

Genetic testing for central areolar choroidal dystrophy

Andi Abeshi^{1,2}, Francesca Fanelli³, Tommaso Beccari⁴, Munis Dundar⁵, Benedetto Falsini⁶ and Matteo Bertelli^{2,3}

Abstract

We studied the scientific literature and disease guidelines in order to summarize the clinical utility of the genetic test for central areolar choroidal dystrophy (CACD). CACD is mostly inherited in an autosomal dominant manner. Transmission is rarely autosomal recessive. Overall prevalence is currently 1-9 per 100 000. CACD is caused by mutations in the *PRPH2* and *GUCY2D* genes. Clinical diagnosis is based on clinical findings, ophthalmological examination, fluorescein angiography, electroretinography (showing cone dystrophy) and stereo fundus photography. The genetic test is useful for confirming diagnosis, and for differential diagnosis, couple risk assessment and access to clinical trials.

¹MAGI Balkans, Tirana, Albania ²MAGI'S Lab, Rovereto, Italy

³MAGI Euregio, Bolzano, Italy

⁴Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy ⁵Department of Medical Genetics, Erciyes University Medical School, Kayseri, Turkey ⁶Department of Ophthalmology, Catholic University of Rome, Rome, Italy

Corresponding author: M. Bertelli E-mail: info@assomagi.org

Published online: 27 October 2017 doi:10.24190/ISSN2564-615X/2017/S1.07

Central areolar choroidal dystrophy

(other synonyms: CACD, choroidal macular dystrophy, choroidal sclerosis)

General information about the disease

Central areolar choroidal dystrophy (CACD) is a genetically heterogeneous disorder, principally affecting the macula, characterized by a large central area of atrophy and loss or absence of photoreceptors, retinal pigmented epithelium and choriocapillaris (1-4), leading to progressive reduction of visual acuity, generally occurring between the ages of 30 and 60 years (4-6). The rate of disease progression is highly variable. Color vision is normal in the early stages, however strongly deteriorated later on, and there is no photophobia. CACD is classified in four stages (7). The estimated prevalence of CACDs is 1-9 per 100 000 (retrieved from orphanet.org).

The clinical diagnosis of CACD is based on clinical findings, ophthalmological examination (4), fluorescein angiography or autofluorescence, electroretinography (showing severe cone dysfunction) and optical coherence tomography. It is confirmed molecularly by detection of pathogenic variants of the causative genes.

Differential diagnosis should consider late stages of cone dystrophy, atrophic age-related macular degeneration and other adult onset peripherin/RDS-related macular dystrophies, with which CACD shares clinical characteristics such as geographic atrophy and drusen-like deposits (8-11).

Being clinically and genetically heterogeneous, the different CACD types (CACD1, CACD2, CACD3) involve variations in several causative genes that are mostly inherited in an autosomal dominant manner. CACD1 is caused by an unknown variation on 17p13. CACD2 and CACD3 are associated with variations in the peripherin/RDS gene *PRPH2* (OMIM gene: 179605; OMIM disease: 613105) (12-14). CACD was also recently linked to variations in the *GUCY2D* gene (15).

Pathogenic variants may contain small intragenic deletions/insertions, as well as splice-site, missense and nonsense variants. Exon and whole-gene duplications/deletions are not reported.

Aims of testing

- To determine the gene defect responsible for the pathology
- To confirm clinical diagnosis of the disease
- To determine carrier status for the disease.

Test characteristics

Expert centers/ Published guidelines

The test is listed in the Orphanet database and is offered by about 10 accredited medical genetic laboratories in the EU, and in the GTR database, offered by 9 accredited medical genetic laboratories in the US.

The guidelines for clinical use of the test are described in "Genetics home reference" (ghr.nlm.nih.gov).

Test strategy

A multi-gene NGS panel is used for the detection of nucleotide variations in coding exons and flanking introns in the *PRPH2* and *GUCY2D* genes. Potentially causative variants and areas of low coverage are Sanger-sequenced. Sanger sequencing is also used for family segregation studies.

The test identifies variations in known causative genes in patients suspected to have CACDs. To perform molecular diagnosis, a single sample of biological material is normally sufficient. This may be 1 ml blood in a sterile tube with 0.5 ml K3EDTA or 1 ml saliva in a sterile tube with 0.5 ml ethanol 95%. Sampling rarely has to be repeated. Gene-disease associations and the interpretation of genetic variants are rapidly developing fields. It is therefore possible that the genes mentioned in this note may change as new scientific data is acquired. It is also possible that genetic variants today defined as of "unknown or uncertain significance" may acquire clinical importance.

Genetic test results

Positive

Identification of pathogenic variants in the *PHPR2* and *GU-CY2D* genes confirms the clinical diagnosis and is an indication for family studies.

A pathogenic variant is known to be causative for a given genetic disorder based on previous reports or predicted to be causative based on the loss of protein function or expected significant damage to protein or protein/protein interactions. In this way it is possible to obtain a molecular diagnosis in new/ other subjects, establish the risk of recurrence in family members and plan preventive and/or therapeutic measures.

Inconclusive

Detection of a variant of unknown or uncertain significance: a new variation and/or without any evident pathogenic significance or with insufficient or significant conflicting evidence to indicate it is likely benign or likely pathogenic for a given genetic disorder. In these cases, it is advisable to extend testing to the patient's relatives in order to assess variant segregation and clarify its contribution. In some cases it could be necessary to perform further examinations/tests or to do a clinical reassessment of pathological signs.

Negative

The absence of variations in the genomic regions investigated does not exclude a clinical diagnosis but suggests the possibility of:

- sequence variations in gene regions not investigated by this test, such as regulatory regions (5' and 3' UTR) and deep intronic regions;
- variations in other genes not investigated by the present test;
- alterations that cannot be identified by sequencing, such as large rearrangements that cause loss (deletion) or gain (duplication) of extended gene fragments.

Unexpected

Unexpected results may come out from the test, for example information regarding consanguinity; absence of family correlation or the possibility of developing genetically based diseases.

Risk for progeny

In autosomal dominant transmission, the probability that a carrier transmits the disease variant to his/her children is 50% in any pregnancy, independently of the sex of the conceived.

Limits of the test

The test is limited by current scientific knowledge regarding the genes and disease.

Analytical sensitivity (proportion of positive tests when the genotype is truly present) and analytical specificity (proportion of negative tests when the genotype is not present)

NGS: Analytical sensitivity: >99% (with a minimum coverage of 10X); Analytical specificity: 99.99%.

SANGER: Analytical sensitivity: >99.99%, Analytical specificity: 99.99%.

Clinical sensitivity (proportion of positive tests if the disease is present) and clinical specificity (proportion of negative tests if the disease is not present)

Clinical sensitivity: variations in the *PRPH2* gene are associated with several forms of autosomal dominant retinal dystrophy, including retinitis pigmentosa (ADRP), cone dystrophy and autosomal dominant macular dystrophy (ADMD). More than 100 variations have so far been associated with forms of CACD: 8-9% are cases of ADRP and 7-23% families with ADMD; penetration is low in some cases. ADMD forms include conditions defined more on a clinical basis as CACD, where variations in the *PRPH2* gene are found in large pedigrees with autosomal dominant inheritance: a total of six variations have been described. Clinical specificity is: estimated at 99.99% % [Author's laboratory data] (16).

Prescription appropriateness

The genetic test is appropriate when:

a) the patient meets the diagnostic criteria for the disease;

b) the genetic test has diagnostic sensitivity greater than or equal to other published tests.

Clinical utility

Clinical management	Utility
Confirmation of clinical diagnosis	yes
Differential diagnosis	yes
Access to clinical trial (17)	yes
Couple risk assessment	yes

Acknowledgment

Lucia Ziccardi contribution as part of "Fondazione Bietti" was supported by the Ministry oh Health and "Fondazione Roma".

References

- Ashton N. Central areolar choroidal sclerosis; a histopathological study. Br J Ophthalmol. 1953 Mar; 37(3):140-7. PubMed PMID: 13032370; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1324084.
- 2. Carr RE. Central areolar choroidal dystrophy. Arch Ophthalmol. 1965 Jan; 73:32-5. PubMed PMID: 14220602.
- Ferry AP, Llovera I, Shafer DM. Central areolar choroidal dystrophy. Arch Ophthalmol. 1972 Jul; 88(1):39-43. PubMed PMID: 5049453.
- Hoyng CB, Deutman AF. The development of central areolar choroidal dystrophy. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 1996 Feb; 234(2):87-93. PubMed PMID: 8720677.
- Downes SM, Fitzke FW, Holder GE, Payne AM, Bessant DA, Bhattacharya SS, et al. Clinical features of codon 172 RDS macular dystrophy: similar phenotype in 12 families. Arch Ophthalmol. 1999 Oct; 117(10):1373-83. PubMed PMID: 10532447.
- 6. Keilhauer CN, Meigen T, Weber BH. Clinical findings in a multigeneration family with autosomal dominant central areolar choroidal dystrophy associated with an Arg195Leu mutation in the peripherin/RDS gene. Arch Ophthalmol. 2006 Jul; 124(7):1020-7. PubMed PMID: 16832026.
- 7. Krill AE, Archer D. Classification of the choroidal atrophies. Am J

Ophthalmol. 1971 Sep; 72(3):562-85. PubMed PMID: 5315093.

- Keilhauer CN, Meigen T, Stohr H, Weber BH. Late-onset central areolar choroidal dystrophy caused by a heterozygous frame-shift mutation affecting codon 307 of the peripherin/RDS gene. Ophthalmic Genet. 2006 Dec; 27(4):139-44. PubMed PMID: 17148040.
- Klevering BJ, van Driel M, van Hogerwou AJ, van De Pol DJ, Deutman AF, Pinckers AJ, et al. Central areolar choroidal dystrophy associated with dominantly inherited drusen. Br J Ophthalmol. 2002 Jan; 86(1):91-6. PubMed PMID: 11801511; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1770981.
- 10. de Jong PT. Age-related macular degeneration. N Engl J Med. 2006 Oct 5; 355(14):1474-85. Review. PubMed PMID: 17021323.
- Khani SC, Karoukis AJ, Young JE, Ambasudhan R, Burch T, Stockton R, et al. Late-onset autosomal dominant macular dystrophy with choroidal neovascularization and nonexudative maculopathy associated with mutation in the RDS gene. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2003 Aug; 44(8):3570-7. PubMed PMID: 12882809; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2581458.
- Apfelstedt-Sylla E, Theischen M, Ruther K, Wedemann H, Gal A, Zrenner E. Extensive intrafamilial and interfamilial phenotypic variation among patients with autosomal dominant retinal dystrophy and mutations in the human RDS/peripherin gene. Br J Ophthalmol. 1995 Jan; 79(1):28-34. PubMed PMID: 7880786; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC505014.
- Boon CJ, van Schooneveld MJ, den Hollander AI, van Lith-Verhoeven JJ, Zonneveld-Vrieling MN, Theelen T, et al. Mutations in the peripherin/RDS gene are an important cause of multifocal pattern dystrophy simulating STGD1/fundus flavimaculatus. Br J Ophthalmol. 2007 Nov; 91(11):1504-11. Epub 2007 May 15. PubMed PMID: 17504850; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2095453.
- Weleber RG, Carr RE, Murphey WH, Sheffield VC, Stone EM. Phenotypic variation including retinitis pigmentosa, pattern dystrophy, and fundus flavimaculatus in a single family with a deletion of codon 153 or 154 of the peripherin/RDS gene. Arch Ophthalmol. 1993 Nov; 111(11):1531-42. PubMed PMID: 8240110.
- Hughes AE, Meng W, Lotery AJ, Bradley DT. A novel GUCY2D mutation, V933A, causes central areolar choroidal dystrophy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2012 Jul 12; 53(8):4748-53. doi: 10.1167/ iovs.12-10061. PubMed PMID: 22695961.
- Chen B, Gagnon M, Shahangian S, Anderson NL, Howerton DA, Boone JD. Good Laboratoty Practices for Molecular Genetic Testing for Heritable Diseases and Conditions. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2009 Jun 12; 58 (RR-6):1-29. PubMed PMID: 19521335.
- Stone EM, Aldave AJ, Drack AV, Maccumber MW, Sheffield VC, Traboulsi E, Weleber RG. Recommendations for genetic testing of inherited eye diseases: report of the American Academy of Ophthalmology task force on genetic testing. Ophthalmology. 2012 Nov; 119(11):2408-10. PubMed PMID: 22944025. Epub 2012/09/01.