



Magnetic Schrödinger operators with discrete spectra on non-compact Kähler manifolds

Nicolae Anghel

Abstract

We identify a class of magnetic Schrödinger operators on Kähler manifolds which exhibit pure point spectrum. To this end we embed the Schrödinger problem into a Dirac-type problem via a parallel spinor and use a Bochner-Weitzenböck argument to prove our spectral discreteness criterion.

1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be a complete non-compact oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$, with Riemannian metric g , and let a be a *real* 1-form on M , of class C^∞ . Then a induces a metric connection ∇^a on the trivial Hermitian bundle $M \times \mathbf{C}$, identifiable to the first order differential operator

$$C^\infty(M, \mathbf{C}) \ni \phi \mapsto d^a \phi := d\phi + i\phi a \in C^\infty(M, T^*M \otimes \mathbf{C}),$$

where d represents ordinary exterior differentiation and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. As usual, the Riemannian metric allows one to consider pointwise Hermitian products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$, $x \in M$, in the complexified cotangent bundle $T^*M \otimes \mathbf{C}$ and, via the volume form, global (integrated) Hermitian products (\cdot, \cdot) , in the spaces $C_{\text{cpt}}^\infty(M, \mathbf{C})$ and $C_{\text{cpt}}^\infty(M, \mathbf{C} \otimes T^*M)$. With respect to these products the formal adjoint $(d^a)^*$ of d^a can be defined as a first order differential operator,

$$(d^a)^* : C^\infty(M, \mathbf{C} \otimes T^*M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathbf{C}),$$

Key Words: Magnetic Schrödinger operator, Magnetic field, Discrete spectrum, Dirac operator, Kähler manifold.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 35J10, 58J50. Secondary: 35P05, 47F05, 53C55, 81V10.

Received: August, 2011.

Accepted: February, 2012.

and then the magnetic Schrödinger operator (magnetic bottle) with magnetic potential a is the second order differential operator $H_a := (d^a)^* d^a$, viewed as an unbounded operator in $L^2(M, \mathbf{C})$. (see Section 2 for more details). It is known that regardless of a , H_a with domain $C_{\text{cpt}}^\infty(M, \mathbf{C})$ is an essentially self-adjoint operator in $L^2(M, \mathbf{C})$ [S1].

There is a great deal of work, especially on Euclidean spaces $M = \mathbf{R}^n$, dedicated to deciding which magnetic Schrödinger operators H_a have discrete spectrum, that is a spectrum consisting only in isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity [AHS, I, KS, A1]. Typically, these works provide sufficient conditions for spectral discreteness, in terms of the magnetic field B associated to a , $B := da$.

The purpose of this note is to provide one more result along these lines, in the case M is a Kähler manifold with Kähler form ω and Riemannian metric g naturally induced by ω . This result can easily be seen to generalize that of [A1], when n is even.

Theorem. *Let M be a non-compact Kähler manifold with Kähler form ω and Riemannian metric induced by ω . Assume that H_a is a magnetic Schrödinger operator on M associated to a real 1-form a of class C^∞ . Then H_a has discrete spectrum if the real-valued function $\langle B(x), \omega(x) \rangle$ on M , where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the natural pointwise inner product on 2-forms, satisfies the condition*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \langle B(x), \omega(x) \rangle = -\infty. \quad (1)$$

2. Magnetic Schrödinger operators on manifolds

Let (M, g) be a complete non-compact oriented Riemannian (C^∞) manifold of dimension n , equipped with the metric g . On the usual real C^∞ -bundles of p -forms on M , $\Lambda^p(T^*M)$, $0 \leq p \leq n$, consider the standard inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$, $x \in M$. Specifically, if (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) is an oriented local orthonormal frame in the tangent bundle TM , with local dual frame of 1-forms in the cotangent bundle T^*M , $(e_1^*, e_2^*, \dots, e_n^*)$, then a local orthonormal basis of $\Lambda^p(T^*M)$ is $\{e_J^*\}_J$, $e_J^* := e_{j_1}^* \wedge e_{j_2}^* \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_p}^*$, where J runs through the set of all multi-indices $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_p \leq n$.

There is a Levi-Civita metric connection ∇^{LC} on $\Lambda^p(T^*M)$, extending naturally the Levi-Civita connection on T^*M , the exterior product connection; For a local vector field e in TM and local forms v^* in T^*M and ϕ in $\Lambda^p(T^*M)$,

$$\nabla_e^{\text{LC}}(v^* \wedge \phi) = \nabla_e^{\text{LC}} v^* \wedge \phi + v^* \wedge \nabla_e^{\text{LC}} \phi. \quad (2)$$

Denote now by $\Omega^p(M, \mathbf{C}) := C^\infty(M, \Lambda^p(T^*M) \otimes \mathbf{C})$ the Hermitian vector space of C^∞ complex global p -forms and by

$$d : \Omega^p(M, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{p+1}(M, \mathbf{C})$$

the usual exterior differential. In terms of the complexified Levi-Civita metric connection ∇^{LC} on $\Lambda^p(T^*M) \otimes \mathbf{C}$, d can be written locally as

$$d = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j^* \wedge \nabla_{e_j}^{\text{LC}}.$$

Fix now $a \in \Omega^1(M, \mathbf{R})$ a real global 1-form. Then the twisted differential $d^a := d + ia \wedge$, defined on $\Omega^p(M, \mathbf{C})$ by

$$\Omega^p(M, \mathbf{C}) \ni \phi \longmapsto d^a \phi = d\phi + ia \wedge \phi \in \Omega^{p+1}(M, \mathbf{C}),$$

has the local frame counterpart

$$d^a = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j^* \wedge \nabla_{e_j}^{\text{LC}, a},$$

where $\nabla^{\text{LC}, a}$ is the twisted metric connection on $\Lambda^p(T^*M) \otimes \mathbf{C}$ defined by

$$\nabla_v^{\text{LC}, a} \phi = \nabla_v^{\text{LC}} \phi + ia(v)\phi, \quad v \text{ global vector field in } TM, \quad \phi \in \Omega^p(M, \mathbf{C}). \quad (3)$$

For $\phi \in \Omega^p(M, \mathbf{C})$ and $\psi \in \Omega_{\text{cpt}}^p(M, \mathbf{C})$ the global Hermitian product $(\phi, \psi) := \int_M \langle \phi, \psi \rangle d\text{vol}$ induces the formal adjoint $(d^a)^*$ of d^a ,

$$(d^a)^* : \Omega^{p+1}(M, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \Omega^p(M, \mathbf{C}),$$

subject to

$$((d^a)^* \phi, \psi) = (\phi, d^a \psi), \quad \phi \in \Omega^{p+1}(M, \mathbf{C}), \quad \psi \in \Omega_{\text{cpt}}^p(M, \mathbf{C}).$$

It follows that locally

$$(d^a)^* = - \sum_{j=1}^n e_j \lrcorner \nabla_{e_j}^{\text{LC}, a},$$

where $e_j \lrcorner$ denotes interior multiplication (contraction) by the local vector field e_j .

Making in the above discussion $p = 0$ we get a second order differential operator

$$H_a := (d^a)^* d^a : C^\infty(M, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathbf{C}).$$

Seen as an unbounded operator in $L^2(M, \mathbf{C})$, the completion of $C_{\text{cpt}}^\infty(M, \mathbf{C})$ with respect to (\cdot, \cdot) , H_a is called the (scalar) magnetic Schrödinger operator generated by the potential a . It is then a nice exercise to see that in a local frame,

$$H_a = - \sum_{j=1}^n (e_j + ia(e_j))^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\nabla_{e_j}^{\text{LC}} e_j + ia(\nabla_{e_j}^{\text{LC}} e_j) \right).$$

H_a with domain $C_{\text{cpt}}^\infty(M, \mathbf{C})$ can be closed in only one way in $L^2(M, \mathbf{C})$, i.e., H_a is an essentially self-adjoint operator [S1].

In this note we will be interested in reasonably simple conditions on M and a which would ensure that H_a has pure point spectrum. We therefore conclude this section with a general criterion for spectral discreteness.

Proposition 1. *H_a being defined as above, if there is a function $f \in C^0(M, \mathbf{R})$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$, such that*

$$(H_a \phi, \phi) \geq (f \phi, \phi), \quad \phi \in C_{\text{cpt}}^\infty(M, \mathbf{C}), \quad (4)$$

then H_a has discrete spectrum.

Proof. We will supply a somewhat less traditional proof to this proposition. To this end, let $W^2(M, a)$ be the domain of the unique closed extension of H_a from $C_{\text{cpt}}^\infty(M, \mathbf{C})$ into $L^2(M, \mathbf{C})$. $W^2(M, a)$ is the completion of $C_{\text{cpt}}^\infty(M, \mathbf{C})$ with respect to the Sobolev inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_2 := (\cdot, \cdot) + (H_a \cdot, H_a \cdot)$. Since $H_a : W^2(M, a) \rightarrow L^2(M, \mathbf{C})$ is self-adjoint, its spectrum is contained in the real line.

To prove the proposition it suffices to show that for every $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ the operator $H_a - \lambda$ with domain $W^2(M, a)$ is Fredholm, since for any Fredholm operator 0 is an isolated point of its spectrum, and in fact an eigenvalue with finite multiplicity.

Fix now a number $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. The assumption on the function f provides a compact subset K of M such that $f(x) \geq \lambda + 1$, if $x \in M \setminus K$. The hypothesis (4) and the density of $C_{\text{cpt}}^\infty(M, \mathbf{C})$ in $W^2(M, a)$ imply that

$$((H_a - \lambda)\phi, \phi) - ((f - \lambda)\phi, \phi)_K \geq (\phi, \phi)_{M \setminus K}, \quad \phi \in W^2(M, a), \quad (5)$$

where for a subset U of M , $(\cdot, \cdot)_U$ indicates integration is carried out only over U .

As in [A2], $H_a - \lambda$ will be a Fredholm operator if we can show that any sequence $\{\phi_n\}_n$ from $W^2(M, a)$, which is L^2 -bounded and for which $\{(H_a - \lambda)\phi_n\}_n$ is L^2 -convergent, admits a L^2 -convergent subsequence.

Since $\{\phi_n\}_n$ is bounded in the Sobolev norm $\|\cdot\|_2$, by Rellich's lemma [S2] the sequence $\{\phi_n|_K\}_n$ has a convergent subsequence in $L^2(K, \mathbf{C})$ (assumed to be the sequence itself).

The property (5) applied now to the differences $\{\phi_m - \phi_n\}_{m,n}$ shows that $\{\phi_n|_{M \setminus K}\}_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(M \setminus K, \mathbf{C})$. We conclude that $\{\phi_n\}_n$ converges in the L^2 -norm, since its restrictions to K and $M \setminus K$ do so. \square

3. Generalized Dirac operators

As mentioned in the introduction, our spectral discreteness analysis will come about by embedding the magnetic Schrödinger operator formalism into a Dirac-type framework. It is then desirable to briefly review here the concept of generalized Dirac bundle with its associated Dirac operator [GL].

If (M, g) is, as before, a complete non-compact oriented Riemannian manifold of dimension n , let $Cl(M)$ be the real Clifford bundle of algebras induced by the tangent bundle TM and the Riemannian metric g . There is a canonical embedding $TM \subset Cl(M)$, and then the Riemannian metric and Levi-Civita connection extend from TM to $Cl(M)$ in such a way that the connection ∇^{LC} of $Cl(M)$ preserves the metric and acts as a derivation.

A complex bundle of left modules over the bundle of algebras $Cl(M)$, say $S \rightarrow M$, will be called a (generalized) Dirac bundle if S is furnished with a Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and a metric connection ∇^S such that

- i) The action on S by unit vectors in $TM \subset Cl(M)$ is a pointwise isometry.
- ii) The connection ∇^S is compatible with the Clifford multiplication, in the sense that for local sections e in TM , ϕ in $Cl(M)$, and s in S , we have

$$\nabla_e^S(\phi \cdot s) = (\nabla_e^{LC} \phi) \cdot s + \phi \cdot (\nabla_e^S s).$$

Above, the “ \cdot ” indicates the action of $Cl(M)$ on S , while the multiplication in $Cl(M)$ will be simply represented by juxtaposition. Since TM generates $Cl(M)$, the action \cdot of $Cl(M)$ on S is completely determined by its restriction to TM .

There are several fundamental examples and constructs of Dirac bundles associated to M , which are relevant to us:

- a) $S = Cl(M) \otimes \mathbf{C}$. In this case $Cl(M)$ acts on S by left algebra multiplication and ∇^S is the complexification of ∇^{LC} .
- b) $S = \Lambda(T^*M) \otimes \mathbf{C}$. This case, where $\Lambda(T^*M)$ represents the real bundle of exterior forms on M , is relevant to our concept of magnetic Schrödinger operator, in the sense that the scalar concept we work with admits an extension to a concept of exterior form magnetic Schrödinger operator.

If (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) is a local frame in TM then the action \cdot of e_j on S is given by $e_j \cdot = e_j^* \wedge -e_j \lrcorner$. ∇^S is the exterior form extension of the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{LC} on T^*M , cf. (2). In fact case b) coincides with case a) under the canonical vector bundle linear isometry $\Lambda(T^*M) \simeq Cl(M)$, $e_j^* \mapsto e_{j_1} e_{j_2} \dots e_{j_p}$. This is a vector bundle isomorphism which also preserves the Levi-Civita connections, but of course not an algebra bundle isomorphism.

- c) For a Kähler manifold M of complex dimension m [GH] let ω be the Kähler 2-form and let g be the Riemannian metric naturally induced on TM by ω . Then the integrable complex structure J in the tangent bundle TM makes

(TM, g) a Hermitian bundle, and there is a complex linear isometry between (TM, J) and the Hermitian bundle of $(0, 1)$ -forms $T^{*0,1}M \subset T^*M \otimes \mathbf{C}$. Since M is Kähler this isometry takes the Levi-Civita connection of TM to the unique anti-holomorphic Hermitian connection $\nabla_{\bar{z}}$ on $T^{*0,1}M$. Then $S := \Lambda(T^{*0,1}M)$ is a Dirac bundle, when endowed with a Clifford multiplication similar to that of case b), via the above-said complex isometry, and with the exterior product connection induced by, and extending, $\nabla_{\bar{z}}$ [B].

d) If M is a *spin* manifold [LM] then S can be taken to be the spinor bundle $\Sigma(M)$ of M . To be more specific, for a spin manifold the principal $SO(n)$ -bundle $P_{SO}(M)$ of oriented frames in TM lifts to a principal Spin-bundle $P_{Spin}(M)$, equivariantly with respect to the 2-cover map $Spin(n) \rightarrow SO(n)$. The spinor bundle $\Sigma(M)$ is then the fiber product $\Sigma(M) := P_{Spin}(M) \times_{\mu} \Delta$, where Δ is an irreducible representation of the Euclidean Clifford algebra on n generators $Cl_n \otimes \mathbf{C}$ and μ is the unitary representation $\mu : Spin(n) \rightarrow U(\Delta)$ induced by the left multiplication with elements of $Spin(n) \subset Cl_n \otimes \mathbf{C}$. We get then the compatible connection ∇^{Spin} of $\Sigma(M)$ by lifting the Riemannian connection on $P_{SO}(M)$ to $P_{Spin}(M)$, via the Lie algebra isomorphism $so(n) \simeq spin(n)$.

e) If S is a Dirac bundle and F is any Hermitian bundle over M , equipped with a metric connection ∇^F , then the twisted bundle $S \otimes F$ is naturally a Dirac bundle, with Clifford multiplication induced by that of S and connection $\nabla^{S \otimes F} := \nabla^S \otimes Id + Id \otimes \nabla^F$.

Any Dirac bundle S generates a distinguished differential operator $D_S : C^\infty(M, S) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, S)$, the generalized Dirac operator, defined as follows: If $m : T^*M \otimes S \rightarrow S$ denotes the restriction to T^*M (metrically identified with TM) of the Clifford action \cdot of $Cl(M)$ on S , then $D_S = m \circ \nabla^S$. Locally, D_S admits the representation

$$D_S = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j \cdot \nabla_{e_j}^S,$$

where as usual (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) is a local orthonormal frame in TM .

Since M is complete, D_S with domain $C_{cpt}^\infty(M, S)$ is an essentially self-adjoint first order elliptic differential operator in $L^2(M, S)$ [GL].

Clearly, the Dirac operator associated to $S = \Lambda(T^*M) \otimes \mathbf{C}$ (case b) above) is $d + d^*$, where d is the exterior differential and d^* its formal adjoint, as in section 2.

In case c), when M is a Kähler manifold and $S = \Lambda(T^{*0,1}M)$ the Dirac operator becomes $\sqrt{2}(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^*)$, where $\bar{\partial}$ is the Dolbeault operator and $\bar{\partial}^*$ its formal adjoint [B].

On a spin manifold M the Dirac operator associated to the spinor bundle $\Sigma(M)$ of case d) is called the *classical* Dirac operator.

For the square of a generalized Dirac operator D_S the following Bochner-Witzenböck formula holds true [GL],

$$D_S^2 = (\nabla^S)^* \nabla^S + \mathcal{R}^S,$$

where \mathcal{R}^S is the Hermitian curvature bundle morphism acting on S according to the formula

$$\mathcal{R}^S = \sum_{j < k} e_j \cdot e_k \cdot R_{e_j, e_k}^S, \quad R_{e_j, e_k}^S = [\nabla_{e_j}^S, \nabla_{e_k}^S] - \nabla_{[e_j, e_k]}^S.$$

In case b), $\mathcal{R}^{\Lambda(T^*M) \otimes \mathbf{C}}$ preserves $\Lambda^p(T^*M) \otimes \mathbf{C}$ and evidently, $\mathcal{R}^{\Lambda(T^*M) \otimes \mathbf{C}}|_{\Lambda^0(T^*M) \otimes \mathbf{C}} = 0$.

In case d), $\mathcal{R}^{\Sigma(M)} = k/4$, where k is the scalar curvature of the spin manifold M (Lichnerowicz's theorem [LM]).

In case e), $\mathcal{R}^{S \otimes F}$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{R}^{S \otimes F} = \mathcal{R}^S \otimes Id + \sum_{j < k} e_j \cdot e_k \cdot \otimes R_{e_j, e_k}^F. \quad (6)$$

If $F = \mathbf{C}_a$, the trivial bundle $M \times \mathbf{C}$ equipped with the metric connection ∇^a associated to some real 1-form $a \in \Omega^1(M, \mathbf{R})$, as in the introduction, then $S \otimes \mathbf{C}_a = S$, and so (6) becomes $\mathcal{R}^{S \otimes \mathbf{C}_a} = \mathcal{R}^S + i\rho^a \cdot$, where ρ^a is the global section of $Cl(M)$ given by

$$\rho^a = \sum_{j < k} R_{e_j, e_k}^a e_j e_k, \quad R_{e_j, e_k}^a = e_j(a(e_k)) - e_k(a(e_j)) - a([e_j, e_k]). \quad (7)$$

It is elementary to see that under the linear isometry $\Lambda(T^*M) \simeq Cl(M)$ explained at case b) above, $\rho^a \in C^\infty(M, Cl(M))$ is the image of the real 2-form $B = da \in \Omega^2(M, \mathbf{R})$.

Finally, if $S = \Lambda(T^*M) \otimes \mathbf{C}$ and $F = \mathbf{C}_a$, then $\nabla^{(\Lambda(T^*M) \otimes \mathbf{C}) \otimes \mathbf{C}_a} = \nabla^{\text{LC}, a}$, in the notation of section 2, cf. (3). The connection Laplacian $(\nabla^{\text{LC}, a})^* \nabla^{\text{LC}, a}$ can then be called an exterior form magnetic Schrödinger operator, since it restricts to H_a on $\Omega^0(M, \mathbf{C})$.

4. Our results

We are now ready to state and prove an abstract discreteness criterion for certain H_a 's and, as an application, supply a proof to the theorem given in the introduction.

Proposition 2. *Suppose that are given a non-compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) , a real 1-form $a \in \Omega^1(M, \mathbf{R})$ with associated scalar Schrödinger operator H_a , and a generalized Dirac bundle S over M with Clifford multiplication \cdot , compatible connection ∇^S , and Dirac operator D_S .*

In addition, suppose that there exists a ∇^S -parallel global section $\sigma \in C^\infty(M, S)$ such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \langle i\rho^a \cdot \sigma, \sigma \rangle = -\infty, \quad (8)$$

where ρ^a is the global section of $Cl(M)$ given by (7). Then the magnetic Schrödinger operator H_a has discrete spectrum.

Proof. Consider the twisted Dirac bundle $S \otimes \mathbf{C}_a$ and its Dirac operator $D_{S \otimes \mathbf{C}_a}$. We have the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula

$$D_{S \otimes \mathbf{C}_a}^2 = (\nabla^{S \otimes \mathbf{C}_a})^* \nabla^{S \otimes \mathbf{C}_a} + \mathcal{R}^S + i\rho^a \cdot,$$

which will be applied to sections of type $\phi\sigma = \sigma \otimes \phi \in C_{\text{cpt}}^\infty(M, S \otimes \mathbf{C}_a)$, for arbitrary $\phi \in C_{\text{cpt}}^\infty(M, \mathbf{C})$.

Therefore,

$$(D_{S \otimes \mathbf{C}_a}^2(\phi\sigma), \phi\sigma) = (\nabla^{S \otimes \mathbf{C}_a} \sigma \otimes \phi, \nabla^{S \otimes \mathbf{C}_a} \sigma \otimes \phi) + (\phi \mathcal{R}^S \sigma, \phi\sigma) + (i\rho^a \cdot \sigma, \phi\sigma). \quad (9)$$

However, $\nabla^{S \otimes \mathbf{C}_a} \sigma \otimes \phi = \nabla^S \sigma \otimes \phi + \sigma \otimes d^a \phi = \sigma \otimes d^a \phi$, since σ is ∇^S -parallel. For the same reason, $\mathcal{R}^S \sigma = 0$. By the hypothesis (8), σ is non-trivial, and since ∇^S is a metric connection, $\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle$ is a (positive) constant function on M . By scaling σ appropriately we can assume that $\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle = 1$.

Consequently, $(\nabla^{S \otimes \mathbf{C}_a} \sigma \otimes \phi, \nabla^{S \otimes \mathbf{C}_a} \sigma \otimes \phi) = (\sigma \otimes d^a \phi, \sigma \otimes d^a \phi) = \int_M \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle \langle d^a \phi, d^a \phi \rangle d\text{vol} = \int_M \langle d^a \phi, d^a \phi \rangle d\text{vol} = (H_a \phi, \phi)$.

Equation (9) now becomes

$$\|D_{S \otimes \mathbf{C}_a}(\phi\sigma)\|^2 = (H_a \phi, \phi) + (\langle i\rho^a \cdot \sigma, \sigma \rangle \phi, \phi),$$

which implies

$$(H_a \phi, \phi) \geq (-\langle i\rho^a \cdot \sigma, \sigma \rangle \phi, \phi).$$

The result follows by applying Proposition 1 to the function $f = -i\langle \rho^a \cdot \sigma, \sigma \rangle$, in the presence of the hypothesis (8). \square

A successful application of the above proposition rests obviously on the ability of finding Dirac bundles with non-trivial parallel sections σ for which $\langle \rho^a \cdot \sigma, \sigma \rangle$ can be effectively computed. This is indeed the case with the theorem stated in the introduction.

Proof of the Theorem. For a Kähler manifold of complex dimension m , $n = 2m$. If ω is the Kähler form inducing the Riemannian metric g and if J is the integrable complex structure on TM then there is a local orthonormal frame $(e_1, Je_1, e_2, Je_2, \dots, e_m, Je_m)$ in TM such that $\omega = e_1^* \wedge (Je_1)^* + e_2^* \wedge (Je_2)^* + \dots + e_m^* \wedge (Je_m)^*$. Expanding on the discussion on Kähler manifolds initiated in

section 3, case c), $T^{*0,1}M$ is the space dual to $T^{0,1}M := \{v \in T^*M \otimes \mathbf{C} \mid Jv = -iv\}$. Since a local orthonormal basis of $T^{0,1}M$ is $\{\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2, \dots, \bar{e}_m\}$, $\bar{e}_j := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_j + iJe_j)$, a local orthonormal basis of $T^{*0,1}M$ will be $\{\bar{e}_1^*, \bar{e}_2^*, \dots, \bar{e}_m^*\}$, with $\bar{e}_j^* := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_j^* - i(Je_j)^*)$. So, for the Dirac bundle $\Lambda(T^{*0,1}M)$ a local orthonormal basis for $\Lambda^p(T^{*0,1}M)$ is $\{\bar{e}_J^*\}_J$, $\bar{e}_J^* = \bar{e}_{j_1}^* \wedge \bar{e}_{j_2}^* \wedge \dots \bar{e}_{j_p}^*$, $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_p)$ p -multi-index.

The Clifford multiplication in $\Lambda(T^{*0,1}M)$ is then implemented by setting

$$e_j \cdot = \bar{e}_j^* \wedge - \bar{e}_j \lrcorner, \quad (Je_j) \cdot = i(\bar{e}_j^* \wedge + \bar{e}_j \lrcorner), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (10)$$

In preparation for applying proposition 2 notice that $\sigma := 1 \in C^\infty(M, \Lambda^0(T^{*0,1}M))$ is a parallel section of $\Lambda(T^{*0,1}M)$. An elementary calculation based on (10) and (7) shows now that

$$\langle i\rho^a \cdot \sigma, \sigma \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^m R_{e_j, Je_j}^a.$$

The theorem follows from proposition 2 and the hypothesis (1), since $a = \sum_{j=1}^m a(e_j)e_j^* + \sum_{j=1}^m a(Je_j)(Je_j)^*$ implies $\langle da, \omega \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^m R_{e_j, Je_j}^a = \langle i\rho^a \cdot \sigma, \sigma \rangle$. \square

Acknowledgment. Part of this work was completed while the author visited the Simion Stoilow Mathematical Institute of the Romanian Academy (IMAR) on a Bitdefender Professorship. The author would like to thank IMAR for hospitality and support.

References

- [A1] N. Anghel, *On a Class of Magnetic Schrödinger Operators with Discrete Spectrum*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140**, No. 5, 1613-1616, (2012).
- [A2] N. Anghel, *An Abstract Index Theorem on Non-Compact Riemannian Manifolds*, Houston J. Math. **19**, 223-237, (1993).
- [AHS] J. Avron, I. Herbst, B. Simon, *Schrödinger Operators with Magnetic Fields, I. General Interactions*, Duke Math. J. **45**, 847-883, (1978).
- [B] J-M. Bismut, *Demailly's Asymptotic Morse Inequalities. A Heat Equation Proof*, J. Funct. Anal. **72**, 263-278, (1987).
- [D] A. Dufresnoy, *Un Exemple de Champ Magnétique dans \mathbf{R}^ν* , Duke Math. J. **50**, 729-734, (1983).

- [GH] P. Griffiths, J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1978).
- [GL] M. Gromov, B. Lawson, *Positive Scalar Curvature and the Dirac Operator on Complete Riemannian Manifolds*, Publ. Math. IHES, **58**, 295-408, (1983).
- [HM] B. Helffer, A. Mohamed, *Caractérisation du Spectre Essentiel de l'Opérateur de Schrödinger avec un Champ Magnétique*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **38**, 95-112, (1988).
- [I] A. Iwatsuka, *Magnetic Schrödinger Operators with Compact Resolvent*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **26**, 357-374, (1986).
- [KS] V. Kondratiev, M. Shubin, *Discreteness of Spectrum for the Magnetic Schrödinger Operators*, Commun. Partial Diff. Eqns **27**, 477-525, (2002).
- [LM] B. Lawson, M-L. Michelsohn, *Spin Geometry*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, (1989).
- [S1] M. Shubin, *Essential Self-Adjointness for Magnetic Schrödinger Operators on Non-Compact Manifolds*, Sémin. Équ. Dériv. Partielles, École Polytech., Palaiseau, (1999).
- [S2] *Pseudo-Differential Operators and Spectral Theory*, Springer V., Berlin, (1974).

Nicolae ANGHEL,
Department of Mathematics,
University of North Texas,
Denton, TX 76203, USA.
Email: anghel@unt.edu