

Some Subclasses of Meromorphically Functions Associated with the Convolution Structure

E. E. Ali

Abstract. In this present paper we introduce and investigate each of the following new subclasses $\mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$, $\hat{G}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ as well as $\mathcal{S}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$, $\hat{C}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ and $\hat{R}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ of meromorphic functions, which is defined by means of a certain meromorphically p-modified version of the convolution structure. Such results as inclusion relationships, integral representations and convolution properties for these function classes are proved.

AMS Subject Classification (2000). 30C45

Keywords. meromorphically multivalent functions, subordination, Hadamard Product, conjugate points, symmetric points

1 Introduction

Let Σ_p denote the class of all meromorphic functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1-p}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (p \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}) \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the punctured disc $U^* = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}$. For simplicity, we write $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma$. If f and g are analytic in U , we say that f is subordinate to g written symbolically as follows:

$$f \prec g \text{ or } f \prec g,$$

if there exists a Schwarz function w , which (by definition) is analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$), such that $f = g(w(z))$ ($z \in U$). In particular, if the function g is univalent in U , then we have the following equivalence (cf., e.g., [4]; see also [12], [13])

$$f \prec g \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subset g(U).$$

For functions $f \in \Sigma_p$, given by (1.1), and $g \in \Sigma_p$ defined by

$$g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1-p}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (1.2)$$

then the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1-p}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (g * f)(z). \quad (1.3)$$

Now, we defined a linear operator For $f, g \in \Sigma_p$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $\ell > 0$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we define the linear operator $D_{\lambda, \ell, p}^m (f * g) : \Sigma_p \rightarrow \Sigma_p$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\lambda, \ell, p}^0 (f * g)(z) &= (f * g)(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1-p}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n. \\ D_{\lambda, \ell, p}^1 (f * g)(z) &= (1 - \lambda) (f * g)(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\ell z^{p+\ell-1}} (z^{p+\ell} (f * g)(z))' \\ &= (1 - \lambda) \left[z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1-p}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n \right] + \frac{\lambda}{\ell z^{p+\ell-1}} \left[z^\ell + \sum_{n=1-p}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^{n+p+\ell} \right]' \\ &= z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1-p}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell + \lambda(n+p)}{\ell} \right] a_n b_n z^n. \\ D_{\lambda, \ell, p}^2 (f * g)(z) &= (1 - \lambda) D_{\lambda, \ell, p}^1 (f * g)(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\ell z^{p+\ell-1}} (z^{p+\ell} D_{\lambda, \ell, p}^1 (f * g)(z))' \\ &= z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1-p}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell + \lambda(n+p)}{\ell} \right]^2 a_n b_n z^n \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

and (in general)

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g)(z) &= (1 - \lambda) D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m-1}(f * g)(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\ell z^{p+\ell-1}} (z^{p+\ell} D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m-1}(f * g)(z))' \\
&= z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1-p}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\ell + \lambda(n+p)}{\ell} \right]^m a_n b_n z^n.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

From (1.5) it is easy to verify that

$$\lambda z (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g))' (z) = \ell D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) - (\ell + \lambda p) D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g)(z) \tag{1.6}$$

We observe that the linear operator $D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g)$ reduces to several interesting operators for different choices of n, λ, ℓ, p and the function g :

(i) For $g = \frac{z^{-p}}{1-z}$ (or $b_n = 1$), $D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g) = I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)$, was introduced and studied by El-Ashwah [9], the operator $I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)$, contains as special cases (see [2], [5] and [17]);

(ii) For $m = 0$ and

$$g = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1-p}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \dots (\alpha_q)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \dots (\beta_s)_n} \cdot \frac{z^n}{n!} \tag{1.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}; i = 1, \dots, q; \beta_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}; j = 1, \dots, s; \\
&q \leq s + 1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0, p \in \mathbb{N}; z \in U),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\theta)_\nu = \frac{\Gamma(\theta + \nu)}{\Gamma(\theta)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \nu = 0; \theta \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \\ \theta(\theta - 1) \dots (\theta + \nu - 1) & \text{if } \nu \in \mathbb{N}; \theta \in \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}$$

We have $D_{\lambda,p}^0(f * g)(z) = (f * g)(z) = H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)f$, where $H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)$ is a meromorphically p -modified version of familiar Dziok-Srivastava linear operator [6, 7].

Recently, Liu and Srivastava [11], Raina and Srivastava [15], and Aouf [1] obtained many interesting results involving the linear operator $H_p^{q,s}(\alpha_1)$, and was further studied in a subsequent investigation by wang et al [18]. In particular, for

$$q = 2, \quad s = 1, \quad \alpha_1 = a \quad \beta_1 = c \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2 = 1$$

we obtain the following linear operator

$$\mathcal{L}_p(a, c)f = H_p(\alpha_1, 1; \beta_1)f \quad (z \in U^*)$$

which was introduced and investigated earlier by Liu and Srivastava [10], and was further studied in a subsequent investigation by Srivastava et al [16].

Let P denote the class of functions of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n ,$$

which are analytic in U and satisfy the following condition

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0 \quad (z \in U) .$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that $p, k \in N$, $\epsilon_k = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{k}\right)$,

$$F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \epsilon_k^{jp} D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g)(z) (\epsilon_k^j z) = z^{-p} + \dots (f, g \in \Sigma_p), \quad (1.8)$$

$$G_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g)(z) + \overline{D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g)(\bar{z})} \right] = z^{-p} + \dots (f, g \in \Sigma_p), \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$H_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g)(z) - \overline{D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g)(-\bar{z})} \right] = z^{-p} + \dots (f, g \in \Sigma_p). \quad (1.10)$$

Clearly, for $k = 1$, we have

$$F_{p,\lambda,\ell,1}^m(f * g)(z) = D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g)(z) .$$

Making use of the integral operator $D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g)$ and the above mentioned principle of subordination between analytic functions, we now introduce and investigate the following subclasses of the class Σ_p of meromorphic functions.

Definition 1. Let $g \in \Sigma_p$ be defined by (1.2). A function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$-\frac{z \left[(1 + \alpha) (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g))' (z) + \alpha (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g))' (z) \right]}{p \left[(1 + \alpha) F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z) + \alpha F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) \right]} \prec \varphi(z), \quad (1.11)$$

for some α ($\alpha \geq 0$), where $\varphi \in P$, $F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)$ is defined by (1.8) and $F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) \neq 0$ ($z \in U^*$).

For simplicity, we write

$$\mathfrak{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(0; \varphi) = \mathfrak{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\varphi) .$$

Remark 1. In [20], Zou and Wu introduced and investigated a subclass $MS_s^*(\alpha)$ of Σ consisting of functions which are meromorphically α -starlike with respect to symmetric points and satisfy the following inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ -\frac{z [(1+\alpha)(f * g)'(z) + \alpha(z(f * g)'(z))']}{(1+\alpha)T_s(f * g)(z) + \alpha z(T_s(f * g))'(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U),$$

where

$$T_s(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{2} [(f * g)(z) - (f * g)(-z)]. \quad (1.12)$$

Remark 2. For $\alpha = 0$ and $\lambda = \ell = 1$, we have the class $\mathcal{F}_{p,1,1k}^m(0; \varphi) = \mathcal{F}_{p,k}^m(\varphi)$, where the class $\mathcal{F}_{p,k}^m(\varphi)$ consisting of functions $f, g \in \Sigma_p$ which satisfy the following subordination condition:

$$-\frac{z (D_p^m(f * g))'(z)}{pF_{p,k}^m(f * g)(z)} \prec \varphi(z),$$

where $\varphi \in P$ and

$$F_{p,k}^m(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \epsilon_k^{jp} (D_p^m(f * g))(\epsilon_k^j z) \neq 0 \quad (z \in U^*).$$

Definition 2. Let $g \in \Sigma_p$ be defined by (1.2). A function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $\hat{G}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$-\frac{\left[(1+\alpha) (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g))'(z) + \alpha (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g))'(z) \right]}{p \left[(1+\alpha) G_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(f * g)(z) + \alpha G_{p,\lambda,\ell}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) \right]} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (\alpha \geq 0).$$

Definition 3. Let $g \in \Sigma_p$ be defined by (1.2). A function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{N}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$-\frac{z \left[(1+\alpha) (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g)(z))' + \alpha (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g)(z))' \right]}{p \left[(1+\alpha) H_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(f * g)(z) + \alpha H_{p,\lambda,\ell}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) \right]} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (\alpha \geq 0).$$

Remark 3. In [19], Zou and Wu introduced and investigated a subclass $MS_{sc}^*(\alpha)$ of Σ consisting of functions which are meromorphically α -starlike with respect to symmetric conjugate points and satisfy the following inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ -\frac{z [(1+\alpha)(f * g)'(z) + \alpha(z(f * g)'(z))']}{(1+\alpha)T_{sc}(f * g)(z) + \alpha z(T_{sc}(f * g))'(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U),$$

where

$$T_{sc}(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left((f * g)(z) - \overline{(f * g)(-\bar{z})} \right) \right] . \quad (1.13)$$

Definition 4. Let $g \in \Sigma_p$ be defined by (1.2). A function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{z \left[(1 + \alpha) (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g))' (z) + \alpha (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g))' (z) \right]}{p \left[(1 + \alpha) \mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z) + \alpha \mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) \right]} \prec \varphi(z) \\ & (\alpha \geq 0; \mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 5. Let $g \in \Sigma_p$ be defined by (1.2). A function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $\hat{C}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{z \left[(1 + \alpha) (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g))' (z) + \alpha (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g))' (z) \right]}{p \left[(1 + \alpha) \chi_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(f * g)(z) + \alpha \chi_{p,\lambda,\ell}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) \right]} \prec \varphi(z) \\ & (\alpha \geq 0; \chi \in \hat{G}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 6. Let $g \in \Sigma_p$ be defined by (1.2). A function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $\hat{R}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{z \left[(1 + \alpha) (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g))' (z) + \alpha (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g))' (z) \right]}{p \left[(1 + \alpha) \eta_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(f * g)(z) + \alpha \eta_{p,\lambda,\ell}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) \right]} \prec \varphi(z) \\ & (\alpha \geq 0; \eta \in \mathfrak{R}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

In order to establish our main results we shall make use the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 ([8], [12]). Let $\beta, \gamma \in C$. Suppose also that ϕ is convex and univalent in U with

$$\phi(0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re}(\beta\phi(z) + \gamma) > 0 \quad (z \in U) .$$

If p is analytic in U with $p(0) = 1$, then the following subordination:

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec \phi(z)$$

implies that

$$p(z) \prec \phi(z) .$$

Lemma 2 [14]. Let $\beta, \gamma \in C$. Suppose also that ϕ is convex and univalent in U with

$$\phi(0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re}(\beta\phi(z) + \gamma) > 0 .$$

Also let

$$q(z) \prec \phi(z).$$

If $p \in P$ and satisfies the following subordination:

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec \phi(z) ,$$

then

$$p(z) \prec \phi(z) .$$

Lemma 3. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$. Then

$$-\frac{z \left[(1 + \alpha) \left(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g) \right)'(z) + \alpha \left(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g) \right)'(z) \right]}{p \left[(1 + \alpha) F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z) + \alpha F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) \right]} \prec \varphi(z). \quad (1.14)$$

Furthermore, if $\varphi \in P$ with

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\ell}{\alpha\lambda} + 2\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\varphi(z) \right) > 0 \quad (\alpha > 0; \lambda > 0; z \in U) ,$$

then

$$-\frac{z \left(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g) \right)'(z)}{p F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)} \prec \varphi(z).$$

Proof. Making use of (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(\epsilon_k^j z) &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \epsilon_k^{np} D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g) (\epsilon_k^{n+j} z) \\ &= \epsilon_k^{-jp} \cdot \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \epsilon_k^{(n+j)p} D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g) (\epsilon_k^{n+j} z) \\ &= \epsilon_k^{-jp} F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z) \quad (j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}) \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

and

$$\left(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g) \right)'(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \epsilon_k^{j(p+1)} \left(D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g) \right)'(\epsilon_k^j z) . \quad (1.16)$$

Replacing m by $m + 1$ in (1.15) and (1.16), respectively, we obtain

$$F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g)(\epsilon_k^j z) = \epsilon_k^{-jp} F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) \quad (j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}) \quad (1.17)$$

and

$$\left(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g) \right)'(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \epsilon_k^{j(p+1)} \left(D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g) \right)'(\epsilon_k^j z) \quad (1.18)$$

From (1.15) and (1.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{z \left[(1 + \alpha) \left(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g) \right)'(z) + \alpha \left(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g) \right)'(z) \right]}{p \left[(1 + \alpha) F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z) + \alpha F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) \right]} \\ & = - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\epsilon_k^j z \left[(1 + \alpha) \left(D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g) \right)'(\epsilon_k^j z) + \alpha \left(D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g) \right)'(\epsilon_k^j z) \right]}{p \left[(1 + \alpha) F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(\epsilon_k^j z) + \alpha F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g)(\epsilon_k^j z) \right]} \quad (z \in U). \end{aligned} \quad (1.19)$$

Moreover, since $f \in \mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{\epsilon_k^j z \left[(1 + \alpha) \left(D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g) \right)'(\epsilon_k^j z) + \alpha \left(D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g) \right)'(\epsilon_k^j z) \right]}{p \left[(1 + \alpha) F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(\epsilon_k^j z) + \alpha F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g)(\epsilon_k^j z) \right]} \prec \varphi(z) \\ & \quad (j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}) \quad (1.20) \end{aligned}$$

By noting that φ is convex and univalent in U , we conclude from (1.19) and (1.20) that the assertion (1.14) of Lemma 3 holds true.

Next, making use of the relationships (1.6) and (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & z \left(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g) \right)'(z) + \left(p + \frac{\ell}{\lambda} \right) F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z) = \frac{\ell}{\lambda k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \epsilon_k^{jp} \left(D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g) \right)'(\epsilon_k^j z) \\ & = \frac{\ell}{\lambda} F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) \quad (f \in \Sigma_p). \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ and suppose that

$$\psi(z) = - \frac{z \left(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g) \right)'(z)}{p F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)} \quad (z \in U). \quad (1.22)$$

Then ψ is analytic in U and $\psi(0) = 1$. It follows from (1.21) and (1.22) that

$$\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\psi(z) = \frac{\ell}{\lambda} \frac{F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g)(z)}{F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)}. \quad (1.23)$$

From (1.22) and (1.23), we obtain

$$z \left(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g) \right)'(z) = \frac{-p\lambda}{\ell} \left\{ z\psi'(z) + \left[\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\psi(z) \right] \psi(z) \right\} F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z) \quad (z \in U^*). \quad (1.24)$$

It now follows from (1.14) and (1.22)-(1.24) that

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{z \left[(1 + \alpha) \left(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g) \right)'(z) + \alpha \left(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g) \right)'(z) \right]}{p \left[(1 + \alpha) F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z) + \alpha F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) \right]} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\alpha\lambda}{\ell} z\psi'(z) + \left\{ (1 + \alpha) + \frac{\alpha\lambda}{\ell} \left[\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\psi(z) \right] \right\} \psi(z)}{(1 + \alpha) + \frac{\alpha\lambda}{\ell} \left[\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\psi(z) \right]} \\ &= \psi(z) + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\frac{\ell}{\lambda\alpha} + 2\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\psi(z)} \prec \varphi(z). \end{aligned} \quad (1.25)$$

Thus, since

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\ell}{\lambda\alpha} + 2\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\psi(z) \right) > 0 \quad (\alpha > 0; \lambda > 0; z \in U),$$

by means of (1.25) and Lemma 1, we find that

$$\psi(z) = - \frac{z \left(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g) \right)'(z)}{p F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)} \prec \varphi(z).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

By similarly applying the method of proof of Lemma 3, we can easily get the following results for the classes $\hat{G}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ and $\mathfrak{N}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$.

Lemma 4. Let $f \in \hat{G}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$. Then

$$- \frac{z \left[(1 + \alpha) \left(G_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(f * g) \right)'(z) + \alpha \left(G_{p,\lambda,\ell}^{m+1}(f * g) \right)'(z) \right]}{p \left[(1 + \alpha) G_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(f * g)(z) + \alpha G_{p,\lambda,\ell}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) \right]} \prec \varphi(z).$$

Furthermore, if $\varphi \in P$ with

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\ell}{\lambda\alpha} + 2\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\varphi(z) \right) > 0 \quad (\alpha > 0; \lambda > 0; z \in U),$$

then

$$- \frac{z \left(G_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(f * g)(z) \right)'}{p G_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(f * g)(z)} \prec \varphi(z).$$

Lemma 5. Let $f \in \mathfrak{N}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$. Then

$$-\frac{z \left[(1 + \alpha) \left(H_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(f * g) \right)'(z) + \alpha \left(H_{p,\lambda,\ell}^{m+1}(f * g) \right)'(z) \right]}{p \left[(1 + \alpha) H_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(f * g)(z) + \alpha H_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(f * g)(z) \right]} \prec \varphi(z).$$

Furthermore, if $\varphi \in P$ with

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\ell}{\lambda \alpha} + 2 \frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\varphi(z) \right) > 0 \quad (\alpha > 0; \lambda > 0; z \in U),$$

then

$$-\frac{z \left(H_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(f * g) \right)'(z)}{p H_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(f * g)(z)} \prec \varphi(z).$$

In this paper, we obtain inclusion relationships integral representation, and convolution properties for each of the following function classes which we have introduced here: $\mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$, $\hat{G}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ and $\mathfrak{N}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ as well as $\mathfrak{S}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$, $\hat{C}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ and $\hat{R}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$. The methods used here to obtain our main results are similar to those of Wang et al. [18], Srivastava et al. [16], and Zou et al. ([19],[20]).

2 A set of inclusion relationships

We first provide some inclusion relationships for the following function classes $\mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$, $\hat{G}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ and $\mathfrak{N}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ as well as $\mathfrak{S}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$, $\hat{C}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ and $\hat{R}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$.

Theorem 1. Let $\varphi \in P$ with

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\ell}{\lambda \alpha} + 2 \frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\varphi(z) \right) > 0 \quad (\alpha > 0; \lambda > 0; z \in U).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi) \subset \mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\varphi).$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ and suppose that

$$q(z) = -\frac{z \left(D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g) \right)'(z)}{p F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)} \quad (z \in U). \quad (2.1)$$

Then q is analytic in U and $q(0) = 1$. It follows from (1.6) and (2.1) that

$$q(z)F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z) = \frac{-\ell}{\lambda p} D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) + \frac{\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p}{p} D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g)(z) . \quad (2.2)$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.2) with respect to z and using (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & zq'(z) + \left(\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p + \frac{z(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g))'(z)}{F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)} \right) q(z) \\ &= \frac{-\ell z (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g))'(z)}{\lambda p F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

It now follows from (1.11), (1.22), (1.23), (2.1) and (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{z \left[(1 + \alpha) (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g))'(z) + \alpha (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g))'(z) \right]}{p \left[(1 + \alpha) F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z) + \alpha F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) \right]} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\alpha\lambda}{\ell} zq'(z) + \left\{ (1 + \alpha) + \frac{\alpha\lambda}{\ell} \left[\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\psi(z) \right] \right\} q(z)}{(1 + \alpha) + \frac{\alpha\lambda}{\ell} \left[\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\psi(z) \right]} \\ &= q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\frac{\ell}{\lambda\alpha} + 2\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\psi(z)} \prec \varphi(z) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover, since

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\ell}{\lambda\alpha} + 2\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\varphi(z) \right) > 0 \quad (\alpha > 0; \lambda > 0; z \in U) ,$$

by Lemma 3, we have

$$\psi(z) = -\frac{z (F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g))'(z)}{p F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)} \prec \varphi(z) .$$

Thus, by (2.4) and Lemma 2, we find that

$$q(z) \prec \varphi(z) ,$$

that is, that $f \in \mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\varphi)$. This implies that

$$\mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi) \subset \mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\varphi) .$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is evidently completed.

In view of Lemmas 4 and 5, and by similarly applying the method of proof of Theorem 1, we can easily obtain the inclusion relationships $\hat{G}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi) \subset \hat{G}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\varphi)$ and $\mathfrak{N}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi) \subset \mathfrak{N}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\varphi)$.

Theorem 2. *Let $\varphi \in P$ with*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\ell}{\lambda\alpha} + 2\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\varphi(z) \right) > 0 \quad (\alpha > 0; \lambda > 0; z \in U) .$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{S}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi) \subset \mathfrak{S}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\varphi).$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathfrak{S}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$ and suppose that

$$p(z) = -\frac{z \left(D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g) \right)'(z)}{p \mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)} \quad (z \in U) . \quad (2.5)$$

Then p is analytic in U and $p(0) = 1$. It follows from (1.6) and (2.5) that

$$p(z) \mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z) = -\frac{\ell}{\lambda p} D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g)(z) + \frac{\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p}{p} D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g)(z) . \quad (2.6)$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.6) with respect to z and using (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & zp'(z) + \left(\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p + \frac{z \left(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g) \right)'(z)}{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)} \right) p(z) \\ &= -\frac{\ell}{\lambda p} \frac{z \left(D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g) \right)'(z)}{\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)} . \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we suppose that

$$\varphi(z) = -\frac{z \left(\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g) \right)'(z)}{p \mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)} \quad (z \in U).$$

The remainder of the proof of Theorem 2 is similar to that of Theorem 1. We, therefore, choose to omit the analogous details involved. We thus find that

$$p(z) \prec \varphi(z),$$

which implies that $f \in \mathfrak{S}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\varphi)$. The proof of Theorem 2 is thus completed.

In view of Lemmas 4 and 5, and by similarly applying the method of proof of Theorem 2, we can easily obtain the inclusion relationships $\hat{C}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi) \subset \hat{C}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\varphi)$ and $\hat{R}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\alpha; \varphi) \subset \hat{R}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\varphi)$.

In view of Lemmas 3 to 5, and by similarly applying the method of proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 obtained by Srivastava et al. [16], we can easily obtain the following inclusion relationships.

Corollary 1. *Let $\varphi \in P$ with*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\varphi(z) \right) > 0 \quad (\lambda > 0; z \in U) .$$

Then

$$\mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(\varphi) \subset \mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\varphi) .$$

The result of Corollary1 also holds true for the classes $\hat{G}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^{m+1}(\varphi)$ and $\mathfrak{N}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^{m+1}(\varphi)$.

Corollary 2. *Let $\varphi \in P$ with*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\ell}{\lambda} + p - p\varphi(z) \right) > 0 \quad (\lambda > 0; z \in U) .$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{S}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^{m+1}(\varphi) \subset \mathfrak{S}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\varphi) .$$

The result of Corollary2 also holds true for the classes $\hat{C}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^{m+1}(\varphi)$ and $\hat{R}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^{m+1}(\varphi)$.

Remark 3. (i) Putting $m = 0$, $\frac{\ell}{\lambda} = \alpha_1$ and g is given by (1.7), in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Wang et al [18];

(ii) Putting $g = \frac{z^{-p}}{1-z}$ (or $b_n = 1$), in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf et al [3] .

3 Integral representation

In this section, we prove a number of integral representations associated with the function classes $\mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\varphi)$, $\hat{G}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\varphi)$ and $\mathfrak{N}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\varphi)$.

Theorem 3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\varphi)$. Then*

$$F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z) = z^{-p} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{p}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_0^z \frac{\varphi(w(\epsilon_k^j \xi)) - 1}{\xi} d\xi \right) , \quad (3.1)$$

where $F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)$ is defined by (1.8) and w is analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$).

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\varphi)$. We observe that the condition (1.11) (with $\alpha = 0$) can be written as follows:

$$-\frac{z \left(D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g) \right)'(z)}{p F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)} = \varphi(w(z)) \quad (z \in U), \quad (3.2)$$

where w is analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$).

Replacing z by $\epsilon_k^j z$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$) in (3.2), we find that (3.2) also holds true, that is, that

$$-\frac{\epsilon_k^j z \left(D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^{m+1}(f * g) \right)'(\epsilon_k^j z)}{p F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(\epsilon_k^j z)} = \varphi(w(\epsilon_k^j z)) \quad (z \in U). \quad (3.3)$$

We note that

$$F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(\epsilon_k^j z) = \epsilon_k^{-jp} F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z) \quad (z \in U).$$

Thus, by letting $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ in (3.3), successively, and summing the resulting equations, we get

$$-\frac{z \left(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g) \right)'(z)}{p F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \varphi(w(\epsilon_k^j z)) \quad (z \in U). \quad (3.4)$$

We next find from (3.4) that

$$\frac{\left(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g) \right)'(z)}{F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)} + \frac{p}{z} = \frac{-p}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\varphi(w(\epsilon_k^j z)) - 1}{z} \quad (z \in U^*), \quad (3.5)$$

which, upon integration, yields

$$\log(z^p F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)) = \frac{-p}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_0^z \frac{\varphi(w(\epsilon_k^j \xi)) - 1}{\xi} d\xi. \quad (3.6)$$

The assertion (3.1) of Theorem 3 can now easily be derived from (3.6).

Theorem 4. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\varphi)$. Then

$$D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g)(z) = -p \int_0^z \zeta^{-p-1} \varphi(w(\zeta)) \cdot \exp \left(\frac{-p}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_0^\zeta \frac{\varphi(w(\epsilon_k^j \xi)) - 1}{\xi} d\xi \right) d\zeta, \quad (3.7)$$

where w is analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$).

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\varphi)$. Then, in light of (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g))'(z) &= -\frac{pF_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)}{z} \cdot \varphi(w(z)) \\ &= -pz^{-p-1}\varphi(w(z)) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-p}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_0^z \frac{\varphi(w(\epsilon_k^j \xi)) - 1}{\xi} d\xi\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

which, upon integration, leads us easily to the assertion (3.7) of Theorem 4.

In view of Lemma 3, we can obtain another integral representation for the function class $\mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\varphi)$.

Theorem 5. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\varphi)$. Then

$$D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g)(z) = -p \int_0^z \zeta^{-p-1} \varphi(w_2(\zeta)) \cdot \exp\left(-p \int_0^z \frac{\varphi(w_1(\xi)) - 1}{\xi} d\xi\right) d\zeta, \quad (3.9)$$

where the function w_j ($j = 1, 2$) are analytic in U with $w_j(0) = 0$ and $|w_j(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U; j = 1, 2$).

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(\varphi)$. We then find from (1.14) (with $\alpha = 0$) that

$$-\frac{z(F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g))'(z)}{pF_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)} = \varphi(w_1(z)) \quad (z \in U),$$

where w_1 is analytic in U and $w_1(0) = 0$. Thus, by similarly applying the method of proof of Theorem 3, we find that

$$F_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z) = z^{-p} \cdot \exp\left(-p \int_0^z \frac{\varphi(w_1(\xi)) - 1}{\xi} d\xi\right). \quad (3.11)$$

From (3.2) and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{\lambda,\ell,p}^m(f * g))'(z) &= -\frac{pF_{p,\lambda,\ell,k}^m(f * g)(z)}{z} \cdot \varphi(w_2(z)) \\ &= -pz^{-p-1}\varphi(w_2(z)) \cdot \exp\left(-p \int_0^z \frac{\varphi(w_1(\xi)) - 1}{\xi} d\xi\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where the functions $w_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) are analytic in U with $w_j(0) = 0$ and $|w_j(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U; j = 1, 2$). Upon integrating both sides of (3.12), we readily arrive at the assertion (3.9) of Theorem 5.

Remark 4. The result of Theorem 5 also holds true for the classes $\hat{G}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\varphi)$ and $\aleph_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\varphi)$. So we omit the details involved.

In view of Lemmas 4 and 5, and by similarly applying the methods of proof of Theorems 3 and 4, we can easily obtain the results for the function classes $\hat{G}_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\varphi)$ and $\aleph_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(\varphi)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author thanks the referees for their valuable suggestions to improve the paper.

References

- [1] **M. K. Aouf**, Certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with generalized hypergeometric function, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **55**, (2008), 494-509.
- [2] **M. K. Aouf and H. M. Hossen**, New criteria for meromorphic p -valent starlike functions, *Tsukuba J. Math.*, **17**, (1993), 481-486.
- [3] **M. K. Aouf, A. Shamandy, R. M. El-Ashwah, and E. E. Ali**, Some subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized multiplier transformations, *Asian Europe. J. Math.*, **5**, (2012), 1-20.
- [4] **T. Bulboacă**, *Differential subordinations and superordinations, Recent Results*, House of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
- [5] **N. E. Cho, O. S. Kwon, and H. M. Srivastava**, Inclusion and argument properties for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **300**, (2004), 505-520.
- [6] **J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava**, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **103**, (1999), 1-13.
- [7] **J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava**, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, **14**, (2003), 7-18.
- [8] **P. Eenigenburg, S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, and M. O. Reade**, *On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination*, in: General Mathematics 3, International Series of Numerical Mathematics, Vol. 64, Birkhauser. Verlag, Basel, 1983, 339-348, see also Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 29(1984), 567-573.
- [9] **R. M. El-Ashwah**, A note on certain meromorphic p -valent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **22**, (2009), 1756-1759.
- [10] **J. L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava**, A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **259**, (2001), 566-581.
- [11] **J. L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava**, Classes of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Math. Comput. Model.*, **39**, (2004), 21-34.

- [12] **S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu**, On some classes of first order differential subordinations, *Michigan Math. J.*, **32**, (1985), 185-195.
- [13] **S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu**, Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications, *Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **225**, (2000.)
- [14] **K. S. Padmanabhan and R. Parvatham**, Some applications of differential subordination, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **32**, (1985), 321-330.
- [15] **R. K. Raina and H. M. Srivastava**, A new class of meromorphically multivalent functions with applications to generalized hypergeometric functions, *Math. Comput. Model.*, **43**, (2006), 350-356.
- [16] **H. M. Srivastava, D. -G. Yang, and N. E. Xu**, Some subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with a linear operator, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **195**, (2008), 11-23.
- [17] **B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha**, New Criteria for meromorphic starlike functions, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **43**, (1991), 137-140.
- [18] **Z. G. Wang, Y. P. Jiang, and H. M. Srivastava**, Some subclasse of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **57**, (2009), 571-586.
- [19] **Z. -Z. Zou and Z. R. Wu**, On meromorphically starlike functions and functions meromorphically starlike with respect to symmetric conjugate points, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **261**, (2001), 17-27.
- [20] **Z. -Z. Zou and Z. R. Wu**, On functions meromorphically starlike with respect to symmetric points, *J. Math. Res. Expos.*, **23**, (2003), 71-76.

E. E. Ali

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science,
Port Said University, Port Said 42521, Egypt

E-mail: ekram_008eg@yahoo.com

Received: 24.08.2016

Accepted: 21.12.2016