



# Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences

<https://www.sciendo.com>

## Perturbation analysis of a matrix differential equation $\dot{x} = ABx$

M. Isabel García-Planas, Tetiana Klymchuk <sup>†</sup>

Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona, SPAIN

### Submission Info

Communicated by Juan L.G. Guirao

Received 9th November 2017

Accepted 2nd April 2018

Available online 2nd April 2018

### Abstract

Two complex matrix pairs  $(A, B)$  and  $(A', B')$  are contragrediently equivalent if there are nonsingular  $S$  and  $R$  such that  $(A', B') = (S^{-1}AR, R^{-1}BS)$ . M.I. García-Planas and V.V. Sergeichuk (1999) constructed a miniversal deformation of a canonical pair  $(A, B)$  for contragredient equivalence; that is, a simple normal form to which all matrix pairs  $(A + \tilde{A}, B + \tilde{B})$  close to  $(A, B)$  can be reduced by contragredient equivalence transformations that smoothly depend on the entries of  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$ . Each perturbation  $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B})$  of  $(A, B)$  defines the first order induced perturbation  $\tilde{A}\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}\tilde{B}$  of the matrix  $AB$ , which is the first order summand in the product  $(A + \tilde{A})(B + \tilde{B}) = AB + \tilde{A}\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}\tilde{B}$ . We find all canonical matrix pairs  $(A, B)$ , for which the first order induced perturbations  $\tilde{A}\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}\tilde{B}$  are nonzero for all nonzero perturbations in the normal form of García-Planas and Sergeichuk. This problem arises in the theory of matrix differential equations  $\dot{x} = Cx$ , whose product of two matrices:  $C = AB$ ; using the substitution  $x = Sy$ , one can reduce  $C$  by similarity transformations  $S^{-1}CS$  and  $(A, B)$  by contragredient equivalence transformations  $(S^{-1}AR, R^{-1}BS)$ .

**Keywords:** Contragredient equivalence; Miniversal deformation; Perturbation.

**AMS 2010 codes:** 15A21; 93D13

## 1 Introduction

We study a matrix differential equation  $\dot{x} = ABx$ , whose matrix is a product of an  $m \times n$  complex matrix  $A$  and an  $n \times m$  complex matrix  $B$ . It is equivalent to  $\dot{y} = S^{-1}ARR^{-1}BSy$ , in which  $S$  and  $R$  are nonsingular matrices and  $x = Sy$ . Thus, we can reduce  $(A, B)$  by *transformations of contragredient equivalence*

$$(A, B) \mapsto (S^{-1}AR, R^{-1}BS), \quad S \text{ and } R \text{ are nonsingular.} \quad (1)$$

The canonical form of  $(A, B)$  with respect to these transformations was obtained by Dobrovolskaya and Ponomarev [3] and, independently, by Horn and Merino [5]:

$$\text{each pair } (A, B) \text{ is contragrediently equivalent to a direct sum, uniquely determined up to permutation of summands, of pairs of the types } (I_r, J_r(\lambda)), (J_r(0), I_r), (F_r, G_r), (G_r, F_r), \quad (2)$$

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author.

Email address: [tetiana.klymchuk@upc.edu](mailto:tetiana.klymchuk@upc.edu)

in which  $r = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$J_r(\lambda) := \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & 0 \\ & \lambda & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & & & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}), \quad F_r := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & 0 \\ 1 & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad G_r := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

are  $r \times r$ ,  $r \times (r - 1)$ ,  $(r - 1) \times r$  matrices, and

$$(A_1, B_1) \oplus (A_2, B_2) := (A_1 \oplus A_2, B_1 \oplus B_2).$$

Note that  $(F_1, G_1) = (0_{10}, 0_{10})$ ; we denote by  $0_{mn}$  the zero matrix of size  $m \times n$ , where  $m, n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . All matrices that we consider are complex matrices. All matrix pairs that we consider are counter pairs: a matrix pair  $(A, B)$  is a *counter pair* if  $A$  and  $B^T$  have the same size.

A notion of miniversal deformation was introduced by Arnold [1, 2]. He constructed a miniversal deformation of a Jordan matrix  $J$ ; i.e., a simple normal form to which all matrices  $J + E$  close to  $J$  can be reduced by similarity transformations that smoothly depend on the entries of  $E$ . García-Planas and Sergeichuk [4] constructed a miniversal deformation of a canonical pair (2) for contragredient equivalence (1).

For a counter matrix pair  $(A, B)$ , we consider all matrix pairs  $(A + \tilde{A}, B + \tilde{B})$  that are sufficiently close to  $(A, B)$ . The pair  $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B})$  is called a *perturbation* of  $(A, B)$ . Each perturbation  $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B})$  of  $(A, B)$  defines the *induced perturbation*  $\tilde{A}\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}B + \tilde{A}\tilde{B}$  of the matrix  $AB$  that is obtained as follows:

$$(A + \tilde{A})(B + \tilde{B}) = AB + \tilde{A}\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}B + \tilde{A}\tilde{B}.$$

Since  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  are small, their product  $\tilde{A}\tilde{B}$  is “very small”; we ignore it and consider only *first order induced perturbations*  $\tilde{A}\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}B$  of  $AB$ .

In this paper, we describe all canonical matrix pairs  $(A, B)$  of the form (2), for which the first order induced perturbations  $\tilde{A}\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}B$  are nonzero for all miniversal perturbations  $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) \neq 0$  in the normal form defined in [4].

Note that  $z = ABx$  can be considered as the superposition of the systems  $y = Bx$  and  $z = Ay$ :

$$x \longrightarrow \boxed{B} \xrightarrow{y} \boxed{A} \longrightarrow z \quad \text{implies} \quad x \longrightarrow \boxed{AB} \longrightarrow z$$

## 2 Miniversal deformations of counter matrix pairs

In this section, we recall the miniversal deformations of canonical pairs (2) for contragredient equivalence constructed by García-Planas and Sergeichuk [4].

Let

$$(A, B) = (I, C) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} (I_{r_{1j}}, J_{r_{1j}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_2} (J_{r_{2j}}, I_{r_{2j}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_3} (F_{r_{3j}}, G_{r_{3j}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_4} (G_{r_{4j}}, F_{r_{4j}}) \tag{3}$$

be a canonical pair for contragredient equivalence, in which

$$C := \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \Phi(\lambda_i), \quad \Phi(\lambda_i) := J_{m_{i1}}(\lambda_i) \oplus \dots \oplus J_{m_{ik_i}}(\lambda_i) \quad \text{with } \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \text{ if } i \neq j,$$

$m_{i1} \geq m_{i2} \geq \dots \geq m_{ik_i}$ , and  $r_{i1} \geq r_{i2} \geq \dots \geq r_{it_i}$ .

For each matrix pair  $(A, B)$  of the form (3), we define the matrix pair

$$\left( I, \bigoplus_i \Phi(\lambda_i) + N \right) \oplus \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} \bigoplus_j I_{r_{1j}} & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \bigoplus_j J_{r_{2j}}(0) + N & N \\ \hline 0 & N & \begin{matrix} P_3 & N \\ 0 & Q_4 \end{matrix} \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} \bigoplus_j J_{r_{1j}}(0) + N & N & N \\ \hline N & \bigoplus_j I_{r_{2j}} & 0 \\ \hline N & 0 & \begin{matrix} Q_3 & 0 \\ N & P_4 \end{matrix} \end{array} \right] \right), \tag{4}$$

of the same size and of the same partition of the blocks, in which

$$N := [H_{ij}] \tag{5}$$

is a parameter block matrix with  $p_i \times q_j$  blocks  $H_{ij}$  of the form

$$H_{ij} := \begin{bmatrix} * \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} \\ * \end{bmatrix} \text{ if } p_i \leq q_j, \quad H_{ij} := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ * \dots * \end{bmatrix} \text{ if } p_i > q_j. \tag{6}$$

$$P_l := \begin{bmatrix} F_{r_{l1}} + H & H & \cdots & H \\ & F_{r_{l2}} + H & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & H \\ 0 & & & F_{r_{li}} + H \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q_l := \begin{bmatrix} G_{r_{l1}} & & & 0 \\ H & G_{r_{l2}} & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ H & \cdots & H & G_{r_{li}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (l = 3, 4), \tag{7}$$

$N$  and  $H$  are matrices of the form (5) and (6), and the stars denote independent parameters.

**Theorem 1** (see [4]). *Let  $(A, B)$  be the canonical pair (3). Then all matrix pairs  $(A + \tilde{A}, B + \tilde{B})$  that are sufficiently close to  $(A, B)$  are simultaneously reduced by some transformation*

$$(A + \tilde{A}, B + \tilde{B}) \mapsto (S^{-1}(A + \tilde{A})R, R^{-1}(B + \tilde{B})S),$$

in which  $S$  and  $R$  are matrix functions that depend holomorphically on the entries of  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$ ,  $S(0) = I$ , and  $R(0) = I$ , to the form (4), whose stars are replaced by complex numbers that depend holomorphically on the entries of  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$ . The number of stars is minimal that can be achieved by such transformations.

### 3 Main theorem

Each matrix pair  $(A + \tilde{A}, B + \tilde{B})$  of the form (4), in which the stars are complex numbers, we call a *miniversal normal pair* and  $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B})$  a *miniversal perturbation* of  $(A, B)$ .

The following theorem is the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(A, B)$  be a canonical pair (2). The following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $A\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}B \neq 0$  for all nonzero miniversal perturbations  $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B})$ .
- (b)  $(A, B)$  does not contain
  - (i)  $(I_r, J_r(0)) \oplus (J_r(0), I_r)$  for each  $r$ ,
  - (ii)  $(F_1, G_1) \oplus (G_2, F_2)$ , and
  - (iii)  $(F_m, G_m) \oplus (G_m, F_m)$  for each  $m$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\implies$  (b). Let  $(A, B)$  be a canonical pair (2). We should prove that if  $(A, B)$  contains a pair of type (i), (ii), or (iii), then  $A\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}B = 0$  for some miniversal perturbation  $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) \neq (0, 0)$ . It is sufficient to prove this statement for  $(A, B)$  of types (i)–(iii).

*Case 1:*  $(A, B) = (I_r, J_r(0)) \oplus (J_r(0), I_r)$  for some  $r$ . We should prove that there exists a nonzero miniversal perturbation  $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B})$  such that  $A\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}B = 0$ .

If  $r = 1$ , then

$$(A, B) = (I_1, J_1(0)) \oplus (J_1(0), I_1) = \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \right).$$

Its miniversal deformation (4) has the form

$$\left( \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \varepsilon \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \lambda & \mu \\ \hline \delta & 1 \end{array} \right] \right),$$

in which  $\varepsilon, \lambda, \mu$  and  $\delta$  are independent parameters. We have that

$$A\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}B = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \varepsilon \end{array} \right] + \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \lambda & \mu \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \lambda & \mu \\ \hline 0 & \varepsilon \end{array} \right].$$

Choosing  $\varepsilon = \mu = \lambda = 0$  and  $\delta \neq 0$ , we get  $\tilde{A}B + \tilde{B}A = 0$ .

If  $r = 2$ , then  $(A, B) = (I_2, J_2(0)) \oplus (J_2(0), I_2)$  and

$$(A + \tilde{A}, B + \tilde{B}) = \left( \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_7 & \varepsilon_8 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_1 & \varepsilon_2 & \varepsilon_3 & \varepsilon_4 \\ \hline \varepsilon_5 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_6 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \right),$$

We get

$$A\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_1 & \varepsilon_2 & \varepsilon_3 & \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_7 & \varepsilon_8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_1 & \varepsilon_2 & \varepsilon_3 & \varepsilon_4 \\ \varepsilon_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_7 & \varepsilon_8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Choosing  $\varepsilon_5 \neq 0$  and  $\varepsilon_i = 0$  if  $i \neq 5$ , we get  $\tilde{A}B + \tilde{B}A = 0$ .

If  $r$  is arbitrary, then  $(A, B) = (I_r, J_r(0)) \oplus (J_r(0), I_r)$  and its miniversal deformation has the form

$$\left( \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & \\ \hline 1 & \\ \ddots & \\ 1 & \\ \hline 0 & 1 \\ & \ddots \\ & 0 & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_s \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 1 \\ \hline \ddots & \ddots \\ & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \varepsilon_1 & \varepsilon_2 & \dots & \varepsilon_r & \varepsilon_{r+1} & \varepsilon_{r+2} & \dots & \varepsilon_{r+s} \\ \beta_1 & & & & 1 & & & \\ \beta_2 & & & & & 1 & & \\ \vdots & 0 & & & & & \ddots & \\ \beta_s & & & & & & & 1 \end{array} \right] \right),$$

in which all  $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \varepsilon_i$  are independent parameters. Taking all parameters zero except for  $\beta_1 \neq 0$ , we get that  $\tilde{A}B + \tilde{B}A = 0$ .

Case 2:  $(A, B) = (F_1, G_1) \oplus (G_2, F_2)$ . Then

$$(A + \tilde{A}, B + \tilde{B}) = \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \varepsilon & \delta \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 1 \\ \hline \lambda & \mu \end{array} \right] \right),$$

in which  $\varepsilon, \delta, \lambda$  and  $\mu$  are independent parameters. We get

$$A\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & \mu \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon \\ \lambda & \mu \end{bmatrix}.$$

Taking all parameters zero except for  $\delta \neq 0$ , we get that  $\tilde{A}B + \tilde{B}A = 0$ .

Case 3:  $(A, B) = (F_m, G_m) \oplus (G_m, F_m)$  for some  $m$ .

If  $m = 1$ , then  $(A, B) = (F_1, G_1) \oplus (G_1, F_1) = (0, 0)$ . For each perturbation  $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) \neq (0, 0)$ , we get  $\tilde{A}\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}\tilde{B} = 0$ .

If  $m = 2$ , then the miniversal deformation (4) of  $(A, B)$  is

$$(A + \tilde{A}, B + \tilde{B}) = \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & \alpha & 0 \\ \varepsilon & \beta & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \lambda & \mu & \delta \end{array} \right] \right)$$

in which  $\varepsilon, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu$  and  $\delta$  are independent parameters. We obtain

$$A\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & \mu & \delta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon & \beta \\ \lambda & \mu & \delta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Choosing all parameters zero except for  $\alpha \neq 0$ , we get  $A\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}B = 0$ .

If  $r$  is arbitrary, then the miniversal deformation (4) of  $(A, B)$  has the form

$$\left( \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & 0 & \varepsilon_r \\ \vdots & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \varepsilon_{2r-2} \\ \varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_{r-1} & \varepsilon_{2r-1} & \\ \hline & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & 0 & & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 1 & 0 & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ \hline & & & 1 & 0 \\ & 0 & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_r & \alpha_{r+1} & \dots & \alpha_{2r-1} \end{array} \right] \right)$$

in which all  $\alpha_i$  and  $\varepsilon_j$  are independent parameters. Since the  $r$ th row of  $B$  is zero, a parameter  $\varepsilon_{2r-2}$  does not appear in  $\tilde{A}B$ , and so in  $A\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}B$  too. Choosing all parameters zeros except for  $\varepsilon_{2r-2} \neq 0$ , we get  $A\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}B = 0$ .

(b)  $\implies$  (a). Let us prove that if there exists a nonzero miniversal perturbation  $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B})$  such that  $A\tilde{B} + \tilde{A}B = 0$ , then  $(A, B)$  contains  $(I_r, J_r(0)) \oplus (J_r(0), I_r)$  for some  $r$ , or  $(F_1, G_1) \oplus (G_2, F_2)$ , or  $(F_m, G_m) \oplus (G_m, F_m)$  for some  $m$ .

Since the deformation (4) is the direct sum of

$$\left( I, \bigoplus_i (\Phi(\lambda_i) + N) \right) \text{ and } \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} \oplus_j I_{r_{1j}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \oplus_j J_{r_{2j}}(0) + N & N \\ \hline 0 & N & \begin{matrix} P_3 & N \\ 0 & Q_4 \end{matrix} \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} \oplus_j J_{r_{1j}}(0) + N & N & N \\ \hline N & \oplus_j I_{r_{2j}} & 0 \\ N & 0 & \begin{matrix} Q_3 & 0 \\ N & P_4 \end{matrix} \end{array} \right] \right),$$

it is sufficient to consider  $(A, B)$  equals

$$\left( I, \bigoplus_i (\Phi(\lambda_i)) \right) \text{ or } \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} (I_{r_{1j}}, J_{r_{1j}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_2} (J_{r_{2j}}, I_{r_{2j}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_3} (F_{r_{3j}}, G_{r_{3j}}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_4} (G_{r_{4j}}, F_{r_{4j}}). \tag{8}$$

Let first  $(A, B) = (I, \bigoplus_i (\Phi(\lambda_i)))$ . Then

$$(A + \tilde{A}, B + \tilde{B}) = \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} \oplus_j I_{r_{1j}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \oplus_j I_{r_{1j}} \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} \oplus_j J_{r_{1j}}(\lambda_1) + N & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \oplus_j J_{r_{1j}}(\lambda_l) + N \end{array} \right] \right).$$

If

$$\tilde{A}B + \tilde{A}B = \begin{bmatrix} N & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & N \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

in which all  $N$  have independent parameters, then all  $N$  are zero, and so  $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}) = (0, 0)$ .

It remains to consider  $(A, B)$  equaling the second pair in (8). Write the matrices (7) as follows:

$$P_l = \bar{P}_l + \underline{P}_l, \quad Q_l = \bar{Q}_l + \underline{Q}_l, \quad \text{in which } l = 3, 4,$$

$$\bar{P}_l = \begin{bmatrix} F_{r_{l1}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & F_{r_{l2}} & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & & F_{r_{ll_l}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{P}_l = \begin{bmatrix} H_{r_{l1}} & H & \cdots & H \\ & H_{r_{l2}} & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & H \\ 0 & & & H_{r_{ll_l}} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\bar{Q}_l = \begin{bmatrix} G_{r_{l1}} & & & 0 \\ 0 & G_{r_{l2}} & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & G_{r_{ll_l}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{Q}_l = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{r_{l1}} & & & 0 \\ H & 0_{r_{l2}} & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ H & \cdots & H & 0_{r_{ll_l}} \end{bmatrix},$$

$N$  and  $H$  are matrices of the form (5) and (6), and the stars denote independent parameters.

Write

$$J_1 := \oplus_j J_{r_{1j}}(0), \quad J_2 := \oplus_j J_{r_{2j}}(0). \tag{9}$$

Then

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{P}_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{Q}_4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & N & N & N \\ 0 & N & \underline{P}_3 & N \\ 0 & N & 0 & \underline{Q}_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{Q}_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{P}_4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{B} = \begin{bmatrix} N & N & N & N \\ N & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ N & 0 & \underline{Q}_3 & 0 \\ N & 0 & N & \underline{P}_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A\tilde{B} = \begin{bmatrix} N & N & N & N \\ J_2N & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{P}_3N & 0 & \bar{P}_3\underline{Q}_3 & 0 \\ \bar{Q}_4N & 0 & \bar{Q}_4N & \bar{Q}_4\underline{P}_4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{A}\tilde{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & N & N\underline{Q}_3 & N\underline{P}_4 \\ 0 & N & \underline{P}_3\underline{Q}_3 & N\underline{P}_4 \\ 0 & N & 0 & \underline{Q}_4\underline{P}_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

in which we denote by  $N$  blocks of the form (5). All blocks denoted by  $N$  have distinct sets of independent parameters and may have distinct sizes.

Since  $\tilde{A}B$  and  $A\tilde{B}$  have independent parameters for each  $(A, B)$ , we should prove that  $\tilde{A}B \neq 0$  for all  $\tilde{A} \neq 0$  and  $\tilde{B}A \neq 0$  for all  $\tilde{B} \neq 0$ . Thus, we should prove that

$$J_2N, \quad N\underline{P}_4, \quad \bar{P}_3N, \quad N\underline{Q}_3, \quad \bar{Q}_4N \tag{10}$$

are nonzero if the corresponding parameter blocks  $N$  are nonzero.

Let us consider the first matrix in (10):

$$J_2N = \begin{bmatrix} J_{r_1} & & 0 \\ & J_{r_2} & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & J_{r_n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{r_1} & & 0 \\ & H_{r_2} & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & H_{r_n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \varepsilon_{11} \dots \varepsilon_{1m_1} \\ 0 \dots 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \dots \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \varepsilon_{n1} \dots \varepsilon_{nm_n} \\ 0 \dots 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

in which all  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  are independent parameters and  $r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_n$ . Clearly,  $J_2N \neq 0$  if at least one  $\varepsilon_{ij} \neq 0$ .

Let us consider the second matrix in (10):

$$N\bar{P}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} H_{r_1} & & 0 \\ & H_{r_2} & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & H_{r_n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F_{r_1} & & 0 \\ & F_{r_2} & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & F_{r_n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \varepsilon_{11} \dots \varepsilon_{1m_1} \end{bmatrix} \oplus \dots \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \varepsilon_{n1} \dots \varepsilon_{nm_n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

in which all  $\varepsilon_j$  are independent parameters and  $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots \geq r_n$ . Clearly,  $N\bar{P}_4 \neq 0$  if at least one  $\varepsilon_{ij} \neq 0$ .

The matrices  $\bar{P}_3N$ ,  $\bar{Q}_4N$ ,  $N\bar{Q}_3$ , and  $\bar{Q}_4N$  in (10) are considered analogously.

## References

- [1] Arnold, V. I. (1971), On matrices depending on parameters, *Russian Math. Surveys* **26**, pp. 29–43, doi [10.1070/RM1971v026n02ABEH003827](https://doi.org/10.1070/RM1971v026n02ABEH003827)
- [2] Arnold, V. I. (1988), Geometrical Methods in the Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations, *Springer-Verlag*, doi [10.1007/978-1-4612-1037-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-1037-5)
- [3] Dobrovol'skaya, N. M. and Ponomarev, V. A. (1965), A pair of counter-operators (in Russian), *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **20**, pp. 80–86, doi [10.1070/RM2006v061n04ABEH004354](https://doi.org/10.1070/RM2006v061n04ABEH004354)
- [4] Garcia-Planas, M. I. and Sergeichuk, V. V. (1999), Simplest miniversal deformations of matrices, matrix pencils, and contragredient matrix pencils, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **302/303**, pp. 45–61, doi [10.1016/S0024-3795\(99\)00015-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-3795(99)00015-4)
- [5] Horn, R. A. and Merino, D. I. (1995), Contragredient equivalence: A canonical form and some applications, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **214**, pp. 43–92, doi [10.1016/0024-3795\(93\)00056-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(93)00056-6)

This page is intentionally left blank