



# A characterization of the quaternion group

*To Professor Mirela Ștefănescu, at her 70th anniversary*

Marius Tărnăuceanu

## Abstract

The goal of this note is to give an elementary characterization of the well-known quaternion group  $Q_8$  by using its subgroup lattice.

## 1 Introduction

One of the most famous finite groups is the quaternion group  $Q_8$ . This is usually defined as the subgroup of the general linear group  $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$  generated by the matrices

$$\mathbf{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{i} = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using matrix multiplication, we have  $Q_8 = \{\pm \mathbf{1}, \pm \mathbf{i}, \pm \mathbf{j}, \pm \mathbf{k}\}$  and  $\mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 = -\mathbf{1}$ ,  $\mathbf{ij} = -\mathbf{ji} = \mathbf{k}$ ,  $\mathbf{jk} = -\mathbf{kj} = \mathbf{i}$ ,  $\mathbf{ki} = -\mathbf{ik} = \mathbf{j}$ . Moreover,  $\mathbf{1}$  is the identity of  $Q_8$  and  $-\mathbf{1}$  commutes with all elements of  $Q_8$ . Remark that  $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$  have order 4 and that any two of them generate the entire group. In this way, a presentation of  $Q_8$  is

$$Q_8 = \langle a, b \mid a^4 = 1, a^2 = b^2, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$$

(take, for instance,  $\mathbf{i} = a, \mathbf{j} = b$  and  $\mathbf{k} = ab$ ). We also observe that the subgroup lattice  $L(Q_8)$  consists of  $Q_8$  itself and of the cyclic subgroups  $\langle \mathbf{1} \rangle, \langle -\mathbf{1} \rangle, \langle \mathbf{i} \rangle, \langle \mathbf{j} \rangle, \langle \mathbf{k} \rangle$ . It is well-known that  $Q_8$  is a hamiltonian group, i.e. a non-abelian group all of whose subgroups are normal. More precisely

Key Words: Quaternion group, Subgroup lattice, Diamond lattice,  $L$ -free group, Almost  $L$ -free group.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 20D30; Secondary 20D60, 20E15.

Received: October, 2011.

Revised: January, 2012.

Accepted: February, 2012.

$Q_8$  is the hamiltonian group with the smallest order.

Other basic properties of the subgroups of  $Q_8$  are the following:

- excepting  $Q_8$ , they are cyclic;
- $\langle -1 \rangle$  is a *breaking point* in the poset of cyclic subgroups of  $Q_8$ , that is any cyclic subgroup of  $Q_8$  either contains  $\langle -1 \rangle$  or is contained in  $\langle -1 \rangle$ ;
- $\langle i \rangle$ ,  $\langle j \rangle$  and  $\langle k \rangle$  are *irredundant*, that is no one is contained in the union of the other two, and they determine a *covering* of  $Q_8$ , that is  $Q_8 = \langle i \rangle \cup \langle j \rangle \cup \langle k \rangle$ .

These properties can be easily extended to some simple but very nice characterizations of  $Q_8$  (see e.g. [7]), namely

$Q_8$  is the unique non-abelian  $p$ -group all of whose proper subgroups are cyclic,

$Q_8$  is the finite non-cyclic group with the smallest order whose poset of cyclic subgroups has a unique breaking point

and

$Q_8$  is the unique non-abelian group that can be covered by any three irredundant proper subgroups,

respectively.

The purpose of this note is to provide a new characterization of  $Q_8$  by using another elementary property of  $L(Q_8)$ . We recall first a subgroup lattice concept introduced by Schmidt [3] (see also [4]). Given a lattice  $L$ , a group  $G$  is said to be  $L$ -free if  $L(G)$  has no sublattice isomorphic to  $L$ . Interesting results about  $L$ -free groups have been obtained for several particular lattices  $L$ , as the diamond lattice  $M_5$  and the pentagon lattice  $N_5$  (recall here only that a group is  $M_5$ -free if and only if it is locally cyclic, and  $N_5$ -free if and only if it is a modular group).

Clearly, for a finite group  $G$  the above concept leads to the more general problem of counting the number of sublattices of  $L(G)$  that are isomorphic to a certain lattice. Following this direction, our next definition is very natural.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $L$  be a lattice. A group  $G$  is called *almost  $L$ -free* if its subgroup lattice  $L(G)$  contains a unique sublattice isomorphic to  $L$ .

Remark that both the Klein's group  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $Q_8$  are almost  $M_5$ -free (it is well-known that  $L(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong M_5$ , while for  $Q_8$  the (unique) diamond is determined by the subgroups  $\langle -1 \rangle$ ,  $\langle i \rangle$ ,  $\langle j \rangle$ ,  $\langle k \rangle$  and  $Q_8$ ). Our main theorem proves that these two groups exhaust all finite almost  $M_5$ -free groups.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite almost  $M_5$ -free group. Then either  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  or  $G \cong Q_8$ .*

In particular, we infer the following characterization of  $Q_8$ .

**Corollary 1.3.**  *$Q_8$  is the unique finite non-abelian almost  $M_5$ -free group.*

Finally, we observe that there is no finite almost  $N_5$ -free group (indeed, if  $G$  would be such a group, then the subgroups that form the pentagon of  $L(G)$  must be normal; in other words, the normal subgroup lattice of  $G$  would not be modular, a contradiction).

Most of our notation is standard and will usually not be repeated here. Basic notions and results on groups can be found in [1] and [5]. For subgroup lattice concepts we refer the reader to [2] and [6].

## 2 Proof of the main theorem

First of all, we prove our main theorem for  $p$ -groups.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite almost  $M_5$ -free  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$ . Then  $p = 2$  and we have either  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  or  $G \cong Q_8$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $M$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ .

If there is  $N \in L(G)$  with  $|N| = p$  and  $N \neq M$ , then  $MN \in L(G)$  and  $MN \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Obviously,  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$  has more than one diamond for  $p \geq 3$ . So, we have  $p = 2$  and we easily infer that  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

If  $M$  is the unique minimal subgroup of  $G$ , then by (4.4) of [5], II,  $G$  is a generalized quaternion 2-group, that is there exists an integer  $n \geq 3$  such that  $G \cong Q_{2^n}$ . If  $n \geq 4$ , then  $G$  contains a subgroup  $H \cong Q_{2^{n-1}}$  and therefore  $G/\Phi(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong H/\Phi(H)$ . This shows that  $G$  has more than one diamond, a contradiction. Hence  $n = 3$  and  $G \cong Q_8$ , as desired. ■

We are now able to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We will proceed by induction on  $|G|$ . Let  $H$  be the top of the unique diamond of  $G$ . We distinguish the following two cases.

**Case 1.**  $H = G$ .

We infer that every proper subgroup of  $G$  is  $M_5$ -free and therefore cyclic. Assume that  $G$  is not a  $p$ -group. Then the Sylow subgroups of  $G$  are cyclic. If all these subgroups would be normal, then  $G$  would be the direct product of its cyclic Sylow subgroups and hence it would be cyclic, a contradiction. It follows that there is a prime  $q$  such that  $G$  has more than one Sylow  $q$ -subgroup. Let  $S, T \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$  with  $S \neq T$ . Since  $S$  and  $T$  are cyclic,  $S \cap T$  is normal in  $S \vee T$  and the quotient  $S \vee T / S \cap T$  is not cyclic (because it contains two different Sylow  $q$ -subgroups). Hence  $S \vee T = G$  and  $G / S \cap T$  is almost  $M_5$ -free. If  $S \cap T \neq 1$ , then the inductive hypothesis would imply that  $G / S \cap T$  would be a 2-group (isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  or to  $Q_8$ ), contradicting the fact that it has two different Sylow  $q$ -subgroups. Thus  $S \cap T = 1$ . This shows that  $\text{Syl}_q(G) \cup \{1, G\}$  is a sublattice of  $L(G)$ . Since  $G$  is almost  $M_5$ -free, one obtains  $|\text{Syl}_q(G)| = 3$ . By Sylow's theorem we infer that  $q = 2$  and  $|G : N_G(S)| = |\text{Syl}_q(G)| = 3$ . In this way, we can choose a 3-element  $x \in G \setminus N_G(S)$ . It follows that  $X = \langle x \rangle$  operates transitively on  $\text{Syl}_q(G)$ . Then for every  $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$ , we have  $Q \vee X \geq Q \vee Q^x = G$  and consequently  $Q \vee X = G$ . On the other hand, we obviously have  $Q \cap X = 1$  because  $Q$  and  $X$  are of coprime orders. So  $\{1, S, T, X, G\}$  is a second sublattice of  $L(G)$  isomorphic to  $M_5$ , contradicting our hypothesis. Hence  $G$  is a  $p$ -group and the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.1.

**Case 2.**  $H \neq G$ .

By the inductive hypothesis we have either  $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  or  $H \cong Q_8$ . We also infer that  $H$  is the unique Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $p$  be an odd prime dividing  $|G|$  and  $K$  be a subgroup of order  $p$  of  $G$ . Then  $HK$  is an almost  $M_5$ -free subgroup of  $G$ , which is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  or to  $Q_8$ . This shows that  $HK = G$ . Denote by  $n_p$  the number of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ . If  $n_p = 1$ , then either  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_p$  or  $G \cong Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ . It is clear that the subgroup lattices of these two direct products contain more than one diamond, contradicting our assumption. If  $n_p \neq 1$ , then  $n_p \geq p + 1 \geq 4$  and so we can choose two distinct Sylow  $p$ -subgroups  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ . For  $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  one obtains that  $L_1 = \{1, H, K_1, K_2, G\}$  forms a diamond of  $L(G)$ , which is different from  $L(H)$ , a contradiction. For  $H \cong Q_8$  the same thing can be said by applying a similar argument to the quotient  $G/H_0$ , where  $H_0$  is the (unique) subgroup of order 2 of  $G$ . This completes the proof. ■

We end our note by indicating three open problems concerning this topic.

**Problem 2.2.** Describe the (almost)  $L$ -free groups, where  $L$  is a lattice different from  $M_5$  and  $N_5$ .

**Problem 2.3** Determine explicitly the number of sublattices isomorphic to a given lattice that are contained in the subgroup lattices of some important classes of finite groups.

**Problem 2.4.** Extend the concepts of  $L$ -free group and almost  $L$ -free group to other remarkable posets of subgroups of a group (e.g. what can be said about a group whose normal subgroup lattice/poset of cyclic subgroups contains a certain number of sublattices isomorphic to a given lattice?).

**Acknowledgements.** The author is grateful to the reviewer for its remarks which improve the previous version of the paper.

## References

- [1] Isaacs, I.M., *Finite group theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 2008.
- [2] Schmidt, R., *Subgroup lattices of groups*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 14, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.
- [3] Schmidt, R.,  *$L$ -free groups*, Illinois J. Math. **47** (2003), 515-528.
- [4] Schmidt, R.,  *$L_{10}$ -free groups*, J. Group Theory **10** (2007), 613-631.
- [5] Suzuki, M., *Group theory*, I, II, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1982, 1986.
- [6] Tărnăuceanu, M., *Groups determined by posets of subgroups*, Ed. Matrix Rom, București, 2006.
- [7] Tărnăuceanu, M., *A characterization of generalized quaternion 2-groups*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **348** (2010), 731-733, doi: 10.1016/j.crma.2010.06.016.

Marius Tărnăuceanu  
Faculty of Mathematics  
"Al.I. Cuza" University  
Iași, Romania  
E-mail: tarnauc@uaic.ro