

Research article

THE INFLUENCE OF DIFFERENT CHEMICAL FORMS OF SELENIUM ADDED TO THE DIET INCLUDING CARNOSIC ACID, FISH OIL AND RAPESEED OIL ON THE FORMATION OF VOLATILE FATTY ACIDS AND METHANE IN THE RUMEN, AND FATTY ACID PROFILES IN THE RUMEN CONTENT AND MUSCLES OF LAMBS

MILTKO Renata, ROZBICKA-WIECZOREK J. Agnieszka, WIĘSYK Edyta, CZAUDERNA Marian*

The Kielanowski Institute of Animal Physiology and Nutrition, Polish Academy of Sciences, Jablonna, Poland

(Received 31 December 2015; Accepted 26 April 2016)

Lambs were divided into 3 groups of 6 animals each. For 35 days lambs were fed a diet including 2% rapeseed oil, 1% fish oil and 0.1% carnosic acid (the control group) or two experimental diets supplemented with $0.35 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ Se as selenized-yeast (SeY) (the SeY diet) or selenate (the selenate diet). Muscles (*Musculus longissimus dorsi* (MLD) and *Musculus biceps femoris* (MBF)), ruminal fluids and microbiota were collected from each lamb. SeY supplementation most effectively stimulated the accumulation of straight-chain volatile fatty acids (VFAs), *iso*-branched-chain VFAs, CO_2 and CH_4 in the ruminal fluid. The contents of CO_2 , CH_4 and VFAs including straight-chain VFAs with the exception of *iso*-branched-chain VFAs were most effectively reduced by the selenite diet. The control diet most efficiently increased the concentration sums of *odd*-saturated fatty acids (*odd*-SFAs) and *iso*-SFAs in microbiota. The SeY diet most efficiently reduced acetic acid to propionic acid ratio in the ruminal fluid. The selenate diet improved animal performance by reducing ruminal concentrations of CH_4 and CO_2 . The SeY diet and especially the selenate diet reduced the biohydrogenation to C18:0 when compared with the control diet. The selenate diet more efficiently reduced the concentration sums of all SFAs (Σ SFAs) and all fatty acids (Σ FAs) in MLD and MBF than the SeY diet, which most effectively increased the concentrations of Σ SFAs and Σ FAs in MLD and MBF. The selenate diet most effectively increased the body mass gain of lambs.

Key words: carnosic acid, fatty acids, methane, ovine rumen, seleno-compounds, volatile fatty acids

INTRODUCTION

The most common supplemental chemical forms of selenium (Se) for ruminants are selenite, selenate or selenized yeast (SeY) [1,2]. The chemical form of Se affects

*Corresponding author: e-mail: m.czauderna@ifzz.pl

biosynthesis yield of Se-complexes and Se-proteins in ruminal microorganisms [3]. Se-methionine (Se-Met) is more efficiently accumulated in the animal body than inorganic forms of Se. Organic chemical forms of Se undergo less alteration in the rumen leading to less of insoluble chemical forms of Se [1]. Ruminal micro-organisms are capable to metabolize organic and inorganic forms of Se into Se-amino acids (Se-AA). The poor bioaccumulation of inorganic Se has been linked to the ruminal environment whereby selenate or selenite is reduced by microorganisms to insoluble and unavailable elemental Se, which is excreted in the feces [1,3]; selenate has higher relative bioavailability value than selenite. Ruminal microorganisms can reduce excessive doses of organic or inorganic forms of Se to selenides or unabsorbable Se⁰. Interestingly, Serra et al. [4] showed that dietary Se-compounds change the ruminal production of volatile fatty acids (VFAs). In fact, numerous studies showed that Se-compounds affect ruminal microorganism yield and activity of microbiota [1-6]. Thus, Se-compounds affect VFAs production and rumen microbial fermentation [5,6].

Analyses of the Se content in the ruminal microorganisms revealed that the microbial Se abundance was enriched relatively to the dietary level of Se [7]. Microorganisms are also able to synthesize Se-Met and Se-cysteine (Se-Cys), and these Se-AA are subsequently incorporated into microbial Se-proteins. Se-Cys is the essential component of antioxidant enzymes (like glutathione peroxidases) that decrease the risk of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) peroxidation [8-11]. Numerous studies have established that phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase interferes more directly thus protecting PUFAs from peroxidation damage [8-12].

Interestingly, dietary carnolic acid (CA) modifies ruminal microbiota, the capacity of biohydrogenation or isomerisation and, hence, the ruminal biosynthesis of fatty acids (FAs) and VFAs, as well as the composition of FAs (especially PUFAs) in the ruminant body [13]. CA has a significant influence on the biosynthesis of volatile compounds in a dose dependent manner [13,14]. There is a lot of evidence that CA (polyphenolic compound) possesses antioxidative properties and hence protects from peroxidation damage the unsaturated fatty acids (UFAs), especially long-chain PUFAs (LPUFAs), in animal tissues [14]. Indeed, recent studies with CA have documented improvements in meat quality; CA seems to extend the shelf life of lamb meat [13].

Similarly to CA, dietary fish oil (FO) is able to modify the biohydrogenation yield by decreasing the enzymatic capacity for the isomerization of linoleic acid or α -linolenic acid, and simultaneously elevating *trans*11C18:1 (*t*11C18:1) level in ruminal contents and ruminant tissues [15,16]. FO, rich in LPUFAs, inhibited the growth (e.g., *B. fibrisolvens*) and activity of microorganisms (e.g., bacterial *isomerase* activity). PUFAs, especially LPUFAs, revealed toxic effects on cellulolytic bacteria and protozoa; PUFAs act against ruminal lactate producers thereby favouring propionate producers [16].

Therefore, we hypothesized that selenate or selenized yeast (SeY) added to the diet containing CA, FO and RO would modify concentrations of VFAs, methane, CO₂ and FAs in the rumen and contents of FAs in muscles. Moreover, we expected that these

modifications depend upon the chemical form of dietary Se. Thus, the objective of our study was *to investigate the impact of different chemical forms of Se (as SeY or selenate) added to the diet including CA, FO and RO on the amounts of VFAs, FAs, methane and CO₂ in the rumen and the concentrations of FAs (especially UFAs) in *Musculus longissimus dorsi* (MLD) and *Musculus biceps femoris* (MBF) of lambs.*

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals, diets, and experimental design

Eighteen male Corriedale lambs with an average body weight (BW) of 30.4 ± 2.6 kg at the beginning of the experiment were individually penned and divided into 3 treatment groups of 6 animals (Tables 1 and 2). The animals were distributed into 3 groups, according to the initial mass of lambs; so that the average initial body mass of lambs between the groups were similar (Table 2). The study was conducted under the authority of the Third Local Commission of Animal Experiment Ethics at the University of Life Sciences, Ciszewskiego 8, 02-786 Warsaw, Poland (decision No 41/2013). During a 3-week preliminary period the animals were given free access to the standard concentrate-hay diet with vitamins and mineral premix (Table 1). The basal diet (BD) consists of the following components: meadow hay (~36%), a mixture of soybean meal (~36%) barley meal (~16.5%), wheat starch (~9%) and mineral-vitamin mixture (20 g/kg BD). This basal diet contained: crude protein 120 g, crude fibre 12 g, and 11 MJ metabolizable energy in 1 kg dry mater. The content of Se in 1 kg of the basal diet was 0.29 mg. The basal diet was supplemented with 2% RO and 1% odourless fish oil (FO). After the preliminary period, for 35 days the lambs were fed the basal diet containing 2% RO, 1% FO and 0.1% CA (the control diet) and two experimental diets (Table 2); these experimental diets were made by adding 0.35 mg Se as SeY or selenate to 1 kg the control diet. The control and all experimental diets were formulated to be isoenergetic and isonitrogenous. All diets were adjusted weekly and supplied as two equal meals at 07.30 and 16.00 hours each day to ensure free access to the feed. Fresh drinking water was available at libitum. Animals completely consumed the served portion of the meals. All lambs were fed the same mass of freshly prepared diets with the appropriate additives (Table 2). The average daily diet intake was 1.08 kg per lamb. At the end of the 35-day experiment the lambs were slaughtered at 07.00 hour. Muscles (MLD and MBF) were removed, weighed, homogenized and frozen; all muscle samples were stored in sealed tubes at -32°C until analysis. The whole digesta of the rumen were collected from each animal just after slaughter. Ruminal digesta samples were maintained at 39°C and ruminal fluid samples were obtained by straining through four layers of linen cloth [15,17]. The obtained ruminal digesta and fluid samples were frozen and stored in sealed tubes at -20°C until analysed. Ruminal microorganism fractions were isolated from ruminal liquid by two-step centrifugation, according to Meyer *et al.* [18]. Obtained solid fraction samples were lyophilised. The

fatty acid concentrations in ruminal microbiota were derived from the dry matter (DM).

Table 1. Chemical composition (% in dry mass) of the concentrate-hay diet with vitamins and mineral mixture^a (the basal diet), RO and FO^b

Item	Meadow hay ^d	Concentrate ^c		
		Barley meal	Soybean meal	Wheat starch
Dry mass (%)	88.4	87.6	89.7	87.3
Crude protein (%)	9.50	9.94	41.8	0.90
Crude fibre (%)	27.3	2.87	4.34	-
Crude fat (%)	3.40	2.50	2.25	0.09
Ash (%)	4.85	1.84	6.16	0.12
Neutral detergent fiber (%)	59.2	18.0	18.8	-
Acid detergent fiber (%)	32.1	4.61	6.44	-
Acid detergent lignin (%)	4.47	1.14	1.49	-

^a vitamins and mineral mixture provided by POLFAMIX OK (www.trouwnutrition.pl); ^b The iodine value of FO: 50-65 g/100 g FO; the acid value of FO: 20 mg KOH/g FO; ^c The main fatty acids in concentrate (µg/g): C14:0 104, C16:0 3189, C18:0 1425, *n*-C18:1 774, *n*-C18:2 29163, *n*-C18:3 1014; the gross energy (MJ per kg of dry matter (DM)): barley meal: 16.3, soybean meal: 17.8, wheat starch: 16.7; ^d The gross energy: 17.1 MJ per kg of DM; the mean fatty acid composition of meadow hay (µg/g): C8:0 83, C12:0 142, C14:0 239, *n*-C15:1 131, C16:0 4034, *n*-C16:1 184, C18:0 459, *n*-C18:1 1266, *n*-C18:2 13100, *n*-C18:3 4178, C20:0 58, *n*-C20:1 74, C22:0 101, C24:0 69, *n*-C24:1 71

Table 2. The experimental scheme, the composition of the control and experimental diets, the body mass (BM) of lambs and rumen content masses

Group ^a	Additives added to the basal diet	The body mass of lambs		Body mass gain ^d %	Mass of ruminal content kg
		m _{initial} ^b kg	m _{35days} ^c kg		
Control	The control diet: 2% RO, 1% FO and 0.1% CA	30.6±2.6	37.2±2.3	21.5±4.8	4.34±0.51
Se ^Y II	The Se ^Y diet: 2% RO, 1% FO, 0.1% CA and 0.35 mg Se as Se ^Y in 1 kg of the control diet	30.3±2.7 (<i>P</i> =0.75) ^e	36.8±2.7 (<i>P</i> =0.99)	21.6±3.2 (<i>P</i> =0.47)	4.15±0.60 (<i>P</i> =0.47)
Selenate ^{III}	The selenate diet: 2% RO, 1% FO, 0.1% CA and 0.35 mg Se as selenate in 1 kg of the control diet	30.3±3.0 (<i>P</i> =0.52) (<i>P</i> _{Se} =0.96) ^f	38.5±3.1 (<i>P</i> =0.37) (<i>P</i> _{Se} =0.37)	26.8±4.0 (<i>P</i> =0.01) (<i>P</i> _{Se} =0.01)	5.30±0.60 (<i>P</i> =0.06) (<i>P</i> _{Se} =0.02)

^a for the 3-week of preliminary period lambs were fed the diet containing 2% RO and 1% FO; ^b the average initial body mass of lambs after the 3-week preliminary period; ^c the average body mass of lambs fed the diets for 35 days of the experimental period; ^d the relative body mass gain (BMG, %) of lambs; BMG (%)=[(m_{35days}-m_{initial})×100%]/m_{initial}; ^e in parenthesis - statistical analyses of results; *P*-values: statistical analyses were carried out between the control group and the experimental groups (Se^YII or Selenate^{III}); ^f *P*_{Se} - *P*-values: statistical analyses were carried out between Se^YII group and Selenate^{III} group

Chemicals

Acetonitrile and n-hexane (99%; GC) were purchased from Lab-Scan (Ireland). Volatile fatty acids (VFAs) and fatty acid standards, 25% BF₃ in methanol and sodium selenate were provided by Sigma-Aldrich (USA). All other chemicals were of analytical grade (POCh, Poland). Carnosic acid (CA) was purchased from Hunan Geneham Biomedical Technology Ltd. (Changsha Road, Changsha, Hunan, China). Rapeseed oil (RO) and fish oil (FO) were supplied by Company AGROSOL (Pacanów, Poland). RO comprised the following main fatty acids (µg/g RO): C14:0 56, C16:0 13091, *c9*C16:1 33, C18:0 5490, *c9*C18:1 385859, *c12*C18:1 786, *c9c12*C18:2 282394, *c9c12c15*C18:3 38474, C20:0 194, *c11*C20:1 108, C22:0 430 and *c15*C24:1 61. FO included the following main fatty acids (µg/g): C12:0 82, C14:0 12345, *c9*C14:1 215, C15:0 477, C16:0 56947, *c7*C16:1 318, *c9*C16:1 420, ΣC16:2 15586, C17:0 493, *c9*C17:1 193, C18:0 9452, *c6*C18:1 188, *c7*C18:1 842, *c9*C18:1 290592, *c12*C18:1 15834, *c14*C18:1 159, *c9c12*C18:2 114512, *c9c12c15*C18:3 20968, *c11*C20:1 24206, *c7c9c12c15*C18:4 473, *c11c14*C20:2 2270, *c8c11c14*C20:3 258, *c5c8c11c14*C20:4 304, *c8c11c14c17*C20:4 607, C22:0 139, *c13*C22:1 11036, *c11*C22:1 1704, *c5c8c11c14c17*C20:5 6792, *c13c16*C22:2 95, *c7c10c13c16*C22:4 144, *c15*C24:1 397, *c7c10c13c16c19*C22:5 1560 and *c4c7c10c13c16c19*C22:6 26570.

The vitamin and mineral mixture was purchased from POLFAMIX OK (Grodzisk Mazowiecki, Poland); 1 kg of vitamin and mineral mixture comprised: 285 g calcium, 16 g phosphorus, 56 g sodium, 42 mg cobalt as carbonate, 10 mg iodine as iodate, 1 g iron as sulphate, 6 mg Se as selenite, 0.5 g copper as sulphate, 5.8 g manganese as sulphate, 7.5 g zinc as sulphate; vitamins: A (500 000 IU/kg), D3 (125 000 IU/kg), and E as α-tocopherol (25 000 IU/kg).

The selenized yeast (*Se-Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) was donated by Sel-Plex (Alltech In., USA); ~83% of the Se content of the selenized yeast (SeY) represents Se in the form of Se-Met [19].

Chromatographic equipment and analytical methods

To 5 ml of filtered ruminal fluid 0.5 ml of 85% formic acid in water was added; it is recommended to store the resulting solution for 30 min at room temperature. The obtained solution was centrifuged at 15 550 g for 30 min (at 4°C). The supernatant in a sealed vial was stored at 4°C when not in use. Before gas chromatography, 75 µl of the internal standard solution (1 ml of 4-methylvaleric acid in 100 ml of water) were added to 0.5 ml of the supernatant. The analyses of VFA were performed on a gas chromatograph (GC-2010 SHIMADZU) equipped with a Zebtron™ ZB-FFAP column (30 m x 0.25 mm i.d. x 0.25 µm film thickness; the phase: nitroterephthalic acid modified polyethylene glycol; Phenomenex) and a flame ionization detector (FID). Helium as the carrier gas operated at the initial pressure (37.3 kPa) and the constant column flow rate of 0.87 ml/min. The injector and FID temperatures were maintained at 250 and 280°C, respectively. H₂ and air flows were 40 and 400 ml/min. The injector and FID temperatures were maintained at 200 and 240°C, respectively.

The VFAs profile in 1 μ l sample at a split ratio of 10:1 was determined using the column temperature gradient programme. The oven temperature was programmed as follows: initially 80°C for 1 min, increasing by 15°C/min to 220°C, held for 4 min; the total run time of the GC-FID analysis was 15 min.

Methylations were introduced for preparation of methyl esters of fatty acids (FAMES) in ruminal fluid, microbiota and muscle samples [20]. FAMES were then quantified using gas-chromatography according to Rozbicka-Wieczorek et al. [20]. The analyses of FAMES were performed on a SHIMADZU GC-MS-QP2010 Plus EI equipped with a BPX70 fused silica column (120 m \times 0.25 mm i.d. \times 0.25 μ m film thickness; SHIM-POL and a quadrupole mass selective detector (Model 5973N).

Calculation of the concentrations of CO₂ and CH₄ in the rumen

Contents of CO₂ and CH₄ in the rumen were calculated according to Wolin [21].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistica software package (StatSoft, Version 10, 2010). Statistical analyses of dietary effects of SeY or selenate added to the diet including FO, RO and CA on concentrations of VFAs and FAs in ruminal samples and concentrations of FAs in *MLD* and *MBF* of lambs were conducted using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test. Differences were considered significant at $P < 0.05$ or $P < 0.01$, while at $P < 0.10$ differences were taken as tendencies. The results are presented as the means of the individually analyzed ruminal and muscle samples ($n=6$). The results are presented as the mean \pm the standard deviation.

RESULTS

Neither macroscopic lesions nor pathological changes were found in the muscles and in any other internal organ of lambs fed the control, SeY or selenate diets. The selenate diet more efficiently increased ($P=0.01$) the body mass gain (BMG) of lambs than the control and SeY diets (Table 2). This diet increased also the ruminal content mass when compared with the control ($P < 0.1$) and SeY ($P < 0.05$) diets. In contrast, the SeY diet revealed negligible impact on the BMG and the ruminal content mass in comparison with the control diet.

Effects of diets on concentrations of VFAs, methane and CO₂ in the ruminal fluid

The SeY diet increased the concentration sums of all VFAs (Σ VFAs) in the ruminal fluid when compared with the control ($P < 0.05$) and selenate ($P < 0.01$) diets (Table 3). Consequently, the SeY diet more effectively stimulated the accumulation of all straight-chain VFAs ($P < 0.05$ or $P < 0.1$) than the control and selenate diets. The SeY

diet increased ($P < 0.05$) the concentrations of *iso*-VFAs (*i*-BA and *i*-VA) in the fluid when compared with the control diet. The SeY diet resulted in a insignificant increase ($P \geq 0.3$) in the *i*-BA and *i*-VA contents in the fluid in in comparison with the selenate diet.

Table 3. The concentrations^a of volatile fatty acids (VFAs), the sum of VFAs and the ratio of AA to PA (AA/PA) in the ruminal fluids

Group		Acetic Acid (AA)	Propionic acid (PA)	iso-Butyric acid (i-BA)	Butyric acid (BA)	iso-Valeric acid (i-VA)	Valeric acid (VA)	The content sum of VFAs (Σ VFAs)	Ratio of AA to PA (AA/PA)
Control	mM/100 ml	3.8±0.3	1.1±0.3	0.14±0.03	0.65±0.11	0.18±0.05	0.073±0.026	6.0±0.7	3.5±0.5
	%	64.1	18.5	2.38	10.8	3.05	1.20	100	3.46
SeYII	mM/100 ml	4.6±0.6	1.5±0.4	0.18±0.04	0.79±0.18	0.27±0.09	0.107±0.036	7.5±1.3	3.1±0.4
	%	^m ($P=0.02$) ^b 62.4	($P=0.03$) 19.8	($P=0.048$) 2.41	($P=0.08$) 10.5	($P=0.046$) 3.58	($P=0.082$) 1.39	($P=0.02$) 100	($P=0.09$) 3.16
SelenateIII	mM/100 ml	3.3±0.2	0.94	0.16±0.03	0.54±0.06	0.20±0.05	0.063±0.010	5.3±0.4	3.6±0.4
	%	($P=0.02$) ($P_{Se}=0.005$) ^c 3.8	($P=0.30$) ($P_{Se}=0.009$) 17.9	($P=0.30$) ($P_{Se}=0.142$) 2.99	($P=0.06$) ($P_{Se}=0.008$) 10.3	($P=0.34$) ($P_{Se}=0.141$) 3.77	($P=0.28$) ($P_{Se}=0.023$) 1.19	($P=0.27$) ($P_{Se}=0.005$) 100	($P=0.76$) ($P_{Se}=0.048$) 3.56

^a the content (mM) of the assayed VFAs in 100 ml of the ruminal fluid; ^b in parentheses - statistical analyses of results; P -values: statistical analyses were carried out between the control group and the experimental groups (SeYII or SelenateIII); ^c P_{Se} - P -values: statistical analyses were carried out between SeYII group and SelenateIII group

The SeY diet tended to decrease ($P < 0.1$) the AA/PA ratio in the ruminal fluid when compared with the control diet (Table 3). The selenate diet revealed negligible impact on the AA/PA ratio in the fluid when compared with the control diet, whereas the selenate diet increased ($P < 0.05$) the AA/PA ratio in comparison with the SeY diet.

Table 4. The concentration^a of CO₂ and CH₄ in the rumen and values of CO₂ and CH₄ indexes

Group	Contents of fermentation products in the rumen			
	CO ₂	CH ₄	CO ₂ index ^b	CH ₄ index ^b
	mM/100 ml		(indexCO ₂)	(indexCH ₄)
Control	2.59±0.47	1.51±0.27	0.31±0.03	0.18±0.01
SeYII	3.17±0.75 ($P=0.086$) ^c	1.85±0.43 ($P=0.086$)	0.36±0.03 ($P=0.113$)	0.21±0.02 ($P=0.151$)
SelenateIII	2.16±0.24 ($P=0.064$) ($P_{Se}=0.008$) ^d	1.26±0.14 ($P=0.064$) ($P_{Se}=0.008$)	0.30±0.02 ($P=0.76$) ($P_{Se}=0.041$)	0.17±0.01 ($P=0.82$) ($P_{Se}=0.041$)

^athe contents of CO₂ (C_{CO2}) and CH₄ (C_{CH4}) calculated from the contents acetic, propionic and butyric acids in the ruminal fluid [21]; ^b CO₂ and CH₄ indexes were calculated as follows: $indexCO_2 = C_{CO_2} * m_{rumen} / m_{lamb}$ and $indexCH_4 = C_{CH_4} * m_{rumen} / m_{lamb}$, respectively; m_{rumen} – weight of a rumen content (kg); m_{lamb} – weight of lambs (kg); ^c **in parentheses - statistical analyses of results**; P -values: statistical analyses were carried out between the control group and the experimental groups (SeYII or SelenateIII); ^d P_{Se} - P -values: statistical analyses were carried out between SeYII group and SelenateIII group

The selenate diet tended to decrease ($P < 0.1$) the concentrations of CH_4 and CO_2 in the rumen when compared with the control diet (Table 4). In contrast, the SeY diet increased concentrations of CH_4 and CO_2 in the rumen in comparison with the control diet (a tendency; $P < 0.1$) and the selenate diet ($P < 0.01$). Moreover, the SeY diet significantly increased ($P < 0.05$) the indexes of CO_2 and CH_4 ($^{\text{index}}\text{CO}_2$ and $^{\text{index}}\text{CH}_4$) in comparison with the selenate diet.

Effects of experimental diets on FAs concentration in the ruminal fluid, microbiota and muscles of lambs

The SeY added to the diet increased the concentration sums of saturated fatty acids (SFAs) (tendency; $P < 0.1$), $\Sigma\text{odd-SFAs}$ ($P < 0.05$), ΣCLA (tendency; $P < 0.1$), atherogenic-SFAs (A-SFA) ($P < 0.05$), thrombogenic-SFAs (T-SFA) (tendency; $P < 0.1$), monounsaturated FAs (ΣMUFAs) (tendency; $P < 0.1$) and ΣPUFAs ($P < 0.05$), including $\Sigma\text{n-6PUFAs}$, $\Sigma\text{n-3PUFAs}$ and $\Sigma\text{n-3LPUFAs}$, in the ruminal fluid when compared with the control diet (Table 5). The SeY and selenate diets increased ($P < 0.05$ or $P < 0.1$) the concentration of *trans*11C18:1 (*t*11C18:1) and the concentration sums of all positional isomers of $\alpha\text{C18:1}$ ($\Sigma\alpha\text{C18:1}$) and n-3PUFAs ($\Sigma\text{n-3PUFAs}$) in the ruminal fluid in comparison with the control diet. The selenate diet decreased ($P < 0.05$) the index of the final biohydrogenation (BH) of *t*11C18:1 to C18:0 ($^{\text{C18:0}}\text{BH}_{\text{index}}$) in the fluid when compared with the control and SeY diets. The SeY diet increased the concentrations of A-SFA ($P < 0.05$) and T-SFA (tendency; $P < 0.1$) in the fluid in comparison with the selenate diet.

Table 5. The concentrations ($\mu\text{g/g}$) of C18:0, *t*11C18:1, *c*11CLA, the sums of $\alpha\text{C18:1}$, SFAs, odd-chain-SFAs, *iso*-SFAs, MUFAs, PUFAs, all FAs (ΣFAs), atherogenic SFA (A-SFA), thrombogenic SFA (T-SFA), n-3PUFAs, n-6PUFAs, n-3 LPUFAs, the index of the final biohydrogenation to C18:0 and ratios of ΣSFAs , ΣPUFAs and $\Sigma\text{n-3LPUFAs}$ to ΣPUFAs , ΣUFAs or ΣFAs in the ruminal fluid

Item	Group			Significance of effects °		
	Control	SeYII	SelenateIII	C-SeY	C-SeO ₄	SeY-SeO ₄
C18:0	455±131	553±110	466±110	0.048	0.91	0.08
$\Sigma\alpha\text{C18:1}$ ^a	107±35	138±31	144±28	0.08	0.04	0.47
<i>t</i> 11C18:1	5.1±2.4	6.7±1.6	6.6±1.6	0.06	0.045	0.48
<i>c</i> 11CLA	19±7	24±7	22±8	0.08	0.17	0.29
ΣCLA ^b	21±7	27±7	24±8	0.09	0.29	0.19
ΣSFAs ^c	760±201	920±141	769±161	0.09	0.47	0.09
$\Sigma\text{odd-SFAs}$ ^d	20±5	26±3	21±5	0.046	0.35	0.06
$\Sigma\text{iso-SFAs}$ ^e	10±1	13±2	12±2	0.41	0.42	0.47
ΣMUFAs ^f	145±43	189±40	185±39	0.06	0.047	0.47
ΣPUFAs ^g	52±10	69±12	61±14	0.03	0.11	0.15

cont. Table 5.

Σ FAs	957±252	1178±179	1015±211	0.048	0.17	0.09
A-SFA ^h	199±47	248±29	208±38	0.04	0.41	0.03
T-SFA ⁱ	646±179	791±136	668±142	0.09	0.41	0.09
^{C18:0} BH _{index} ^j	0.989±0.002	0.988±0.002	0.986±0.004	0.12	0.02	0.04
Σ n-3PUFAs ^k	12.2±2.3	17.7±4.3	15.9±4.7	0.03	0.06	0.35
Σ n-6PUFAs ^l	25.5±4.4	32.8±6.4	28.8±6.1	0.02	0.24	0.24
Σ n-3LPUFAs ^m	7.5±2.0	10.4±2.6	8.8±3.0	0.046	0.39	0.15
Σ n-6/ Σ n-3 ⁿ	2.09±0.38	1.85±0.42	1.81±0.34	0.19	0.12	0.47
Σ SFAs/ Σ PUFAs	12.5±1.7	11.6±1.3	11.0±1.1	0.29	0.15	0.19
Σ SFAs/ Σ UFAs	3.85±0.33	3.57±0.52	3.12±0.21	0.24	0.004	0.06
Σ SFAs/ Σ FAs	0.794±0.015	0.781±0.026	0.757±0.013	0.24	0.004	0.08
Σ PUFAs/ Σ FAs	0.055±0.007	0.059±0.005	0.060±0.006	0.34	0.397	0.45
Σ LPUFAs/ Σ FAs	0.0100±0.0017	0.0101±0.0011	0.0097±0.0014	0.40	0.41	0.39
Σ n-3LPUFAs/ Σ FAs	0.0078±0.0006	0.0088±0.0012	0.0087±0.0012	0.08	0.41	0.45

^athe sum of positional isomers of *c*C18:1; ^bthe sum of *c*,*t*/*t*,*c*CLA, *t*CLA, *c*CLA isomers; ^cthe sum of C6:0, C8:0, C9:0, C10:0, C11:0, C12:0, C13:0, C14:0, C15:0, C16:0, C17:0, C18:0, C20:0, C21:0, C22:0, C23:0, C24:0; ^dthe sum of C15:0 and C17:0; ^ethe sum of *iso*-C14:0, *iso*-C15:0, *iso*-C16:0, *iso*-C17:0; ^fthe sum of Σ *c*C14:1, Σ *c*C15:1, Σ *c*C16:1, Σ *c*C16:1, Σ *c*C17:1, Σ *c*C18:1, Σ *c*C18:1, *c*11C20:1, Σ *c*C22:1, *c*15C24:1; ^gthe sum of *c*9*c*12C16:2, *c*6*c*9*c*12C16:3, CLA isomers, n-3PUFAs, n-6PUFAs; ^hthe sum of C12:0, C14:0, C16:0; ⁱthe sum of C14:0, C16:0, C18:0; ^jthe index of the final biohydrogenation to C18:0=C18:0/(C18:0+t11C18:1); ^kthe sum of *c*9*c*12*c*15C18:3, n-3LPUFAs; ^lthe sum of *c*9*c*12C18:2, *c*6*c*9*c*12C18:3, *c*11*c*14C20:2, *c*8*c*11*c*14C20:3, *c*5*c*8*c*11*c*14C20:4, *c*13*c*16C22:2, *c*7*c*10*c*13*c*16C22:4; ^mthe sum of *c*11*c*14*c*17 C20:3, *c*8*c*11*c*14*c*17C20:4, *c*5*c*8*c*11*c*14*c*17C20:5, *c*7*c*10*c*13*c*16*c*19C22:5, *c*4*c*7*c*10*c*13*c*16*c*19 C22:5; ⁿthe ratio of n-6PUFAs to n-3PUFAs; ^oC-SeY and C-SeO₄ - *P*-values: statistical analyses were carried out between the control group and the experimental groups (^{Se}YII or ^{Selenate}III); SeY-SeO₄ - *P*-values: statistical analyses were carried out between ^{Se}YII group and ^{Selenate}III group

The SeY diet has been shown to reduce the concentration of C18:0 ($P<0.1$), Σ *c*C18:1 ($P<0.05$), *t*11C18:1 ($P<0.05$), T-SFA ($P<0.05$), Σ CCLA (including *c*9*t*11CLA) ($P<0.1$) and Σ PUFAs ($P<0.1$) (including Σ n-3PUFAs and Σ n-3LPUFAs) in microbiota in comparison with the control diet (Table 6). In contrast, the selenate diet more efficiently increased the concentration of C18:0 ($P<0.05$), Σ *c*C18:1 ($P<0.1$), *t*11C18:1 ($P<0.01$), T-SFA ($P<0.1$) and Σ CCLA ($P<0.05$), including *c*9*t*11CLA ($P<0.1$), in microbiota than the SeY diet. The selenate diet tended to reduce the concentration of Σ SFAs ($P<0.1$) and Σ *odd*-SFAs ($P<0.1$) when compared with the control diet. The diet including selenate more efficiently decreased ($P<0.05$) the concentration of A-SFA, Σ MUFAs and Σ FAs in microbiota than the control diet. The selenate diet more efficiently decreased ($P<0.05$) the concentration of Σ n-3LPUFAs and the ratios of Σ n-6PUFAs to Σ n-3PUFAs (Σ n-6/ Σ n-3) and Σ SFAs to Σ PUFAs (Σ SFAs/ Σ PUFAs) in microbiota than the SeY diet.

In the current study we investigated the effects of SeY and selenate added to the diet on concentrations of Σ SFAs, Σ *odd*-SFAs, Σ *iso*-SFAs, all UFAs (Σ UFAs) and Σ FAs in *MLD* and *MBF* of lambs (Table 7). The selenate diet revealed a negligible impact

on the concentrations of Σ SFAs, Σ UFAs and Σ FAs in *MLD* and *MBF* of lamb in comparison with the control diet. In contrast, the SeY diet most efficiently increased the concentrations of Σ SFAs ($P<0.05$), Σ UFAs ($P<0.05$), Σ FAs ($P<0.05$) and Σ iso-SFAs (tendency; $P<0.1$) in *MBF* of lambs. This diet usually insignificantly increased the concentration of Σ SFAs ($P<0.05$), Σ UFAs ($P>0.1$) and Σ FAs ($P>0.1$) in *MLD* in comparison with the control diet. In contrast, the selenate diet usually significantly reduced the concentration of Σ SFAs ($P<0.05$), Σ UFAs, Σ FAs ($P<0.05$) in *MLD* and *MBF* in comparison with the SeY diet. SeY ($P>0.1$) or especially selenate ($P>0.1$) added to the diet insignificantly reduced the concentration of Σ odd-SFAs in *MLD* compared with the control diet. The SeY and selenate diets significantly ($P\leq 0.01$) reduced the concentration of Σ iso-SFAs in *MLD* when compared with the control diet.

Table 6. The concentrations of selected fatty acids and the values of $^{18:0}\text{BH}_{\text{index}}$, the ratios of Σ SFAs, Σ PUFAs and Σ n-3 LPUFAs to Σ PUFAs, Σ UFAs or Σ FAs in the ruminal microbiota^a

Item	Group			Significance of effects		
	Control	SeYII	SelenateIII	C-SeY	C-SeO ₄	SeY-SeO ₄
C18:0, mg/g	12.2±5.8	8.2±3.6	11.5±3.3	0.08	0.41	0.04
Σ C18:1, mg/g	2.07±0.76	1.27±0.36	1.74±0.48	0.02	0.12	0.06
<i>t11</i> C18:1, μ g/g	120±59	47±38	144±62	0.03	0.34	0.005
<i>c11</i> CLA, μ g/g	409±207	253±125	480±275	0.08	0.40	0.06
Σ CLA, μ g/g	414±212	253±125	489±275	0.09	0.41	0.049
Σ SFAs, mg/g	21.5±5.9	19.7±3.9	18.6±3.4	0.29	0.08	0.34
Σ odd-SFAs, mg/g	1.02±0.30	0.87±0.26	0.77±0.22	0.19	0.09	0.29
Σ iso-SFAs, mg/g	0.92±0.39	0.69±0.41	0.64±0.14	0.27	0.12	0.47
Σ MUFAs, mg/g	5.6±1.7	4.5±1.6	4.2±0.8	0.15	0.04	0.40
Σ PUFAs, mg/g	1.21±0.35	0.93±0.25	1.18±0.34	0.09	0.34	0.16
Σ FAs, mg/g	28.2±7.1	25.0±4.3	23.8±4.3	0.09	0.045	0.15
Λ -SFA, mg/g	7.6±2.0	9.3±4.4	5.7±0.5	0.35	0.046	0.026
T-SFA, mg/g	18.4±6.1	14.3±3.2	16.4±3.7	0.04	0.24	0.09
Σ n-3PUFAs, μ g/g	379±253	248±168	279±90	0.08	0.15	0.15
Σ n-6PUFAs, μ g/g	428±158	427±165	381±125	0.20	0.14	0.23
Σ n-3LPUFAs, μ g/g	228±136	164±81	152±66	0.07	0.18	0.048
Σ n-6/ Σ n-3	1.13±0.69	1.72±0.78	1.36±0.38	0.02	0.24	0.02
Σ SFAs/ Σ PUFAs	17.7±2.8	20.9±5.9	15.6±2.7	0.20	0.20	0.047
Σ SFAs/ Σ UFAs	3.16±0.81	3.63±0.87	3.42±0.52	0.12	0.14	0.14
Σ SFAs/ Σ FAs	0.757±0.046	0.782±0.061	0.774±0.029	0.13	0.14	0.14
Σ PUFAs/ Σ FAs	0.043±0.005	0.037±0.011	0.043±0.007	0.20	0.046	0.03
Σ LPUFAs/ Σ FAs	0.0095±0.0015	0.0096±0.0017	0.0078±0.0016	0.12	0.14	0.14
Σ n-3LPUFAs/ Σ FAs	0.0081±0.0036	0.0066±0.0027	0.0064±0.0015	0.15	0.24	0.07

^a all abbreviations as in Table 5

Table 7. The concentrations of SFAs, Σ UFAs, Σ FAs, Σ odd-SFAs and Σ iso-SFAs in MLD and MBF of lambs^a

Group ^b	Σ SFAs ^c mg/g		Σ UFAs ^d mg/g		Σ FAs mg/g		Σ odd-SFAs ^e µg/g		Σ iso-SFAs ^f µg/g	
	MLD	MBF	MLD	MBF	MLD	MBF	MLD	MBF	MLD	MBF
Control	4.54±1.51	3.59±1.12	5.38±0.79	3.67±0.78	9.93±2.94	7.26±1.09	282±73	70±40	418±194	57±32
^{Se} VI	6.90±1.37 (<i>P</i> =0.048)	5.49±1.18 (<i>P</i> =0.015)	7.41±0.56 (<i>P</i> =0.131)	5.66±1.10 (<i>P</i> =0.019)	14.51±0.92 (<i>P</i> =0.103)	1.17±1.30 (<i>P</i> =0.019)	187±53 (<i>P</i> =0.178)	112±60 (<i>P</i> =0.114)	167±105 (<i>P</i> =0.010)	118±51 (<i>P</i> =0.094)
^{Se} VI	4.48±0.98 (<i>P</i> =0.187)	3.49±0.75 (<i>P</i> =0.229)	4.83±0.72 (<i>P</i> =0.160)	3.72±0.78 (<i>P</i> =0.457)	9.35±1.07 (<i>P</i> =0.181)	7.17±2.10 (<i>P</i> =0.260)	113±57 (<i>P</i> =0.101)	67±36 (<i>P</i> =0.434)	112±47 (<i>P</i> =0.003)	66±32 (<i>P</i> =0.284)
^{Se} VI	4.48±0.98 (<i>P</i> =0.048)	3.49±0.75 (<i>P</i> =0.019)	4.83±0.72 (<i>P</i> =0.056)	3.72±0.78 (<i>P</i> =0.021)	9.35±1.07 (<i>P</i> =0.049)	7.17±2.10 (<i>P</i> =0.018)	113±57 (<i>P</i> =0.059)	67±36 (<i>P</i> =0.059)	112±47 (<i>P</i> =0.172)	66±32 (<i>P</i> =0.139)

^a in parentheses - statistical analyses of results; *P*-values: statistical analyses were carried out between the control group and the experimental groups (^{Se}VI or ^{Se}VI). *P*_{Se} - *P*-values: statistical analyses were carried out between ^{Se}VI group and ^{Se}VI group; ^b the fatty acid concentrations in muscle samples were derived from fresh masses; ^c the sum of C8:0, C10:0, C12:0, C14:0, C15:0, C16:0, C17:0, C18:0, C18:0, C20:0, C22:0, C24:0; ^d the sum of MUFAs (*c7*C14:1, *c9*C14:1, *c9*C16:1, *c9*C16:1, *c9*C18:1, *c9*C18:1, *c11*C18:1, *c11*C20:1) and PUFAs (*c9*:*c12*C18:2, *c9*:*c12*C18:3, *c8*:*c11*C20:3, *c5*:*c8*:*c11*C20:4, *c8*:*c11*C20:4, *c7*:*c10*:*c13*:*c16*:*c19* C22:5, *c4*:*c7*:*c10*:*c13*:*c16*:*c19* C22:6); ^e the sum of C15:0 and C17:0; ^f the sum of *iso*-C14:0, *iso*-C15:0, *iso*-C16:0 and *iso*-C17:0

DISCUSSION

Our studies demonstrate that the chemical form of Se added to the diet including CA and FO affected the BMG of the examined lambs. No macroscopic lesions and toxic symptoms of the control and experimental diets were observed in lambs. Indeed, diets containing up to 2 mg Se per kg would not be toxic for ruminants [22,23]. In contrast to selenate and especially selenite, Se-Met is less reactive, and because tRNA_{Met} does not discriminate between Se-Met and methionine (Met), dietary Se-Met is incorporated into body proteins in place of Met [24]. The fate of dietary Se-Met will depend upon whether Se released from Se-Met by ruminal degradation is further degraded to inorganic Se or re-incorporated into microbial proteins as Se-Cys or Se-Met [24].

Effects of different chemical forms of Se on the VFAs profile in the ruminal fluid

The primary end products of ruminal microbial digestion are VFAs. The changes in VFAs concentrations in the ruminal fluids might have been the result of an adjustment in the rumen due to the addition of different chemical forms of Se to the diet with CA and FO. These additives have a significant impact on the type or species of microorganisms in the rumen [2, 3, 14, 16, 17, 25, 26]. This was shown by the change in microbiota yield which affected VFAs abundance and fiber and protein digestion. Our results documented that the SeY diet decreased AA to PA ratio (AA/PA) in comparison with the control diet. Also, dietary SeY (rich in Se-Met) reduced fiber digestion when compared with the control and selenate diets. Our investigation indicated that the Se-Met-proteins from dietary SeY most efficiently reduced fiber digestion and bacteria growth and most effectively increased microbial production of AA. In fact, AA is the major source of acetyl CoA for the synthesis of lipids in tissues; moreover, AA is oxidized throughout the most of animal body to generate ATP [21,27,28].

The SeY diet most efficiently increased the production of *i*-BA, *i*-VA, 2-methylbutyric acid and straight-chain VFAs in the rumen. Ruminal *i*-BA, *i*-VA and 2-methylbutyric acid primarily originated from dietary proteins or recycling of microorganism proteins by ruminal oxidative deamination and decarboxylation of valine, leucine, and isoleucine, respectively [27]. In contrast, microbes utilize these *iso*-VFAs as a source of carbon skeleton to synthesize branched-chain amino acids [27]. Moreover, *iso*-VFAs can improve apparent dry matter digestibility and microbial growth, and stimulate microbial functions and enzyme activities in the rumen [28].

The selenate diet most efficiently decreased the concentrations of all straight-chain VFAs in the fluids. Considering the above, we argued that the selenate diet reduced the apparent intake of digestible energy and the intake of digestible organic matter. So, the SeY diet more efficiently increased microbial conversion of valine and leucine to *i*-BA and *i*-VA than the selenate diet. The selenate diet most effectively increased the BMG of lambs, whereas the SeY the diet revealed a negligible effect on the BMG

when compared with the control diet (Table 2). The current studies and our previous investigations documented that dietary selenate most efficiently stimulated the accumulation of protein amino acids in the liver, heart, spleen, muscles or pancreas of lambs, whereas reduced the yield of FAs accumulation in the heart, spleen, pancreas and especially in muscles [29]. Thus, the amount of selenate added to the diet with FO and CA most effectively stimulated the micro-organism protein synthesis, while decreased the capacity of carbohydrate fermentation into VFAs and lipogenic enzymes in the lamb body. Our results indicated that the selenate diet most efficiently decreased the concentration of SVFAs and especially CH_4 and CO_2 in the rumen. The selenate diet improved growth performance of lambs, because this diet decreased the content of CH_4 and CO_2 as well as indexCH_4 and indexCO_2 in the rumen (Tables 2 and 4). Indeed, CH_4 is the high-energy compound and its elimination, as a waste product, causes the loss of ~8% of the total digestible energy of the diet [21]. In contrast, the SeY diet stimulated methanogenesis. So, this diet resulted in the decrease in the BMG of lambs when compared with the selenate diet.

Effects of diets on the fatty acid profile in ruminal fluid and microbiota

Our studies showed that the SeY and selenate diets affected the concentration of FAs, including CLA isomers, in the ruminal fluid and microbiota. It is apparent that the composition of FAs in the rumen has an impact on FAs profile in the muscles. Among all CLA isomers, *c9t11*CLA was the most abundant isomer in bacteria and protozoa; *c9t11*CLA proportions in protozoa were 8.6-times greater than in bacteria. Protozoa may have $\Delta 9$ -desaturase activity that could convert *t11*C18:1 to *c9t11*CLA [29]. In our study *c9t11*CLA was the most abundant isomer (>98%) in the microbiota (Table 6). The selenate diet most efficiently increased the content of Σ CLA in microbiota, while the SeY diet most efficiently reduced this content. In contrast, the SeY diet more efficiently increased the content of Σ CLA in the fluid than the selenate diet (Table 5). Thus, we argued that dietary SeY more efficiently stimulated the capacity of the bacterial isomerase involved in the formation of CLA isomers (mainly *c9t11*CLA) in the fluids than the selenate diet. However, enzymatically formed CLA isomers have higher bioavailability in microbiota cells of selenate-fed lambs than in microbiota cells of SeY-fed lambs (Table 6).

SeY or especially selenate added to the diet with CA and FO reduced the final BH in the fluid in comparison with the control diet (Table 5). Thus, our results are in agreement with previous studies in which Se-Met or selenate added to the ovine ruminal fluids enriched in PUFA decreased the final BH to C18:0 when compared with the control fluid [25,26]. So, the SeY and selenate diets affected the BH yield.

Our study showed that the contents of Σ cC18:1, *t11*C18:1, Σ CLA (including *c9t11*CLA), Σ SFAs (including C18:0, T-SFA, Σ odd-SFAs and Σ iso-SFAs), Σ MUFAs, Σ PUFAs (including Σ n-3PUFA, Σ n-6PUFA and Σ n-3LPUFA) and Σ FAs were lower in the microbiota of lambs fed the SeY diet than lambs fed the control diet (Table

6). In contrast, the contents of these fatty acids were higher in the fluid of lambs fed the SeY diet than in lambs fed the control diet. Therefore, we suggest that the SeY diet decreased the incorporation yield of these FAs (especially PUFAs, including CLA isomers and n-3LPUFAs) in microbiota compared with the control diet. Dietary SeY more effectively stimulated a defense mechanism against the accumulation of PUFAs in microbiota than the selenate treatment and especially the control diet.

The selenate diet stimulated the accumulation of $\Sigma C18:1$, $t11C18:1$, ΣCLA (including $c9t11CLA$) in microbiota in comparison with the SeY diet and especially the control diet (with the exception of $\Sigma C18:1$). For this reason, we argue that dietary selenate (the very strong oxidant) reduced the BH (i.e. reduction of PUFAs to more saturated FAs). In fact, selenate contains the element selenium in the +6 oxidation state. In contrast, Se-Met, the main component of dietary SeY [19], contains the element selenium in the -2 oxidation state. Therefore, dietary SeY more effectively stimulated the BH in the ruminal fluid than dietary selenate (Table 5). Really, the selenate diet more effectively decreased $C^{18:0}BH_{index}$ in the fluid than the SeY diet and the control. In fact, the SeY diet caused the reduction of the concentrations of BH intermediates (like $t11C18:1$, $c9t11CLA$ and other CLA isomers) in microbiota cells compared with the control and selenate diets (Table 6). Consequently, the selenate diet more efficiently reduced the content of C18:0 and $\Sigma SFAs/\Sigma PUFAs$, $\Sigma SFAs/\Sigma UFAs$ and $\Sigma SFAs/\Sigma FAs$ ratios in the fluid than the SeY diet (Table 5).

One of the microbial transformations in the rumen is the microbial synthesis of odd- and branched-chain (*iso* and *anteiso*) FAs [30]. Odd-chain FAs (C15:0 and C17:0) are formed through elongation of propionate or valerate, while precursors of branched-chain FAs (*iso*C13:0, *iso*C14:0, *iso*C15:0, *iso*C16:0, *iso*C17:0, *iso*C18:0, *anteiso*C13:0, *anteiso*C15:0 and *anteiso*C17:0) are branched-chain amino acids (valine, leucine and *iso*-leucine) and their corresponding *iso*-VFAs (*i*-BA, *i*-VA and 2-methyl butyric acid) [30,31]. Odd, *iso* and *anteiso* SFAs are important FAs within rumen microbial lipids to maintain optimal fluidity of the microbial cell membrane [31]. *Iso*, *anteiso* and *odd* SFAs can be used as biomarkers of cellulolytic or amylolytic bacteria. Higher proportions of *iso*-SFAs in solid associated bacteria were suggested to reflect their enrichment in cellulolytic bacteria, whereas amylolytic bacteria show low contents of branched-chain SFAs, especially *iso*-SFAs and are enriched in linear *odd*-SFAs and/or *anteiso*-SFAs [30,31]. Our study showed that the SeY or especially selenate added to the diet reduced the concentration sums of *odd*-SFAs and *iso*-SFAs in microbiota when compared with the control diet (Table 6). Interestingly, the SeY diet more efficiently stimulated the microbial synthesis of *odd*-SFAs and *iso*-SFAs in the fluid and microbiota than the selenate diet (Table 3). The SeY diet more efficiently increased the contents of precursors of *odd*-SFAs and *iso*-SFAs in the fluid (i.e., PA, VA, *i*-BA and *i*-VA) than the selenate diet. The selenate diet most efficiently reduced the contents of PA, VA and AA (used primarily by microorganisms for reproduction and growth).

Effects of experimental diets on the fatty acid profiles in muscles

The SeY and selenate diets resulted in the concentration changes of FAs in *MLD* and *MBF* (Table 7). The concentrations of $\Sigma SFAs$, $\Sigma UFAs$, ΣFAs and Σodd -SFAs and

Σ iso-SFAs were significantly impacted by the muscle type and the chemical form of dietary Se. The concentrations of these fatty acids in *MLD* were considerably higher than in *MBF*. The SeY diet most effectively increased the content of Σ iso-SFAs in *MBF*, while the control diet most efficiently increased the contents of Σ odd-SFAs and Σ iso-SFAs in *MLD*. Similarly, the control diet most efficiently increased the contents of Σ odd-SFAs and Σ iso-SFAs in microbiota, while the selenate diet most effectively reduced the contents of these fatty acids in microbiota (Table 6). We argue that the content of Σ odd-SFAs and Σ iso-SFAs in microbiota determine the accumulation yield of Σ odd-SFAs and Σ iso-SFAs in *MLD*. A similar good correlation was found between the contents of Σ odd-SFAs and Σ iso-SFAs in microbiota and digest and *MBF* of lambs fed the SeY and selenate diets. Indeed, Σ odd-SFAs and Σ iso-SFAs are largely derived from microbiota and they have been transferred to animal tissues. Thus, these FAs have been used as biomarkers of rumen fermentation [30,31].

The SeY diet most efficiently increased the content of SVFAs in the fluid (Table 3) and the contents of Σ SFAs, Σ UFAs and Σ FAs in *MLD* and *MBF*. In contrast, the selenate diet most effectively reduced the content of SVFAs in the fluid and the contents of Σ SFAs, Σ FAs and Σ odd-SFAs in *MLD* and *MBF*. Indeed, SeY, rich in Se-Met, is a stable and safe-storage mode for Se in the animal body. In contrast, selenate or selenite added to diets can stimulate the catalysis of hydrosulphide oxidation that results in the decrease in the biosynthesis yield of lipogenic enzymes (like acetyl-CoA carboxylase or FAs synthase) in animal tissues [24]. We suggest that the lowest content of AA in the fluid collected from lambs fed the selenate diet resulted in the decrease in the biosynthesis yield of acetyl CoA. AA is the major source of acetyl CoA for synthesis of lipids in lamb tissues. AA is oxidized throughout most of the animal body to generate ATP, while BA is oxidized in tissues for energy production. Therefore, the selenate diet most efficiently reduced the content of Σ FAs in *MLD* and *MBF*, while the SeY diet most effectively increased the content of Σ FAs in *MLD* and *MBF*.

CONCLUSION

Our studies constitute important information for nutritionists carrying out further studies to improve the nutritional quality of ruminant and human diets. The selenate diet improved the growth performance of lambs by reducing the concentrations of CH_4 and CO_2 in the rumen. The SeY diet and especially the selenate diet reduced the BH in the ruminal fluid in comparison with the control diet. The FAs profile was impacted by the muscle type and the chemical form of dietary Se. The selenate diet most efficiently decreased the concentration of Σ VFAs in the fluids and the concentration of Σ FAs in *MLD* and *MBF*, and most effectively increased the body mass (BM) and the body mass gain (BMG) of lambs. Thus, we suggest that the selenate diet decreased body fat (BF), whereas considerably increased the lean body mass (LBM) of lambs ($\text{LBM}=\text{BM}-\text{BF}$). Further investigations are needed to study the effects of dietary CA, FO and different chemical forms of Se-compounds on the BH, the level of CA metabolites and *pro*-healthy FAs in edible parts of lamb carcasses.

Acknowledgments

This study was in part supported by the National Science Centre (NCN): Grant No. 2013/09/B/NZ9/00291 and by the statutory funds from the Kielanowski Institute of Animal Physiology and Nutrition, PAS, Jablonna, Poland (Project No. II.1; 2015).

Authors' contributions

RM carried out chromatographic determination of volatile fatty acids in the ruminal fluids and performed the statistical analysis. ARW carried out chromatographic determination of fatty acids in muscles of lambs and performed the statistical analysis. EW prepared the diets for lambs and conducted experiments on lambs. MC conceived of the study, assisted with data analysis and manuscript drafting. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

1. Ortman K, Andersson R, Holst M: The influence of supplements of selenite, selenate, and yeast selenium on the selenium status of dairy heifers. *Acta Vet Scand* 1999, 40:23-34.
2. Ohgushi-Imamura T, Orden EA, Fujihara T: Utilization of microbial selenium collected from the rumen of sheep. *J Anim Feed Sci* 2014, 23:124-130.
3. Mainville AM, Odongo NE, Bettger WJ, McBride BW, Osborne VR: Selenium uptake by ruminal microorganisms from organic and inorganic sources in dairy cows. *Can J Anim Sci* 2009, 89:105-110.
4. Serra AB, Nakamura K, Matsui T, Harumoto T, Fujihara T: Inorganic selenium for sheep II. Its influence on rumen bacterial yield, volatile fatty acid production and total tract digestion of timothy hay. *Asian Australas J Anim Sci* 1994, 7:91-96.
5. Kim J, Van Soest PJ, Combs Jr GF: Studies on the effects of selenium on rumen microbial fermentation in vitro. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 1997, 56:203-213.
6. Del Razo-Rodriguez OE, Ramirez-Bribiesca JE, Lopez-Arellano R, Revilla-Vazquez AL, Gonzalez-Munoz SS, Cobos-Peralta MA, Hernandez-Calva LM, McDowell LR: Effects of dietary level of selenium and grain on digestive metabolism in lambs. *Czech J Anim Sci* 2013, 58(6):253-261.
7. Lyons TP, Jacques KA: Science and Technology in the feed industry. In: *Proceedings of Alltech's 17th Annual Symposium*. Nottingham, United Kingdom: Nottingham University Press; 2001, 309-415.
8. Traulsen H, Steinbrenner H, Buchczyk DP, Klotz LO, Sies H: Selenoprotein P protects low-density lipoprotein against oxidation. *Free Rad Res* 2004, 38:123-128.

9. Demirel G, Wachira AM, Sinclair LA, Wilkinson RG, Wood JD, Enser M: Effects of dietary n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, breed and dietary vitamin E on the fatty acids of lamb muscle, liver and adipose tissue. *Brit J Nutr* 2004, 91:551–565.
10. Konečný R, Hasoňová L, Trávníček J, Samková E, Hladký J, Křížová Z: Effect of organic selenium and iodine supplementation on selenium and thyroid hormones status of lactating ewes and lambs. *Acta Vet Beograd* 2015, 65:477-487.
11. Jovanović BI, Veličković M, Milanović S, Valčić O, Gvozdić D, Vranješ-Đurić S: Supplemental selenium reduces the levels of biomarkers of oxidative and general stress in peripartum dairy cows. *Acta Vet Beograd* 2015, 65:191-201.
12. Juniper DT, Phipps RH, Ramos-Morales E, Bertin G: Effect of dietary supplementation with selenium-enriched yeast or sodium selenite on selenium tissue distribution and meat quality in beef cattle. *J Anim Sci* 2008, 86:3100–3109.
13. Morán L, Giráldez FJ, Panseri S, Aldai N, Jordán MJ, Chiesa LM, Andrés S: Effect of dietary carnolic acid on the fatty acid profile and flavour stability of meat from fattening lambs. *Food Chem* 2013, 138:2407-2414.
14. Jordan MJ, Lax V, Rota MC, Loran S, Sotomayor JA: Effect of the phenological stage on the chemical composition, and antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of *Rosmarinus officinalis* L essential oil and its polyphenolic extract. *Ind Crops Prod* 2013, 48:144–152.
15. Wąsowska I, Maia MRG, Niedźwiedzka KM, Czauderna M, Ribeiro JMCR, Devillard E, Shingfield KJ, Wallace RJ: Influence of fish oil on ruminal biohydrogenation of C18 unsaturated fatty acids. *Brit J Nutr* 2006, 95:1199-1211.
16. Buccioni A, Decandia M, Minieri S, Molle G, Cabiddu A: Lipid metabolism in the rumen. New insights on the lipolysis and biohydrogenation with an emphasis on the role of endogenous plant factors. *Anim Feed Sci Technol* 2012, 174:1-25.
17. Wąsowska I, Czauderna M, Kowalczyk J, Wallace RJ: Impact of selenite and selenate on conjugated linoleic acid isomers in incubated ruminal fluid. *J Anim Feed Sci* 2006, 15(Suppl. 1):97-100.
18. Meyer RM, Bartley EE, Deyoe CW, Colenbrander VF: Ration effects on rumen microbial protein synthesis and amino acid composition. *J Dairy Sci* 1967, 50:1327–1332.
19. Czauderna M, Kowalczyk J, Niedźwiedzka KM, Leng L, Cobanova K: Dietary selenized yeast and CLA isomer mixture affect fatty- and amino acid concentrations in the femoral muscles and liver of rats. *J Anim Feed Sci* 2009, 18:348-361.
20. Rozbicka-Wieczorek AJ, Więsyk E, Brzóška F, Śliwiński B, Kowalczyk J, Czauderna M: Efficiency of fatty acid accumulation into breast muscles of chickens fed diets with lycopene, fish oil and different chemical selenium forms. *Afr J Biotechnol* 2014, 13:1604-1613.
21. Wolin MJ: The Rumen fermentation: a model for microbial interactions in anaerobic ecosystems. *Adv Microb Ecol* 1979, 3:49-77.
22. McDowell LR, Davis PA, Cristaldi LA, Wilkinson NS, Buergelt CD, Van Alstyne R: Toxicity of selenium: fear or precaution? *Feedstuffs* 2005, 77:12-13.
23. Eun JS, Davis TZ, Vera JM, Miller DN, Panter KE, ZoBell DR: Addition of high concentration of inorganic selenium in orchardgrass (*Dactylis glomerata* L.) hay diet does not interfere with microbial fermentation in mixed ruminal microorganisms in continuous cultures. *Prof Anim Sci* 2013, 29:39-45.
24. Navarro-Alarcon M, Cabrera-Vique C: Selenium in food and the human body. *Sci Total Envir* 2008, 400:115–141.

25. Czauderna M, Kowalczyk J, Marounek M: Selenite and selenate affect the fatty acid profile in *in vitro* incubated ovine ruminal fluid containing linseed oil. Czech J Anim Sci 2013, 58(7):328–341.
26. Czauderna M, Rozbicka-Wieczorek AJ, Wiesyk E, Krajewska-Bienias KA: Selenomethionine decreases biohydrogenation of C18-unsaturated fatty acids in ovine ruminal fluid incubated *in vitro* with α -linolenic acid. Eur J Lipid Sci Technol 2015, 117:820-829.
27. Yang CMJ: Response of forage fiber degradation by ruminal microorganisms to branched-chain volatile fatty acids, amino acids, and dipeptides. J Dairy Sci 2014, 85:1183-1190.
28. Zhang HL, Chen Y, Xu XL, Yang YX: Effects of branched-chain amino acids on *in vitro* ruminal fermentation of wheat straw. Asian Australas J Anim Sci 2013, 26:523-528.
29. Niedźwiedzka MK, Kowalczyk J, Czauderna M: Influence of selenate and linseed oil on fatty-acid and amino-acid profiles in the liver, muscles, fat tissues and blood plasma of sheep. J Anim Feed Sci 2008, 17:328-343.
30. Or-Rashid MM, Odongo NE, McBride BW: Fatty acid composition of ruminal bacteria and protozoa, with emphasis on conjugated linoleic acid, vaccenic acid, and odd-chain and branched-chain fatty acids. J Animal Sci 2007, 85:1228–1234.
31. Fievez V, Colman E, Castro-Montoya JM, Stefanov I, Vlaeminck B: Milk odd- and branched-chain fatty acids as biomarkers of rumen function. Anim Feed Sci Technol 2012, 172:51– 65.

UTICAJ RAZLIČITIH HEMIJSKIH OBLIKA SELENA DODATOG HRANI KOJA SADRŽI KARNOZINSKU KISELINU, RIBLJE ULJE I ULJE REPICE NA NASTANAK ISPARLJIVIH MASNIH KISELINA I METANA U BURAGU, KAO I PROFIL MASNIH KISELINA U SADRŽAJU BURAGA I MIŠIĆIMA JAGNJADI

MILTKO Renata, ROZBICKA-WIECZOREK J. Agnieszka, WIEŚYK Edyta, CZAUDERNA Marian

Jagnjad su raspoređena u 3 grupe od po 6 životinja. Tokom 35 dana jagnjad je hranjena obrocima koji sadrže 2% ulje repice, 1% riblje ulje i 0,1% karnozinske kiseline (kontrolna grupa) ili dve ogledne suplementirane sa 0,35 mg/kg Se u obliku seleniziranog kvasca (SeY) ili selenata. Mišići *Musculus longissimus dorsi* (MLD) i *Musculus biceps femoris* (MBF), tečnost iz buraga i mikroflora su uzeti od svake životinje. Dijetarna suplementacija sa SeY je efikasno stimulisala nakupljanje isparljivih lančanih masnih kiselina (VFAs), *iso*-razgrenatih lanaca VFAs, CO₂ i CH₄ u ruminalnoj tečnosti. Obrok suplementiran selenitom je najefikasnije snižavao vrednosti CO₂, CH₄ i VFAs, uključujući linearne lance VFAs, sa izuzetkom *iso*-razgranatih lanaca VFAs. Kod kontrole u mikrobioti bio je najviši sadržaj *iso*-SFAs i neparnih zasićenih masnih kiselina. Dijetarna suplementacija sa SeY je u ruminalnoj tečnosti efikasno snizila odnos sirćetne kiseline prema propionskoj. Suplementacija selenatom je poboljšala performanse jagnjadi time što je u buragu snizila koncentraciju CH₄ i CO₂.

Dodavanje selenita smanjilo je biohidrogenizaciju C 18:0 u poređenju sa kontrolom. Selenat je u poređenju sa SeY efikasnije snizio zbirnu koncentraciju svih SFA (SSFAs) i svih masnih kiselina (SFAs) u MLD i MBF. SeY je efikasnije uticao na porast koncentracija SFA (SSFAs) i masnih kiselina (SFAs) u MLD i MBF. Selenat je bio efikasan u povećanju telesne mase jagnjadi.