable mitigation of methane emission by natural processes. *Problemy Ekorozwoju/Problems Sust Develop.* 2015;10(1):117-121. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/299512360_Sustainable_mitigation_of_methane_emission_by_natural_processes.
- [7] Dowbor L. Economic democracy - meeting some management challenges. *Changing scenarios in Brazil.* *Problemy Ekorozwoju/Problems Sust Develop.* 2013; 8(2): 17-25. <http://ekorozwoj.pollub.pl>.
- [8] Le Quere C, Moriarty R, Andrew RM, Peters GP, Ciais P, Friedligstein P, et al. Global Carbon Budget 2014. *Earth System Science Data.* 2015;7:47-85. <http://www.earth-syst-sci-data.net/7/47/2015/essd-7-47-2015.pdf>.
- [9] Houghton RA, House JI, Pongratz J, van der Werf GR, DeFries RS, Hansen MC, et al. Carbon emissions from land use and land-cover change. *Biogeosciences.* 2012;9:5125-5142. DOI: 10.5194/bg-9-5125-2012.
- [10] Beer C, Reichstein M, Tomelleri E, Ciais P, Jung M, Carvalhais N, et al. Terrestrial gross carbon dioxide uptake: Global distribution and covariation with climate. *Science.* 2010;329(5993):834-838. DOI: 10.1126/science.1184984.
- [11] Hilton TW, Davis KJ, Keller K, Evaluating terrestrial CO₂ flux diagnoses and uncertainties from a simple land surface model and its residuals. *Biogeosciences.* 2014;11:217-235. DOI: 10.5194/bg-11-217-2014.
- [12] IPCC. 2014 Climate Change 2014. Impact, Adoption, and Vulnerability. Summary for Policymakers. 2014. www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg2/.

- [13] Tans PP, Fung IY, Takahashi T. Observational constraints on the global atmospheric CO₂ budget. *Science*. 1990;247(4949):1431-1438. DOI: 10.1126/science.247.4949.1431.
- [14] Schimel D, Melillo J, Tian H, Mc Guire A.D., Kicklighter D, Kittel T, et al. Contribution of increasing CO₂ and climate to carbon storage by ecosystems in the United States. *Science*. 2000;287(5460):2004-2006. DOI: 10.1126/science.287.5460.2004.
- [15] Schimel DS, House JI, Hibbard KA, Bousquet P, Ciais P, Peylin P, et al. Recent patterns and mechanisms of carbon exchange by terrestrial ecosystems. *Nature*. 2001;414:169-172. DOI: 10.1038/35102500.
- [16] Berthelot M, Friedlingstein P, Ciais P, Monfray P, Dufresne JL, Le Treut H, et al. Global response of the terrestrial biosphere to CO₂ and climate change using a coupled climate-carbon cycle model. *Global Biogeochem Cycles*. 2002;16(4):1084-1096. DOI: 10.1029/2001GB001827.
- [17] Cole JJ, Prairie YT, Caraco NF, McDowell WH, Tranvik LJ, Striegl RG. Plumbing the global carbon cycle: Integrating inland waters into the terrestrial carbon budget. *Ecosystems*. 2007;10:171-184. DOI: 10.1007/s10021-006-9013-8.
- [18] Trumper K, Bertzy M, Dickson B, van der Heijden G, Jenkins M, Manning P, et al. The Natural Fix? The Role of Ecosystems in Climate Mitigation. A UNEP Rapid Response Assessment. United Nations Environment Programme. UNEP-WCMC. Cambridge, UK: 2009.
- [19] Yu Z, Beilman DW, Froking S, Mac Donald GM, Roulette NT, Camill P, et al. Peatlands and their role in the global carbon cycle. *Eos*. 2011;92(12):97-108. DOI: 10.1029/2011EO120001/pdf.
- [20] Yu ZC. Northern peatland carbon stocks and dynamics: A review. *Biogeosciences*. 2012;9:4071-4085. DOI: 10.5194/bg-9-4071-2012.
- [21] Lewis SL, Lopez-Gonzalez G, Sonké B, Affum-Baffoe K, Baker TR, Ojo LO, et al. Increasing carbon storage in intact African tropical forests. *Nature*. 2009;457:1003-1006. DOI: 10.1038/nature07771.
- [22] Phillips OL, Lewis SL. Evaluating the tropical forest carbon sink. *Global Change Biology*. 2014;20:2039-2041. DOI: 10.1111/gcb.12423.
- [23] Pan Y, Birdsey RA, Fang J, Houghton R, Kauppi PE, Kurz WA, et al. A large and persistent carbon sink in the world's forests. *Science*. 2011;333(6045):988-993. DOI: 10.1126/science.1201609.
- [24] Post WM, Kwon KC. Soil carbon sequestration and land-use change: Processes and potential. *Global Change Biol*. 2000;6:317-328. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2000.00308.x.
- [25] Hooijer A, Page S, Canadell JG, Silvius M, Kwadijk J, Wösten H, et al. Current and future CO₂ emissions from drained peatlands in southeast Asia. *Biogeosciences*. 2010;7:1505-1514. DOI: 10.5194/bg-7-1505-2010.
- [26] Miettinen J, Liew SC. Status of peatland degradation and development in Sumatra and Kalimantan. *Ambio*. 2010;39(5-6):394-401. DOI: 10.1007/s13280-010-0051-2.
- [27] Krüger JP, Leifeld J, Glatzel S, Szidat S, Alewell C. Biogeochemical indicators of peatland degradation - a case study of a temperate bog in northern Germany. *Biogeosciences*. 2015;12:2861-2871. DOI: 10.5194/bg-12-2861-2015.
- [28] Jones MB, Donnelly A. Carbon sequestration in temperate grassland ecosystems and the influence of management. Climate and elevated CO₂. *New Phytologist*. 2004;164(3):423-439. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01201.x.
- [29] Grace J, San Jose J, Meir P, Miranda HS, Montes RA. Productivity and carbon fluxes of tropical savannas. *J Biogeogr*. 2006;33:387-400. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2699.2005.01448.x.
- [30] Grace J, Mitchard E, Gloor E. Perturbations in the carbon budget of the tropics. *Global Change Biol*. 2004;20:3238-3255. DOI: 10.1111/gcb.12600.
- [31] Goodale CL, Apps MJ, Birdsey RA, Field CB, Heath LS, Houghton RA, et al. Forest carbon sinks in the Northern Hemisphere. *Ecol Applicat*. 2002;12(3):891-899. www.nrs.fs.fed.us/pubs/jrnl/2002/ne_2002_goodale_001.pdf.
- [32] Janssens IA, Freibauer A, Ciais P, Smith P, Nabuurs G-J, Folberth G, et al. Europe's terrestrial biosphere absorbs 7 to 12% of European anthropogenic CO₂ emissions. *Science*. 2003;300(5625):1538-1542. DOI: 10.1126/science.1093592.
- [33] Pulina M, Burzyk J, Burzyk M. Carbon dioxide in the tundra soils of SW Spitsbergen and its role in chemical denudation. *Polish Polar Res*. 2003;24(3-4):243-260. <http://www.polish.polar.pan.pl/ppr24/ppr24-243.pdf>.
- [34] Jorgenson MT, Romanovsky V, Harden J, Shur Y, O'Donnell J, Schuur EAG, et al. Resilience and vulnerability of permafrost to climate change. *Can J For Res*. 2010;40:1219-1236. DOI: 10.1139/X10-060.
- [35] Amundson R. The carbon budget in soils. *Annual Rev Earth Planetary Sci*. 2001;29:535-562. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.earth.29.1.535.
- [36] Acharya BS, Rasmussen J, Eriksen J. Grassland carbon sequestration and emissions following cultivation in a mixed crop rotation. *Agriculture Ecosyst Environ*. 2012;153:33-39. DOI: 10.1016/j.agee.2012.03.001.

- [37] Tveit A, Schwacke R, Svenning MM, Ulrich T. Organic carbon transformations in high-arctic peat soils: Key functions and microorganisms. *ISME J.* 2013;7(2):299-311. DOI: 10.1038/ismej.2012.99.
- [38] Schuur EAG, Bockheim J, Canadell JG, Euskirchen E, Field CB, Goryachkin SV, et al. Vulnerability of permafrost carbon to climate change: Implications for the global carbon cycle. *BioScience.* 2008;58(8):701-714. DOI: 10.1641/B580807.
- [39] Schuur EAG, Vogel JG, Crummer KG, Lee H, Sickman JO, Osterkamp T, et al. The effect of permafrost thaw on old carbon release and net carbon exchange from tundra. *Nature.* 2009;459:556-559. DOI: 10.1038/nature08031.
- [40] Freibauer A, Rounsevell MDA, Smith P, Verhagen J. Carbon sequestration in the agricultural soils of Europe. *Geoderma.* 2004;122(1):1-23. DOI: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.01.021.
- [41] Gaj K. Pochłanianie CO₂ przez polskie ekosystemy leśne (Carbon dioxide sequestration by Polish forest ecosystems). *Leśne Prace Badawcze.* 2012;73(1):17-21. DOI: 10.2478/v10111-012-0002-8.
- [42] Soussana JF, Tallec T, Blanfort V. Mitigating the greenhouse gas balance of ruminant production systems through carbon sequestration in grasslands. *Animal.* 2009;4(3):334-350. DOI: 10.1017/S1751731109990784.
- [43] Sajnog N, Wójcik J. Możliwości zagospodarowania gruntów marginalnych i nieużytków gruntowych w scalaniu gruntów (Possibilities of developing degraded and uncultivated lands in land consolidation). *Infrastruktura i Ekologia Terenów Wiejskich.* Kraków: PAN; 2013;2(II):155-166. http://www.infraeco.pl/pl/art/a_16983.htm?plik=1385.
- [44] Oren R, Ellsworth DS, Johnsen KH, Phillips N, Ewers BE, Maier C, et al. Soil fertility limits carbon sequestration by forest ecosystems in a CO₂-enriched atmosphere. *Nature.* 2001;411:469-472. DOI: 10.1038/35078064.
- [45] Lal R. Managing soil and ecosystems for mitigating anthropogenic carbon emissions and advancing global food security. *BioScience.* 2010;60(9):708-721. DOI: 10.1525/bio.2010.60.9.8.
- [46] Statistical Year Book of Agriculture. Główny Urząd Statystyczny; Warszawa: 2014. http://stat.gov.pl/download/gfx/portalinformacyjny/en/defaultaktualnosci/3328/6/9/1/statistical_yearbook_of_agriculture_2014.pdf.
- [47] Soussana JF, Loiseau P, Vuichard N, Ceschia E, Balesdent J, Chevallier T, et al. Carbon cycling and sequestration opportunities in temperate grasslands. *Soil Use Manage.* 2004;20:219-230. DOI: 10.1079/SUM2003234.
- [48] Carvajal M. Investigation into CO₂ absorption of the most representative agricultural crops of the region of Murcia. CSIC Report. 2010. http://www.lesco2.es/pdfs/noticias/ponencia_cisc_ingles.pdf.
- [49] Soussana JF, Allarda V, Pilegaard K, Ambus P, Ammann C, Campbell C, et al. Full accounting of the greenhouse gas (CO₂, N₂O, CH₄) budget of nine european grassland sites. *Agricult Ecosystems Environ.* 2007;121:121-134. DOI: 10.1016/j.agee.2006.12.022.