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#### Abstract

We prove the existence of weak solutions of variational inequalities for general quasilinear parabolic operators of order $m=2$ with strongly nonlinear perturbation term. The result is based on a priori bound for the time derivatives of the solutions.
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## 1 Introduction

Consider the parabolic initial-boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}+A(u)+G(u)=f & \text { in } Q_{T} ; \\ u(0)=0 & \text { in } \Omega ; \\ D^{\alpha} u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times] 0, T[\text { for }|\alpha| \leq m-1\end{cases}
$$

on a cylinder $\left.Q_{T}=\Omega \times\right] 0, T\left[\right.$ over a bounded smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(u)=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m}(-1)^{|\alpha|} D^{\alpha} A_{\alpha}(x, t ; D u(x, t)), \quad G(u)=g(x, t ; u) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $D u=\left(D^{\alpha} u\right)_{|\alpha| \leq m}$. If the coefficients $A_{\alpha}$ satisfy at most polynomial growth conditions in $u$ and its space derivatives while $g$ obeys no growth in $u$, but merely a sign condition, Landes and Mustonen [6] proved that

[^0]the usual truncation can be utilized to obtain weak solutions of (1) when $m=1$. In [1], Brézis and Browder considered (1) but under stronger hypotheses on $g$. Roughly speaking, they required $g$ to be controlled from above and below by the derivative of some convex function. In [5], Landes proved that this assumption is not necessary provided a certain a priori bound for the time derivative of solutions were needed. In [2], Browder and Breézis established an existence and uniqueness result for a general class of variational inequalities for (1) when $g$ obeys no growth condition while $A$ is a regular elliptic operator. Their proof is based on a type of compactness result. In this note, we extend the result of [5] to the corresponding class of variational inequalities under weaker assumptions.

## 2 Assumptions and the main result

We start by assuming the following hypotheses.
$\left.A_{1} A_{\alpha}(x, t, \xi): \Omega \times\right] 0, T\left[\times \mathbb{R}^{s} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right.$ is continuous in $t$ and $\xi$ for almost all $x$ and measurable in $x$ for all $t$ and $\xi$. Moreover, there exist a constant $c_{1}$ and a function $\lambda_{1} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ with $\left.p \in\right] 1, \infty\left[, p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}\right.$ such that

$$
\left|A_{\alpha}(x, t, \xi)\right| \leq c_{1}|\xi|^{p-1}+\lambda_{1}(x, t) \text { for all }(x, t) \in Q_{T} \text { and } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{s}
$$

$A_{2} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m}\left[A_{\alpha}(x, t, \xi)-A_{\alpha}\left(x, t, \xi^{*}\right)\right]\left(\xi_{\alpha}-\xi_{\alpha}^{*}\right) \geq 0$ for all $(x, t) \in Q_{T}$ and $\xi \neq \xi^{*}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{s}$.
$A_{3}$ There exists a constant $c_{2}>0$ and a function $\lambda_{2} \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ such that $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_{\alpha}(x, t, \xi) \xi_{\alpha} \geq c_{1}|\xi|^{p}-\lambda_{2}(x, t)$ for all $(x, t) \in Q_{T}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{s}$.
$A_{4}$ There is a function $F(x, t, \xi)$ continuous in $\xi$, measurable in $x$ and differentiable in $t$ such that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_{\alpha}}=A_{\alpha}$ for all $(x, t) \in Q_{T}$ and all $\alpha$ with $|\alpha| \leq m$.
$G \quad$ (i) $g(x, t, r) \Omega \times] 0, T[\times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous in $t$ and $r$ for almost all $x$ and measurable in $x$ for all $t$ and $\xi$. Moreover,

$$
|g(x, t, r)| \leq \lambda_{4}(x, t) \psi(r)
$$

for some continuous function $\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_{4} \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.
(ii) $g(x, t, r) r \geq-\lambda_{5}(x, t)$ for some function $\lambda_{5} \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.
$D$ There exists a function $\tilde{f} \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ such that $(f, v)=\int_{Q_{T}} \tilde{f}(x, t) v(x, t) d x d t$.
The function spaces we shall deal with will be obtained by the completion of the space of smooth functions with respect to the appropriate norm. We denote by

$$
X=L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{m, p}(\Omega)\right)=\mathscr{C}^{1} \overline{\left(0, T, \mathscr{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)\right)}\left\|^{\| ; m, p}, \quad L^{p}\left(Q_{T}\right)=\overline{\mathscr{C}}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)\right\|_{p ; p}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{p ; m, p}^{p} & =\int_{0}^{T}\|u\|_{m, p}^{p} d t=\int_{0}^{T} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{p}^{p} d t \\
\|u\|_{p ; p} & =\int_{0}^{T}\|u\|_{P}^{P} d t \text { and }\|u\|_{P}^{P}=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $W=X \cap C\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Finally, we choose a sequence $\left(\Phi_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathscr{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{n}$ with $V_{n}=$ $\operatorname{span}\left(\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}, \ldots, \Phi_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is dense in $W^{j, p}(\Omega): j p>m p+N$.

Denote by $Y_{n}=C\left(0, T ; V_{n}\right)$. Since the closure of $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_{n}$ with respect to the $C^{m}$-topology contains $\mathscr{C}_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, then for $f \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ there exists $f_{k} \in \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_{n}$ such that $f_{k} \rightarrow f$ in $L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ [4]. For simplicity, we fix the constant $c$ throughout this note. Now we are in a position to give our result.

Theorem. Let $K$ be a closed convex subset of $C\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ with $0 \in K$. Let the hypotheses $A_{1}-A_{4}, G$, and $D$ be satisfied. Then for a given $f \in W^{*}$ there exists a weak solution $u \in W \cap K$ with $u(0)=0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\dot{u}, v-u\rangle+\langle A(u), v-u\rangle+\int_{Q_{T}} g(x, t, u)(v-u) d x d t \geq\langle f, v-u\rangle \text { for all } v \in C^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathscr{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)\right) \cap K . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We shall give the proof in several steps. In many stages we may adopt the ideas of [5] and [6]. Let $g_{k}$ be the truncation of $g$ at level $k \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
g_{k}(x, t ; u)= \begin{cases}k \frac{g(x, t ; u)}{|g(x, t ; u)|} & \text { if }|g(x, t ; u)|>k \\ g(x, t ; u) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Consider the truncated variational inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\dot{u}, v-u\rangle+\langle A(u), v-u\rangle+\int_{Q_{T}} g_{k}(x, t, u)(v-u) d x d t \geq\langle\tilde{f}, v-u\rangle \text { for all } v \in W \cap K \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Firstly, we show the existence of a Galerkin solution $u_{\varepsilon} \in Y_{n} \cap K$ of (3) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\dot{u}_{n}\right\|_{2 ; 2}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{2 ; 2}+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p ; m, p} \leq c \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant not depending on $\varepsilon, k$, and $n$.
For this aim, let $A_{\alpha, \varepsilon}, g(\alpha, \varepsilon)$, and $\tilde{\tilde{f}}_{\varepsilon}$ be the Friedrich's mollification in the variables $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ of $A_{\alpha}, g_{k}$, and $\tilde{f}$, respectively. There exists a Galerkin solution $u_{\varepsilon} \in Y_{n} \cap K$ for the mollified variational inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\tau}\left(\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}, v-u_{\varepsilon}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{\tau} A_{\mathcal{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v-u_{\varepsilon}\right) d t+\int_{Q_{T}} g_{k, \varepsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(v-u_{\varepsilon}\right) d x d t \geq \int_{Q_{T}} \tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}\left(v-u_{\varepsilon}\right) d x d t \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in Y_{n} \cap K$ and all $\left.\tau \in\right] 0, T[$ with

$$
\left\|u_{\mathcal{E}}(t)\right\|_{2} \leq c
$$

(see [3,4]). Put $v=0$ in (5). Then we get from $A_{3}$ and $G$ the estimate

$$
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p ; p} \leq c
$$

On the other hand, given $h>0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and any $w_{\varepsilon} \in Y_{n} \cap K$, put $v=u_{\varepsilon}-h w_{\varepsilon}$ in (5). Then we get

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}, w_{\varepsilon}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(A_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right), w_{\varepsilon}\right) d t+\int_{Q_{T}} g_{k, \varepsilon}\left(x, t, u_{e p s}\right) w_{\varepsilon} d x d t \leq \int_{Q_{T}} f_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon} d x d t
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}(t), \frac{u_{\varepsilon}(t+h)-u_{\varepsilon}(t)}{h}\right) d & +\int_{0}^{T}\left(A_{\mathcal{\varepsilon}}\left(u_{\mathcal{E}}\right), \frac{u_{\varepsilon}(t+h)-u_{\varepsilon}(t)}{h}\right) d t \\
& +\int_{Q_{T}} g_{k, \varepsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\mathcal{\varepsilon}}(t)\right)\left(\frac{u_{\varepsilon}(t+h)-u_{\varepsilon}(t)}{h}\right) d x d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left(\tilde{f}_{\varepsilon}(t), \frac{u_{\varepsilon}(t+h)-u_{\varepsilon}(t)}{h}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Allowing $h \rightarrow 0$, keeping $\varepsilon$ fixed, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\dot{u}_{\mathcal{E}}(t), \dot{u}_{\mathcal{E}}(t)\right) d t & +\int_{0}^{T}\left(A_{\mathcal{E}}\left(u_{\mathcal{E}}\right), \dot{u}_{\mathcal{E}}(t)\right) d t+\int_{Q_{T}} g_{k, \varepsilon}\left(x, t, u_{\mathcal{E}}\right) \dot{u}_{\mathcal{E}}(t) d x d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left(\tilde{f}_{\mathcal{E}}(t), \dot{u}_{\mathcal{E}}(t)\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

By $A_{4}$ and $G$, we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[F_{\varepsilon}\left(x, t ; D u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)+\Gamma_{k, \varepsilon}\left(x, t ; u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)\right] d x d t \leq c \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t
$$

We may write this inequality in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t & +\frac{3}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega}\left[F_{\varepsilon}\left(x, t ; D u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)+\Gamma_{k, \varepsilon}\left(x, t ; u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)\right] d x d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega}\left[F_{\varepsilon}\left(x, t ; D u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)+\Gamma_{k, \varepsilon}\left(x, t ; u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)\right] d x d t+c \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

From the mean value theorem for definite integrals, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} d t & +c T \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_{\varepsilon}\left(x, t ; D u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Gamma_{k, \varepsilon}\left(x, t ; u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)\right] d x \\
& \leq c+c \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_{\varepsilon}\left(x, t ; D u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Gamma_{k, \varepsilon}\left(x, t ; u_{\mathcal{\varepsilon}}(t)\right)\right] d x d t, \quad 0<t<T
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\Gamma_{k, \varepsilon}(x, t ; \rho)=\int_{0}^{\rho} g_{k, \varepsilon}(x, t ; r) d r .
$$

We may invoke Gronwall's lemma to get the estimate

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_{\varepsilon}\left(x, t ; D u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Gamma_{k, \varepsilon}\left(x, t ; u_{\varepsilon}(t)\right)\right] d x \leq c .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left\|\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}(Q)} \leq c
$$

and consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2 ; 2}+\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{2 ; 2}+\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p ; m, p} \leq c, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $c$ is independent of $\varepsilon, k$, and $n$.
From (6) and in view of Arzelà-Ascoli's theorem, we get

$$
u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{n} \text { strongly in } Y_{n} \text { and } \dot{u}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \dot{u}_{n} \text { (weakly) in } L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right) .
$$

Therefore, (5) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\dot{u}_{n}, v-u_{n}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(A\left(u_{n}\right), v-u_{n}\right) d t+\int_{Q_{T}} g_{k}\left(x, t, u_{n}\right)\left(v-u_{n}\right) d x d t \geq \int_{Q_{T}} \tilde{f}\left(v-u_{n}\right) d x d t, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v \in Y_{n} \cap K$ and $u_{n}(0)=0$. By the lower-semicontinuity property of the norms in (6), we get (4).
From (4) and the fixes level of truncation, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{u}_{n} \rightharpoonup \dot{u}_{k}(\text { weakly }) \text { in } L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)  \tag{8}\\
u_{n} \rightarrow u_{k} \text { strongly in } L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{m-1, p}(\Omega)\right) \text { and weakly in } C\left(0, T, L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \\
A_{\alpha}\left(x, t ; D u_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup h_{\alpha}(x, t)\left(\text { weakly in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(Q_{T}\right),|\alpha| \leq m\right. \\
g_{k}\left(x, t ; u_{n}\right) \rightarrow g_{k}\left(x, t ; u_{k}\right) \text { strongly in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(Q_{T}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Secondly, we show that $u_{k}$ is a weak solution of (3). For this purpose and in view of (6), it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n} \int_{0}^{T}\left(A\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u_{k}\right) d t \leq 0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality holds true at least for one subsequence $\left(v_{k}\right) \subset \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_{n}$. From (7), for any fixed $k$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\dot{u}_{n}, v_{k}-u_{n}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(A\left(u_{n}\right), v_{k}-u_{n}\right) d t+\int_{Q_{T}} g_{k}\left(x, t, u_{n}\right)\left(v_{k}-u_{n}\right) d x d t \geq \int_{Q_{T}} \tilde{f}\left(v_{k}-u_{n}\right) d x d t \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $v_{k}$ be the truncation at level $k$ and the mollification with respect to the time and space variables, respectively, of the Galerkin's solution $u_{n}$, i.e., $v_{k}=\left(\left(u_{n}^{k}\right)_{\mu}\right)_{\sigma}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (10), taking (8) into account, and the strong convergence of $\left(\left(u_{n}^{k}\right)_{\mu}\right)_{\sigma}$ into $u_{k}$ in $X$ with respect to $\sigma, \mu$, [6], we obtain (9) and hence, $u_{k} \in W \cap K$ is a weak solution of (3), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\dot{u}_{k}, v-u_{k}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(A\left(u_{k}\right), v-u_{k}\right) d t+\int_{Q_{T}} g_{k}\left(x, t, u_{k}\right)\left(v-u_{k}\right) d x d t \geq \int_{Q_{T}} \tilde{f}\left(v-u_{k}\right) d x d t \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in W \cap K$. Finally, to show (2), it remains to prove the following assertions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{u}_{k} \rightharpoonup \dot{u} \text { (weakly) in } L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)  \tag{12}\\
u_{k} \rightarrow u(\text { strongly }) \text { in } L^{p}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{m-1, p}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{13}\\
\text { and (weakly) in } C\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{14}\\
g_{k}\left(x, t ; u_{k}\right) \rightarrow g(x, t ; u) \text { (strongly) in } L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\alpha} u_{k}(x, t) \rightarrow D^{\alpha} u(x, t) \text { a.e. in } Q_{T} \text { for all }|\alpha| \leq m \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assertions (12-15) follow similarly as above and as in [5]. To show 16, it suffices to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{k} \int_{0}^{T}\left(A\left(u_{n}\right), u_{k}-u\right) d t \leq 0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for any $v \in X$ we may find a sequence $\left(v_{\ell}\right)$ converging weakly to $u$, we get from (11)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\dot{u}_{k}, u_{k}\right) d t & +\int_{0}^{T}\left(A\left(u_{k}\right), u_{k}-v_{\ell}\right) d t+\int_{Q_{T}} g_{k}\left(x, t ; u_{k}\right) u_{k} d x d t \\
& \leq \int_{Q_{T}} g_{k}\left(x, t ; u_{k}\right) v_{\ell} d x d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\dot{u}_{k}, v_{\ell}\right) d t-\int_{0}^{T}\left(f, v_{\ell}-u_{k}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, keeping $\ell$ fixed, taking into account Fatou's lemma, and then allowing $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain (17) and consequently, (2) follows.
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