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#### Abstract

Let $p$ be a prime number. In this paper, we work on the efficiency of the $p$-groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ defined by the presentations, $$
\mathcal{P}_{G_{1}}=\left\langle a, b, c ; a b=b a c, b c=c b, a c=c a, a^{p^{\alpha}}=1, b^{p^{\beta}}=1, c^{p^{\gamma}}=1\right\rangle
$$ where $\alpha \geq \beta>\gamma \geq 1$ and $$
\mathcal{P}_{G_{2}}=\left\langle a, b ; a b=b a^{1+p^{\alpha-\gamma}}, a^{p^{\alpha}}=1, b^{p^{\beta}}=1\right\rangle
$$ where $\alpha \geq 2 \gamma, \beta>\gamma \geq 1$ and $\alpha+\beta>3$. For example, if we let $p=2$, then by [1], the groups defined by these presentations becomes 2 -groups. It is known that these groups play an important role in the theory of groups of nilpotency class 2 .


## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a finitely presented group with a presentation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}=\langle\mathbf{x} ; \mathbf{r}\rangle . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the deficiency of this presentation is defined by $|\mathbf{r}|-|\mathbf{x}|$, and is denoted by $\operatorname{def}(\mathcal{P})$. Moreover, the group deficiency of a finitely presented group $G$ is given by
$\operatorname{def}_{G}(G)=\min \{\operatorname{def}(\mathcal{P}): \mathcal{P}$ is a finite group presentation for $G\}$.

[^0]One can apply similar definitions for the semigroup deficiency of a finitely presented semigroup $S, \operatorname{de} f_{S}(S)$. Let us consider the second integral homology $H_{2}(G)$ of a finite group $G$. It is well known that the group $G$ (or semigroup $S$ ) is efficient as a group (or as a semigroup), if we have $\operatorname{def}_{G}(G)=\operatorname{rank}\left(H_{2}(G)\right)$ (or $\operatorname{def}_{S}(S)=\operatorname{rank}\left(H_{2}\left(S^{1}\right)\right.$ ) where $S^{1}$ is obtained from $S$ by adjoining an identity). We can refer to the reader $[2,3,8,9,10]$ for more details.

One of the most effective way to show efficiency for the group $G$ is to use spherical pictures ([7, 18]) over $\mathcal{P}$. These geometric configurations are the representative elements of the second homotopy group $\pi_{2}(\mathcal{P})$ of $\mathcal{P}$ which is a left $\mathbb{Z} G$-module. They are denoted by $\mathbb{P}$.

Suppose $\mathbf{Y}$ is a collection of spherical pictures over $\mathbb{P}$. Then, by [18], one can define the additional operation on spherical pictures. Allowing this additional operation leads to the notion of equivalence (rel $\mathbf{Y}$ ) of spherical pictures. Then, again in [18], Pride proved that the elements $\langle\mathbb{P}\rangle(\mathbb{P} \in \mathbf{Y})$ generate $\pi_{2}(\mathcal{P})$ as a module if and only if every spherical picture is equivalent (rel $\mathbf{Y}$ ) to the empty picture. Therefore one can easily say that if the elements $\langle\mathbb{P}\rangle(\mathbb{P} \in \mathbf{Y})$ generate $\pi_{2}(\mathcal{P})$, then $\mathbf{Y}$ generates $\pi_{2}(\mathcal{P})$.

For any picture $\mathbb{P}$ over $\mathcal{P}$ and for any $R \in \mathbf{r}$, the exponent sum of $R$ in $\mathbb{P}$, denoted by $\exp _{R}(\mathbb{P})$, is the number of discs of $\mathbb{P}$ labeled by $R$ minus the number of discs labeled by $R^{-1}$. We remark that if any two pictures $\mathbb{P}_{1}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{2}$ are equivalent then $\exp _{R}\left(\mathbb{P}_{1}\right)=\exp _{R}\left(\mathbb{P}_{2}\right)$, for all $R \in \mathbf{r}$. Let $n$ be a nonnegative integer. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is said to be $n$-Cockcroft if $\exp _{R}(\mathbb{P}) \equiv 0(\bmod n)$, (where congruence $(\bmod 0)$ is taken to be equality) for all $R \in \mathbf{r}$ and for all spherical pictures $\mathbb{P}$ over $\mathcal{P}$. Then a group $G$ is said to be $n$-Cockcroft if it admits an $n$-Cockcroft presentation. To verify that the $n$-Cockcroft property holds, it is enough to check for pictures $\mathbb{P} \in \mathbf{Y}$, where $\mathbf{Y}$ is a set of generating pictures. The case $n=0$ is just called Cockcroft. One can refer [11], [13], [14], [15] and [17] for the Cockcroft property and [9], [17] for the $n$-Cockcroft property.

The subject efficiency, for the presentation $\mathcal{P}$ as in (1) and so for the group $G$, is related to the $q$-Cockcroft property (see Theorem 1.1 below). We can refer, for example, [4] and [10] for the definition and applications of efficiency. We then have the following result.

Theorem 1.1 ([12, 17]). Let $\mathcal{P}$ be as in (1). Then $\mathcal{P}$ is efficient if and only if it is $q$-Cockcroft for some prime $q$.

## 2 Main results

In [6], Bacon and Kappe worked on two-generator p-groups of nilpotency class 2 where $p \neq 2$. Also, in [16], Kappe, Sarmin and Visscher worked on
two-generator 2-groups of nilpotency class 2. Also let us consider the following semigroups defined by the presentations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle a, b, c ; a b=b a c, b c=c b, a c=c a, a^{p^{\alpha}+1}=a, b^{p^{\beta}+1}=b, c^{p^{\gamma}+1}=c\right\rangle \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma \geq 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle a, b ; a b=b a^{1+p^{\alpha-\gamma}}, a^{p^{\alpha}+1}=a, b^{p^{\beta}+1}=b\right\rangle \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \geq 2 \gamma, \beta \geq \gamma \geq 1$ and $\alpha+\beta>3$. In [1], the authors showed that the semigroups defined by the presentations (2) and (3) have the orders

$$
p^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}+p^{\alpha}+p^{\beta}+p^{\gamma}+p^{\alpha+\beta}+p^{\beta+\gamma}+p^{\alpha+\gamma} \text { and } p^{\alpha+\beta}+p^{\alpha}+p^{\beta}
$$

respectively.
Now let us again think the following presentations for the groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ which are given in abstract

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{G_{1}}=\left\langle a, b, c ; a b=b a c, b c=c b, a c=c a, a^{p^{\alpha}}=1, b^{p^{\beta}}=1, c^{p^{\gamma}}=1\right\rangle \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma \geq 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{G_{2}}=\left\langle a, b ; a b=b a^{1+p^{\alpha-\gamma}}, a^{p^{\alpha}}=1, b^{p^{\beta}}=1\right\rangle \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \geq 2 \gamma, \beta \geq \gamma \geq 1$ and $\alpha+\beta>3$. In [1], the authors showed that the groups defined by the presentations (4) and (5) have the orders

$$
p^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \text { and } p^{\alpha+\beta}
$$

In this paper, our aim is to study on the efficieny of the groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ presented by (4) and (5), by using the works given $[2,3,5,8,9,10]$.

Therefore we can give the main results of this paper as follows.
Theorem 2.1. For every prime number $p$ and integers $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ with $\alpha \geq$ $\beta>\gamma \geq 1$, the group $G_{1}$ presented by (4) is efficient.

Theorem 2.2. For every prime number $p$ and integers $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ with $\alpha \geq$ $2 \gamma, \beta>\gamma \geq 1$ and $\alpha+\beta>3$, the group $G_{2}$ presented by (5) is efficient.

## 3 Proof of the main results

### 3.1 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Consider the group $G_{1}$. Since we have the following relations $a b=b a c, b c=$ $c b, a c=c a, a^{p^{\alpha}}=1, b^{p^{\beta}}=1, c^{p^{\gamma}}=1$, we have to think about the following


Figure 1
overlapping word pairs $a b^{p^{\beta}}, a^{p^{\alpha}} b, a c^{p^{\gamma}}, b c^{p^{\gamma}}, a^{p^{\alpha}} c$ and $b^{p^{\beta}} c$ for defining the elements of $\pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{G_{1}}\right)$. It is known that spherical pictures which are obtained from the resolutions of these pairs give the elements of $\pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{G_{1}}\right)$ by [5].

Now, let us consider the pairs $a b^{p^{\beta}}$ and $a^{p^{\alpha}} b$. Then by using the relations of the group $G_{1}$, the resolutions for these pairs can be given as pictures $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{2}$, respectively in Figure 1.

Now, let us also consider the discs in the pictures $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{2}$. To prove this theorem, we need to count the exponent sums of the discs in these pictures. So let us calculate the number of $S_{1}$-discs, $S_{2}$-discs, $S_{3}$-discs, $S_{4}$-discs, $S_{5}$-discs and $S_{6}$-discs in $\mathbf{P}_{1}, \mathbf{P}_{2}$ where $S_{1}: b^{p^{\beta}}=1, S_{2}: c^{p^{\gamma}}=1, S_{3}: a b=b a c$, $S_{4}: a^{p^{\alpha}}=1, S_{5}: b c=c b$ and $S_{6}: a c=c a$. At this point, it can be seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp _{S_{1}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}\right)=1-1=0, \quad \exp _{S_{2}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}\right)=p^{\beta-\gamma} \\
& \exp _{S_{2}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{2}\right)=p^{\alpha-\gamma}, \quad \exp _{S_{3}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}\right)=p^{\beta} \\
& \exp _{S_{3}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{2}\right)=p^{\alpha}, \quad \exp _{S_{4}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{2}\right)=1-1=0 \\
& \exp _{S_{5}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}\right)=1+2+3+\cdots+\left(p^{\beta}-1\right)=\frac{\left(p^{\beta}-1\right) p^{\beta}}{2} \\
& \exp _{S_{6}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{2}\right)=1+2+3+\cdots+\left(p^{\alpha}-1\right)=\frac{\left(p^{\alpha}-1\right) p^{\alpha}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$
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and to $q$-Cockcroft property be hold for some prime $q$, we need to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp _{S_{2}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q) \quad \Leftrightarrow p^{\beta-\gamma} \equiv 0(\bmod q), \\
& \exp _{S_{2}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{2}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q) \quad \Leftrightarrow p^{\alpha-\gamma} \equiv 0(\bmod q), \\
& \exp _{S_{3}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q) \quad \Leftrightarrow p^{\beta} \equiv 0(\bmod q), \\
& \exp _{S_{3}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{2}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q) \quad \Leftrightarrow p^{\alpha} \equiv 0(\bmod q), \\
& \exp _{S_{5}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{\left(p^{\beta}-1\right) p^{\beta}}{2} \equiv 0(\bmod q), \\
& \exp _{S_{6}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{2}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q)
\end{aligned} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{\left(p^{\alpha}-1\right) p^{\alpha}}{2} \equiv 0(\bmod q) .
$$

Now, let us consider the pairs $a c^{p^{\gamma}}$ and $b c^{p^{\gamma}}$. Then by using the relations $S_{2}, S_{5}$ and $S_{6}$, the resolutions for these pairs can be given as pictures $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{4}$, respectively in Figure 2.

Similarly, as in the above, we need to count the exponent sums of the discs in these pictures. Therefore let us give the number of $S_{2}$-discs, $S_{5}$-discs and $S_{6}$-discs in $\mathbf{P}_{3}, \mathbf{P}_{4}$ as follows;

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\exp _{S_{2}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}\right)=1-1=0, & \exp _{S_{2}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{4}\right)=1-1=0 \\
\exp _{S_{5}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{4}\right)=p^{\gamma}, & \exp _{S_{6}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}\right)=p^{\gamma} .
\end{array}
$$

So in order to give $q$-Cockcroft property for some prime $q$, we need to have

$$
\exp _{S_{5}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{4}\right)=\exp _{S_{6}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{3}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p^{\gamma} \equiv 0(\bmod q) .
$$

Similarly, let us consider the pairs $a^{p^{\alpha}} c$ and $b^{p^{\beta}} c$. Then by using the relations $S_{1}, S_{4}, S_{5}$ and $S_{6}$, the resolutions for these pairs can be given as pictures $\mathbf{P}_{5}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{6}$, respectively in Figure 3.
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Here one can give the exponent sums of the discs in these pictures as follows;

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\exp _{S_{1}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{6}\right)=1-1=0, & \exp _{S_{4}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{5}\right)=1-1=0 \\
\exp _{S_{5}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{6}\right)=p^{\beta}, & \exp _{S_{6}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{5}\right)=p^{\alpha}
\end{array}
$$

Thus in order to give $q$-Cockcroft property for some prime $q$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp _{S_{5}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{6}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p^{\beta} \equiv 0(\bmod q) \\
& \exp _{S_{6}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{5}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p^{\alpha} \equiv 0(\bmod q)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 4
Also let us consider the pictures in Figure 4. Here we have
$\exp _{S_{1}}\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}\right)=1-1=0, \quad \exp _{S_{2}}\left(\mathbf{C}_{2}\right)=1-1=0, \quad \exp _{S_{4}}\left(\mathbf{C}_{3}\right)=1-1=0$.
Finally, we can see that $\pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{G_{1}}\right)$ consists of the pictures $\mathbf{P}_{1}, \mathbf{P}_{2}, \mathbf{P}_{3}, \mathbf{P}_{4}, \mathbf{P}_{5}$, $\mathbf{P}_{6}, \mathbf{C}_{1}, \mathbf{C}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{3}$. Thus in order to get $q$-Cockcroft property, we must calculate the exponent sums of the discs in these pictures. Then, by using the
above arguments, for getting $q$-Cockcroft property for some prime $q$, we must have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\beta-\gamma} & \equiv 0(\bmod q), \quad p^{\alpha-\gamma} \equiv 0(\bmod q) \\
p^{\beta} & \equiv 0(\bmod q), \quad p^{\alpha} \equiv 0(\bmod q), p^{\gamma} \equiv 0(\bmod q) \\
\frac{\left(p^{\beta}-1\right) p^{\beta}}{2} & \equiv 0(\bmod q), \frac{\left(p^{\alpha}-1\right) p^{\alpha}}{2} \equiv 0(\bmod q)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Theorem 1.1 we may say that the group $G_{1}$ is efficient if and only if it is $q$-Cockcroft for some prime $q$. At this point, since we have $\alpha \geq \beta>\gamma \geq 1$, then we choose $p=q$. This gives that the group $G_{1}$ presented by (4) is $q$ Cockcroft. This says that $G_{1}$ is efficient.

Remark 3.1. We realised that we choose $\alpha \geq \beta>\gamma \geq 1$. If we choose $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma \geq 1$, then we may have $\beta=\gamma$ or $\alpha=\gamma$. This gives that $p^{\beta-\gamma}=$ $p^{0}=1$ is not equivalent to 0 by the modulo $q$ or $p^{\alpha-\gamma}=p^{0}=1$ is not equivalent to 0 by the modulo $q$, for some prime $q$. Also, for $p=2$, if we choose $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma \geq 1$, then we may have $\beta=1$ or $\alpha=1$. This says that $\frac{\left(p^{\beta}-1\right) p^{\beta}}{2}=1$ is not equivalent to 0 by the modulo $q$ or $\frac{\left(p^{\alpha}-1\right) p^{\alpha}}{2}=1$ is not equivalent to 0 by the modulo $q$, for some prime $q$.

Remark 3.2. In [3, 8], it was shown that for a finitely presented group $G$ with non-negative deficiency we have $\operatorname{de} f_{S}(G)=d e f_{G}(G)$. This says that a group $G$ with non-negative deficiency is efficient as a group if and only if $G$ is efficient as a semigroup. Therefore, since the group $G_{1}$ presented by (4) has non-negative deficiency and it is efficient as a group, then it is also efficient as a semigroup. Hence we get that the semigroup related to the certain group presentation (2) is also efficient.

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 2.2

Let us consider the group $G_{2}$. Here we have the following relations $a^{p^{\alpha}}=1$, $b^{p^{\beta}}=1$ and $a b=b a^{1+p^{\alpha-\gamma}}$. Thus we cocern about the following overlapping word pairs $a b^{p^{\beta}}$ and $a^{p^{\alpha}} b$ for defining the elements of $\pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{G_{2}}\right)$.

Now, let us consider the pairs $a b^{p^{\beta}}$ and $a^{p^{\alpha}} b$. Then by using the relations of the group $G_{2}$, the resolutions for these pairs can be given as pictures $\mathbf{K}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{K}_{2}$, respectively in Figure 5.

Now, let us also think the discs in the pictures $\mathbf{K}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{K}_{2}$. To prove this theorem, we need to count the exponent sums of the discs in these pictures. So let us calculate the number of $R_{1}$-discs, $R_{2}$-discs and $R_{3}$-discs in $\mathbf{K}_{1}, \mathbf{K}_{2}$
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where $R_{1}: a^{p^{\alpha}}=1, R_{2}: b^{p^{\beta}}=1$ and $R_{3}: a b=b a^{1+p^{\alpha-\gamma}}$. Here, it is seen that
$\exp _{R_{1}}\left(\mathbf{K}_{1}\right)=\frac{\left(1+p^{\alpha-\gamma}\right)^{p^{\beta}}-1}{p^{\alpha}}$,
$\exp _{R_{1}}\left(\mathbf{K}_{2}\right)=\frac{p^{\alpha}\left(1+p^{\alpha-\gamma}\right)}{p^{\alpha}}-1=p^{\alpha-\gamma}$, $\exp _{R_{2}}\left(\mathbf{K}_{1}\right)=1-1=0$,
$\exp _{R_{3}}\left(\mathbf{K}_{1}\right)=1+\left(1+p^{\alpha-\gamma}\right)+\left(1+p^{\alpha-\gamma}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(1+p^{\alpha-\gamma}\right)^{p^{\beta}-1}=\frac{\left(1+p^{\alpha-\gamma}\right)^{p^{\beta}}-1}{p^{\alpha-\gamma}}$, $\exp _{R_{3}}\left(\mathbf{K}_{2}\right)=p^{\alpha}$
and for the $q$-Cockcroft property to be held for some $q$, we need to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp _{R_{1}}\left(\mathbf{K}_{1}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{\left(1+p^{\alpha-\gamma}\right)^{p^{\beta}}-1}{p^{\alpha}} \equiv 0(\bmod q) \\
& \exp _{R_{1}}\left(\mathbf{K}_{2}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p^{\alpha-\gamma} \equiv 0(\bmod q) \\
& \exp _{R_{3}}\left(\mathbf{K}_{1}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{\left(1+p^{\alpha-\gamma}\right)^{p^{\beta}}-1}{p^{\alpha-\gamma}} \equiv 0(\bmod q) \\
& \exp _{R_{3}}\left(\mathbf{K}_{2}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p^{\alpha} \equiv 0(\bmod q)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here let us denote $\frac{\left(1+p^{\alpha-\gamma}\right)^{p^{\beta}}-1}{p^{\alpha}}$ by $A$ and $\frac{\left(1+p^{\alpha-\gamma}\right)^{p^{\beta}}-1}{p^{\alpha-\gamma}}$ by $B$.
Therefore, since we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1+p^{\alpha-\gamma}\right)^{p^{\beta}}-1=p^{\beta} p^{\alpha-\gamma} & +\frac{1}{2} p^{\beta}\left(p^{\beta}-1\right) p^{2(\alpha-\gamma)}+\frac{1}{6} p^{\beta}\left(p^{\beta}-1\right)\left(p^{\beta}-2\right) p^{3(\alpha-\gamma)} \\
& +\cdots+p^{p^{\beta}(\alpha-\gamma)}
\end{aligned}
$$

then we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A=p^{\beta-\gamma} & +\frac{1}{2} p^{\beta}\left(p^{\beta}-1\right) p^{(\alpha-2 \gamma)}+\frac{1}{6} p^{\beta}\left(p^{\beta}-1\right)\left(p^{\beta}-2\right) p^{(2 \alpha-3 \gamma)} \\
& +\cdots+p^{p^{\beta}(\alpha-\gamma)-\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
B=p^{\beta} & +\frac{1}{2} p^{\beta}\left(p^{\beta}-1\right) p^{(\alpha-\gamma)}+\frac{1}{6} p^{\beta}\left(p^{\beta}-1\right)\left(p^{\beta}-2\right) p^{(2 \alpha-2 \gamma)} \\
& +\cdots+p^{p^{\beta}(\alpha-\gamma)-\alpha+\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we can see that $\pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{G_{2}}\right)$ consists of the pictures $\mathbf{K}_{1}, \mathbf{K}_{2}, \mathbf{C}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{3}$. Thus in order to get $q$-Cockcroft property, we must calculate the exponent sums of the discs in these pictures. Then, by using the above arguments, in order to get $q$-Cockcroft property for some prime $q$, we must have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & \equiv 0(\bmod q), \quad p^{\alpha-\gamma} \equiv 0(\bmod q) \\
B & \equiv 0(\bmod q), \quad p^{\alpha} \equiv 0(\bmod q)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Theorem 1.1 we can say that the group $G_{2}$ is efficient if and only if it is $q$-Cockcroft for some prime $q$. Here since we have $\alpha \geq 2 \gamma$ and $\beta>\gamma \geq 1$, then we choose $p=q$. So we get that the group $G_{2}$ presented by (5) is $q$-Cockcroft. This says that $G_{2}$ is efficient.

Remark 3.3. We realised that we take $\beta>\gamma \geq 1$. If we take $\beta \geq \gamma \geq 1$, then we may have $\beta=\gamma$. This says that $A$ is not equivalent to 0 by the modulo $q$ for some prime $q$.

Remark 3.4. By using smilar argumets as in Remark 3.2, since the group $G_{2}$ presented by (5) has non-negative deficiency and it is efficient as a group, then it is also efficient as a semigroup. So we deduce that the semigroup related to the certain group presentation (3) is also efficient.
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