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#### Abstract

An r-graph(or a multigraph) is a loopless graph in which no two vertices are joined by more than $r$ edges. An $r$-complete graph on $n$ vertices, denoted by $K_{n}^{(r)}$, is an $r$-graph on $n$ vertices in which each pair of vertices is joined by exactly $r$ edges. A non-increasing sequence $\pi=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ of non-negative integers is said to be $r$-graphic if it is realizable by an r-graph on $n$ vertices. An r-graphic sequence $\pi$ is said to be potentially $S_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$-graphic if it has a realization containing $S_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(r)}$ as a subgraph. We obtain conditions for an $r$-graphic sequence to be potentially $S_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$-graphic. These are generalizations from split graphs to $p$-tuple $r$-split graph.
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## 1 Introduction

For a positive integer $\mathbf{r}$, an $\mathbf{r}$-graph(or multigraph) is a loopless graph in which no two vertices are joined by more than $r$ edges. An $r$-complete graph on $n$ vertices, denoted by $K_{n}^{(r)}$, is an r-graph on $n$ vertices in which each pair of vertices is joined by exactly $r$ edges. Clearly, $K_{n}^{(1)}=K_{n}$. A non-increasing sequence $\pi=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ of non-negative integers is said to be $r$-graphic if it is the degree sequence of an $r$-graph $G$ on $n$ vertices, and such an $r$-graph $G$ is referred to as a realization of $\pi$. We take $\sigma(\pi)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}$. For graph theoretical notations and definitions we refer to [9].

Let $\pi=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be a non-increasing sequence of non-negative integers with $\mathrm{d}_{1} \leq \sum_{i=2}^{n} \min \left\{r, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$. Define $\pi_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{d}_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}-1}^{\prime}\right)$ to be the nonincreasing rearrangement of the sequence obtained from

$$
\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, d_{k+1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)
$$

by reducing by 1 the remaining largest terms that have not been reduced $r$ times, and repeating the procedure $d_{k}$ times. $\pi_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}$ is called the residual sequence obtained from $\pi$ by laying off $d_{k}$.

The following three results due to Chungphaisian [2] are generalizations from 1-graphs to r-graphs of three well-known results, one by Erdős and Gallai [3], one by Kleitman and Wang [6] and one by Fulkerson, Hoffman and Mcandrew [5].

Theorem 1 [2] Let $\pi=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \mathrm{~d}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ be a non-increasing sequence of nonnegative integers, where $\sigma(\pi)$ is even. Then $\pi$ is r -graphic if and only if for each positive integer $\mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{n}$,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{t} d_{i} \leq r t(t-1)+\sum_{i=t+1}^{n} \min \left\{r t, d_{i}\right\} .
$$

Theorem 2 [2] $\pi$ is r -graphic if and only if $\pi_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}$ is r -graphic.
Let the subgraph H on the vertices $v_{i}, v_{j}, v_{k}, v_{l}$ of a multigraph G contain the edges $v_{i} v_{j}$ and $v_{k} v_{l}$. The operation of deleting these edges and introducing a pair of new edges $v_{i} v_{l}$ and $v_{j} v_{k}$, or $v_{i} v_{k}$ and $v_{j} v_{l}$ is called an elementary degree preserving transformation. If this operation is performed $r$ times on the same edge set, it is called $r$-exchange.

Theorem 3 [2] Let $\pi$ be an r -graphic sequence, and let G and $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ be realizations of $\pi$. Then there is a sequence of r-exchanges, $\mathrm{E}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$ such that the application of these r -exchanges to G in order will result in $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$.

An r-graphic sequence $\pi$ is said to be potentially $K_{m+1}^{(r)}$ if there exists a realization of $\pi$ containing $K_{m+1}^{(r)}$ as a subgraph. If $\pi$ has a realization $G$ containing $K_{m+1}^{(r)}$ on the $m+1$ vertices of highest degree in $G$, then $\pi$ is said to be potentially $A_{m+1}^{(r)}$-graphic. As a special case of Lemma 2.1 in [13], Yin showed that an $r$-graphic sequence is potentially $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{m}+1^{(\mathrm{r}} \text {-graphic if and only if it is potentially }}$ $A_{m+1}^{(r)}$-graphic.

The r -join (complete product) of two r -graphs $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ is a graph $\mathrm{G}=$ $G_{1} \vee G_{2}$ with vertex set $V\left(G_{1}\right) \cup V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and the edge set consisting of all edges of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ together with the edges joining each vertex of $G_{1}$ with every vertex of $G_{2}$ by exactly $r$ edges. Let $K_{l}^{(r)}$ and $K_{m}^{(r)}$ be complete r-graphs with $l$ and $m$ vertices respectively, that is the complete graphs having exactly $r$ edges between every two vertices. The $r$-split graph of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{l}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$ denoted by $\bar{S}_{l, m}^{(r)}$ is the graph $K_{l}^{(r)} \vee \overline{K_{m}^{(r)}}$ having $l+m$ vertices, where $\overline{K_{m}^{(r)}}$ (having no edges) is the complement of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$. [14]. If $\pi$ has a realization $G$ containing $\bar{S}_{l, m}$ on the $l+m$ vertices of highest degree in $G$, then $\pi$ is said to be potentially $\overline{\bar{A}}_{l, m}$-graphic.

The following two results due to Yin [13] are generalizations from 1-graphs to r-graphs of two well-known results given by A. R. Rao [12].

Theorem 4 [13] Let $n \geq l+1$ and $\pi=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be an r -graphic sequence with $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{l}+1} \geq \mathrm{rl}$. Then $\pi$ is potentially $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{l}+1}^{(\mathrm{r})}$-graphic if and only if $\pi_{l+1}$ is r -graphic.

Theorem 5 [13] Let $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{l}+1$ and $\pi=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \mathrm{~d}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ be an r -graphic sequence with $\mathrm{d}_{l+1} \geq 2 \mathrm{rl}-1$, then $\pi$ is potentially $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{l}+1}^{(\mathrm{r})}$.

An extremal problem for 1-graphic sequences to be potentially $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{l}}^{(1)}$-graphic was considered by Erdős, Jacobson and Lehel [4] and solved by Li et al. [7, 8]. Yin [13] generalized this extremal problem and the Erdős-Jacobson-Lehel conjecture from 1-graphs to r-graphs.

In 2014, the authors [10] proved the following assertion.

Theorem 6 [10] If $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ is a realization of $\pi_{1}=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}^{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{1}\right)$ containing $\mathrm{K}_{p}$ as a subgraph and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ is a realization of $\pi_{2}=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}\right)$ containing $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{q}}$ as a subgraph, then the degree sequence $\pi=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathfrak{m}+\mathrm{n}}\right)$ of the join of $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ is potentially $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q}}$-graphic.

The following two results for simple graphs are due to Yin [14].
Theorem 7 [14] $\pi$ is potentially $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{l, m}$-graphic if and only if $\pi_{l}$ is graphic.
Theorem 8 [14] Let $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{l}+\mathrm{m}$ and let $\pi=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \mathrm{~d}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ be a nonincreasing graphic sequence. If $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{l}+\mathrm{m}} \geq 2 \mathrm{l}+\mathrm{m}-2$, then $\pi$ is potentially $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{m}}$ graphic.

A condition for a graphic sequence $\pi$ to be potentially $\mathrm{K}_{4}-e$ graphic can be found in [11], where $K_{4}-e$ is the graph obtained from the complete graph $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ by deleting one edge $e$.

## 2 Bounds on the sum of squares of degrees of a multigraph

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i} b_{i}\right| \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|b_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

Taking $a_{i}=d_{i}$ and $b_{i}=1$, we have $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\right)^{2} \leq n \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}$ which implies $\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\right)^{2} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}\right)$. From this and the hand shaking Lemma $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}=2|E|$, we have $\frac{4|E|^{2}}{n}=\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\right)^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}$.

Now we have the following observation, the proof is by using the same argument as in Theorem 1 of [1].

Lemma 9 For an r-graph $G, \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2} \leq|E|\left(r(n-2)+\frac{2|E|}{n-1}\right)$.


Figure 1: A 2-graph
Remark 10 From Lemma 9, we observe that

$$
\frac{4|E|^{2}}{n} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2} \leq|E|\left(r(n-2)+\frac{2|E|}{n-1}\right) .
$$

The following example shows that the equality does not hold in the above inequality.

Example 11 Consider the 2-graph as shown in Figure 1.
Here, $\frac{4|E|^{2}}{n}=\frac{4 \times 16^{2}}{6}=\frac{512}{3}<4^{2}+6^{2}+6^{2}+6^{2}+6^{2}+4^{2}=176<16(2(6-2)+$ $\left.\frac{2 \times 16}{6-1}\right)=\frac{1152}{5}$.

Now, we have the following result.

Lemma 12 A multigraph $G$ is regular if and only if $\frac{4|E|^{2}}{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}$.
Proof. Suppose an r-graph G is regular of degree $b$. Then $2|E|=n b$ and $d_{i}=b$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. We know that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}=n b^{2}$ and $\frac{4|E|^{2}}{n}=\frac{1}{n} 4 \frac{1}{4} n^{2} b^{2}=n b^{2}$. These together give $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}=\frac{4|E|^{2}}{n}$.

Conversely, suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}=\frac{4|E|^{2}}{n}$. Then $\frac{4}{n}|E|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}$. This implies that $\frac{1}{n}\left(d_{1}^{2}+d_{2}^{2}+\ldots+d_{n}^{2}+2\left(d_{1} d_{2}+d_{1} d_{3}+\ldots+d_{1} d_{n}\right)+\ldots+2\left(d_{n-2} d_{n-1}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.d_{n-2} d_{n}\right)+2\left(d_{n-1} d_{n}\right)\right)-\left(d_{1}^{2}+d_{2}^{2}+\ldots+d_{n}^{2}\right)=0$, which on simplification gives
$\frac{1}{n}\left(\left(d_{1}-d_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(d_{1}-d_{3}\right)^{2}+\ldots+\left(d_{1}-d_{n}\right)^{2}+\left(d_{2}-d_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(d_{2}-d_{4}\right)^{2}+\ldots+\right.$ $\left.\left(d_{2}-d_{n}\right)^{2}+\ldots+\left(d_{n-1}-d_{n}\right)^{2}\right)=0$. From this, we see that each term on left side is non-negative for every $\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}$ and right side is equal to zero. Therefore the above equation is possible when $d_{i}=d_{j}$ for every $i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$ and hence G is a regular graph.

Now, we have the following observation.

Lemma 13 Let G be an r -graph with $\mathrm{n}>2$ vertices. Then G is a complete graph $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{r}}$ if and only if $\frac{4|\mathrm{E}|^{2}}{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}=|\mathrm{E}|\left(\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{n}-2)+\frac{2|\mathrm{E}|}{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$.

Proof. First we note that an $r$-graph $G$ is a complete $r$-graph if and only if $|E|=\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{r n}(n-1)$. Moreover, we know that $|E|=\frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{n r}(n-1)$, which implies that $2|E|(n-2)+2|E| n=n r(n-1)(n-2)+2|E| n$ and on simplication gives $\frac{\left.4|E|\right|^{2}}{n}=|E|\left(r(n-2)+\frac{2|E|}{n-1}\right)$. Thus the result follows.

The following result partially answers the question raised in Remark 10.
Theorem 14 A bipartite multigraph $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{m}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$, where $\mathrm{m}>1$, is an r -star graph $\mathrm{K}_{1, n-1}^{(r)}$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}=|E|\left(r(n-2)+\frac{2|E|}{n-1}\right)$.

Proof. Let $K_{l, m}^{(r)}$ be an r-complete bipartite graph, where $m>1, n=l+m$ and $|\mathrm{E}|=\mathrm{rlm}$. There are $l$-vertices each of whose degree is $r \times m$ and $m$ vertices each of whose degree is $r \times l$, so $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}=l(r m)^{2}+m(r l)^{2}=\operatorname{lr}^{2} m^{2}+\mathfrak{m}^{2} l^{2}=$ $r^{2}\left(m^{2}+m l^{2}\right)=r^{2} \operatorname{lm}(l+m)$. Therefore, we have $|E|\left(r(n-2)+\frac{2|E|}{n-1}\right)=$ $\operatorname{rlm}\left(r(l+m-2)+\frac{2 r l m}{n-1}\right)=r^{2} \operatorname{lm}\left(\frac{l^{2}+m^{2}+4 l m-3 l-3 m+2}{l+m-l}\right)$. Therefore, $r^{2} \operatorname{lm}(l+m)=$ $r^{2} \operatorname{lm}\left(\frac{l^{2}+m^{2}+4 l m-3 l-3 m+2}{l+m-l}\right)$, which gives $l=1$. Hence the result follows.

## 3 Potentially r-graphic sequences

Definition 15 Let $\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathrm{r}_{1}, s_{1}}^{(\mathrm{r})}, \overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathrm{r}_{2}, s_{2}}^{(\mathrm{r})}, \ldots, \overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{p}}, s_{\mathrm{p}}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$ be r -split graphs, respectively with $r_{1}+s_{1}, r_{2}+s_{2}, \ldots, r_{p}+s_{p}$ vertices. Let $L=\sum_{i=1}^{p} r_{i}$ and $M=\sum_{i=1}^{p} s_{i}$. Then
the p -tuple r -split graph, denoted by $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$, is the graph

$$
S_{L, M}^{(r)}=S_{\sum_{i=1}^{p} r_{i},}^{(r)} \sum_{i=1}^{p} s_{i}=\bar{S}_{r_{1}, s_{1}}^{(r)} \vee \bar{S}_{r_{2}, s_{2}}^{(r)} \vee \ldots \vee \bar{S}_{r_{p}, s_{p}}^{(r)}
$$

Clearly $S_{L, M}^{r}$ has vertex set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} V\left(\bar{S}_{\mathrm{r}_{i}, s_{i}}^{(r)}\right)$ and the edge set consists of all edges of $\bar{S}_{r_{1}, s_{1}}^{(r)}, \bar{S}_{r_{2}, s_{2}}^{(r)}, \ldots, \bar{S}_{r_{p}, s_{p}}^{(r)}$ together with the edges joining each vertex of $\bar{S}_{r_{i}, s_{i}}^{(r)}$ with every vertex of $\bar{S}_{r_{j}, s_{j}}^{(r)}$ by exactly $r$-edges for every $i, j$ with $i \neq j$.

An r-graphic sequence $\pi$ is said to be potentially $S_{L+M}^{(r)}$-graphic if there exists a realization of $\pi$ containing $S_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$ as a subgraph. If $\pi$ has a realization $G$ containing $S_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$ on the $\mathrm{L}+M$ vertices of highest degree in $G$, then $\pi$ is said to be potentially $A_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}^{- \text {graphic. }}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$

Let $n \geq L+M$ and let $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be a non-increasing sequence of non-negative integers with $d_{L} \geq r(L+M)-1$ and $d_{L+M} \geq r L$. We define sequences $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{\mathrm{L}}$ as follows. Construct the sequence

$$
\pi_{1}=\left(d_{2}-r, \ldots, d_{L}-r, d_{L+1}-r, \ldots, d_{L+M}-r, d_{L+M+1}^{1}, \ldots, d_{n}^{1}\right)
$$

from $\pi$ by reducing 1 from the largest term that have not been already reduced $r$ times, and then reordering the last $n-L-M$ terms to be non-increasing. For $2 \leq i \leq r$, construct

$$
\pi_{i}=\left(d_{i+1}-i r, \ldots, d_{L}-i r, d_{L+1}-i r, \ldots, d_{L+M}-i r, d_{L+M+1}^{i}, \ldots, d_{n}^{i}\right)
$$

from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{i-1}= & \left(d_{i}-(i-1) r, \ldots, d_{L}-(i-1) r, d_{L+1}-(i-1) r, \ldots,\right. \\
& \left.d_{L+M}-(i-1) r, d_{L+M+1}^{i-1}, \ldots, d_{n}^{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by deleting $d_{i}-(i-1) r$, reducing the first $d_{i}-(i-1) r$ remaining terms of $d_{i-1}$ by one that have not been already reduced $r$ times, and then reordering the last $n-L-M$ terms to be non-increasing.

We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 16 If $\pi=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \mathrm{~d}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is the graphic sequence of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$, then $\pi=\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r\left(r_{i}+s_{i}-1\right)\right)^{r_{j}},\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r r_{i}+\sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{m} r s_{i}\right)^{s_{j}}\right), \quad$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, m$. Proof. To prove the result we use induction on $m$.
For $m=1$, the result is obviously true. For $m=2$, we have $S_{\sum_{i=1}^{2}}^{(r)} r_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{2} s_{i}$. Therefore for every $i=1,2, \ldots, r_{1}$ and $i=1,2,3, \ldots=r_{2}$ and $j=$ $1,2,3, \ldots, s_{1} \quad$ and $j=1,2,3, \ldots, s_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{r}\left(\mathrm{r}_{2}+\mathrm{s}_{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}}=\mathrm{r}\left(\mathrm{r}_{1}+\mathrm{r}_{2}+\mathrm{s}_{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}}$ are respectively the degree of $\overline{v_{i}^{\text {th }}}$ and $\overline{v_{j}^{\text {th }}}$ vertex in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}_{1}+\mathrm{r}_{2}, s_{1}+s_{2}}$ and $d_{i}$ is the degree of $i^{t h}$ vertex in $K_{r_{1}}$. Equations (1) and (2) hold for every $i, j$. Thus the graphic sequence $\pi^{2}$ of $S_{r_{1}+r_{2}, s_{1}+s_{2}}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi^{2}= & \left(\left(r\left(r_{1}+s_{1}-1\right)+r\left(r_{2}+s_{2}\right)^{r_{1}},\left(r\left(r_{1}+s_{1}-1\right)+r\left(r_{2}+s_{2}\right)^{r_{2}}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left(r\left(r_{1}+r_{2}+s_{2}\right)\right)^{s_{1}},\left(r\left(r_{1}+r_{2}+s_{2}\right)\right)^{s_{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} r\left(r_{i}+s_{i}-1\right)\right)^{r_{j}},\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r r_{i}+\sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{m} r s_{i}\right)^{r_{j}}\right), \text { for } j=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that the result is true for $m=2$. Assume that the result holds for $m=k-1$, therefore for all $j=1,2, \cdots, k-1$,

$$
\pi^{k-1}=\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r\left(r_{i}+s_{i}-1\right)\right)^{r_{j}},\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r r_{i}+\sum_{i=1, \mathfrak{i} \neq j}^{k-1} r s_{i}\right)^{r_{j}}\right), \text { for } j=1,2
$$

Now for $m=k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
G & =S_{r_{1}, s_{1}}^{(r)} \vee S_{r_{2}, s_{2}}^{(r)} \vee \ldots V S_{r_{k-1}, s_{k-1}}^{(r)} \vee S_{r_{k}, s_{k}}^{(r)} \\
& =A \vee S_{r_{k}, s_{k}}^{(r)}, \quad \text { where } \quad A=S_{r_{1}, s_{1}}^{(r)} \vee S_{r_{2}, s_{2}}^{(r)} \vee \ldots \vee S_{r_{k-1}, s_{k-1}}^{(r)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the result is proved for all $m=k-1$ and using the fact that the result is proved for each pair and since the result is already proved for $k=2$, it follows by induction hypothesis that result holds for $m=k$ also. That is,

$$
\pi=\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r\left(r_{i}+s_{i}-1\right)\right)^{r_{j}},\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r r_{i}+\sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{k} r s_{i}\right)^{s_{j}}\right), \quad \text { for } j=1,2, \ldots, k
$$

This proves the lemma.
Lemma 17 A non-increasing integer sequence $\pi=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathfrak{n}}\right)$ is potentially $A_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$-graphic if and only if it is potentially $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$-graphic.

Proof. We only need to prove that if $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ is potentially $S_{L, M^{-}}^{(r)}$ graphic, then it is potentially $A_{L, M}^{(r)}$-graphic. We choose a realization $G$ of $\pi$ with vertex set $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ such that $d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)=d_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq$ $n$, the induced $r$-subgraph $\mathrm{G}\left[\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}\right\}\right]$ of $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}\right\}$ in G contains $S_{L, M}^{(r)}$ as its r-subgraph and $\left|V\left(K_{L}^{(r)}\right) \cap\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{L}\right\}\right|$ is maximum. Denote $H=$ $\mathrm{G}\left[\left\{\nu_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}\right\}\right]$. If $\left|\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right) \cap\left\{\nu_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}\right|=\mathrm{L}$, that is, $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}$, then $\pi$ is potentially $A_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$-graphic. Assume that $\left|\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right) \cap\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}\right|<\mathrm{L}$. Then there exists $v_{i} \in\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\} \backslash \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right)$ and a $v_{\mathrm{j}} \in \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right) \backslash\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}$. Let $A=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(v_{j}\right) \backslash\left(\left\{v_{i}\right\} \cup \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)$ and $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G}}\left(v_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \backslash\left(\left\{v_{j}\right\} \cup \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G}}\left(v_{j}\right)\right)$. Since $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{G}}\left(v_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \geq \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{G}}\left(v_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$, we have $|\mathrm{B}| \geq|A|$. Let C be any subset of B such that $|C|=|A|$. Now form a new realization $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ of $\pi$ by a sequence of $r$-exchanges to the $r$-edges of the star centralized at $v_{j}$ with end vertices in $A$ with the non $r$-edges of the star centralized at $v_{j}$ with end vertices in $C$, and by a sequence of $r$-exchange the $r$-edges of the star centralized at $v_{i}$ with end vertices in $C$ with the non $r$-edges of the star centralized at $v_{i}$ with end vertices in $A$. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ contains $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{rr})}$ on $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots \nu_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}\right\}$ so that $\left|\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right) \cap\left\{\nu_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}\right|$ is larger than that of G , which contradicts to the choice of G .

We use the Havel-Hakimi procedure to test whether or not an r-graphic sequence $\pi$ is potentially $\lambda_{L, M}^{(r)}$-graphic.

Theorem 18 For $\mathrm{r} \geq 1$ and $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$, an r -graphic sequence $\pi=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is potentially $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$-graphic if and only if $\pi_{\mathrm{L}}$ is r -graphic.

Proof. Assume that $\pi$ is potentially $A_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$-graphic. Then $\pi$ has a realization G with the vertex set $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ such that $d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)=d_{i}$ for $(1 \leq i \leq n)$
and $G$ contains $S_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$ on the vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}$, where $\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M} \leq \mathrm{n}$, so that $\mathrm{V}^{(\mathrm{r})}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right)=\left\{v_{\mathrm{L}+1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}\right\}$. By applying a sequence of $r$-exchanges to $G$ in order we will show that there is one such realization $G^{\prime}$ such that $G^{\prime} \backslash \nu_{1}$ has degree sequence $\pi_{1}$. If not, we may choose such a realization H of r -graphic sequence $\pi$ such that the number of vertices adjacent to $v_{1}$ in $\left\{v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}+1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{d}_{1}+1}\right\}$ is maximum. Let $v_{\mathrm{i}} \in\left\{v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}+1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{d}_{1}+1}\right\}$ and assume that there is no edge between $v_{1}$ and $v_{i}$ and let $v_{j} \in\left\{v_{d_{1}+2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and there are $r$ edges between $\nu_{1}$ and $\nu_{j}$. We may assume that $d_{i}>d_{j}$. Hence there is a vertex $\nu_{t}, t \neq i, j$ such that there are $r$ edges between $v_{i}$ and $\nu_{t}$ and no edge between $v_{j}$ and $v_{\mathrm{t}}$. Clearly $\mathrm{G}=\left(\mathrm{H} \backslash\left\{v_{1}^{(\mathrm{r})} v_{j}, v_{i}^{(\mathrm{r})} \nu_{\mathrm{t}}\right\}\right) \bigcup\left\{v_{1}^{(\mathrm{r})} v_{i}, v_{\mathrm{j}}^{(\mathrm{r})} v_{\mathrm{t}}\right\}$ (where $\nu_{i}^{(r)} \nu_{j}$ means that there are $r$ edges between $\nu_{i}$ and $\nu_{j}$ ) is a realization of $\pi$ such that $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{G}}\left(v_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $1 \leq \mathfrak{i} \leq \mathrm{n}$, G contains $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$ on $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}$ with $\mathrm{V}^{(\mathrm{r})}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)=\left\{\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right)=\left\{\nu_{\mathrm{L}+1}, \ldots, \nu_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}\right\}$ and G has the number of vertices adjacent to $v_{1}$ in $\left\{v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}+1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{d}_{1}+1}\right\}$ larger than that of H . This contradicts the choice of $H$. Repeating this procedure, we can see that $\pi_{i}$ is potentially $A_{L-i}^{(r)}$-graphic successively for $i=2, \ldots, L$. In particular, $\pi_{L}$ is r-graphic.

Conversely, suppose that $\pi_{\mathrm{L}}$ is r -graphic and is realized by a graph $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{L}}$ with a vertex set $V\left(G_{L}\right)=\left\{v_{L+1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ such that $d_{G_{L}}\left(v_{i}\right)=d_{i}$ for $L+1 \leq$ $i \leq n$. For $i=L, L-1 \ldots, 1$ form $G_{i-1}$ from $G_{i}$ by adding a new vertex $v_{i}$ that is adjacent to each of $v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}$ with $r$-edges and also to the vertices of $G_{i}$ with degrees $s_{L+M+1}^{i-1}-r, \ldots, d_{d_{i}+1}^{i-1}-r$. Then for each $i, G_{i}$ has degrees given by $\pi_{i}$ and $G_{i}$ contains $S_{L-i, M}^{(r)}$ on $L+M-i$ vertices $v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{L+M}$ whose degrees are $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}+1}-\mathrm{ir}, \ldots, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}-\mathrm{ir}$ so that $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{i}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right)=\left\{v_{\mathrm{i}+1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right)=\left\{\nu_{\mathrm{L}+1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}\right\}$. In particular, $\mathrm{G}_{0}$ has degrees given by $\pi$ and contains $S_{L, M}^{(r)}$ on $L+M$ vertices $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{L+M}$ whose degrees are $\mathrm{d}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}$ so that $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right)=\left\{v_{\mathrm{L}+1}, \ldots, \nu_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}\right\}$. Hence the result follows.

The following is a sufficient condition for an r-graphic sequence to be potentially $A_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}^{-}}^{(\mathrm{gr})}$ graphic.

Theorem 19 Let $\mathrm{n} \geq \mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}$ and let $\pi=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ be an r -graphic sequence. If $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}} \geq 2 \mathrm{rL}+\mathrm{rM}-2$, then $\pi$ is potentially $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$-graphic.

Proof. Let $n \geq L+M$ and let $\pi=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be a non-increasing $r$ graphic sequence with $d_{L+M} \geq 2 r L+r M-2$. By using the argument similar
to Theorem $8, \pi$ is potentially $K_{L}^{(r)}$-graphic and hence by Lemma $17, A_{L}^{(r)}$ graphic. Therefore, we assume that $G$ is a realization of $\pi$ with a vertex set $V(G)=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{G}}\left(v_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}},(1 \leq \mathfrak{i} \leq \mathrm{n})$ and $G$ contains $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$ on $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$, that is, $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}$ and

$$
\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{G}}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{r}_{1}}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\},\left\{v_{\mathrm{L}+1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{s}_{1}}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}\right\}\right)
$$

(that is, the number of edges between $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{\mathrm{L}+1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}\right\}$ ) is maximum. If $t=r L M+r s_{1} s_{2}+s \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} r s_{i}$, for $j=3,4, \ldots, p$, then the cardinality of the edge set of $S_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$ is same as $t$ and therefore $G$ contains $S_{\mathrm{L}, M}^{(\mathrm{r})}$ on the vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}$ with $\mathrm{V}^{(\mathrm{r})}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{M}}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}$ and

$$
\mathrm{V}\left(\overline{\mathrm{~K}}^{(\mathrm{r})} \mathrm{M}\right)=\left\{v_{\mathrm{L}+1}, v_{\mathrm{L}+2}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{s}_{1}}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}\right\}
$$

In other-words, $\pi$ is potentially $\bar{A}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}^{-}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$ graphic. Assume that $\mathrm{t}<\left\{r \mathrm{LM}+\mathrm{rs} \mathrm{s}_{1} \mathrm{~s}_{2}+\right.$ $\left.s_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} r_{s_{i}}\right\}$, for $j=3,4, \ldots, p$. Then there exists a $v_{k} \in\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{s_{i}}\right\}$ and $v_{\mathrm{m}} \in\left\{v_{s_{\mathrm{i}}+1}, v_{s_{i}+2}, \ldots, v_{s_{i}+s_{j}}\right\},(\mathfrak{i} \neq \mathfrak{j})$ such that $v_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{r}} v_{\mathrm{m}} \notin \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})$. Let

$$
A=N_{G} \backslash\left\{v_{s_{i}+1}, v_{\left.s_{i}+2, \ldots, v_{s_{i}+s_{j}}\right\}}\left(v_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \backslash \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G} \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{s_{\mathrm{i}}}\right\}}\left(v_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\right.
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G} \backslash\left\{v_{s_{i}+1}, v_{s_{i}+2}, \ldots, v_{s_{i}+s_{j}}\right\}}\left(v_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \cap \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G} \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{s_{i}}\right\}}\left(v_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) .
$$

Then $e_{G}(x, y)=r$ for $x \in N_{G \backslash\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{L}\right\}}\left(v_{m}\right)$ and $y \in N_{G \backslash\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{L+M}\right\}}\left(v_{k}\right)$. Otherwise, if $e_{G}(x, y)<r$, then $G^{\prime}=\left(G \backslash\left\{v^{(r)} y, v_{m}^{(r)} x\right\}\right) \cup\left\{v_{\mathrm{k}}^{(r)} v_{m}, x^{(r)} y\right\}$ is a realization of $\pi$ and contains $\bar{S}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$ on $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}$ with $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}$ and $\left(\bar{K}_{M}^{(r)}\right)=\left\{v_{L+1}, \ldots, v_{L+M}\right\}$ such that

$$
e_{G^{\prime}}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\},\left\{v_{\mathrm{L}+1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}\right\}\right)>\mathrm{t}
$$

which contradicts the choice of G . Thus B is r -complete. We consider the following cases.

Let $\mathcal{A}=\emptyset$. Then $2 r L+r M-2 \leq d_{k}=d_{G}\left(v_{k}\right)<r L+r M-1+r|B|$, and so $|\mathrm{B}| \geq r \mathrm{~L}$. Since each vertex in $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G} \backslash v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}}\left(v_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ is adjacent to each vertex in B by $r$ edges and $\left.\mid \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G} \backslash\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}}\right\}\left(v_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \mid \geq 2 \mathrm{rL}+\mathrm{rM}-2=\mathrm{rL}+\mathrm{rM}-1$. It can be easily seen that the $r$ induced subgraph of $N_{G \backslash\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}}\left(v_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \cup\left\{v_{\mathrm{m}}\right\}$ in $G$ contains $\bar{S}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(r)}$
as a subgraph. Thus $\pi$ is potentially $\bar{A}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}^{-}}^{(\mathrm{rr})}$ graphic.
Let $A \neq \emptyset$. Let $a \in A$. If there are $x, y \in N_{G \backslash\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{L}\right\}}\left(v_{m}\right)$ such that $e_{G}(x, y)<r$ then $G^{\prime}=\left(G \backslash\left\{v_{m}^{(r)} x, v_{m}^{(r)} y, v_{k}^{(r)} a\right\}\right) \cup\left\{v_{k}^{(r)} v_{m}, a^{(r)} v_{m}, x^{(r)} y\right\}$ is a realization of $\pi$ and contains $\bar{S}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$ on $\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}$ with $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right)=\left\{\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{V}\left(\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right)=\left\{v_{\mathrm{L}+1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}\right\}$ such that $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{G}^{\prime}}\left(\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\},\left\{v_{\mathrm{L}+1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}}\right\}\right)>\mathrm{t}$ which contradicts the choice of G . Thus $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G} \backslash\left\{\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ is r-complete. Since

$$
\left|\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G} \backslash\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}}\left(v_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\right| \geq \mathrm{rL}+\mathrm{rM}-1 \quad \text { and } \quad e_{\mathrm{G}}\left(v_{\mathrm{m}}, z\right)=\mathrm{r}
$$

for any $z \in \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{G} \backslash\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}}\left(v_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, it is easy to see that the induced $r$-subgraph of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G} \backslash\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{L}}\right\}}\left(v_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \cup\left\{v_{\mathrm{m}}\right\}$ in G is r -complete, and so contains $\bar{S}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(\mathrm{r})}$ as a r-subgraph. Thus $\pi$ is potentially $\overline{\bar{A}}_{\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M}}^{(r)}$-graphic.

Theorem 20 If $\pi=\left(\mathrm{d}_{1}, \mathrm{~d}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is an r -graphic sequence such that $\sigma(\pi)$ is at least $\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}-3 \mathrm{n}+8\right) \mathrm{r}$, then $\pi$ is potentially $\mathrm{K}_{4}^{(\mathrm{r})}$-graphic.

Proof. Let $\pi=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be an $r$-graphic sequence such that $d_{1} \geq d_{2} \geq$ $\ldots \geq d_{n} \geq 1$ and $\sigma(\pi)=\left(n^{2}-3 n+8\right) r$. Suppose $G$ is a graphical realization of $\pi$ and $e(G)$ is the size of $G$. Then $2 e(G)=\sigma(\pi)$ and $2 e\left(G^{c}\right)=n b(n-1)-$ $\sigma(\pi)=n r(n-1)-\left(n^{2}-3 n+6\right) r=r(2 n-6)$, so that $e\left(G^{c}\right)=r(n-3)$, where $\mathrm{G}^{\mathfrak{c}}$ is the complement of the r-graph $G$. An extremal problem is $r$-graph $G$ is obtained by deleting $r(n-3)$ independent edges from the complete $r$-graph $K_{n}^{(r)}$ of order $n$. Hence the largest vertex number of independent sets in $G^{c}$ is 3. This implies that the largest possible complete $r$-subgraph of $G$ is of order 3. As $1 \leq n-3 \leq 3$. Hence there is no complete $r$-subgraph of order 4 in $G$. Therefore, we have

$$
\sigma\left(K_{4}^{(r)}, n\right) \geq\left(n^{2}-3 n+6\right) r+2 r=\left(n^{2}-3 n+8\right) r
$$

Now Suppose that $\pi=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ is $r$-graphic sequence with $d_{1} \geq d_{2} \geq$ $\ldots \geq d_{n} \geq r$ and $\sigma(\pi) \geq\left(n^{2}-3 n+8\right) r$. Then every graphical realization $G$ of $\pi$ is obtained by removing at most $r(n-4)$ edges from the r-complete graph $\mathrm{K}_{n}^{(r)}$. Hence the maximal complete subgraph of G has order at least $n-(n-4)=4$. Thus $G$ is potentially $K_{4}^{(r)}$. In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(K_{4}^{(r)}, n\right) \leq\left(n^{2}-3 n+8\right) r \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3) and (4), the result follows.
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