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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a graph, and let $k, r$ be nonnegative integers with $k \geq 2$. A $k$-factor of $G$ is a spanning subgraph $F$ of $G$ such that $d_{F}(x)=k$ for each $x \in V(G)$, where $d_{F}(x)$ denotes the degree of $x$ in $F$. For $S \subseteq V(G), N_{G}(S)=\bigcup_{x \in S} N_{G}(x)$. The binding number of $G$ is defined by $\operatorname{bind}(G)=\min \left\{\frac{\left|N_{G}(S)\right|}{|S|}: \emptyset \neq S \subset V(G), N_{G}(S) \neq V(G)\right\}$. In this paper, we obtain a binding number and neighborhood condition for a graph to have a $k$-factor excluding a given $r$-factor. This result is an extension of the previous results.
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## 1 Introduction

For motivation and background to this work see [1]. In this paper, we consider only finite and simple graphs. Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a graph, where $V(G)$ denotes its vertex set and $E(G)$ denotes its edge set. A graph is Hamiltonian if it admits a Hamiltonian cycle. For each $x \in V(G)$, the neighborhood $N_{G}(x)$ of $x$ is the set of vertices of $G$ adjacent to $x$, and the degree $d_{G}(x)$ of $x$ is $\left|N_{G}(x)\right|$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, we write $N_{G}(S)=\bigcup_{x \in S} N_{G}(x)$. $G[S]$ denotes the subgraph of $G$ induced by $S$, and $G-S=G[V(G) \backslash S]$. A vertex subset $S$ of $G$ is called independent if $G[S]$ has no edges. The symbol $\delta(G)$ denotes the minimum degree of $G$. The binding number

[^0]of $G$ is defined by $\operatorname{bind}(G)=\min \left\{\frac{\left|N_{G}(S)\right|}{|S|}: \emptyset \neq S \subset V(G), N_{G}(S) \neq V(G)\right\}$. A spanning subgraph $F$ of $G$ with $d_{F}(x)=k$ for each $x \in V(G)$ is called a $k$-factor of $G$.

Many authors studied graph factors [1-10]. Anderson [11] gave a binding number condition for graphs to have 1 -factors. Woodall [12] showed a binding number condition for a graph to have a Hamiltonian cycle (or a 2 -factor). Katerinis and Woodall [13] obtained a binding number condition for graphs to have $k$-factors. The following theorems of $k$-factors in terms of binding number are known.

Theorem 1 (Anderson [11]). Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$. If $n$ is even and bind $(G) \geq \frac{4}{3}$, then $G$ has a 1-factor.
Theorem 2 (Woodall [12]). Let $G$ be a graph. If $\operatorname{bind}(G) \geq \frac{3}{2}$, then $G$ has a Hamiltonian cycle (or a 2-factor).
Theorem 3 (Katerinis and Woodall [13]). Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 4 k-6$ and binding number bind $(G)$ such that $k n$ is even and $\operatorname{bind}(G)>\frac{(2 k-1)(n-1)}{k(n-2)+3}$. Then $G$ has a $k$-factor.

In this paper, we obtain a binding number condition for a graph to have a $k$-factor excluding a given $r$-factor, which is an extension of Theorems 1,2, and 3. The main result will be given in the following section.

## 2 Main Theorems

In this section, we give our main results, which are the following theorems.
Theorem 4. Let $k$ and $r$ be two nonnegative integers with $k \geq 2$, and let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ with $n \geq$ $\frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{k}$, and let $G$ have an r-factor $Q$. Suppose that $k n$ is even, bind $(G) \geq \frac{(2 k-1)(n-1)}{k n-(r+1)(2 k-1)+1}$ and $\left|N_{G}(X)\right|>$ $\frac{(k-1) n+(2 r k-r+1)|X|-2}{2 k-1}$ for any nonempty independent subset $X$ of $V(G)$. Then $G$ has a $k$-factor excluding a given $r$-factor $Q$ if $G-E(Q)$ is connected.

If $r=0$ in Theorem 4, then we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 5. Let $k$ be a nonnegative integer with $k \geq 2$, and let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ with $n \geq \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{k}$. Suppose that $k n$ is even, bind $(G) \geq \frac{(2 k-1)(n-1)}{k n-(2 k-2)}$ and $\left|N_{G}(X)\right|>\frac{(k-1) n+|X|-2}{2 k-1}$ for any nonempty independent subset $X$ of $V(G)$. Then $G$ has a $k$-factor.

If $Q$ is a Hamiltonian cycle in Theorem 4, then we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 6. Let $k$ be a nonnegative integer with $k \geq 2$, and let $G$ be a Hamiltonian graph of order $n$ with $n \geq$ $\frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{k}$. Suppose that $k n$ is even, $\operatorname{bind}(G) \geq \frac{(2 k-1)(n-1)}{k n-2(3 k-2)}$ and $\left|N_{G}(X)\right|>\frac{(k-1) n+(4 k-1)|X|-2}{2 k-1}$ for any nonempty independent subset $X$ of $V(G)$. Then $G$ has a k-factor excluding a given Hamiltonian cycle $C$ if $G-E(C)$ is connected.

Unfortunately, the authors do not know whether the conditions in Theorem 4 are the best possible or not. Hence, we pose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 7. Let $k$ and $r$ be two nonnegative integers with $k \geq 2$, and let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ with $n \geq$ $\frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{k}$, and let $G$ have an r-factor $Q$. Suppose that $k n$ is even, bind $(G) \geq \frac{(2 k-1)(n-1)}{k n-(r+1)(2 k-1)+2}$ and $\left|N_{G}(X)\right| \geq$ $\frac{(k-1) n+(2 r k-r+1)|X|-2}{2 k-1}$ for any nonempty independent subset $X$ of $V(G)$. Then $G$ has a $k$-factor excluding a given $r$-factor $Q$ if $G-E(Q)$ is connected.

Using Theorem 4, we obtain a binding number condition for a graph to have a $k$-factor including a given $r$-factor.

Theorem 8. Let $k$ and $r$ be two nonnegative integers with $k \geq r+2$, and let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ with $n \geq \frac{(2 k-2 r-1)(2 k-2 r-3)}{k-r}$, and let $G$ have an r-factor $Q$. Suppose that $k n$ and $r n$ are both even, bind $(G) \geq$ $\frac{(2 k-2 r-1)(n-1)}{(k-r) n-(r+1)(2 k-2 r-1)+1}$ and $\left|N_{G}(X)\right|>\frac{(k-r-1) n+\left(2 r k-2 r^{2}-r+1\right)|X|-2}{2 k-2 r-1}$ for any nonempty independent subset $X$ of $V(G)$. Then $G$ has a $k$-factor including a given $r$-factor $Q$ if $G-E(Q)$ is connected.

Proof. By the assumption of Theorem 8, $G$ has an $r$-factor $Q$. Let $m=k-r$. Then we have $m \geq 2$, $m n$ even, $n \geq \frac{(2 m-1)(2 m-3)}{m}, \operatorname{bind}(G) \geq \frac{(2 m-1)(n-1)}{m n-(r+1)(2 m-1)+1},\left|N_{G}(X)\right|>\frac{(m-1) n+(2 r m-r+1)|X|-2}{2 m-1}$ for any nonempty independent subset $X$ of $V(G)$, and $G-E(Q)$ connected. According to Theorem $4, G$ has an $m$-factor $F^{\prime}$ excluding a given $r$-factor $Q$, and $G$ has a $k$-factor $F\left(F=E\left(F^{\prime}\right) \cup E(Q)\right.$ ) including a given $r$-factor $Q$. This completes the proof of Theorem 8.

If $Q$ is a Hamiltonian cycle in Theorem 8 , then we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 9. Let $k$ be a nonnegative integer with $k \geq 4$, and let $G$ be a Hamiltonian graph of order $n$ with $n \geq \frac{(2 k-5)(2 k-7)}{k-2}$. Suppose that kn is even, bind $(G) \geq \frac{(2 k-5)(n-1)}{(k-2) n-2(3 k-8)}$ and $\left|N_{G}(X)\right|>\frac{(k-3) n+(4 k-9)|X|-2}{2 k-5}$ for any nonempty independent subset $X$ of $V(G)$. Then $G$ has a $k$-factor including a given Hamiltonian cycle $C$ if $G-E(C)$ is connected.

The previous results on a graph to have a $k$-factor including a given Hamiltonian cycle are shown in the following

Theorem 10 (Matsuda [14]). Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and let $G$ be a graph of order $n>8 k^{2}-2(\alpha+12) k+$ $3 \alpha+16$, where $\alpha=3$ for odd $k$ and $\alpha=4$ for even $k$. Suppose that $k n$ is even and the minimum degree $\delta(G)$ of $G$ is at least $k$. If for any nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G, d_{G}(x)+d_{G}(y) \geq n+\alpha$, then $G$ has $a k$-factor including a given Hamiltonian cycle.

Theorem 11 (Gao, Li, and Li [15]). Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and let $G$ be a graph of order $n>12(k-2)^{2}+2(5-$ $\alpha)(k-2)-\alpha$. Suppose that $k n$ is even, $\delta(G) \geq k$ and $\max \left\{d_{G}(x), d_{G}(y)\right\} \geq \frac{n+\alpha}{2}$ for each pair of nonadjacent vertices $x$ and $y$ in $G$, where $\alpha=3$ for odd $k$ and $\alpha=4$ for even $k$. Then $G$ has a $k$-factor including a given Hamiltonian cycle $C$ if $G-E(C)$ is connected.

## 3 The Proof of Theorem 4

Let $G$ be a graph, and $S, T \subseteq V(G)$ with $S \cap T=\emptyset$. We use $e_{G}(S, T)$ to denote the number of edges that join $S$ and $T$. For an integer $k \geq 1$, a component $C$ of $G-(S \cup T)$ is called an odd component if $k|V(C)|+e_{G}(V(C), T)$ is odd. We write

$$
\delta_{G}(S, T)=k|S|+d_{G-S}(T)-k|T|-h_{G}(S, T),
$$

where $d_{G-S}(T)=\sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x)$ and $h_{G}(S, T)$ is the number of odd components of $G-(S \cup T)$.
The proof of Theorem 4 relies heavily on the following lemmas.
Lemma 12 (Tutte [16]). Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and $k$ a positive integer. Then for any disjoint subsets $S$ and $T$ of $V(G)$, the following statements hold:

1. G has a k-factor if and only if $\delta_{G}(S, T) \geq 0$.
2. $\delta_{G}(S, T) \equiv k n(\bmod 2)$.

Lemma 13 (Katerinis and Woodall [13]). Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and $k$ a positive integer with kn even. Suppose that there exists a pair of disjoint subsets $S$ and $T$ of $V(G)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{G}(S, T) \leq-2 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $W=G-S-T$ and let $\omega$ be the number of components of $W$. If $|S \cup T|$ is maximal subject to (1), then $|V(C)| \geq 3$ for every component $C$ of $W$, so that $|V(W)| \geq 3 \omega$.

Lemma 14 (Woodall [12]). Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ with bind $(G) \geq c$. Then $\delta(G) \geq n-\frac{n-1}{c}$.
Proof of Theorem 4. According to the assumption of Theorem 4, $G$ has an $r$-factor $Q$. Set $H=G-E(Q)$. Then $V(H)=V(G)$. Hence $G$ has a desired factor if and only if $H$ has a $k$-factor. By way of contradiction, we assume that $H$ has no $k$-factor. Then, by Lemma 12, there exist two disjoint subsets $S$ and $T$ of $V(H)=V(G)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{H}(S, T)=k|S|+d_{H-S}(T)-k|T|-h_{H}(S, T) \leq-2 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{H}(S, T)$ denotes the number of odd components of $H-(S \cup T)$. And subject to (2), we choose $S$ and $T$ such that $|S \cup T|$ is as large as possible. From (2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k|S|+d_{H-S}(T)-k|T|-\omega \leq-2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ denotes the number of components of $H-(S \cup T)$. Obviously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \leq n-|S|-|T| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\omega>0$, then let $m$ denote the minimum order of components of $H-(S \cup T)$. We shall make use of the obvious facts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(H) \leq m-1+|S|+|T| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \omega \leq|V(H)|-|S|-|T|=n-|S|-|T| \leq n . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, it follows from Lemma 13 and the choice of $S$ and $T$ that $m \geq 3$.
According to Lemma 14 and $\operatorname{bind}(G) \geq \frac{(2 k-1)(n-1)}{k n-(r+1)(2 k-1)+1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(G) \geq n-\frac{n-1}{\frac{(2 k-1)(n-1)}{k n-(r+1)(2 k-1)+1}}=\frac{(k-1) n+(r+1)(2 k-1)-1}{2 k-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 1. $T \neq \emptyset$.
Proof. Suppose that $T=\emptyset$.
If $S=\emptyset$, then by (3) we obtain

$$
\omega \geq k|S|+d_{H-S}(T)-k|T|+2=2
$$

which contradicts the assumption that $H=G-E(Q)$ is connected.
If $S \neq \emptyset$, then from (3) and (4) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<k|S|+2 \leq \omega \leq n-|S| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n-1-k|S|-|S| \geq 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (5), (6) and (8), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(H) & \leq m-1+|S| \leq \frac{n-|S|}{\omega}-1+|S| \\
& \leq \frac{n-|S|}{k|S|+2}-1+|S|<\frac{n-|S|}{k|S|+1}-1+|S| \\
& =\frac{n-1}{k+1}-\frac{(n-1-k|S|-|S|)(k|S|-k)}{(k+1)(k|S|+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (9) and $|S| \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(H)<\frac{n-1}{k+1}-\frac{(n-1-k|S|-|S|)(k|S|-k)}{(k+1)(k|S|+1)} \leq \frac{n-1}{k+1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\delta(H)=\delta(G)-r$. Using (7) and (10), we have

$$
\frac{n-1}{k+1}>\delta(H)=\delta(G)-r \geq \frac{(k-1) n+(r+1)(2 k-1)-1}{2 k-1}-r=\frac{(k-1) n+2 k-2}{2 k-1}
$$

which is a contradiction since $k \geq 2$. Hence, $T \neq \emptyset$. The proof of Claim 3 is complete.
Since $T \neq \emptyset$, we define

$$
h=\min \left\{d_{H-S}(x): x \in T\right\} .
$$

The following proof splits into four cases by the value of $h$.
Case 1. $h=0$.
Set $X=\left\{x: x \in T, d_{H-S}(x)=0\right\}$. Clearly, $X \neq \emptyset$ since $h=0$ and $X$ is an independent subset of $V(H)$. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S| \geq\left|N_{H}(X)\right| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\left|N_{H}(X)\right| \geq\left|N_{G}(X)\right|-r|X|$. According to (11) and the assumption of Theorem 4, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S| \geq\left|N_{H}(X)\right| \geq\left|N_{G}(X)\right|-r|X|>\frac{(k-1) n+|X|-2}{2 k-1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (3), (4), (12), $|S|+|T| \leq n$ and $k \geq 2$, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 & \geq k|S|+d_{H-S}(T)-k|T|-\omega \\
& \geq k|S|+d_{H-S}(T)-k|T|-(n-|S|-|T|) \\
& \geq k|S|+|T|-|X|-k|T|-n+|S|+|T| \\
& =(k+1)|S|-(k-2)|T|-|X|-n \\
& \geq(k+1)|S|-(k-2)(n-|S|)-|X|-n \\
& =(2 k-1)|S|-(k-1) n-|X| \\
& >(2 k-1) \cdot \frac{(k-1) n+|X|-2}{2 k-1}-(k-1) n-|X| \\
& =-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is a contradiction.
Case 2. $1 \leq h \leq k-1$.
Note that $\delta(H) \leq|S|+h$ and $\delta(H)=\delta(G)-r$. And using (7), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S| \geq \delta(G)-r-h \geq \frac{(k-1) n+2 k-2}{2 k-1}-h \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (3), (4), $|S|+|T| \leq n$ and $1 \leq h \leq k-1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 & \geq k|S|+d_{H-S}(T)-k|T|-\omega \\
& \geq k|S|+h|T|-k|T|-(n-|S|-|T|) \\
& =(k+1)|S|-(k-1-h)|T|-n \\
& \geq(k+1)|S|-(k-1-h)(n-|S|)-n \\
& =(2 k-h)|S|-(k-h) n,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 \geq(2 k-h)|S|-(k-h) n . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (14) by $(2 k-1)$ and rearranging, and then using (13),

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \geq(2 k-h)(2 k-1)|S|-(2 k-1)(k-h) n+2(2 k-1) \\
& \geq(2 k-h)((k-1) n+2 k-2-(2 k-1) h)-(2 k-1)(k-h) n+2(2 k-1) \\
& =(h-1)(k n-(2 k-1)(2 k-h)+1)+2 k-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq(h-1)(k n-(2 k-1)(2 k-h)+1)+2 k-1 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h=1$, then from (15) we obtain

$$
0 \geq 2 k-1>0
$$

which is a contradiction.
If $h=2$, then by (15) and $n \geq \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{k}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \geq(h-1)(k n-(2 k-1)(2 k-h)+1)+2 k-1 \\
& =k n-(2 k-1)(2 k-2)+1+2 k-1 \\
& \geq(2 k-1)(2 k-3)-(2 k-1)(2 k-2)+1+2 k-1 \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction.
If $3 \leq h \leq k-1$, then using (15) and $n \geq \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \geq(h-1)(k n-(2 k-1)(2 k-h)+1)+2 k-1 \\
& \geq(h-1)(k n-(2 k-1)(2 k-3)+1)+2 k-1 \\
& \geq h-1+2 k-1 \\
& >2 k-1>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is a contradiction.
Case 3. $h=k$.
According to (3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 & \geq k|S|+d_{H-S}(T)-k|T|-\omega \\
& \geq k|S|+h|T|-k|T|-\omega \\
& =k|S|-\omega
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \geq k|S|+2 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (6) and Claim 3, we have

$$
\omega \leq \frac{n-|S|-|T|}{m} \leq \frac{n-|S|-1}{m}
$$

Combining this with (16) and $m \geq 3$, we infer

$$
\begin{equation*}
k|S|+2 \leq \omega \leq \frac{n-|S|-1}{m} \leq \frac{n-|S|-1}{3}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S| \leq \frac{n-7}{3 k+1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (7), $h=k, \delta(H)=\delta(G)-r$ and $\delta(H) \leq|S|+h$, we deduce

$$
|S| \geq \frac{(k-1) n+2 k-2}{2 k-1}-k
$$

which contradicts (18) since $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq \frac{(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}{k}$.
Case 4. $h \geq k+1$.
According to (3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 & \geq k|S|+d_{H-S}(T)-k|T|-\omega \\
& \geq k|S|+h|T|-k|T|-\omega \\
& \geq k|S|+|T|-\omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with Claim 3, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \geq k|S|+|T|+2 \geq|S|+|T|+2 \geq 3 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (5), (6), and (19), $m \geq 3$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}(G)=\boldsymbol{\delta}(H)+r$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(G) & =\delta(H)+r \leq m-1+|S|+|T|+r \\
& \leq m-1+\omega-2+r=m+\omega-3+r \\
& \leq m+\omega-3+\frac{(m-3)(\omega-3)}{3}+r \\
& =\frac{m \omega}{3}+r \leq \frac{n}{3}+r,
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (7).
Hence, $G$ has a desired factor, that is, $G$ has a $k$-factor excluding a given $r$-factor. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.
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