

# Study on subclasses of analytic functions

Imran Faisal

Department of Mathematics,  
University of Education, Pakistan  
email: faisalmath@gmail.com

Maslina Darus

School of Mathematical Sciences,  
Faculty of Science and Technology,  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia,  
Malaysia  
email: maslina@ukm.edu.my

**Abstract.** By making use of new linear fractional differential operator, we introduce and study certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with Symmetric Conjugate Points and defined in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . Inclusion relationships are established and convolution properties of functions in these subclasses are discussed.

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and normalized by  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ .

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is called starlike if and only if

$$\Re \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \geq 0, (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

---

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C45

**Key words and phrases:** starlike functions, symmetric conjugate points, inclusion relationships

The class of starlike functions is denoted by  $S$ .

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is called convex if and only if

$$\Re \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \geq 0, (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The class of convex functions is denoted by  $K$ .

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is called starlike of order  $\rho$  if and only if

$$\Re \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \geq \rho, (\rho > 0, z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2)$$

The class of starlike functions of order  $\rho$  is denoted by  $SV^*(\rho)$ .

Similarly a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is called convex of order  $\rho$  if and only if

$$\Re \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \geq \rho, (\rho > 0, z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (3)$$

The class of starlike functions of order  $\rho$  is denoted by  $KV(\rho)$ .

It follows from (2) and (3) that  $f \in KV(\rho)$  if and only if  $zf'(z) \in SV^*(\rho)$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $g \in SV^*(\rho)$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is called close-to-convex of order  $\theta$  and type  $\rho$  if and only if

$$\Re \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right) \geq \theta, (0 \leq \theta, \rho < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The class of close-to-convex of order  $\theta$  and type  $\rho$  is denoted by  $CV(\theta, \rho)$ .

In 1959, Sakaguchi [1] introduced the following class of analytic functions:

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is called starlike with respect to symmetrical points, and its class is denoted by  $SV_s$ , if it satisfies the analytic criterion

$$\Re \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} \right) > 0, (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

For more details we refer to study Shanmugam et al. [2], Chand and Singh [3] and Das and Singh [4] respectively.

In 1987, El-Ashwah and Thomas [5] introduced the following class of analytic functions:

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is called starlike with respect to symmetric conjugate points, and its class is denoted by  $SV_{sc}$ , if it satisfies the analytic criterion

$$\Re \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z) - \overline{f(-z)}} \right) > 0, (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

For two functions  $f$  and  $g$  analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ , we say that the function  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  and write

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

if there exists a Schwarz function  $w(z)$  which (by definition) is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with

$$w(0) = 0 \text{ and } |w(z)| < 1,$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)) \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Indeed it is known that

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \Rightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

Furthermore, if the function  $g$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then we have the following equivalence:

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

For functions  $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  and  $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$  the Hadamard product (or convolution)  $f * g$  is defined as usual by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

Let

$$\varphi(a, c; z) = {}_2F(1, a; c; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} z^k, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots),$$

where  $(a)_k$  is the pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(a)_k = \frac{\Gamma(k+a)}{\Gamma(a)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0 \\ a(a+1)(a+2)\dots(a+k-1) & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}.$$

In 1987, Owa and Srivastava [7] introduced the operator as follow

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^\alpha f(z) &= \Gamma(2-\alpha) z^\alpha D_z^\alpha f(z) = \varphi(2, 2-\alpha; z) * f(z), \\ \alpha &\neq 2, 3, 4, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Also note that  $\Omega^0 f(z) = f(z)$  and  $D_z^\alpha f(z)$  is the fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$  given in [6].

For  $f \in A$ , we define the linear fractional differential operator as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda^{0,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) &= f(z) \\ I_\lambda^{1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) &= \left( \frac{\nu - \mu + \beta - \lambda}{\nu + \beta} \right) \Omega^\alpha f(z) + \left( \frac{\mu + \lambda}{\nu + \beta} \right) z(\Omega^\alpha f(z))' \\ I_\lambda^{2,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) &= I_\lambda^\alpha(I_\lambda^{1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)) \\ &\vdots \\ I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) &= I_\lambda^\alpha(I_\lambda^{n-1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

If  $f(z)$  is given by (1) then from (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) \\ = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \left( \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(k+1-\alpha)} \right) \left( \frac{\nu + (\mu + \lambda)(k-1) + \beta}{\nu + \beta} \right) \right)^n a_k z^k. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Using (4) we conclude that

$$I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) = \underbrace{[\varphi(2, 2-\alpha; z) * g_{\beta,\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(z).s\varphi(2, 2-\alpha; z) * g_{\beta,\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(z)]}_{\text{}} * f(z), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\beta,\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(z) &= \frac{z - \left( \frac{\nu - \mu + \beta - \lambda}{\nu + \beta} \right) z^2}{(1-z)^2} \\ &= \left( z - \frac{\nu - \mu + \beta - \lambda}{\nu + \beta} z^2 \right) (1 + 2z + 3z^2 + \dots) \\ &= z + \left( 1 + \frac{\mu + \lambda}{\nu + \beta} \right) z^2 + \left( 1 + 2\frac{\mu + \lambda}{\nu + \beta} \right) z^3 + \dots \\ &\vdots \\ g_{\beta,\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(z) &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\nu + (\mu + \lambda)(k-1) + \beta}{\nu + \beta} \right) z^k. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$\varphi(2, 2-\alpha; z) * g_{\beta,\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(z).s\varphi(2, 2-\alpha; z) * g_{\beta,\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(z) = n\text{-times product.}$

Similarly, we find the following:

$$g_{\beta,\lambda}^{\mu}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\beta + (\mu + \lambda)(k-1)}{\beta} \right) z^k. \quad (9)$$

$$g_{\beta,\lambda}^{\nu}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\nu + \lambda(k-1) + \beta}{\nu + \beta} \right) z^k. \quad (10)$$

$$g_{\beta}^{\nu}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\nu + (k-1) + \beta}{\nu + \beta} \right) z^k. \quad (11)$$

$$g_{\lambda}^{\mu}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + (\mu + \lambda)(k-1)) z^k. \quad (12)$$

$$g_{\lambda}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda(k-1)) z^k. \quad (13)$$

Further, a straightforward calculation reveals that many differential operators introduced in other papers are special cases of the differential operator defined by (6) which generalizes some well known differential operators.

1.  $\beta = 1, \mu = 0, \alpha = 0$ , we obtain, Aouf et al. differential operator [8].
2.  $\alpha = 0$ , we obtain differential operator of Darus and Faisal [29].
3.  $\beta = 0, \alpha = 0$ , we obtain differential operator Darus and Faisal [30].
4.  $\nu = 1, \beta = 0, \mu = 0, \alpha = 0$ , we obtain, Al-Oboudi differential operator [9].
5.  $\nu = 1, \beta = 0, \mu = 0, \lambda = 1, \alpha = 0$ , we obtain, Sălăgean's operator [10].
6.  $\beta = 1, \mu = 0, \alpha = p$ , we obtain, A. Catas operator [26].
7.  $\nu = 1, \beta = 0, \mu = 0$ , we obtain, Al-Oboudi–Al-Amoudi operator [14, 25].
8.  $\beta = 1, \lambda = 1, \mu = 0, \alpha = 0$ , we obtain, Cho–Srivastava operator [12, 13].
9.  $\nu = 1, \beta = 1, \lambda = 1, \mu = 0, \alpha = 0$ , we obtain, Uralegaddi–Somanatha operator [11].
10.  $\nu = 1, \beta = 0, \mu = 0, \lambda = 0, n = 1$ , we obtain, Owa–Srivastava operator [7].

11.  $\beta = l, \mu = 0, \alpha = p, \lambda = 1$ , we obtain, Kumar et al. and Srivastava et al. operators [27, 28].

For  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , we define  $f_m$  by

$$f_m(z) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left[ \omega^{-k} f(\omega^k z) + \omega^k \overline{f(\omega^k \bar{z})} \right], \quad (14)$$

where  $m$  be a positive integer and  $\omega = \exp(2\pi/(m))$ .

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is called  $\lambda$ -starlike with respect to  $2m$ -symmetric conjugate points and its class is denoted by  $SV_m(\lambda)$ , if it satisfy the analytic criterion

$$\Re \left( \frac{(1-\lambda)zf'(z) + \lambda(zf'_m(z))'}{(1-\lambda)f_m(z) + \lambda zf'_m(z)} \right) > 0, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}, \lambda \geq 0),$$

where  $f_m$  is given by (14). For details about  $SV_m(\lambda)$ , we refer to study [15, 16, 17, 18].

By using (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_m(z) &= \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \omega^{-k} f(\omega^k z) + \omega^k \overline{f(\omega^k \bar{z})} \right\}, \text{ implies} \\ I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu) f_m(z) &= \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \omega^{-k} I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu) f(\omega^k z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \omega^k \overline{I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu) f(\omega^k \bar{z})} \right\}, \\ z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu) f_m(z))' &= \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \omega^{-k} z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu) f(\omega^k z))' \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \omega^k z(\overline{D_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu) f(\omega^k \bar{z})})' \right\}, \\ I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu) (zf'_m(z)) &= \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left\{ I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu) (zf'(\omega^k z)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \overline{I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu) (zf'(\omega^k \bar{z}))} \right\}, \\ I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu) f_m(\omega^j z) &= \omega^j I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu) f_m(z), \\ I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu) f_m(\bar{z}) &= \overline{I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu) f_m(z)}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Next we introduce new subclasses of analytic functions in  $\mathbb{U}$  associated with linear fractional differential operator  $I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu) f(z)$ , as follow;

**Definition 1** For  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu, \nu \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$  denote the class of functions  $f$  defined by (1) and satisfying the analytic criterion

$$\frac{z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} \prec h(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{U},$$

where  $h$  is a convex function in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $h(0) = 1$ .

**Definition 2** Let  $\mathcal{KV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$  denote the class of functions  $f$  defined by (1) and satisfying the analytic criterion if  $\frac{I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g_m(z)}{z} \neq 0$  and

$$\frac{z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g_m(z)} \prec h(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{U},$$

for some  $g \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$ .

**Remark 1** In 2010, F. M. Al-oboudi [25], introduced certain subclasses of analytic functions which contains only functions of the form given in (13), but there were infinite analytic functions in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$ , of the form given in (8), (9), (10), (11) and (12) respectively, which were out of range of the classes given in [25]. Therefore it was necessary to find out or to introduce a new differential operator of the form (6). We introduce the subclasses  $\mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$  and  $\mathcal{KV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$  of analytic functions by using such differential operator, with a different approach that includes all functions of the form given in (8) to (13).

## 2 Main results

In this section, we have discussed inclusion relations as well as convolution properties for the function belonging to the classes  $\mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$  and  $\mathcal{KV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$  respectively.

**Lemma 1** [19] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be starlike functions of order  $1/2$  then so is  $f * g$ .

**Lemma 2** [20] Let  $P$  be a complex function in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $\Re(P(z)) > 0$  for  $z \in \mathbb{U}$  and let  $h$  be a convex function in  $\mathbb{U}$ . If  $p$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $p(0) = h(0)$  and if

$$p(z) + P(z)zp'(z) \prec h(z),$$

then  $p(z) \prec h(z)$ .

**Lemma 3** [21] Let  $c > -1$  and let  $I_c : A \rightarrow A$  be the integral operator defined by  $F = I_c(f)$ , where

$$F(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt.$$

Let  $h$  be a convex function, with  $h(0) = 1$  and then  $\Re(h(z) + c) > 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ . If  $f \in A$  and  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec h(z)$ , then

$$\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} \prec q(z) \prec h(z),$$

where  $q$  is univalent and satisfies the differential equation

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z) + c} = h(z).$$

**Lemma 4** [22] Let  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively be in the classes  $K$  and  $S$ , then for every function  $F \in A$ , we have

$$\frac{(f(z) * g(z)F(z))}{(f(z) * g(z))} \in \overline{co}(F(\mathbb{U})), \quad z \in \mathbb{U},$$

where  $\overline{co}$  denotes the closed convex hull.

**Lemma 5** [22] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be univalent starlike of order  $\frac{1}{2}$  for every function  $F \in A$ , we have

$$\frac{(f(z) * g(z)F(z))}{(f(z) * g(z))} \in \overline{co}(F(\mathbb{U})), \quad z \in \mathbb{U},$$

where  $\overline{co}$  denotes the closed convex hull.

**Theorem 1** Let  $h$  be a convex function in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $h(0) = 1$ ,  $\overline{h(\bar{z})} = h(z)$  and let  $\mu + \lambda \geq \nu + \beta$ , if  $f \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$  then

$$\frac{z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z))'}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} \prec h(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (16)$$

Moreover, if  $\Re\left(h(z) + \frac{\nu+\beta-\mu-\lambda}{\mu+\lambda}\right) > 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  then

$$\frac{z(I_\lambda^{n-1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^\alpha f_m(z)))'}{I_\lambda^{n-1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^\alpha f_m(z))} \prec q(z) \prec h(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (17)$$



Where  $q$  is the univalent solution of the differential equation

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{h(z) + \frac{\nu+\beta-\mu-\lambda}{\mu+\lambda}} = h(z), \quad q(0) = 1. \quad (18)$$

**Proof.** Because

$$\mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h) = \left\{ f \in A : \frac{z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} \prec h(z), z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}.$$

It is remaining to show that  $f_m \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)$ , since

$$f_m(z) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \omega^{-k} f(\omega^k z) + \omega^k \overline{f(\omega^k \bar{z})} \right\}.$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} f \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu) &\Rightarrow \frac{z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} \prec h(z) \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{(D_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)zf'(z))}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} \prec h(z). \end{aligned}$$

After replacing  $z$  by  $\omega^j z$  and  $\omega^j \bar{z}$ , we get

$$\omega^{-j} \frac{(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)zf'(\omega^j z))}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} \prec h(z), \text{ and } \omega^j \frac{\overline{(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)zf'(\omega^j \bar{z}))}}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} \prec h(z), \quad (19)$$

because

$$\overline{h(\bar{z})} = h(z), \quad I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(\bar{z}) = \overline{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)}, \text{ and}$$

$$I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(\omega^j z) = \omega^j I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z).$$

Using (19) we have

$$\frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \omega^{-j} \frac{(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)zf'(\omega^j z))}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} + \omega^j \frac{\overline{(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)zf'(\omega^j \bar{z}))}}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} \prec h(z),$$

implies

$$\frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \omega^{-j} \frac{z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(\omega^j z))'}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} + \omega^j \frac{\overline{z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(\omega^j \bar{z}))'}}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} \prec h(z),$$

since we have

$$\begin{aligned} & z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z))' \\ &= \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left\{ \omega^{-k} z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(\omega^k z))' + \omega^k z(\overline{I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(\omega^k \bar{z})})' \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and this implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \omega^{-j} \frac{z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(\omega^j z))'}{I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} + \omega^j \frac{z(\overline{I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(\omega^j \bar{z})})'}{I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} \\ &= \frac{z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z))'}{I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\frac{z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z))'}{I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} \prec h(z).$$

Hence (16) is satisfied.

From (5) we have

$$I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) = I_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(I_{\lambda}^{n-1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)),$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z) &= \left( \frac{\nu - \mu + \beta - \lambda}{\nu + \beta} \right) (I_{\lambda}^{n-1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^{\alpha}f_m(z))) \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{\mu + \lambda}{\nu + \beta} \right) z(I_{\lambda}^{n-1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^{\alpha}f_m(z)))', \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$(I_{\lambda}^{n-1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^{\alpha}f_m(z))) = \frac{\nu + \beta}{(\mu + \lambda)z^{(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\nu+\beta})-1}} \int_0^z t^{(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{\nu+\beta})-1} I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(t) dt.$$

Applying Lemma 3, we have

$$f(z) = I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z), \quad F(z) = (I_{\lambda}^{n-1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^{\alpha}f_m(z))),$$

and

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z))'}{I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} \prec h(z) \text{ (proved)}$$

with

$$\Re\left(h(z) + \frac{\nu + \beta - \mu - \lambda}{\mu + \lambda}\right) > 0.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{z\left(I_{\lambda}^{n-1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu((\Omega^{\alpha}f_m(z)))\right)'}{I_{\lambda}^{n-1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^{\alpha}f_m(z))} \prec q(z),$$

and  $q$  satisfied the equation

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{h(z) + \frac{\nu + \beta - \mu - \lambda}{\mu + \lambda}} = h(z).$$

Hence proved.  $\square$

**Corollary 1** Let  $\Re(h(z)) > 0$ , if  $f \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$  then  $I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m \in \mathcal{S}$  and hence  $I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f$  is close to convex function.

**Theorem 2** Let  $\Re(h(z)) > 0$  and  $\overline{h(\bar{z})} = h(z)$  then the following inclusions hold

$$\mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n+1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h) \subseteq \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h) \subseteq \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n-1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h).$$

**Proof.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n+1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$ . To prove  $\mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n+1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h) \subseteq \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$ , it is enough to show that  $f \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$ .

Applying Theorem 1, if  $f \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n+1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$  then

$$\frac{z(I_{\lambda}^{n+1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z))'}{I_{\lambda}^{n+1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} \prec h(z), z \in \mathbb{U},$$

if Moreover, if  $\Re\left(h(z) + \frac{\nu + \beta - \mu - \lambda}{\mu + \lambda}\right) > 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  then

$$\frac{z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu((\Omega^{\alpha}f_m(z)))')}{I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^{\alpha}f_m(z))} \prec q(z) \prec h(z), z \in \mathbb{U},$$

and  $\Re\left(q(z) + \frac{\nu + \beta - \mu - \lambda}{\mu + \lambda}\right) > 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Let

$$p(z) = \frac{z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu((\Omega^{\alpha}f(z)))')}{I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^{\alpha}f_m(z))}.$$

Then  $p$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and satisfies

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{q(z) + \frac{\nu+\beta-\mu-\lambda}{\mu+\lambda}} \prec h(z),$$

by using Lemma 2  $p(z) \prec h(z)$ , implies  $\Omega^\alpha f(z) \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$ , applying Theorem 4 implies  $f(z) \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n+1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h) \subseteq \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h).$$

Similarly we can show that  $\mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h) \subseteq \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n-1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$ , and  $\mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n-1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h) \subseteq \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n-2,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$  and so on, therefore

$$\mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n+1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h) \subseteq \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h) \subseteq \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n-1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h).s,$$

which generalized the Al-Amiri et al. [16] results.  $\square$

**Corollary 2** Taking  $h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ , in Theorem 2, then

$$\mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n+1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n-1,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right).$$

Implies that  $\mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$  are starlike functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points.

**Theorem 3** If  $f \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$  then  $f * g \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$  where  $\Re(h(z)) > 0$  and  $g$  is a convex function with real coefficients in  $\mathbb{U}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $f \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$ , applying Theorem 1, we get  $I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z) \in S$ . Using the convolution properties we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(f * g)(z))'}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(f_m * g)(z)} &= \frac{z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(f(z) * g(z)))'}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(f_m(z) * g(z))} \\ &= \frac{g(z) * (z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)))'}{g(z) * I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} \\ &= \frac{g(z) * (z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)))' / I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)}{g(z) * I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} \\ &= \frac{g(z) * I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)(z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)))' / I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)}{g(z) * I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Implies that

$$\frac{z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(f * g)(z))'}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(f_m * g)(z)} \in \overline{co} \left( \frac{z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f)'(\mathbb{U})}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m}(\mathbb{U}) \right) \subseteq h(\mathbb{U}), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Hence

$$f * g \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h).$$

□

**Theorem 4** *If  $\Omega^\alpha f(z) \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$  then  $f(z) \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$ , where  $\Re(h(z)) > 0, \overline{h(z)} = h(z)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\Omega^\alpha f(z) \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$ , applying Theorem 1, implies

$$\frac{z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)\Omega^\alpha f_m(z))'}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)\Omega^\alpha f_m(z)} \prec h(z),$$

or

$$(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)\Omega^\alpha f_m(z) \in S.$$

Because

$$\Omega^\alpha f(z) = \varphi(2, 2 - \alpha; z) * f(z)$$

and

$$I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) = \underbrace{[\varphi(2, 2 - \alpha; z) * g_{\beta,\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(z) \cdot s\varphi(2, 2 - \alpha; z) * g_{\beta,\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(z)]}_{*f(z)},$$

therefore we can write that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z) &= \varphi(2 - \alpha, 2; z) * D_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^\alpha f_m(z)) \\ z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))' &= \varphi(2 - \alpha, 2; z) * z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^\alpha f(z)))', \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where  $\varphi(2 - \alpha, 2; z) \in K$ .

Using (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} &= \frac{\varphi(2 - \alpha, 2; z) * z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^\alpha f(z)))'}{\varphi(2 - \alpha, 2; z) * I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^\alpha f_m(z))}, \\ \frac{z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} &= \frac{\varphi(2 - \alpha, 2; z) * I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^\alpha f_m(z)) \frac{(z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^\alpha f(z)))')}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^\alpha f_m(z))}}{\varphi(2 - \alpha, 2; z) * I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(\Omega^\alpha f_m(z))}, \\ \frac{z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} &\in \overline{co} \left( \frac{z(I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)\Omega^\alpha f)'(\mathbb{U})}{I_\lambda^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)\Omega^\alpha f_m}(\mathbb{U}) \right) \subseteq h(\mathbb{U}), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Hence

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h).$$

□

**Theorem 5** Let  $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha < 1$ , and  $\operatorname{Re}(h(z)) > \frac{1}{2}$ , then the following inclusions hold

$$\mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h) \subseteq \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha_1, \beta, \mu)(h).$$

**Proof.** Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(h)$ , from (7) we have

$$I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) = \underbrace{[\varphi(2, 2 - \alpha; z) * g_{\beta,\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(z).s\varphi(2, 2 - \alpha; z) * g_{\beta,\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(z)]}_{*f(z)},$$

implies

$$I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha_1, \beta, \mu)f(z) = \underbrace{[\varphi(2, 2 - \alpha_1; z) * g_{\beta,\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(z).s\varphi(2, 2 - \alpha_1; z) * g_{\beta,\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(z)]}_{*f(z)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha_1, \beta, \mu)f(z) &= \underbrace{[\varphi(2 - \alpha, 2 - \alpha_1; z) * .s * \varphi(2 - \alpha, 2 - \alpha_1; z)]}_{*I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)}, \\ &* I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z), \end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha_1, \beta, \mu)f(z))' &= \underbrace{[\varphi(2 - \alpha, 2 - \alpha_1; z) * .s * \varphi(2 - \alpha, 2 - \alpha_1; z)]}_{*z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}, \\ &* z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))', \end{aligned}$$

applying same technique, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha_1, \beta, \mu)f_m(z) &= \underbrace{[\varphi(2 - \alpha, 2 - \alpha_1; z) * .s * \varphi(2 - \alpha, 2 - \alpha_1; z)]}_{*I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)}, \\ &* I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z), \end{aligned}$$

since Y. Ling and S. Ding [24] already proved that  $\varphi(2 - \alpha, 2 - \alpha_1; z) \in S(1/2)$ , therefore by Lemma 1 we get  $[\varphi(2 - \alpha, 2 - \alpha_1; z) * .s * \varphi(2 - \alpha, 2 - \alpha_1; z)] \in S(1/2)$ .

From last two equations we get

$$\frac{z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha_1, \beta, \mu)f_m(z))'}{I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha_1, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} = \frac{\underbrace{[\varphi(2 - \alpha, 2 - \alpha_1; z) * .s * \varphi(2 - \alpha, 2 - \alpha_1; z)]}_{*z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z))'} * z(I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z))'}{\underbrace{[\varphi(2 - \alpha, 2 - \alpha_1; z) * .s * \varphi(2 - \alpha, 2 - \alpha_1; z)]}_{*I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} * I_{\lambda}^{n,\nu}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)},$$

after simplification and using Lemma 5 we deduce that

$$\frac{z(I_{\lambda}^{n,v}(\alpha_1, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{I_{\lambda}^{n,v}(\alpha_1, \beta, \mu)f_m(z)} \in \overline{\text{co}}\left(\frac{z(I_{\lambda}^{n,v}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f)'}{I_{\lambda}^{n,v}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f_m}(\mathbb{U})\right) \subseteq h(\mathbb{U}), \quad z \in \mathbb{U},$$

therefore

$$f \in \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,v}(\alpha_1, \beta, \mu)(h).$$

Hence proved.  $\square$

- Remark 2** 1. When  $v = 1$ ,  $\mu = \beta = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,1}(\alpha, 0, 0)(h) = \mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^n(\alpha)(h)$ , the classes of functions related to starlike functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points, defined and studied by M. K. Al-Oboudi [25].
2. For  $n = m = v = 1$ ,  $\mu = \beta = 0$ , and  $h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ ,  $\mathcal{SV}_{1,\lambda}^{1,1}(\alpha, 0, 0)\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{SV}^{\lambda,\alpha}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$ , the class of  $\lambda$ -starlike functions with respect to conjugate points, defined and studied by Radha [23].
3. For  $n = m = v = \lambda = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \mu = \beta = 0$ , and  $h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ ,  $\mathcal{SV}_{1,1}^{1,1}(0, 0, 0)\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{SV}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$ , the class of starlike functions with respect to conjugate points, defined and studied by El-Ashwah and Thomas [5].
4. For  $n = v = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \mu = \beta = 0$ , and  $h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ ,  $\mathcal{SV}_{m,\lambda}^{1,1}(0, 0, 0)\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{SV}^{m,\lambda}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$ , the class of  $\lambda$ -starlike functions with respect to  $2m$ -symmetric conjugate points, defined and studied by Al-Amiri et al. [15, 16].
5. For  $m = v = 1$ ,  $n = \mu = \beta = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{SV}_{1,\lambda}^{0,1}(\alpha, 0, 0)(h) = \mathcal{SV}(\alpha)(h)$ , the class of functions related to starlike functions with respect to conjugate points, defined and studied by Ravichandran [31].
6. For  $m = n = v = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \mu = \beta = 0$  and  $h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ ,  $\mathcal{SV}_{1,\lambda}^{1,1}(0, 0, 0)\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{SV}^{\lambda}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$ , the class of  $\lambda$ -starlike functions with respect to conjugate points, defined and studied by Radha [23].
7. For  $\lambda = n = v = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \mu = \beta = 0$  and  $h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ ,  $\mathcal{SV}_{m,1}^{1,1}(0, 0, 0)(h) = \mathcal{SV}^m\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$ , the class of starlike functions with respect to  $2m$ -symmetric conjugate points, defined by Al-Amiri et al. [16].
8. For  $v = 1$ ,  $\mu = \beta = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{KV}_{m,\lambda}^{n,1}(\alpha, 0, 0)(h) = \mathcal{KV}_{\lambda}^{m,n}(\alpha)(h)$ , the classes of functions related to starlike functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points, defined and studied by M.K. Al-Oboudi [25].

9. For  $n = v = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \mu = \beta = 0$  and  $h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ ,  $\mathcal{KV}_{m,\lambda}^{1,1}(0,0,0) \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = \mathcal{KV}_{\lambda}^m \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)$ , the class of  $\lambda$ -close to convex functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points, defined and studied by Al-Amiri et al. [16].
10. For  $m = n = v = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \mu = \beta = 0$  and  $h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ ,  $\mathcal{KV}_{1,\lambda}^{1,1}(0,0,0) \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = \mathcal{KV}^{\lambda} \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)$ , the class of  $\lambda$ -close to convex functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points, defined and studied by Radha [23].

## Acknowledgments

The work presented here was fully supported by UKM-AP-2013-09.

## References

- [1] K. Sakaguchi, On certain univalent mappings, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **11** (1959), 72–75.
- [2] T. N. Shanmugam, C. Ramachandran, V. Ravichandran, Fekete-Szego problem for subclasses of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, **43** (2006), 589–598.
- [3] R. Chand, P. Singh, On certain schlicht mappings, *J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **10**(9) (1979), 1167–1174.
- [4] R. N. Das, P. Singh, On subclasses of schlicht mapping, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **8** (8) (1977), 864–872.
- [5] M. El-Ashwah, D. K. Thomas, Some subclasses of close-to-convex functions, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, **2** (1) (1987), 85–100.
- [6] S. Owa, On the distortion theorems, *I. Kyungpook Math J.*, **18** (1) (1978), 53–59.
- [7] S. Owa, H. M. Srivastava, Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, *Canad. J. Math.*, **39** (5) (1987), 1057–1077.
- [8] M. K. Aouf, R. M. El-Ashwah, S. M. El-Deeb, Some inequalities for certain  $p$ -valent functions involving extended multiplier transformations, *Proc. Pak. Acad. Sci.*, **46** (4) (2009), 217–221.
- [9] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, (2004), 1419–1436.



- 
- [10] G. S. Salagean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1013*, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
  - [11] B. A. Uralegaddi, C. Somanatha, *Certain classes of univalent functions*, *In: Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, Eds. Srivastava, H. M. and Owa, S., World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 1992.
  - [12] N. E. Cho, H. M. Srivastava, Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations, *Math. Comput. Modeling*, **37** (2003), 39–49.
  - [13] N. E. Cho, T. H. Kim, Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, **40** (2003), 399–410.
  - [14] F. M. Al-Oboudi, K.A . Al-Amoudi, On classes of analytic functions related to conic domains, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **399** (2008), 655–667.
  - [15] H. S. Al-Amiri, D. Coman, P. T. Mocanu, Some properties of starlike functions with respect to symmetric- conjugate points, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **18** (3) (1995), 467–474.
  - [16] H. S. Al-Amiri, B. Green, D. Coman, P. T. Mocanu, Starlike and close-to-convex functions with respect to symmetric-conjugate points, *Glas. Math. III. Ser.*, **30** (2) (1995), 209–219.
  - [17] P. T. Mocanu, On starlike functions with respect to symmetric points, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roum. Nouv. Sr.*, **28** (1) (1984), 47–50.
  - [18] P. T. Mocanu, Certain classes of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points, *Mathematica (Cluj)*, **32** (55) (1990), 153–157.
  - [19] St. Ruscheweyh, Sheil-Small, Hadamard products of schlicht functions and the Polya-Schoenberg conjecture, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **48** (1973), 119–135.
  - [20] S. S Miller, P. T. Mocanu, General second order inequalities in the complex plane, Babes-Bolyai Univ. Fac. of Math. Research Seminars, *Seminar on Geometric Function Theory*, **4** (1982), 96–114.
  - [21] S. S Miller, P. T. Mocanu, *Differnetial Subordination Theory and Applications*, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York, 2000.
  - [22] St. Ruscheweyh, *Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory*, Sem. Math. Su., vol. 83. Presses Univ. de Montreal, 1982.

- 
- [23] S. Radha, On  $\alpha$ -starlike and  $\alpha$ -close-to-convex functions with respect to conjugate points, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica*, **18** (1990), 41–47.
- [24] Y. Ling, S. Ding, A class of analytic functions defined by fractional derivative, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **186** (1994), 504–513.
- [25] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On classes of functions related to starlike functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points defined by a fractional differential operator, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, DOI 10.1007/s11785-010-0069-2.
- [26] A. Catas, On certain classes of  $p$ -valent functions defined by multiplier transformations, in: S. Owa, Y. Polatoglu (Eds.), *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Geometric Function Theory and Applications*, GFTA 2007 Proceedings, Istanbul, Turkey, 20–24 August 2007, vol. 91, TC Istanbul Kultur University Publications, TC Istanbul Kultur University, Istanbul, Turkey, 2008.
- [27] S. S. Kumar, H. C. Taneja, V. Ravichandran, Classes multivalent functions defined by Dziok–Srivastava linear operator and multiplier transformations, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **46** (2006), 97–109.
- [28] H. M. Srivastava, K. Suchithra, B. Adolf Stephen, S. Sivasubramanian, Inclusion and neighborhood properties of certain subclasses of multivalent functions of complex order, *J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math.*, **5** (7) (2006), 1–8.
- [29] M. Darus, I. Faisal, A different approach to normalized analytic functions through meromorphic functions defined by extended multiplier transformations operator, *Int. J. App. Math. Stat.*, **23** (11) (2011), 112–121.
- [30] M. Darus, I. Faisal, Characterization properties for a class of analytic functions defined by generalized Cho and Srivastava operator, *In Proc. 2nd Inter. Conf. Math. Sci.*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, (2010), 1106–1113.
- [31] V. Ravichandran, *Starlike and convex functions with respect to conjugate points*, *Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyiregyaziensis* **20** (2004), 31–37.

*Received: March 21, 2016*