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## INTRODUCTION

Recent work in this laboratory on the fractionation of cigarette smoke condensate (CSC) for bioassay by mouseback testing has resulted in the isolation of two highly refined polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) subfractions: F-20 and F-55 (Fig. 1) (1-5). Fraction $\mathrm{F}-20$ contained only $0.4 \%$ of the weight of the crude condensate but accounted for virtually all of the tumor-initiating activity of CSC (6) and "promoted" the development of more tumors than did any previously tested, neutral fraction (7). Using the gel filtration (GF) chromatographic behavior of benzo(a)pyrene on Bio-Beads SX-2, fraction F-20 was further separated

[^0]into two subfractions F-54 and F-55 (Fig. 2) (5). Fraction F-55 represented only $15 \%$ of F-20 or only $0.05 \%$ of CSC and, more importantly, was almost as tumorigenic as was $\mathrm{F}-20$ (8). In previous work, we isolated the PAH in $\mathrm{F}-20$ and unambiguously identified the broad spectrum of PAH ranging from indene to indeno( $1,2,3-\mathrm{cd}$ )pyrene (9). This paper reports the results of our isolation and identification of the PAH in the more refined and active F-55 fraction. In effect, GF chromatography on SX-2 resulted in the concentration of the high molecular weight (MW) PAH into a single fraction. We found that F-55 contained only compounds larger than fluoranthene. Thus, the gel filtration step which converted F-20 to F-55 removed all of the low molecular weight PAH and resulted in a concentrated fraction of high molecular weight PAH compounds with considerable biological activity.

Figure 1. PAH separation scheme for cigarette smoke condensate (CSC).


Figure 2. Separation of F-20 on Blo-Beads SX-2 into F-54 and F-55. Curve A - Elution of benzo(a)pyrene.


## EXPERIMENTAL

## Fractionation of Cigarette Smoke Condensate (CSC)

All solvents used were Burdick and Jackson* distilled-in-glass grade. The CSC was prepared in 1.0 kg batches at the Roswell Park Memorial Institute and shipped to us as previously described (10). A total of three 1 kg batches were fractionated. Although details for the isolation of the PAH concentrate, fraction F-55, have been reported elsewhere (5), the general fractionation scheme is outlined in Figure 1. In brief, CSC neutrals were isolated by consecutive acid and base extractions and then chromatographed on silicic acid. The $25 \%$ benzene : petroleum ether eluate (fraction F BPE) was partitioned between cyclohexane (CH) and dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) to yield F-20. Fractionation of 3 kg of CSC yielded 9.6 g of $\mathrm{F}-20$. Preparative GF of F-20 on Bio-Beads SX-2 in acetone gave 1.0 g of F-55 (Fig. 2). This highly concentrated PAH fraction was then separated by analytical GF on Bio-Beads SX-12 in benzene on a four-column gel system similar to that described previously (11). The columns ( 1.25 cm $\times 109 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) were connected in series and the slurry was packed in benzene with a total of 200 g of Bio-Beads SX-12 (dry weight). Samples ( $0.25 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of $\mathrm{F}-55$ per run) were placed on the columns with a 1 ml injection loop and pumped through the columns at a flow rate of $120 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{h}$. Column effluent was monitored at 280 nm with a Chromatronix Model 230 UV detector, equipped with a flow cell, and 8 ml fractions were collected. Elution of UV-absorbing material began with GF fraction 24 and continued up to GF fraction 55. By the use of standard 2,3,5-trimethylnaphthalene, the beginning of the PAH elution was found to be GF fraction 36. Four separate runs were required to fractionate the entire sample of F-55. Fractions with the same number, from each run, were combined for subsequent GC. The reproducibility of the described gel system allowed such combinations. The amount of material in latter fractions was increased by combining GF fractions 50 and 51 and GF fractions 52 to 55 inclusive.

## Gas Chromatography (GC)

GF fractions $45,46,47,48,49,50-51$, and $52-55$ were subjected to analytical GC analysis on a HewlettPackard Model 5750 gas chromatograph equipped with a $15^{*} \times 1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ stainless steel column packed with $5 \%$ Dexsil 300 GC on $100 / 120$ mesh Chromosorb W-AW (temperature program: $200-325^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $2^{\circ} / \mathrm{min}$, after an initial hold at $200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min ; $48 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} \mathrm{He}$; injection temperature, $290^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; flame detector, $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). A Varian Model 485 electronic integrator was used to determine the areas of GC peaks.
A Hewlett-Packard Model 5750 gas chromatograph equipped with a thermal conductivity (TC) detector

[^1]was used for preparative GC of the above GF fractions. Preparative GC conditions were identical to those for analytical GC. PAH were collected at the exit port of the TC detector in glass capillary tubing (TC oven temperature, $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Whenever possible, samples were collected during the upslope, top, and downslope of GC peaks to give three cuts of a single peak. The GF fraction number and the corresponding number of preparative collection cuts, respectively, were: GF fraction 45, 120; GF fraction 46, 73; GF fraction 47, 91 ; GF fraction 48, 68; GF fraction 49, 65; GF fraction 50-51, 76; GF fraction 52-55, 36 (total samples, 529).

## Ultraviolet Spectral Data of Preparative GC Samples

The glass capillary tubes containing the PAH from the above preparative GC runs were rinsed into 0.9 ml cuvettes with $95 \%$ ethanol. Ultraviolet (UV) spectra were obtained with a Beckman Acta C IIl spectrophotometer.

## High-Pressure Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

A DuPont 830 liquid dromatograph equipped with a $25 \mathrm{~cm} \times 2.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ DuPont Zorbax ODS column was used to separate the individual components of the preparative GC cuts. A linear solvent gradient of $3 \% / \mathrm{min}$, ranging from $65 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $85 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, was employed. The initial flow rate was $0.5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. However, this decreased to about $0.3 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ during the course of this work, possibly due to column compression or blockage. Increased retention times for components did not affect their separation. A total of 156 preparative GC cuts were selected for analysis by HPLC. This quantity represented 51 of the 59 distinct GC peaks in GF fractions 45 to 55 . The preparative GC cuts were concentrated in tapered test tubes to about $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ (in EtOH ) and injected into the liquid chromatograph with anthracene as an internal standard. Elution of the samples was monitored at 254 nm , and separated components were collected in 4 ml vials. UV spectra of the separated components were obtained in $\mathrm{CH}_{8} \mathrm{OH}$ (generally $85 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ). Eluates corresponding to definable peaks or portions of peaks were collected for each run and over 1500 UV spectra were recorded.

## GC-Mass Spectral Data

A Varian Model 1400 GC instrument was used in conjunction with a DuPont 21-492 mass spectrometer. The gas chromatograph was equipped with a $10^{\circ} \times 1 / 8^{\alpha}$ stainless steel column packed with $5 \%$ Dexsil 300 GC on 100/120 Chromosorb W-AW (injection temperature, $290^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; flame detector, $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; and $20 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} \mathrm{He}$ ). GF fractions $45,46,47,48,49,50-51$, and $52-55$ were chromatographed by the use of a temperature program of $2^{\circ} / \mathrm{min}$ from 200 to $325^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Mass spectral (MS) analyses of the GC effluents were performed as follows. The effluent was split with $2,1: 1$ splitter, one half going to the flame ionization detector of the gas chromatograph and the other half to the source area of the mass spectrometer. Before MS analysis, a jet separator at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was used to strip helium from the GC effluent. Mass spectra of effluent GC peaks were obtained at a scan rate of $10 \mathrm{~s} /$ mass decade, a minimal resolution of 1000 , and an ionization potential of 70 eV . Mass spectra were taken as often as possible during the elution time of a GC peak to determine mass integrity. The spectra were recorded by a high-speed recording oscillograph and/or an AEI DS-30 computerized data system. MS data were analyzed by both manual and computer-aided techniques. HPLCseparated components of doubtful identity were submitted to probe MS analyses after evaporation of the solvent.

## Quantitation of

## Selected High Molecular Weight PAH in CSC

The amounts of several high molecular weight PAH in CSC were quantitated by our recently developed accelerated PAH analysis method (11, 12). Three batches of 270 Kentucky 1R1 Reference cigarettes were smoked and the smoke was collected in dry-ice traps. CSC from each batch was treated as follows. The CSC was rinsed into a 1000 ml separatory funnel with benzene, methanol, and ether ( 100 ml of each) and washed with
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic solubles were reduced in volume, chromatographed on silicic acid, and eluted first with petroleum ether followed by $25 \%$ benzene:petroleum ether. The second eluate was evaporated and the residue chromatographed on a four-column Bio-Beads SX-12 system in benzene. The beginning of the elution of benzo(a)pyrene ( BaP ) was used to determine the start of the elution of larger PAH. The combined GF fractions from all three GF runs were pooled and analyzed by GC. After application of detector response corrections, the CSC levels of PAH larger than BaP were determined relative to the known [ $2.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / 100$ cigarettes (11)] BeP/BaP levels (Table 3).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The PAH-containing GF fractions (41-55) were subjected to analytical GC on Dexsil 300 GC. The GC runs showed definite changing profiles (Figs. 3-5). GF fractions 41-44 contained only small amounts of the same PAH occurring predominantly in GF-45. Subsequently, each GF fraction ( $45,46,47,48,49,50-51$, and $52-55$ ) was submitted to preparative GC to give cuts representing single peaks or portions of peaks. UV spectra were obtained for each preparative GC cut. Where possible, multiple cuts were taken of peaks for determination of peak integrity. MS data were obtained on each GF fraction by GC-MS techniques. The UV

Figure 3. Gas chromatograms of PAH constituents in GF fractions $\mathbf{4 5}$ and 46.


Figure 4. Gas chromatograms of PAH constifuents in GF fractions 47 and 48.


Figure 5. Gas chromatograms of PAH constituents in GF fractions 49, 50-51 combined, and 52-55 combined.

and MS data showed that most GC peaks were complex mixtures. For unambiguous identification, further separation of the components in each peak was necessary. HPLC on Zorbax ODS proved to be an excellent tool in effecting the necessary separations. Individual preparative GC cuts were chromatographed by HPLC, and

UV spectra were obtained on the separated components. In this manner, 51 of the 59 GC peaks in GF fractions $45-55$ were analyzed by HPLC.
The results of the identification and quantitation of the components in GF fractions $45-55$ are given in Table 1. The corresponding gas chromatograms are
given in Figures 3-5. Peaks are tabulated in order of relative retention time (RRT) with peak number 32 (BaP/BeP) being assigned an RRT value of 1.000 . (Peaks having the same RRT are given the same number in all tables and chromatograms.) Although individual peak percentages will depend on total GC volatiles of a gel fraction, they are strongly indicative of the concentration of components. Where possible, the major component is indicated in multiple component peaks. Applying parent PAH detector response values, we calculated that at least $80 \%$ of the material in combined GF fractions $45-55$ was GC volatile. The data in Table 1 show that $90-95 \%$ of these GC volatiles were identified. Thus, at least $72 \%$ of the components in the F-55. PAH fraction have now been identified unambiguously.
The basis for identification of most of the compounds in Table 1 is given in Table 2, including the results of the HPLC separations. Usually PAH compounds, whose GC RRT and/or UV spectra are available in the literature, have not been included in Table 2. However, several compounds of this type were included to indicate their HPLC order of elution, given in terms of the RRT. For the most part, the individual RRT can be compared from run to run to indicate order of elution of constituents. However, as work progressed, the RRT of some GC peak components increased, probably due to blockage and the use of the constant pressure pump. Although the separating ability of the HPLC was not affected, a constant flow pump would have produced more consistent RRT. Although analysis time was long by conventional HPLC standards, it proved to be best for preparative separations. Efforts to decrease retention time by increasing the methanol concentration of the mobile phase also decreased resolution. Thus, the use of a $3 \% / \mathrm{min}$ gradient from $85 \%$ to $95 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ halved the RRT of compounds but also compressed the peaks and gave ambiguous UV spectra for collected peaks.
Unambiguous identifications were made by correlation
of all the data from the GF, GC, and HPLC separations with the corresponding UV and MS information. The unique properties of the gel columns in retaining and concentrating the high molecular weight PAH were used to advantage in the final purification step of F-55. The two separation characteristics of the gels have been described (13). Briefly, PAH are separated from interfering material by an absorption-type mechanism and are eluted from the gel columns after the impurities and in order of increasing ring number: Thus, PAH larger than fluoranthene were found in GF fractions 45-55. An additional mechanism of separation also occurs in that methyl PAH eluted from the gels before their parents. An increase of methyl substitution results in earlier elutions relative to parent PAH. These two phenomena are well illustrated by the relative GC peak changes in the gas chromatograms of GF fractions 45-55 (Figs. 3-5) and in the data in Table 1. The dimethyl derivatives of pyrene, chrysene, benzofluoranthene, benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(e)pyrene, indenopyrene, and benzo(ghi)perylene were eluted in earlier gel fractions than their monomethyl analogs, which in turn eluted earlier than the parent compounds. (For brevity, indeno( $1,2,3$-cd)pyrene will be termed indenopyrene and indeno( $\left.1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}-3,4\right)$ fluoranthene, indenofluoranthene.)
For HPLC on Zorbax ODS, the trend of elution was unsubstituted PAH, followed by monomethyl-, dime-thyl-, and trimethyl-substituted PAH. Because of the large number of compounds that co-elute in each gel fraction, it would have been futile to attempt HPLC analysis of the whole gel fraction. Consequently, preparative GC was used to resolve the GF fractions into "peaks" that were collected and then subjected to HPLC. Since PAH differ in their absorption coefficients at 254 nm , the heights of peaks in the HPLC chromatograms, unlike those for GC may not be indicative of the relative concentrations. These separations, in conjunction with the data in Table 2, demonstrated that most of the GC peaks contained multiple components.

Table 1. Composition of gel filtration fractions $\mathbf{4 5}$ to $\mathbf{5 5 .}$

| Peak No. | Compounda | RRTb | Gel fraction |  |  |  |  |  |  | Criteria of identification |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | \| $50+51$ | 52-55 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Percent composition ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{GC-} \\ & \text { RTd } \end{aligned}$ | UVe | MSt |
| 1 | 4,5-Methylenephenanthrene | 0.362 | 0.82 | 0.26 | 0.21 | 0.07 | - | - | - | + | $+$ | $\pm$ |
| 2 | Unidentified | 0.415 | 0.36 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.05 | - | - | - |  | + | + |
| 3 | Dimethylphenanthrene | 0.456 | 0.19 g | 0.088 | <0.05g | - | - | - | - |  | + | + |
| 4 | Fluoranthene | 0.472 | 3.03 | 1.57 | 0.64 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.24 | <0.05 | $+$ | + | + |

Table 1 (cont'd.).

| Peak No. | Compounda | RRTb | Gel fraction |  |  |  |  |  |  | Criteria of identification |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50+51 | 52-55 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Percent compositionc |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC- } \\ & \text { RTd } \end{aligned}$ | UVe | MS ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 5 | Acephenanthrylene | 0.487 | $\underset{M}{1.16 \mathrm{~g}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.56 \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{M}}{0.08 \mathrm{~g}}<\underset{\mathrm{M}}{<0.05 \mathrm{~g}}$ | $<0.05 \mathrm{M}$ | - | - | - | + | + | + |
| 6 | Pyrene | 0.514 | 19.36 | 22.05 | 16.45 | 10.34 | 5.50 | 1.71 | <0.05 |  | + | + |
| 7 | Unidentified | 0.540 | 0.12 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - | - |  | $+$ | + |
| 8 | 8-Methylfiuoranthene | 0.558 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 0.16 | <0.05 | - | - | - |  |  | ) + |
| 9 | 1-Methylfluoranthene 2-Methylfluoranthene 2,3-Benzofluorene 3,4-Benzofluorene | 0.575 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.06 \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.20 \\ \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.78 \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.16 \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.42 \\ M \end{array}$ | - | - | $\pm+$ | + + + + | + + + + + |
| 10 | 2-Methylpyrene | 0.597 | 3.42 | 1.72 | 0.80 | 0.26 | 0.39 | 0.14 | <0.05 | + | + | + |
| 11 | 1-MethyIpyrene <br> 4-Methylpyrene | 0.618 | $\begin{array}{r} 13.20 \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.00 \\ m \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.08 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.31 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.05 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.47 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} <0.05 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | $+$ | $\pm+$ | $+$ |
| 12 | Methyl-2,3-benzofluorene Dimethylpyrene Dimethylffuoranthene | 0.639 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | + + + | + + + |
| 13 | Methylbenzofluorenes Dimethylpyrenes Dimethylfluoranthenes | 0.666 | $\begin{gathered} 0.11 \mathrm{~h} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.34 \mathrm{~h} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.43 \mathrm{~h} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.66 \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.14 \\ M \\ m \\ - \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.05 \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathbf{-} \end{array}$ | - |  | + + + | + + + + |
| 14 | Dimethylpyrene Benzo(c)phenanthrene | 0.689 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.32 \\ M \\ \mathbf{t} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} <0.05 \\ M \\ \mathbf{t} \end{array}$ | - | - | - | - | - | + | $\pm+$ | $\pm+$ |
| 15 | Dimethylpyrene | 0.698 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.41 \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} <0.05 \\ M \end{array}$ | - | - | - | - | - |  | + | $+$ |
| 16 | Benzo(ghi)fluoranthene Dimethylpyrenes | 0.708 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.12 \\ M \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.78 \\ M \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.25 \\ M \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.38 \\ M \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.10 \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.30 \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.46 \\ M \end{array}$ | + | $+$ | $\pm+$ |
| 17 | Dimethylpyrene | 0.719 | 1.11 | 0.46 | 0.15 | <0.05 | - | - | - |  | $+$ | + |

Table 1. Composition of gel filtration fractions 45 to 55 (cont'd.).


Table 1 (cont'd.).

| Peak No. | Compounda | RRTb | Gel fraction |  |  |  |  |  |  | Criteria of identification |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50+51 | 52-55 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Percent compositionc |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC- } \\ & \text { RT } \end{aligned}$ | UVo | MSt |
| 29 | Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(j)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 0.946 | $\begin{gathered} 5.27 \mathrm{~h} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.54 \mathrm{~h} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.83 \mathrm{~h} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.70 \\ M \\ m \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.63 \\ M \\ m \\ t \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.53 . \\ M \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.32 \\ M \\ m \\ t \end{array}$ | $+\quad \begin{aligned} & + \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & +(19)+ \\ & + \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 30 | Benzo(a)fluoranthene Dimethyl-1,2-benzanthracene | 0.966 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.63 \\ M \\ t \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.86 \\ M \\ t \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.87 \\ M \\ t \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.19 \\ M \\ t \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.58 \\ M \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.51 \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.32 \\ \mathbf{M} \\ - \end{gathered}$ |  | $+(19)+$ |  |
| 31 | Tetramethylpyrene | 0.978 | 0.10 | $<0.05$ | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | $+$ |
| 32 | Benzo(e)pyrene Benzo(a)pyrene | 1.000 | 8.25 | 17.35 | 25.98 | 30.93 | 26.16 | 11.79 | 6.02 | $\pm+$ | $+$ | $+$ |
| 33 | Perylene <br> Methylbenzo(j)fluoranthene <br> Methylbenzo(b)fluoranthene | 1.018 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.06 \\ \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.45 \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.07 \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.32 \\ M \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.52 \\ M \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.84 \\ M \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.11 \\ M \end{array}$ | $+$ | + + + | + + + |
| 34 | Methylbenzo(j)fluoranthene Methylbenzo(b)fluoranthene Methylbenzo(a)pyrene | 1.039 | 0.96 | 0.33 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.09 $\mathbf{t}$ | - | - |  | + + + | + + + |
| 35 | Methylbenzo(e)pyrenes Methylbenzo(a)pyrene | 1.062 | $\begin{array}{r} 3.51 \\ M \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.34 \\ M \\ m \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.29 \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.13 \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.78 \\ M \\ m \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.71 \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.60 \\ M \\ m \end{array}$ |  | $\pm+$ | + + |
| 36 | Methyibenzo(e)pyrenes Methylbenzo(a)pyrenes Methylperylenes | 1.087 | 4.97 | 7.69 | 7.71 | 5.91 | 4.04 | 1.75 | 1.11 |  | + + + | + + + |
| 37 | Methylbenzo(a)pyrene Methylperylene Dimethylbenzo(e)pyrene | 1.110 | $\begin{gathered} 0.20^{h} \\ M \\ t \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.97 \mathrm{~h} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{t} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.91 \mathrm{~h} \\ \text { t } \\ \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.40^{\mathrm{h}} \\ \mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{M}} \\ - \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{1.08}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0.28}_{-}^{\text {M }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} <0.05 \\ - \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | + + + | + + + |
| 38 | Methyiperylene Methylbenzo(a)pyrenes Methylbenzo(e)pyrenes Dimethylbenzo(e)pyrene | 1.121 | 0.16 l | 0.57h | 0.26h | 0.17 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | <0.05 | - | - |  | + + + + | + + + + |
| 39 | Indenofluoranthene Dimethylbenzo(e)pyrenes Dimethylperylene | 1.143 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.13 \\ M \\ m \\ t \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.18 \\ M \\ m \\ t \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.19 \\ M \\ m \\ t \end{array}$ | - | - | - | - |  | + + + | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 19) }+ \\ + \\ + \end{array}$ |

Table 1. Composition of gel filtration fractions $\mathbf{4 5}$ to 55 (cont'd.).

| Peak No. | Compounda | RRT ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Gel fraction |  |  |  |  |  |  | Criteria of identification |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Percent compositionc |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC- } \\ & \text { RTd } \end{aligned}$ | UVe | MSt |
| 40 | Dibenz( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{j}$ ) anthracene <br> Dimethylbenzo(e)pyrenes <br> Dimethylperylene <br> Dimethylbenzo(a)pyrenes | 1.158 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.98 \\ \mathbf{t} \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.53 \\ t \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.53 \\ t \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} <0.05 \\ \mathrm{t} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ |  | - | - | + | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & + \\ & + \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & + \\ & + \\ & + \end{aligned}$ |
| 41 | Dibenz(a, c)anthracene Dibenz( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{h}$ ) anthracene Dimethylbenzo(e)pyrenes <br> Dimethylperyiene <br> Dimethylbenzo(a)pyrenes | 1.183 | $\begin{gathered} 1.00 \mathrm{~h} \\ t \\ t \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.22 \mathrm{~h} \\ t \\ t \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.50 \mathrm{~h} \\ \mathrm{t} \\ \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.01 \mathrm{~h} \\ t \\ t \end{gathered}$ | 0.948 - - | $0.85 g$ - | 0.51 g - - | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & + \\ & + \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & + \\ & + \\ & + \end{aligned}$ |
| 42 | Picene <br> Indenopyrene <br> Dimethylbenzo(e)pyrenes <br> Dimethylperylene <br> Dimethylbenzo(a)pyrenes <br> Trimethylbenzo(e)pyrenes | 1.201 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.73 \\ t \\ M \\ m \\ m \\ m \\ t \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.53 \\ t \\ M \\ t \\ t \\ t \\ t \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5.17 \\ t \\ M \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}4.27 \\ \mathbf{M} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\stackrel{2.44}{-M}$ | 1.16 $M$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | + + + + + + | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & + \\ & + \\ & + \\ & + \\ & + \end{aligned}$ |
| 43 | Benzo(ghi)perylene Methyldibenz(a,c)anthracene Dimethylbenzo(a)pyrene Trimethylbenzo(e)pyrene | 1.255 | $\begin{gathered} 0.07 \mathrm{~g} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{t} \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.52 \mathrm{~h} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{t} \\ \mathrm{t} \\ \mathrm{t} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.41 \mathrm{~h} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ - \\ - \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.36 \\ M \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.05 \\ \mathrm{M} \\ - \\ - \end{array}$ | $33.73$ $\mathbf{M}$ | 43.10 $M$ - | + | + + + + | + + + + |
| 44 | Anthanthrene Methylindenopyrene | 1.272 | $\begin{array}{r} <0.05 \\ \mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{m} \end{array}$ | $1.52$ $M$ $\mathrm{m}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.61 \\ M \\ t \end{array}$ | $2.18$ $\mathrm{M}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.35 \\ \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.35 \\ \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | $6.49$ $\mathbf{M}$ | + | + + | + + |
| 45 | Methyldibenz(a,c)anthracene Methylindenopyrene Trimethylbenzo(e)pyrene | 1.303 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.64 \mathrm{~h} \\ \mathrm{t} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathbf{t} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.77 \mathrm{~h} \\ \mathrm{t} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathbf{t} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.22^{h} \\ \mathbf{t} \\ \mathbf{M} \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $<0.05$ <br> M | - * | - | - |  | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & + \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | + + + |
| 46 | Trimethylbenzo(e)pyrene Methylindenopyrene | 1.332 | $\begin{gathered} 0.99 \mathrm{~h} \\ t \\ \mathbf{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.11 \mathrm{~h} \\ t \\ M \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{0.64 \mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{M}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.55 \\ M \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.27 \\ M \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{0.05}{M}$ | - |  | + + | + + |
| 47 | Methylindenopyrenes Methylbenzo(ghi)perylene | 1.360 | $\begin{gathered} 0.14 \mathrm{~h} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.52^{h} \\ M \\ M \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.76 \\ m \\ M \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.27 \\ m \\ M \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.98 \\ m \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.03 \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.85 \\ m \\ M \end{array}$ |  | $\pm$ | + + |
| 48 | Dimethylindenopyrene Methylbenzo(ghi)perylenes Methylanthanthrenes | 1.413 | $\begin{gathered} 0.30^{h} \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.98 \mathrm{~h} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ M \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.22^{\mathrm{h}} \\ \hline \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.50^{h} \\ -M \\ M \end{gathered}$ | 11.77h M | 13.41 h <br> M <br> m | $\begin{gathered} 9.27 \mathrm{~h} \\ -\mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ |  | + + + | + - + |
| 49 | Methylbenzo(ghi)perylene Methylanthanthrenes Dimethylindenopyrene | 1.432 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.14 \\ M \\ m \\ M \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.24 \\ m \\ M \\ m \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.36 \\ m \\ M \\ t \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.81 \\ t \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{t} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.28 \\ - \\ - \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.63 \\ -\mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} <0.05 \\ -M \end{array}$ |  | + + + | + + + |
| 50 | Methylbenzo(ghi)perylene Methylanthanthrene Dimethylindenopyrenes | 1.492 | $\begin{gathered} 0.12 \mathrm{~g} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.12 \mathrm{~g} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} <0.05 \mathrm{~g} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \bar{M} \\ M \\ t \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - |  | + + + | + + + |

Table 1 (cont'd.).

a: Whenever possible with multiple component GC peaks, the following designations are used under gel fraction number: M - major component, greater than $30 \%$ of composition; $m$ - minor component, less than $30 \%$ of composition; $t$ - trace amount, less than $10 \%$ of composition.
b: Relative to benzo(a)pyrene; a factor of 70.8 converts RRT to minutes from point of injection.
c: Based on total GC volatiles in gel fraction assuming unitary detector response.
d: GC retention time identical to standard.
e: UV spectra identical to standard, identical to Iterature (reference given), or analogous to parent compound.
f: Molecular ion and fragmentation pattern correlation.
g: Contains other unidentified material.
$h$ : Major component(s) unidentified.
M: Major component.

Table 2. Identification dataa.

| GC peak No. | HPLC RRTb | Compound | $\lambda_{\text {max }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mass (m/e) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1.10 | Unidentified | $\begin{aligned} & 232,238,278,320,335,355,373, \\ & 400,425 \end{aligned}$ | 192, 190 |
| 3 | 1.39 | Dimethylphenanthrene | 252, 278, 287, 300 | 206, 191 |
|  |  | Unidentified (possibly dimethylenephenanthrene) | 250-4B | 204 |
| 5 | 1.15 | Acephenanthrylene | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 232, 252, 260, 286, 298, 317, 328, } \\ & 345,363 \end{aligned}$ | $202{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
|  | 1.22 | Unidentified (possibly aceanthrylene) | 228, 244, 271, 323 | 202 |
|  |  | Unidentified |  | 208 |
| 7 | 1.38 | Unidentified | $\begin{aligned} & 217,228,240-8 B, 272,278,287, \\ & 350,367 \end{aligned}$ | 218 |
| 9 | 1.17 | 3,4-Benzofluorene |  | 216 |
|  | 1.23 | 2,3-Benzofluorene |  | 216 |
|  | 1.39 | 1-Methylfluoranthene |  | 216 |
|  |  | 2-Methylfluoranthene |  | 216 |
| 12 |  | Methyl-2,3-benzofluorene | 262 | 230, 215 |
|  |  | Dimethylpyrene | 334 | 230, 215 |
|  |  | Dimethylfluoranthene | 239, 288, 362 | 230, 215 |
| 13 | 1.28 | Methyl-2,3-benzofluorene (probably the 9 -methyl isomer) | 252, 265, 306-10B, 328, 333, 342 | 230, 215 |
|  | 1.36 | Methyl-1,2 and/or 2,3-benzofluorene | 265 | 230, 215 |
|  | 1.45 | Unidentified | 233, 240, 264, 274, 335 | 216 e |
|  | 1.59 | Methyl-1,2 and/or 2,3-benzofluorene | 254, 263 | 230, 215 |
|  |  | Dimethylpyrene | 240, 323, 337 | 230, 215 |
|  |  | Dimethylfluoranthene | 239, 288 | 230, 215 |
|  | 1.69 | Dimethylpyrene | 235, 245, 263, 276, 308, 321, 337 | 230, 215 |
| 14 | 1.75 | Dimethylpyrene | 234, 243, 265, 276, 308, 321, 337 | 230, 215 |
| 15 | 1.51 | Dimethylpyrene | 234, 244, 265, 276, 308, 322, 337 | 230, 215 |
| 16 | 1.65 | Dimethylpyrene | 242, 265, 276, 321, 343 | 230, 215 |
|  | 1.68 | Dimethylpyrene | 242, 265, 276.5, 322, 337 | 230, 215 |

Table 2. (cont'd.).

| GC peak No. | HPLC RRTb | Compound | $\lambda_{\text {max }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mass (m/e) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 1.42 | Dimethylpyrene | 242, 265, 276, 328, 347 | 230, 215 |
| 19 | 1.43 | Methyl-2,3-benzofluorene | 256, 266, 275, 285, 306, 319 | 230, 215 |
|  | 1.46 | Unidentified | 253, 267, 285, 299, 309, 336, 361 | 242, 240e |
|  | 1.49 | Unidentified (isomer of HPLC 1.46) | 253, 267, 286, 300, 311, 336, 360 | 242, 240e |
|  | 1.55 | 3,4-Dimethylenepyrene | , | 228 |
|  | 1.65 | Dimethylpyrene | 233, 242, 265, 276, 312, 327, 343 | 230, 215 |
|  | 1.76 | Dimethylpyrene | 242, 254, 266, 277, 327, 342 | 230, 215 |
|  | 1.86 | Dimethylpyrene | 247, 266, 278, 323, 330 | 230, 215 |
| 20 | 1.65 | Unidentified | $\begin{aligned} & 226,235,242,253,270,276,281, \\ & 295,310,327,343,357,374,394 \end{aligned}$ | 242 |
|  | 1.87 | Trimethylpyrene (2 isomers) | 236, 245, 269, 279, 325, 340, 345 | 244, 229 |
|  | 1.92 | Trimethylpyrene | 236, 245, 267, 278, 324, 339 | 244, 229 |
| 21 | 1.47 | Unidentified (pyrene-type - possibly methyl-3,4-dimiethylenepyrene) | 246, 267, 275, 281, 326, 340 | 242 |
|  | 1.55 | Dimethyl-1,2 and/or 2,3-benzofluorene | 255, 265 | 244, 229 |
|  |  | Methyl-3,4-dimethylenepyrene | 234 | 242 |
|  | 1.89 | Trimethylpyrene | 245, 266, 278, 326, 339 | 244, 229 |
| 22 | 1.58 | Methyl-1,2-benzanthracene | 275, 287 | 242, 227 |
|  |  | Dimethyl-1,2 and/or 2,3-benzofluorene | 253, 262 | 244, 229 |
|  |  | Unidentified (pyrene-type - possibly methyl-3,4-dimethylenepyrene) | 275, 338, 344, 365, 386 | 242 |
|  | 1.63 | Unidentified | 242 |  |
|  | 1.74 | Methylbenzo(ghi)fluoranthene | 232, 245, 279, 290, 333, 348 | 240 |
|  | 1.95 | Trimethylpyrene | 234, 243, 266, 278, 328, 344 | 244, 229 |
|  | 2.01 | Trimethylpyrene | 236, 245, 267, 279 | 244, 229 |
| 23 | 1.66 | 3-Methylchrysene |  | 242 |
|  | 1.71 | Methyltriphenylene | 248, 258 | 242 |
|  | 1.73 | 2-Methyichrysene |  | 242 |
|  | 1.88 | Trimethylpyrene | 235, 245, 279, 327, 344 | 244 |
|  | 1.92 | Trimethylpyrene | 235, 244, 268, 279 | 244 |
| 24 |  | Methyl-1,2-benzanthracene | 280B, 288 | 242 |
|  |  | Methyl-1,2-benzanthracene | 280B, 292 | 242 |

Table 2. Identification dataa (cont'd.).


Table 2 (cont'd.).

| GC peak No. | HPLC RRTb | Compound | $\lambda_{\max }{ }^{\text {e }}$ | Mass (m/e) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 1.74 | Benzo(a)fluoranthene |  | 252 |
|  | 1.90 | Dimethyl-1,2-benzanthracene | 280, 290 | 256 |
|  | 2.00 | Unidentlfied | 256, 263, 300, 312, 360 |  |
| 31 |  | Tetramethylpyrene | spectra too weak | 258 |
| 32 | 1.66 | Benzo(e)pyrene |  | 252 |
|  | 1.73 | Benzo(a)pyrene |  | 252 |
| 33 | 1.66 | Perylene |  | 252 |
|  | 1.86 | Methylbenzo(j)fluoranthene | 241, 318, 332, 363, 383 | 266 |
|  | 1.88 | Methylbenzo(b)fluoranthene | 256, 276, 292, 301 | 266 |
| 34 | 1.78 | Methylbenzo(a)pyrene | 253, 263, 282, 295, 363, 377, 383 | $266{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
|  | 1.85 | Methylbenzo(j)fluoranthene | 241, 316, 331, 365, 384 | 266 |
|  | 1.88 | Methylbenzo(j)fluoranthene | 256, 289, 299 | 266 |
|  |  | Methylbenzo(e)pyrene |  |  |
| 35 | 1.65 | Methylbenzo(e)pyrene | 236, 256, 267, 278, 289, 319, 333 | 266 |
|  | 1.71 | Methylbenzo(e)pyrene | 237, 257, 266, 277, 288, 316, 331 | 266 |
|  | 1.80 | Methylbenzo(a)pyrene | $\begin{aligned} & 255,265,285,296,365,378,384, \\ & 405 \end{aligned}$ | 266 |
| 36 | 1.73 | Methylbenzo(e)pyrene | 255, 266, 278, 290, 307, 320, 335 | 266 |
|  |  | Methylperylene | 253, 409, 429, 434 | 266 |
|  | 1.77 | Methylbenzo(e)pyrene | $\begin{aligned} & 221,236,265,278,288,307,319, \\ & 332 \end{aligned}$ | 266 |
|  |  | Methylbenzo(a)pyrene | 360, 366, 380, 386 | 266 |
|  | 1.82 | Methylbenzo(a)pyrene | 255, 265, 286, 296, 350, 366, 386 | 266 |
|  |  | Methylperylene | 254, 406, 436 | 266 |
| 37 | 1.76 | Unidentified (trace) | 250, 300, 310, 357, 386, 408 | 280, 266, 264 |
|  | 1.83 | Unidentified (trace) | 257, 266, 277, 289 |  |
|  | 1.90 | Methylbenzo(a)pyrene | 263, 286, 297, 370, 390 | 266 |
|  |  | Methylperylene | 253, 370, 390, 412, 438 | 266 |
|  | 2.11 | Dimethylbenzo(e)pyrene | 277, 289, 319, 332 | 280, 265 |
| 38 | 1.75 | Unidentified | 275, 285, 309, 408 | 264 |

Table 2. Identification dataa (cont'd.).


Table 2 (cont'd.).

| GC peak No. | HPLC RRTb | Compound | $\lambda_{\text {max }}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | Mass (m/e)d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & \text { (con } \end{aligned}$ | d.) ${ }^{2.23}$ | Dimethylbenzo(a)pyrene | 256, 266, 287, 299, 353, 370, 389 | 280, 265 |
|  |  | Dimethylbenzo(a)pyrene | 300, 375, 393 | 280, 265 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.37 \\ & 2.43 \end{aligned}$ | Trimethylbenzo(e)pyrene | 282, 292, 334 | 294, 279 |
|  |  | Trimethylbenzo(e)pyrene | 281, 293, 321, 335 | 294, 279 |
| 43 | 1.89 | Benzo(ghi)perylene |  | 276 |
|  | 1.97 | Methyldibenz(a,c)anthracene | 276, 286 | 292, 277 |
|  | 2.02 | Methyldibenz(a,c)anthracene | 275, 286 | 292, 277 |
|  | 2.13 | Unidentified | 260, 286, 297, 357 | 292, 290 |
|  | 2.17 | Dimethylbenzo(a)pyrene | 291, 300, 360B, 388 | 280, 265 |
|  | 2.39 | Trimethylbenzo(e)pyrene | 281, 292, 338 | 294, 279 |
| 44 | 2.00 | Anthanthrene |  | 276 |
|  | 2.16 | Methylindenopyrene | 250, 290, 303, 316, 360 | 290, 275 |
| 45 | 2.04 | Methyldibenz( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}$ )anthracene | 275, 286 | 292, 277 |
|  | 2.18 | Unidentified | 285 | $292{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
|  | 2.26 | Methylindenopyrene | 250, 290, 302, 315, 361, 375, 385 | 290, 275 |
|  | 2.45 | Trimethylbenzo(e)pyrene | 280, 292, 340 | 294, 279 |
| 46 | 2.10 | Unidentified | 242, 276, 284, 326, 343, 358, 362 | 292, 290e |
|  | 2.35 | Trimethylbenzo(e)pyrene | 292, 328, 343 | 294, 279 |
|  | 2.40 | Methylindenopyrene | 249, 301, 314, 344, 361, 377, 385 | 290, 275 |
| 47 | 2.11 | Unknown (possibly methyl isomer of peak 46, HPLC RRT 2.10) | $\begin{aligned} & 242-250,275,285,301,315,356 \text {, } \\ & 363,374,388 \end{aligned}$ | 306 |
|  | 2.15 | Methylindenopyrene | 250, 300, 314, 358 | 290, 275 |
|  | 2.22 | Methylindenopyrene | $\begin{aligned} & 250,275,290,300,314,344,361 \text {, } \\ & 380,384 \end{aligned}$ | 290, 275 |
|  |  | Methylbenzo(ghi)perylene | $\begin{aligned} & 275,288,299,329,346,362,381, \\ & 384 \end{aligned}$ | 290, 275 |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 48 | 2.06 | Unidentified (possibly methyl isomer of peak 46, HPLC RRT 2.10) | 275, 285, $355+374,388$ | 306, 391 |
|  | 2.19 | Dimethylindenopyrene | 250, 299, 313, 356 | 304 |
|  |  | Methylbenzo(ghi)perylene | 277, 289, 300, 320, 380-85 | 290, 275 |
|  | 2.21 | Methylbenzo(ghi)perylene | $\begin{aligned} & 276,288,300,329,350,364, \\ & 382-85 \end{aligned}$ | 290, 275 |
|  | 2.25 | Methylanthanthrene | 290, 305, 406, 420, 430 | 290, 275 |
|  | 2.39 | Methylanthanthrene | 260, 295, 308, 405, 424, 428, 456 | 290 |

Table 2. Identification dataa (cont'd.).

| GC peak No. | HPLC RRTb | Compound | $\lambda_{\text {max }}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | Mass (m/e) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | 2.29 | Methylbenzo(ghi)peryiene | 288, 300, 347, 363, 380-85B | 290 |
|  |  | Methylanthanthrene | 292, 306.5, 404, 412, 421, 429, 436 | 290 |
|  | 2.38 | Methylanthanthrene | $\begin{aligned} & 294,306.5,399,405,412,420, \\ & 428,436 \end{aligned}$ | 290 |
|  | 2.49 | Methylanthanthrene | 293, 304, 405, 420, 428, 436 | 290 |
|  | 2.73 | Dimethylindenopyrene | 253, 292, 303, 315, 363, 380-90B | 304 |
| 50 | 2.48 | Unidentified | 295, 305, 323, 358 | 286e |
|  | 2.64 | Methylbenzo(ghi)perylene | 289, 300.5, 363, 384 | 290 |
|  |  | Methylanthanthrene | 292, 305, 422, 429, 434 | 2900 |
|  | 2.79 | Dimethylindenopyrene | 253, 288, 300, 315, 363 | 304 |
|  | 2.81 | Dimethylindenopyrene | $\begin{aligned} & 251.5,291,302,315,363, \\ & 375-85 \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | 304 |
|  | 2.86 | Dimethylindenopyrene | 251, 291-92, 302, 315, 363, 385 | 304 |
| 51 | 2.13 | Dibenzo(b,j)fluoranthene |  | 302 |
|  | 2.51 | Methylbenzo(ghi)perylene | 288, 300, 363, 380-86B | 290 |
|  | 2.65 | Dimethylindenopyrene | 251, 303, 315, 363, 387 | 304 |
|  | 2.74 | Dimethylbenzo(ghi)perylene | 291, 302, 364, 382, 387 | 304 |
| 52 | 2.13 | Unidentified (probably dibenzo(a,e)fluoranthene) | $\begin{aligned} & 240,254,264,285,300,316, \\ & 332(20) \end{aligned}$ | 302 |
|  | 2.16 | Unidentified (possibly dibenzo(j,I)fluoranthene) | 248, 284, 303, 319, 330, 343 (21) | 302 |
|  |  | Dibenzo(a,l)pyrene |  | 302 |
|  | 2.22 | Unidentified (probably a dibenzofluoranthene) | 249, 269, 280, 305, 362, 382 | 302 |
|  | 2.47 | Dimethylindenopyrene | 251, 316, 358 | 304 |
|  |  | Dimethylbenzo(ghi)perylene | 278, 290, 301, 360, 386 | 304 |
|  | 2.53 | Dimethylbenzo(ghi)perylene | $\begin{aligned} & 278,290,302,330,350,365,384, \\ & 387 \end{aligned}$ | 304 |
|  | 2.70 | Dimethylanthanthrene | 233, 261, 297, 309, 406, 439 | 304 |
|  | 2.75 | Dimethylanthanthrene | 263, 297, 309, 438 | 304 |
|  | 2.84 | Dimethylanthanthrene | 234, 262, 297, 310, 439 | 304 |
| 53 | 2.29 | Unidentified (probably a dibenzofluoranthene) | 224, 227, 248, 291, 362, 377, 382 | 302 e |
|  | 2.68 | Dimethylbenzo(ghi)perylene | 275B, 291, 302, 331, 367, 390 | 304 |
| 54 | 2.15 | Unidentified (probably a dibenzofluoranthene) | 243, 260, 270, 303, 315, 399 | $302{ }^{\text {e }}$ |

Table 2 (cont'd.).

| GC peak No. | HPLC RRTb | Compound | $\lambda_{\max }{ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mass (m/e) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 (cont'd.) | 2.70 | Dimethylbenzo(ghi)perylene Dimethylanthanthrene | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 275B, 291, 302, 331, 369, } 390 \\ & 309,433 \end{aligned}$ | 304 304 |
| 55 | 2.14 | Dibenzo(a,e)pyrene |  | 302e |
|  | 2.18 | Unidentified (probably a dibenzofluoranthene) | 282, 294, 308, 344, 361, 381 | 302 e |
|  | 2.55 | Dimethylbenzo(ghi)perylene | 291, 302, 367, 389 | 304 |
|  |  | Dimethylbenzo(ghi)perylene | 291, 302, 366, 384, 389 | 304 |
|  | 2.67 | Dimethylbenzo(ghi)perylene | 292, 302, 365B, 389B, | 304 |
|  | 3.04 | Trimethylindenopyrene | 253, 317 | 318 e |
| 56 | 2.08 | Benzo(b)perylene |  | 302 |
|  | 2.35 | Dibenzo(a,i)pyrene |  | 302 |
|  | 2.59 | Coronene |  | 300 |
|  |  | Dimethylbenzo(ghi)perylene | 292, 302, 365, 383, 387 | 304 |
|  |  | Dimethylanthanthrene | 308, 436 | 304 |
|  | 3.18 | Dimethylbenzo(ghi)perylene | 291, 303, 368, 385 | 304 |
|  |  | Dimethylanthanthrene | 310,435 | 304 |
|  | 3.34 | Trimethylindenopyrene | 253 | 318 |
|  |  | Trimethylbenzo(ghi)perylene | 293, 303, 366B, 386B | 318 |
|  | 3.50 | Trimethylindenopyrene | 253 | 318 |
|  |  | Trimethylbenzo(ghi) peryiene | 279, 292, 304, 367B, 389B | 318 |
| 57 | 2.35 | Dibenzo( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{h}$ ) pyrene |  | 302 |
|  | 2.45 | Unidentified (possibly dibenzo(e,I)pyrene) | 223, 274, 286, 329 (19) | 302 |
|  | 3.00 | Unidentified (possibly methyldibenzo(a,e)pyrene) | 274, 286, 302 | 316e |
|  |  | Unidentified (probably a methyl isomer of peak 55, HPLC RRT 2.28) | 272, 284, 295, 309, 363, 383 | 316e |
|  |  | Trimethylbenzo(ghi)perylene | 289, 302 | 318 |
| 58 |  | Methylcoronene | 291, 303, 325, 335, 340 | 314 |
|  |  | Unidentified (probably a methyldibenzopyrene) |  | 316 |
| 59 |  | Dimethylcoronene | 291, 303, 340 | 328 |
|  |  | Unidentified |  | 330, 326 |

[^2]Figure 6. HPLC chromatogram of GC peak number 9. (Anthracene added as internal standard.)


Several examples of the remarkable separations achieved by HPLC are shown in Figures 6-10. The HPLC separations of GC peak number 9 of GF fraction 49 is shown in Figure 6. Resolved compounds were 3,4-benzofluorene, 2,3-benzofluorene, and two methyl fluoranthenes. UV data confirmed that the broadness and asymmetry of the methyl fluoranthene peak was due to the presence of the 1- and 2-methyl isomers. The HPLC separation of GC peak number 33 of GF fraction 45 is given in Figure 7. Perylene, benzo(a)pyrene, and two methylbenzofluoranthenes were separated. The benzo(a)-

Figure 7. HPLC chromatogram of GC peak number 33. (Anthracene added as internal standard.)

pyrene was a carryover from peak number 32. Such carryover was found in many cases with the high molecular weight, low volatility PAH. The complexity of some GC peaks is illustrated in Figure 8. HPLC of peak number 41 of GF fraction 45 separated dibenz(a,c)anthracene, dibenz(a,h)anthracene, a trace of indenopyrene, a dimethylperylene, two dimethylbenzo(e)pyrenes, and two dimethylbenzo(a)pyrenes. HPLC RRT and MS analyses of the two large unknown peaks indicated that they were unsubstituted PAH with m/e of 276. Lack of literature UV data on several possible candidates

Flgure 8. HPLC chromatogram of GC peak number 41. (Anthracene added as internal standard.)


Figure 9. HPLC chromatogram of latter half of GC peak number 42. (Anthracene added as internal standard.)

for these peaks prevented conclusive identification. Possible assignments are aceperylene and dibenzo(b,ghi)fluoranthene or dibenzo(b,mno)fluoranthene. The HPLC resolution of the badkslope of GC peak number 42 of GF fraction 47 is shown in Figure 9. Identified compounds were: traces of dibenz ( $a, c$ ) anthracene and dibenz-
(a,h)anthracene, picene, indenopyrene, two dimethylbenzo(a)pyrenes, two dimethylbenzo(e)pyrenes, and a trimethylbenzo(e)pyrene. The decreasing quantities of dimethyl and trimethyl derivatives in GF fraction 47 are quite apparent. The final example of the HPLC separations (Figure 10) shows components from GC peak

Figure 10. HPLC chromatogram of GC peak number 44; a - unidentified. (Anthracene added as internal standard.)


Figure 11. UV spectrum of collected GC peak number 5.

number 44 of GF fraction 47. Benzo(ghi)perylene (carryover from peak number 46), anthanthrene, and methylindenopyrene were identified. Additional data on the above separations can be found in Table 2, under the corresponding GC peak number.
In this study, several new components of CSC were identified. These include 3,4-dimethylenepyrene, 3,4-trimethylenepyrene, cyclopenta(c,d)pyrene, 4,5 -methylenetriphenylene, benzo(b)perylene, and several dibenzofluoranthenes. The lack of available standards and literature UV spectra for many PAH has hindered further identifications. This was particularly true with the dibenzofluoranthene series. For the ten possible dibenzofluoranthenes, only four literature UV spectra could be found.

Some of the difficulties in assigning conclusive identificacations are illustrated by the following case. The UV spectrum of the major component in GC peak number 5 is shown in Figure 11. This compound had a molecular weight of 202 and it was tentatively identified as acephenanthrylene. To our knowledge, the UV spectrum of acephenanthrylene has not been reported; however, acephenanthrylene has been reported to elute from a

Table 3. Levels of selected large ring PAH in clgarette smoke condensate from 1R1 cigareties.

| PAH | Amounta <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / 100$ cigarettes) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Benzo(a)pyrene/benzo(e)pyrene | 2.4 |
| Perylene | 0.3 |
| Indenopyrene | 0.6 |
| Benzo(ghi)perylene | 0.5 |
| Anthanthrene | 0.3 |
| Methylbenzo(ghi)perylenes | 0.5 |
| Coronene | 0.1 |

[^3]SE-30 GC column between fluoranthene and pyrene (14). This seems reasonable as acephenanthrylene is an isomer of fluoranthene.
The levels of several high molecular weight PAH in CSC were determined. For this purpose, 810 research cigarettes were smoked, and the PAH were isolated and quantitated by our accelerated tednnique (11, 12). The results (Table 3) show that the level of each of the larger PAH was smaller than that of the benzopyrenes. The data in Table 3 compare favorably with previous results (15).

On the basis of the data in Table 1, we evaluated the relative concentrations of the large PAH in cigarette smoke. Compounds that occurred in major amounts are: benzofluoranthenes, benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(e)pyrene, perylene, indenopyrene, benzo(ghi)perylene, anthanthrene, coronene, and their methyl and dimethyl derivatives. PAH that occur in minor to trace quantities are dibenzanthracenes, dibenzophenanthrenes, dibenzofluoranthenes, and the dibenzopyrenes. Several dibenzanthracenes, dibenzophenanthrenes, and dibenzopyrenes have been identified in $\operatorname{CSC}(15,16)$. Surprisingly, however, the more abundant methyl and dimethyl derivatives of indenopyrene and benzo(ghi)perylene have not been isolated and characterized previously.
This report concludes our identification studies on the high MW PAH of cigarette smoke and complements the results on the middle region (9). Current work on the low MW, highly-alkylated PAH will be described in this journal in the near future.

## SUMMARY

A gel filtration chromatography method was developed for the isolation and concentration of the high molecular weight polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) contained in the most biologically active fraction of cigarette smoke condensate (CSC). The unusually complex
mixture of large PAH found in CSC necessitated the use of preparative gas chromatography followed by high-pressure liquid dromatography to adhieve separation and identification. Mass spectral, ultraviolet absorption, and chromatographic retention data were needed for the comprehensive identification of the large molecular weight PAH components of CSC. The majority of the more than 200 isolated compounds were identified. Compounds newly identified in CSC included 3,4-dimethylenepyrene, 3,4-trimethylenepyrene, cyclopenta(c,d) pyrene, 4,5-methylenetriphenylene, benzo(b)perylene, and several dibenzofluoranthenes.

## ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Es wurde ein gel-chromatographisches Verfabren entwidelt zur Isolierung und Konzentrierung der polycyclischen aromatischen Kohlenwasserstoffe (PAH) hohen Molekulargewichts, die in der biologisch am stärksten aktiven Fraktion des Cigarettenrauchkondensates (CSC) enthalten sind. Die ungewöhnlich komplexe Mischung dieser im Raudikondensat gefundenen Kohlenwasserstoffe erforderte zur Erzielung von Trennung und Identifizierung präparative Gaschromatographie mit nachfolgender Hochdrud-Flüssig-Chromatographie (HPLC). Zur umfassenden Identifizierung der im Kondensat befindlichen polycyclischen aromatischen Kohlenwasserstoffe mit hohem Molekulargewidht waren massenspektrometrische Daten, UV-Absorptionswerte und chromatographische Retentionszeiten notwendig. Von den mehr als 200 isolierten Verbindungen wurde der größte Teil identifiziert. Im Cigarettenrauchkondensat erstmalig identifizierte Verbindungen waren neben anderen 3,4Dimethylenpyren, 3,4-Trimethylenpyren, Cyclopenta(c,d)pyren, 4,5-Methylentriphenylen, Benz(b)perylen und mehrere Dibenzfluoranthene.

## Résume

On a développé une méthode de dromatographie à perméation de gel pour lisolation et la concentration des hydrocarbures polynucleaires aromatiques (PAH) de haut poids moléculaire, présents dans la fraction biologiquement la plus active du condensat de fumée de cigarette (CSC). Le mélange particulièrement complexe de ces PAH dans le CSC a exige l'utilisation des techniques de dromatographie préparative en phase gazeuse suivie de chromatographie liquide à haute pression, afin de pouvoir isoler et identifier les composés. Les données de spectrographie de masse, d'absorption U.V. et de rétention chromatographique ont été requises pour l'identification complète des PAH de haut poids moléculaire du CSC. On a identifié la majorité des 200 composés isolés. Parmi les nouveaux composés identifiés dans le CSC, l'on peut citer le 3,4-diméthylène-pyrène, le 3,4-triméthylène-pyrène, le cyclopenta(c,d)pyrène, le 4,5méthylènetriphénylène, le benzo(b)pérylène et plusieurs dibenzofluoranthènes.
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[^0]:    * Presented in part at the 29th Tobacco Chemista' Researth Conference, College Park, Md., 1975.

[^1]:    * Referense to a company or product name does not imply approva! or recommendation by the U.S. Department of Agticulture.

[^2]:    a: Except to indicate HPLC RRT for selected PAH, this table presents identification data for compounds whose GC retention time and/or literature UV data are lacking.
    b: Relative to anthracene; a factor of 48 converts HPLC RRT to time in minutes from point of injection (see text for limitations of HPLC RRT).
    c: $85 \% \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{OH}_{3} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{B}-$ broad.
    d: Unless otherwise noted, mass obtained by GC-MS techniques.
    e: Mass obtained by submitting trapped HPLC peak to probe MS analysis.

[^3]:    a: Values obtained using flame lonization detector and employing internal standard methods. Methyl derivatives were assumed to yield detector response identical to parent compounds.

