

ON A FUNCTIONAL EQUATION RELATED TO  
TWO-SIDED CENTRALIZERS

IRENA KOSI-ULBL

**Abstract.** The main aim of this manuscript is to prove the following result. Let  $n > 2$  be a fixed integer and  $R$  be a  $k$ -torsion free semiprime ring with identity, where  $k \in \{2, n-1, n\}$ . Let us assume that for the additive mapping  $T: R \rightarrow R$

$$3T(x^n) = T(x)x^{n-1} + xT(x^{n-2})x + x^{n-1}T(x), \quad x \in R,$$

is also fulfilled. Then  $T$  is a two-sided centralizer.

In this paper  $R$  will denote an associative ring with center  $Z(R)$ . For an integer  $n > 1$ , a ring  $R$  is said to be  $n$ -torsion free, if for  $x \in R$ ,  $nx = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ . The expression  $xy - yx$  will be marked by  $[x, y]$ . The ring  $R$  is prime if for  $a, b \in R$ ,  $aRb = (0)$  implies that either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ , and is semiprime if  $aRa = (0)$  implies  $a = 0$ . We indicate by  $\text{char}(R)$  the characteristic of a prime ring  $R$ . Let  $X$  be a real or complex Banach space and let  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $X$  and the ideal of all finite rank operators in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ , respectively. An algebra  $\mathcal{A}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$  is said to be standard if  $\mathcal{F}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(X)$ . Let us point out that any standard operator algebra is prime, which is a consequence of Hahn-Banach theorem. An additive mapping  $D: R \rightarrow R$  is called a derivation, if  $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$  holds for all pairs  $x, y \in R$ . An additive mapping  $D: R \rightarrow R$  is called a Jordan derivation if  $D(x^2) = D(x)x + xD(x)$  is fulfilled

---

*Received: 22.03.2017. Accepted: 21.09.2017. Published online: 31.01.2018.*

(2010) Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W10, 46K15, 39B05.

*Key words and phrases:* prime ring, semiprime ring, Banach space, standard operator algebra, left (right) centralizer, left (right) Jordan centralizer, two-sided centralizer.

for all  $x \in R$ . Every derivation is a Jordan derivation. The converse in general is not true. A classical result of Herstein [9] asserts that any Jordan derivation on a prime ring with  $\text{char}(R) \neq 2$  is a derivation.

A short proof of Herstein theorem can be found in [6]. Cusack [8] has generalized the theorem to 2-torsion free semiprime rings (an alternative proof can be found in [4]). Beidar, Brešar, Chebotar and Martindale [1] also generalized it considerably. Generalizations of Herstein theorem are also presented in [7]. An additive mapping  $T: R \rightarrow R$  is called a left (right) centralizer if  $T(xy) = T(x)y$  ( $T(xy) = xT(y)$ ) holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . We call  $T: R \rightarrow R$  a two-sided centralizer if  $T$  is both a left and a right centralizer. If  $T: R \rightarrow R$  is a two-sided centralizer, where  $R$  is a semiprime ring with extended centroid  $C$ , then there exists an element  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $T(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$  (see [2, Theorem 2.3.2]). An additive mapping  $T: R \rightarrow R$  is called a left (right) Jordan centralizer if  $T(x^2) = T(x)x$  ( $T(x^2) = xT(x)$ ) holds for all  $x \in R$ . Zalar [17] has proved that any left (right) Jordan centralizer on a 2-torsion free semiprime ring is a left (right) centralizer. Lately several authors investigated centralizers on rings and algebras. Some of the results can be found in [3, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16].

Let us start with the following result proved by M. Brešar in [5].

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and let  $D: R \rightarrow R$  be an additive mapping satisfying the equality*

$$(1) \quad D(xyx) = D(x)yx + xD(y)x + xyD(x)$$

*for all  $x, y \in R$ . Then  $D$  is a derivation.*

An additive mapping  $D: R \rightarrow R$ , where  $R$  is an arbitrary ring, satisfying equality (1) for all  $x, y \in R$  is called a Jordan triple derivation. One can easily prove that any Jordan derivation on an arbitrary 2-torsion free ring is a Jordan triple derivation (see, for example, [6] for the details), which means that Theorem 1 generalizes Cusack's generalization of Herstein theorem.

Motivated by this result, Vukman and Kosi-Ulbl in [14] proved the following

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring with extended centroid  $C$  and let  $T: R \rightarrow R$  be an additive mapping. Suppose that*

$$(2) \quad 3T(xyx) = T(x)yx + xT(y)x + xyT(x)$$

*holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . Then  $T$  is of the form  $T(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$  and some fixed  $\lambda \in C$ .*

Let  $n > 2$  be a fixed integer and let  $y = x^{n-2}$  in (2). Then we obtain

$$(3) \quad 3T(x^n) = T(x)x^{n-1} + xT(x^{n-2})x + x^{n-1}T(x).$$

One of our main purposes is to investigate equation (3) for additive mappings  $T: \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ , where  $X$  denotes a Banach space over  $\mathcal{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  denotes the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  is a standard operator algebra.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{A}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$  be a standard operator algebra, where  $X$  is a Banach space over the real or complex field  $\mathcal{F}$ . Suppose that there exists an additive mapping  $T: \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  satisfying the equality*

$$(4) \quad 3T(A^n) = T(A)A^{n-1} + AT(A^{n-2})A + A^{n-1}T(A)$$

*for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$  and a fixed integer  $n > 2$ . Then  $T$  is of the form  $T(A) = \lambda A$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$  and some fixed  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

In the proof of Theorem 3 we shall use the result below, see Vukman [11].

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and let  $T: R \rightarrow R$  be an additive mapping satisfying*

$$2T(x^2) = T(x)x + xT(x)$$

*for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $T$  is a two-sided centralizer.*

It should be mentioned that in the proof of Theorem 3 we will use some methods similar to those used by Molnár in [10].

**PROOF OF THEOREM 3.** We start with equality (4). Let us first consider  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  and a projection  $P$  such that  $A = AP = PA$ . Identity (4) with  $A = P$  yields that

$$(5) \quad T(P)P = PT(P) = PT(P)P.$$

In equation (4) we set  $A + \alpha P$  for  $A$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ , and obtain

$$3 \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} T(A^{n-i}(\alpha P)^i) = (T(A) + \alpha T(P)) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} A^{n-1-i} (\alpha P)^i \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6) \quad & + (A + \alpha P) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-2}{i} T \left( A^{n-2-i} (\alpha P)^i \right) \right) (A + \alpha P) \\
 & + \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i} A^{n-1-i} (\alpha P)^i \right) (T(A) + \alpha T(P)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Collecting all expressions with coefficient  $\alpha^{n-1}$  from equation (6) and using (5), we arrive at

$$(7) \quad 3nT(A) = T(A)P + PT(A) + nAB + nBA + (n-2)PT(A)P,$$

where  $B$  stands for  $T(P)$ . Right multiplication of (7) by  $P$  gives

$$(8) \quad 3nT(A)P = T(A)P + PT(A)P + nAB + nBA + (n-2)PT(A)P.$$

Similarly we obtain

$$(9) \quad 3nPT(A) = PT(A)P + PT(A) + nAB + nBA + (n-2)PT(A)P.$$

Combining (8) and (9) gives us

$$T(A)P = PT(A),$$

which reduces equality (7) to

$$(10) \quad 3T(A) = T(A)P + AB + BA.$$

Multiplying the above by  $P$  from the right gives

$$(11) \quad 3T(A)P = T(A)P + AB + BA.$$

Combining (10) with (11) we get

$$(12) \quad T(A) = T(A)P.$$

From the above equality one can conclude that  $T$  maps  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  into itself. Using (12), equality (10) reduces to

$$(13) \quad 2T(A) = AB + BA.$$

Multiplying (13) from the right and from the left by  $A$ , respectively, gives

$$(14) \quad 2T(A)A = ABA + BA^2 \quad \text{and} \quad 2AT(A) = A^2B + ABA,$$

respectively. Going back to (6) and collecting all expressions with coefficient  $\alpha^{n-2}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} 3n(n-1)T(A^2) &= 2(n-1)(T(A)A + AT(A)) \\ &+ 2(n-2)(AT(A)P + PT(A)A) + (n-1)(n-2)(A^2B + BA^2) \\ &+ (n-2)(n-3)PT(A^2)P + 2ABA. \end{aligned}$$

Using (12) the above equality simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} (15) \quad 2(n^2 + n - 3)T(A^2) &= 2(2n - 3)(T(A)A + AT(A)) \\ &+ (n-1)(n-2)(A^2B + BA^2) + 2ABA. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (14) and (15) we get

$$(16) \quad 2T(A^2) = T(A)A + AT(A).$$

Therefore we have an additive mapping  $T: \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$  satisfying equation (16) for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is prime, by Theorem 4 we may conclude that  $T$  is a two-sided centralizer on  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ . We continue our proof by showing that there exists an operator  $C \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that

$$(17) \quad T(A) = CA \quad (A \in \mathcal{F}(X)).$$

For any fixed  $x \in X$  and  $f \in X^*$  by  $x \otimes f$  we denote an operator from  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  defined by  $(x \otimes f)y = f(y)x$  for all  $y \in X$ . For any  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  we have  $A(x \otimes f) = ((Ax) \otimes f)$ . Let us choose  $f$  and  $y$  such that  $f(y) = 1$  and define  $Cx = T(x \otimes f)y$ . Obviously,  $C$  is linear. Using the fact that  $T$  is a left centralizer on  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (CA)x &= C(Ax) = T((Ax) \otimes f)y \\ &= T(A(x \otimes f))y = T(A)(x \otimes f)y = T(A)x, \quad x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have  $T(A) = CA$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ . Since  $T$  is a right centralizer on  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  we obtain  $C(AP) = T(AP) = AT(P) = ACP$ , where  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  and  $P$  is an arbitrary one-dimensional projection. Therefore  $[A, C]P = 0$ . Using the fact that  $P$  is an arbitrary one-dimensional projection we get  $[A, C] = 0$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ . This means  $C$  commutes with all operators from  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ . In other words,

$$Cx = \lambda x$$

is fulfilled for all  $x \in X$  and some fixed  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$ . Combining the above equation with (17) it follows that  $T$  is of the form

$$T(A) = \lambda A$$

for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  and some fixed  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$ . We want to prove that the same equality holds on  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  as well. For this purpose we introduce a mapping  $T_1: \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  defined by  $T_1(A) = \lambda A$ . Let us investigate a mapping  $T_0 = T - T_1$ . One can easily find out that  $T_0$  is additive, it satisfies (4) and  $T_0(A) = 0$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ . We will prove that  $T_0(A) = 0$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$  as well. Let us introduce an operator  $S \in \mathcal{A}(X)$  defined by  $S = A + PAP - (AP + PA)$ , where  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$  and  $P \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  is an one-dimensional projection. From the definition of the operator  $S$  it follows immediately that  $SP = PS = 0$  and  $S - A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ . Thus we have  $T_0(S) = T_0(A)$ . So we can rewrite (4) as

$$3T_0(S^n) = T_0(S)S^{n-1} + ST_0(S^{n-2})S + S^{n-1}T_0(S).$$

Using the above and the facts that  $T_0(P) = 0$  as well as  $SP = PS = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(S)S^{n-1} + ST_0(S^{n-2})S + S^{n-1}T_0(S) &= 3T_0(S^n) = 3T_0(S^n + P) \\ &= 3T_0((S + P)^n) = T_0(S + P)(S + P)^{n-1} \\ &\quad + (S + P)T_0((S + P)^{n-2})(S + P) + (S + P)^{n-1}T_0(S + P) \\ &= T_0(S)S^{n-1} + T_0(S)P + ST_0(S^{n-2})S + ST_0(S^{n-2})P \\ &\quad + PT_0(S^{n-2})S + PT_0(S^{n-2})P + S^{n-1}T_0(S) + PT_0(S). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T_0(S) = T_0(A)$ , we actually have

$$(18) \quad T_0(A)P + ST_0(A^{n-2})P + PT_0(A^{n-2})S + PT_0(A^{n-2})P + PT_0(A) = 0.$$

Setting  $\alpha A$  for  $A$  in (18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha (T_0(A)P + PT_0(A)) + \alpha^{n-2}PT_0(A^{n-2})P \\ + \alpha^{n-1} (ST_0(A^{n-2})P + PT_0(A^{n-2})S) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $T_0(A)P + PT_0(A) = 0$ . Multiplying by  $P$  on both sides gives  $PT_0(A)P = 0$ . Multiplying on the right gives  $T_0(A)P = -PT_0(A)P = 0$ . Since  $P$  is an arbitrary one-dimensional projection, one can conclude that  $T_0(A) = 0$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ . In other words, we have proved that  $T$  is of the

form  $T(A) = \lambda A$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$  and some fixed  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$ . The proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

CONJECTURE. *Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring with suitable torsion restrictions and let  $T: R \rightarrow R$  be an additive mapping satisfying the equation*

$$3T(x^n) = T(x)x^{n-1} + xT(x^{n-2})x + x^{n-1}T(x)$$

*for all  $x \in R$  and a fixed integer  $n > 2$ . Then  $T$  is a two-sided centralizer.*

The result below proves the above conjecture in the case when  $R$  has an identity element.

THEOREM 5. *Let  $n > 2$  be a fixed integer and  $R$  be a  $k$ -torsion free semiprime ring with identity, where  $k \in \{2, n-1, n\}$ . Let us assume that, for the additive mapping  $T: R \rightarrow R$ ,*

$$(19) \quad 3T(x^n) = T(x)x^{n-1} + xT(x^{n-2})x + x^{n-1}T(x), \quad x \in R,$$

*is also fulfilled. Then  $T$  is a two-sided centralizer.*

PROOF. Let us start from equation (19). Using the same techniques as in Theorem 3, we obtain

$$(20) \quad 2(n^2 + n - 3)T(x^2) = 2(2n - 3)T(x)x + 2(2n - 3)xT(x) \\ + (n^2 - 3n + 2)ax^2 + (n^2 - 3n + 2)x^2a + 2xax, \quad x \in R,$$

and

$$(21) \quad 2T(x) = xa + ax, \quad x \in R,$$

where  $a$  stands for  $T(e)$ . Comparing the steps of the proof of Theorem 3 with the beginning of the proof of Theorem 5 we see that equations (20) and (21) correspond to equations (15) and (13), respectively. In the procedure mentioned above we used the fact that  $R$  is  $n$ -torsion free. According to (21) we obtain

$$(22) \quad 2T(x^2) = x^2a + ax^2, \quad x \in R.$$

Multiplying (21) by  $x$  first from the right and then from the left side we get

$$(23) \quad 2T(x)x = xax + ax^2 \quad \text{and} \quad 2xT(x) = x^2a + xax, \quad x \in R.$$

Using (22) and (23) in (20) after some calculation we obtain

$$x^2a + ax^2 - 2xax = 0, \quad x \in R.$$

In the above calculation we used the assumption that the ring  $R$  is 2 and  $(n-1)$ -torsion free. Now let us rewrite the above equation in the form

$$(24) \quad [[a, x], x] = 0, \quad x \in R.$$

Putting  $x + y$  in place of  $x$  in (24) we obtain

$$(25) \quad [[a, x], y] + [[a, y], x] = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

Putting  $xy$  in place of  $y$  in (25) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [[a, x], xy] + [[a, xy], x] \\ &= [[a, x], x]y + x[[a, x], y] + [[a, x]y + x[a, y], x] \\ &= x[[a, x], y] + [[a, x], x]y + [a, x][y, x] + x[[a, y], x] \\ &= [a, x][y, x], \quad x, y \in R, \end{aligned}$$

where we also used (24) and (25). Thus we have

$$[a, x][y, x] = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$

Substituting  $y$  with  $ya$  in the above we obtain  $[a, x]y[a, x] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Since  $R$  is semiprime, it follows from the last equation that  $[a, x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . This means that  $a \in Z(R)$  and (21) reduces to  $T(x) = ax, x \in R$ , since  $R$  is 2-torsion free. It follows immediately that  $T$  is a two-sided centralizer, which completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Beidar K.I., Brešar M., Chebotar M.A., Martindale W.S., 3rd, *On Herstein's Lie map conjectures. II*, J. Algebra **238** (2001), 239–264.
- [2] Beidar K.I., Martindale W.S., III, Mikhalev A.V., *Rings with generalized identities*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics **196**, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1996.



- [3] Benkovič D., Eremita D., Vukman J., *A characterization of the centroid of a prime ring*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **45** (2008), 379–394.
- [4] Brešar M., *Jordan derivations on semiprime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), 1003–1006.
- [5] Brešar M., *Jordan mappings of semiprime rings*, J. Algebra **127** (1989), 218–228.
- [6] Brešar M., Vukman J., *Jordan derivations on prime rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **37** (1988), 321–322.
- [7] Brešar M., Vukman J., *Jordan  $(\theta, \phi)$ -derivations*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **26(46)** (1991), 13–17.
- [8] Cusack J.M., *Jordan derivations on rigs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1975), 321–324.
- [9] Herstein I.N., *Jordan derivations of prime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 1104–1110.
- [10] Molnár L., *On centralizers of an  $H^*$ -algebra*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **46** (1995), 89–95.
- [11] Vukman J., *An identity related to centralizers in semiprime rings*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **40** (1999), 447–456.
- [12] Vukman J., *Centralizers on semiprime rings*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **42** (2001), 237–245.
- [13] Vukman J., *Identities related to derivations and centralizers on standard operator algebras*, Taiwanese J. Math. **11** (2007), 255–265.
- [14] Vukman J., Kosi-Ulbl I., *An equation related to centralizers in semiprime rings*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **38(58)** (2003), 253–261.
- [15] Vukman J., Kosi-Ulbl I., *Centralisers on rings and algebras*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **71** (2005), 225–234.
- [16] Vukman J., Kosi-Ulbl I., *A remark on a paper of L. Molnár*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **67** (2005), 419–421.
- [17] Zalar B., *On centralizers of semiprime rings*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **32** (1991), 609–614.

FACULTY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING  
UNIVERSITY OF MARIBOR  
SMETANOVA 17  
2000 MARIBOR  
SLOVENIA  
e-mail: irena.kosi@um.si